

# Reliability Standard Audit Worksheet<sup>1</sup>

# CIP-010-3 — Cyber Security — Configuration Change Management and Vulnerability Assessments

This section to be completed by the Compliance Enforcement Authority.

Audit ID: Audit ID if available; or REG-NCRnnnnn-YYYYMMDD

Registered Entity: Registered name of entity being audited

NCR Number: NCRnnnnn

Compliance Enforcement Authority: Region or NERC performing audit
Compliance Assessment Date(s)<sup>2</sup>: Month DD, YYYY, to Month DD, YYYY

Compliance Monitoring Method: [On-site Audit | Off-site Audit | Spot Check]

Names of Auditors: Supplied by CEA

#### **Applicability of Requirements**

	BA	DP	GO	GOP	IA	LSE	PA	PSE	RC	RP	RSG	то	TOP	TP	TSP
R1	Х	Χ	Χ	Х	Х				Χ			Χ	Х		
R2	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х				Х			Х	Х		
R3	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х				Х			Х	Х		
R4	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х				Х			Х	Х		

#### Legend:

Text with blue background:	Fixed text – do not edit
Text entry area with Green background:	Entity-supplied information
Text entry area with white background:	Auditor-supplied information

The NERC RSAW language contained within this document provides a non-exclusive list, for informational purposes only, of examples of the types of evidence a registered entity may produce or may be asked to produce to demonstrate compliance with the Reliability Standard. A registered entity's adherence to the examples contained within this RSAW does not necessarily constitute compliance with the applicable Reliability Standard, and NERC and the Regional Entity using this RSAW reserves the right to request additional evidence from the registered entity that is not included in this RSAW. Additionally, this RSAW includes excerpts from FERC Orders and other regulatory references. The FERC Order cites are provided for ease of reference only, and this document does not necessarily include all applicable Order provisions. In the event of a discrepancy between FERC Orders, and the language included in this document, FERC Orders shall prevail.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> NERC developed this Reliability Standard Audit Worksheet (RSAW) language in order to facilitate NERC's and the Regional Entities' assessment of a registered entity's compliance with this Reliability Standard. The NERC RSAW language is written to specific versions of each NERC Reliability Standard. Entities using this RSAW should choose the version of the RSAW applicable to the Reliability Standard being assessed. While the information included in this RSAW provides some of the methodology that NERC has elected to use to assess compliance with the requirements of the Reliability Standard, this document should not be treated as a substitute for the Reliability Standard or viewed as additional Reliability Standard requirements. In all cases, the Regional Entity should rely on the language contained in the Reliability Standard itself, and not on the language contained in this RSAW, to determine compliance with the Reliability Standard. NERC's Reliability Standards can be found on NERC's website. Additionally, NERC Reliability Standards are updated frequently, and this RSAW may not necessarily be updated with the same frequency. Therefore, it is imperative that entities treat this RSAW as a reference document only, and not as a substitute or replacement for the Reliability Standard. It is the responsibility of the registered entity to verify its compliance with the latest approved version of the Reliability Standards, by the applicable governmental authority, relevant to its registration status.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Compliance Assessment Date(s): The date(s) the actual compliance assessment (on-site audit, off-site spot check, etc.) occurs.

## **Findings**

(This section to be completed by the Compliance Enforcement Authority)

Req.	Finding	Summary and Documentation	Functions Monitored
R1			
P1.1			
P1.2			
P1.3			
P1.4			
P1.5			
R2			
P2.1			
R3			
P3.1			
P3.2			
P3.3			
P3.4			
R4	·		

Req.	Areas of Concern					

Req.	Recommendations

Req.	Positive Observations					

# **Subject Matter Experts**

Identify Subject Matter Expert(s) responsible for this Reliability Standard.

Registered Entity Response (Required; Insert additional rows if needed):

	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	<u> </u>	
SME Name	Title	Organization	Requirement(s)

#### **R1 Supporting Evidence and Documentation**

- **R1.** Each Responsible Entity shall implement one or more documented process(es) that collectively include each of the applicable requirement parts in CIP-010-3 Table R1 Configuration Change Management. [Violation Risk Factor: Medium] [Time Horizon: Operations Planning].
- **M1.** Evidence must include each of the applicable documented processes that collectively include each of the applicable requirement parts in CIP-010-3 Table R1 Configuration Change Management and additional evidence to demonstrate implementation as described in the Measures column of the table.

