

Meeting Notes Project 2007-12 Frequency Response

January 13, 2012 | 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. ET Conference Call

Administrative

1. Introductions and chair's remarks

David Lemmons opened the meeting.

2. Attendance

Name	Entity	
Don Badley	NWPP	
Gerry Beckerle	Ameren	
Terry Bilke	MISO	
Troy Blalock	SCANA	
Robert Blohm	Consultant	
Neil Burbure	FERC	
Brad Gordan	РЈМ	
Tom Hauske	РЈМ	
Howard Illian	Energy Mark	1
David Lemmons	Xcel Energy	
Carlos Martinez	CERTS	
Sydney Niemeyer	NRG	
Mike Potishnak	ISO NE	
Darrel Richardson	NERC	
Tom Washburn	OUC	

3. Participants were read the NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and public announcement



Agenda Items

1. Standard development

- a. The Standard Drafting Team (SDT) reviewed the proposed responses to comments for the following questions:
 - i. Question #8 The SDT reviewed and agreed with the proposed wording. The SDT also felt that some modifications needed to be made based on the comments.
 - 1. The SDT agreed with the MRO NSRF suggestion to provide clarity in the wording of Attachment B. The SDT will modify the language.
 - 2. The SDT understood the concern raised by Duke Energy on the possible side effects of reducing the minimum Frequency Bias Setting. Don Badley will provide a response explaining why the SDT is proposing a "go slow" approach with checks to ensure that there are no negative effects from the reduction.
 - 3. Howard Illian and Gerry Beckerle will provide additional language to support the SDT's position with regards to the Tucson Electric response.
 - 4. Sydney Niemeyer will draft language to respond to the Hydro Quebec concern regarding Variable Bias.
 - 5. The SDT will modify the language to provide additional clarity concerning the reduction of the minimum Bias Setting raised by FPL.
 - ii. Question #9 The SDT reviewed and agreed with the proposed wording. The SDT also felt that some modifications needed to be made based on the comments.
 - 1. Removal of Tie Line Bias operation requirement The SDT agreed with the proposed responses for removal of Requirement R3.
 - The SDT felt that additional language was needed for the response to BPA concerning Form 2 being complex and difficult to understand. Darrel Richardson will provide the modification.
- b. Modify documents (if necessary)
- c. Other documents (?)

2. Next steps

None noted at this time.

3. Future meeting(s)/conference call(s)

January 18-20, 2012, NRG Office in Houston, TX

4. Adjourn