

Draft Questions for Posting

1. The SDT has made minor modifications to the proposed definition for Frequency Response Measure based on industry comments. Do you agree that these modifications provide sufficient clarity? If not, please explain in the comment area. **Darrel Richardson**
2. The SDT has created a definition for Frequency Response Sharing Group. The definition is as follows:

A group whose members consist of two or more Balancing Authorities that collectively maintain, allocate, and supply operating resources required to jointly meet the Frequency Response Obligations of its members.

Do you agree with this definition? If not, please explain in the comment area. **David Lemmons**
3. The SDT has added Requirement R3 for entities using variable Frequency Bias.

R3. Each Balancing Authority that is a member of a multiple Balancing Authority Interconnection, is not receiving Overlap Regulation Service and utilizing a variable Frequency Bias Setting shall maintain a Frequency Bias Setting that is:

 - 3.1 Less than zero at all times, and
 - 3.2 Equal to or more negative than its Frequency Response Obligation when Frequency varies from 60 Hz by more than +/- 0.036 Hz..Do you agree with the proposed requirement? If not, please explain in the comment area. **Darrel Richardson**
4. Based on Industry comments the SDT has modified "Attachment A- Supporting Document" to provide additional clarity. Do you agree with the modifications? If not, what modifications do you disagree with? **Don Badley**
5. The SDT has moved a portion of the material located in Attachment A and all of the material located in "Attachment B- Process for Adjusting Bias Setting Floor" into a new document "Procedure for ERO Support of Frequency Response and Frequency Bias Setting Standard". The SDT created this document to assign tasks to the ERO and provide instructions for the ERO to follow when carrying them out under the BAL-003-1 standard. Do you agree that the ERO should perform these tasks and that this document provides sufficient detail for the ERO to do it under the BAL-003-1 standard? If not, what needs to be added to the document?". **Howard Illian**
6. The SDT is now using the method detailed in the Frequency Response Initiative Report dated September 30, 2012 to calculate the Interconnection Frequency Response Obligation. Do you agree that this method provides for the proper amount of Frequency Response? If not, what specifically needs to be changed? **Sandip Sharma**

7. Based on Industry comments received the SDT made significant clarifying modifications to the Background Document. Do you agree that this document provides sufficient information to justify the rationale used by the SDT in developing the draft standard and provides the industry with sufficient understanding of the issues being addressed by the standard? **Mike Potishnak**
8. If you are not in support of this draft standard, what modifications do you believe need to be made in order for you to support the standard? Please list the issues and your proposed solution to the issue. **Sydney Niemeyer**
9. Please provide any other comments (that you have not already provided in response to the questions above) that you have on the draft standard BAL-003-1. **Carlos Martinez**