#### R1 Part 1.1

	CIP-010-3 Table R1 – Configuration Change Management							
Part	Applicable Systems	Requirements	Measures					
1.1	High Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated:  1. EACMS; 2. PACS; and 3. PCA  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated:  1. EACMS; 2. PACS; and 3. PCA	Develop a baseline configuration, individually or by group, which shall include the following items:  1.1.1 Operating system(s)	Examples of evidence may include, but are not limited to:      A spreadsheet identifying the required items of the baseline configuration for each Cyber Asset, individually or by group; or      A record in an asset management system that identifies the required items of the baseline configuration for each Cyber Asset, individually or by group.					

#### Registered Entity Response (Required):

Question: Is R1 Part 1.1 applicable to this audit? ☐ Yes ☐ No
If "No," why not?
☐ This entity is not responsible for compliance for any of the systems listed in the "Applicable Systems"
column of the Table for this Part.
☐ Other: [Provide explanation below]

## Registered Entity Response (Required):

#### **Compliance Narrative:**

Provide a brief explanation, in your own words, of how you comply with this Requirement. References to supplied evidence, including links to the appropriate page, are recommended.

**DRAFT NERC Reliability Standard Audit Worksheet** 

Audit ID: Audit ID if available; or NCRnnnnn-YYYYMMDD

RSAW Version: RSAW CIP-010-3 DRAFT3v0 Revision Date: December 10, 2014 RSAW Template: RSAW2014R1.3

Registered Entity Evidence (Required):	
The following information is requested for each document submitted as evidence	Δls

The following information is requested for each document submitted as evidence. Also, evidence submitted should be highlighted and bookmarked, as appropriate, to identify the exact location where evidence of compliance may be found.

File Name	Document Title	Revision or Version	Document Date	Relevant Page(s) or Section(s)	Description of Applicability of Document

Audit Team Evidence Reviewed	(This section to be completed b	y the Compliance Enfo	rcement Authority):

# Compliance Assessment Approach Specific to CIP-010-3, R1, Part 1.1

This section to be completed by the Compliance Enforcement Authority

Verify that the Responsible Entity has documented one or more processes that include the development of a baseline configuration for each Applicable System.

For each Applicable System, verify the above process(es) collectively include all of the following:

- 1. Operating system (software and version or firmware);
- 2. Application software (version)
- 3. Custom software
- 4. Logical network accessible ports
- Security patches

For each Applicable System, verify the entity has developed a baseline configuration, individually or by group, which includes:

- 1. Operating system (software and version or firmware);
- 2. Application software (version)
- 3. Custom software
- 4. Logical network accessible ports
- 5. Security patches

Auditor Notes:			

#### R1 Part 1.2

	CIP-010-3 Table R1 – Configuration Change Management				
Part	Applicable Systems	Requirements	Measures		
1.2	High Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated:  1. EACMS; 2. PACS; and 3. PCA  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated:  1. EACMS; 2. PACS; and 3. PCA	Authorize and document changes that deviate from the existing baseline configuration.	A change request record and associated electronic authorization (performed by the individual or group with the authority to authorize the change) in a change management system for each change; or     Documentation that the change was performed in accordance with the requirement.		

Registered Entity Response (Required):
Question: Is R1 Part 1.2 applicable to this audit? ☐ Yes ☐ No
f "No," why not?
☐ This entity is not responsible for compliance for any of the systems listed in the "Applicable Systems" column of the Table for this Part.☐ Other: [Provide explanation below]
2 Gillett [i Tottide explanation below]

# Registered Entity Response (Required):

#### **Compliance Narrative:**

Provide a brief explanation, in your own words, of how you comply with this Requirement. References to supplied evidence, including links to the appropriate page, are recommended.

#### Registered Entity Evidence (Required):

The following information is requested for each document submitted as evidence. Also, evidence submitted should be highlighted and bookmarked, as appropriate, to identify the exact location where evidence of compliance may be found.

File Name	Document Title	Revision or Version	Document Date	Relevant Page(s) or Section(s)	Description of Applicability of Document

Audit ID: Audit ID if available; or NCRnnnnn-YYYYMMDD

Au	dit Team Evidence Reviewed (This section to be completed by the Compliance Enforcement Authority):
	mpliance Assessment Approach Specific to CIP-010-3, R1, Part 1.2  is section to be completed by the Compliance Enforcement Authority
	Verify the entity documented one or more processes to authorize and document changes that deviate
	from the existing baseline configuration.
	For each Applicable System, verify the entity authorized and documented changes that deviate from the
	existing baseline configuration.
Au	ditor Notes:

#### R1 Part 1.3

	CIP-010-3	Table R1 – Configuration Change Mana	agement
Part	Applicable Systems	Requirements	Measures
1.3	High Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated:  1. EACMS; 2. PACS; and 3. PCA	For a change that deviates from the existing baseline configuration, update the baseline configuration as necessary within 30 calendar days of completing the change.	An example of evidence may include, but is not limited to, updated baseline documentation with a date that is within 30 calendar days of the date of the completion of the change.
	Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated:  1.1 EACMS;  1.2 PACS; and  1.3 PCA		

Registered Entity Response (Required):
Question: Is R1 Part 1.3 applicable to this audit? ☐ Yes ☐ No
If "No," why not?
☐ This entity is not responsible for compliance for any of the systems listed in the "Applicable Systems"
column of the Table for this Part.
☐ Other: [Provide explanation below]

# Registered Entity Response (Required):

#### **Compliance Narrative:**

Provide a brief explanation, in your own words, of how you comply with this Requirement. References to supplied evidence, including links to the appropriate page, are recommended.

#### Registered Entity Evidence (Required):

The following information is requested for each document submitted as evidence. Also, evidence submitted should be highlighted and bookmarked, as appropriate, to identify the exact location where evidence of compliance may be found.

File Name	Document Title	Revision or Version	Document Date	Relevant Page(s) or Section(s)	Description of Applicability of Document

_	
Αι	dit Team Evidence Reviewed (This section to be completed by the Compliance Enforcement Authority):
	ompliance Assessment Approach Specific to CIP-010-3, R1, Part 1.3  is section to be completed by the Compliance Enforcement Authority
	Verify the entity documented one or more processes for updating the baseline configuration for a change
	that deviates from the existing baseline configuration.
	For each Applicable System, verify the baseline configuration was updated as necessary within 30 calendar
	days of completing the change to the baseline configuration.
Αι	uditor Notes:

#### R1 Part 1.4

	CIP-010-	3 Table R1 – Configuration Change Man	agement
Part	Applicable Systems	Requirements	Measures
1.4	High Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated:  1. EACMS; 2. PACS; and 3. PCA  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated: 1. EACMS; 2. PACS; and 3. PCA	For a change that deviates from the existing baseline configuration:  1.4.1 Prior to the change, determine required cyber security controls in CIP-005 and CIP-007 that could be impacted by the change;  1.4.2 Following the change, verify that required cyber security controls determined in 1.4.1 are not adversely affected; and  1.4.3 Document the results of the verification.	An example of evidence may include, but is not limited to, a list of cyber security controls verified or tested along with the dated test results.

Registered	Entity Response	(Requi	red)	:

negistered Entity nesponse (neganica).
Question: Is R1 Part 1.4 applicable to this audit? ☐ Yes ☐ No
If "No," why not?
☐ This entity is not responsible for compliance for any of the systems listed in the "Applicable Systems"
column of the Table for this Part.
☐ Other: [Provide explanation below]

#### Registered Entity Response (Required):

#### **Compliance Narrative:**

Provide a brief explanation, in your own words, of how you comply with this Requirement. References to supplied evidence, including links to the appropriate page, are recommended.

#### Registered Entity Evidence (Required):

The following information is requested for each document submitted as evidence. Also, evidence submitted should be highlighted and bookmarked, as appropriate, to identify the exact location where evidence of compliance may be found.

		Revision		Relevant Page(s)	
		or	Document	or	Description of Applicability
File Name	Document Title	Version	Date	Section(s)	of Document

Audit Team Evidence R	eviewed (This section	to be compl	eted by the Co	ompliance En	forcement Authority):

# Compliance Assessment Approach Specific to CIP-010-3, R1, Part 1.4

This section to be completed by the Compliance Enforcement Authority

Verify the entity documented one or more processes to determine whether changes to the baseline
configuration affect the security controls in CIP-005 and CIP-007.
For each Applicable System, prior to the change, verify changes that deviate from the existing baseline
configuration that could impact the security controls in CIP-005 and CIP-007 have been assessed.
For each Applicable System, following the changes, verify the changes do not adversely affect the security
controls in CIP-005 and CIP-007.
For each Applicable System, verify results of the verification of cyber security controls are documented.

#### **Auditor Notes:**

#### R1 Part 1.5

	CIP-010-3	Table R1 – Configuration Change Mana	agement
Part	Applicable Systems	Requirements	Measures
1.5	High Impact BES Cyber Systems	Where technically feasible, for each change that deviates from the existing baseline configuration:  1.5.1 Prior to implementing any change in the production environment, test the changes in a test environment or test the changes in a production environment where the test is performed in a manner that minimizes adverse effects, that models the baseline configuration to ensure that required cyber security controls in CIP-005 and CIP-007 are not adversely affected; and	An example of evidence may include, but is not limited to, a list of cyber security controls tested along with successful test results and a list of differences between the production and test environments with descriptions of how any differences were accounted for, including of the date of the test.
		1.5.2 Document the results of the testing and, if a test environment was used, the differences between the test environment and the production environment, including a description of the measures used to account for any differences in operation between the test and production environments.	

# Question: Is R1 Part 1.5 applicable to this audit? ☐ Yes ☐ No If "No," why not? ☐ This entity is not responsible for compliance for any of the systems listed in the "Applicable Systems" column of the Table for this Part. ☐ Other: [Provide explanation below]

#### Registered Entity Response (Required):

Registered Entity Response (Required):

#### **Compliance Narrative:**

Provide a brief explanation, in your own words, of how you comply with this Requirement. References to supplied

**DRAFT** NERC Reliability Standard Audit Worksheet

Audit ID: Audit ID if available; or NCRnnnnn-YYYYMMDD

RSAW Version: RSAW CIP-010-3 DRAFT3v0 Revision Date: December 10, 2014 RSAW Template: RSAW2014R1.3

_						
evidence, including links to the appropriate page, are recommended.						
Re	gistered Entity Evide	ence (Required):				
Th	e following informat	tion is requested for	each docun	nent submitt	ed as eviden	ce. Also, evidence submitted
	~ ~		appropriate	e, to identify	the exact lo	cation where evidence of
СО	mpliance may be fou	und.			1	Г
			Davidala a		Relevant	
			Revision or	Document	Page(s) or	Description of Applicability
	File Name	Document Title	Version	Date	Section(s)	of Document
	The Hume	Document Title	VCISION	Date	Jeetion(3)	or becament
Au	dit Team Evidence R	Reviewed (This section	to be comp	leted by the C	ompliance En	forcement Authority):
Co	mnlianco Assossmor	nt Approach Specific	to CID 010 :	2 D1 Dart 1		
	-	oleted by the Complic				
		System, verify the e		<u>cincine i tutilo</u>	<b>,</b>	
		st, in accordance with	•	5.2, that dev	viations to th	e existing baseline
	•	o not adversely affect		•		<u> </u>
	<ul> <li>An approved TF</li> </ul>	E that covers the App	olicable Syst	em. If this ap	plies, verify	the TFE's compensating
	measures are in	place.				
	For each Applicable	System, verify result	s of testing	are documer	nted.	
	For each Applicable	System, verify test e	nvironment	t or production	on environme	ent where the test is
	•	the baseline configur				
		System, verify test e		-		ent where the test is
	performed minimiz	es adverse effect on	required cyl	per security c	ontrols.	
Δu	ditor Notes:					

#### **R2 Supporting Evidence and Documentation**

- **R2.** Each Responsible Entity shall implement one or more documented process(es) that collectively include each of the applicable requirement parts in CIP-010-3 Table R2 Configuration Monitoring. [Violation Risk Factor: Medium] [Time Horizon: Operations Planning].
- **M2.** Evidence must include each of the applicable documented processes that collectively include each of the applicable requirement parts in *CIP-010-3 Table R2 Configuration Monitoring* and additional evidence to demonstrate implementation as described in the Measures column of the table.

#### **R2 Part 2.1**

		CIP-010-3 Table R2 – Configuration Mor	nitoring
Part	Applicable Systems	Requirements	Measures
2.1	High Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated:  1. EACMS; and 2. PCA	Monitor at least once every 35 calendar days for changes to the baseline configuration (as described in Requirement R1, Part 1.1).  Document and investigate detected unauthorized changes.	An example of evidence may include, but is not limited to, logs from a system that is monitoring the configuration along with records of investigation for any unauthorized changes that were detected.

Registered Entity Response (Required):
Question: Is R2 Part 2.1 applicable to this audit? ☐ Yes ☐ No
If "No," why not?
☐ This entity is not responsible for compliance for any of the systems listed in the "Applicable Systems"
column of the Table for this Part.
☐ Other: [Provide explanation below]

# Registered Entity Response (Required):

#### **Compliance Narrative:**

Provide a brief explanation, in your own words, of how you comply with this Requirement. References to supplied evidence, including links to the appropriate page, are recommended.

#### Registered Entity Evidence (Required):

The following information is requested for each document submitted as evidence. Also, evidence submitted should be highlighted and bookmarked, as appropriate, to identify the exact location where evidence of compliance may be found.

				Relevant	
		Revision		Page(s)	
		or	Document	or	Description of Applicability
File Name	<b>Document Title</b>	Version	Date	Section(s)	of Document
	File Name	File Name Document Title	or	or Document	Revision Page(s) or Document or

Audit Team Evidence Reviewed (This section to be completed by the Compliance Enforcement Authority):							
	•	nt Approach Specific Doleted by the Complic					
	is section to be comp	• •	ance Enforc	ement Autho	rity	e every 35 caler	ndar days for
	is section to be comp Verify the entity do	oleted by the Compli	ance Enforce ore processe	ement Autho es to monitor	rity at least once	•	ndar days for

For each Applicable System, verify the entity monitored at least once every 35 calendar days for changes

For each Applicable System, verify all detected unauthorized changes were documented and investigated.

to the baseline configuration (as described in Requirement R1, Part 1.1).

**Auditor Notes:** 

changes.

#### R3 Supporting Evidence and Documentation

- **R3.** Each Responsible Entity shall implement one or more documented process(es) that collectively include each of the applicable requirement parts in CIP-010-3 Table R3— Vulnerability Assessments. [Violation Risk Factor: Medium] [Time Horizon: Long-term Planning and Operations Planning]
- **M3.** Evidence must include each of the applicable documented processes that collectively include each of the applicable requirement parts in *CIP-010-3 Table R3 Vulnerability Assessments* and additional evidence to demonstrate implementation as described in the Measures column of the table.

#### R3 Part 3.1

	CIP-0	010-3 Table R3 – Vulnerability Assessment	ts
Part	Applicable Systems	Requirements	Measures
3.1	High Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated:  1. EACMS; 2. PACS; and 3. PCA  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated:  1. EACMS; 2. PACS; and 3. PCA	At least once every 15 calendar months, conduct a paper or active vulnerability assessment.	<ul> <li>Examples of evidence may include, but are not limited to:</li> <li>A document listing the date of the assessment (performed at least once every 15 calendar months), the controls assessed for each BES Cyber System along with the method of assessment,; or</li> <li>A document listing the date of the assessment and the output of any tools used to perform the assessment.</li> </ul>

Registered Enti	y Response	(Required	<b>)</b> :
-----------------	------------	-----------	------------

modification and modern out.
Question: Is R3 Part 3.1 applicable to this audit? ☐ Yes ☐ No
If "No," why not?
☐ This entity is not responsible for compliance for any of the systems listed in the "Applicable Systems"
column of the Table for this Part.
☐ Other: [Provide explanation below]

# Registered Entity Response (Required):

#### **Compliance Narrative:**

Provide a brief explanation, in your own words, of how you comply with this Requirement. References to supplied evidence, including links to the appropriate page, are recommended.

#### Registered Entity Evidence (Required):

The following information is requested for each document submitted as evidence. Also, evidence submitted should be highlighted and bookmarked, as appropriate, to identify the exact location where evidence of

**DRAFT** NERC Reliability Standard Audit Worksheet

Audit ID: Audit ID if available; or NCRnnnnn-YYYYMMDD

RSAW Version: RSAW CIP-010-3 DRAFT3v0 Revision Date: December 10, 2014 RSAW Template: RSAW2014R1.3

compliance may be found.					
		Revision or	Document	Relevant Page(s) or	Description of Applicability
File Name	Document Title	Version	Date	Section(s)	of Document

Audit Team Evidence Reviewed (This section to be completed by the Compliance Enforcement Authority):		

# Compliance Assessment Approach Specific to CIP-010-3, R3, Part 3.1

This section to be completed by the Compliance Enforcement Authority

Verify the entity documented one or more processes for conducting a paper or active vulnerability assessment at least once every 15 calendar months.

For each Applicable System, verify the entity conducted a paper or active vulnerability assessment at least once every 15 calendar months.

Auditor Notes:		

#### R3 Part 3.2

	CIP-010-3 Table R3 – Vulnerability Assessments						
Part	Applicable Systems	Requirements	Measures				
3.2	High Impact BES Cyber Systems	Where technically feasible, at least once every 36 calendar months:  3.2.1 Perform an active vulnerability assessment in a test environment, or perform an active vulnerability assessment in a production environment where the test is performed in a manner that minimizes adverse effects, that models the baseline configuration of the BES Cyber System in a production environment; and	An example of evidence may include, but is not limited to, a document listing the date of the assessment (performed at least once every 36 calendar months), the output of the tools used to perform the assessment, and a list of differences between the production and test environments with descriptions of how any differences were accounted for in conducting the assessment.				
		3.2.2 Document the results of the testing and, if a test environment was used, the differences between the test environment and the production environment, including a description of the measures used to account for any differences in operation between the test and production environments.					

# **Registered Entity Response (Required):**

Question: Is R3 Part 3.2 applicable to this audit? ☐ Yes ☐ No
If "No," why not?
☐ This entity is not responsible for compliance for any of the systems listed in the "Applicable Systems"
column of the Table for this Part.
☐ Other: [Provide explanation below]

# Registered Entity Response (Required):

#### **Compliance Narrative:**

Provide a brief explanation, in your own words, of how you comply with this Requirement. References to supplied evidence, including links to the appropriate page, are recommended.

#### Registered Entity Evidence (Required):

**DRAFT** NERC Reliability Standard Audit Worksheet

Audit ID: Audit ID if available; or NCRnnnnn-YYYYMMDD

RSAW Version: RSAW CIP-010-3 DRAFT3v0 Revision Date: December 10, 2014 RSAW Template: RSAW2014R1.3

The following information is requested for each document submitted as evidence. Also, evidence submitted

	ould be highlighted a mpliance may be fou	•	appropriate	e, to identify	the exact lo	cation where evidence of
	File Name	Document Title	Revision or Version	Document Date	Relevant Page(s) or Section(s)	Description of Applicability of Document
	The Hunte	Document nate	VCISION	Date	Section(s)	o. Document
Audit Team Evidence Reviewed (This section to be completed by the Compliance Enforcement Authority):						
Compliance Assessment Approach Specific to CIP-010-3, R3, Part 3.2  This section to be completed by the Compliance Enforcement Authority						
Verify the entity documented one or more processes to perform an active vulnerability assessment, in accordance with 3.2.1 and 3.2.2.						
	For each Applicable System, was an active vulnerability assessment technically feasible?					

o An active vulnerability assessment was conducted at least once every 36 calendar months,

• If yes, verify:

in accordance with 3.2.1; and

o results of testing are documented, in accordance with 3.2.2.

If no, verify the TFE's compensating measures are in place.

#### R3 Part 3.3

	CIP-010-3 Table R3 – Vulnerability Assessments							
Part	Applicable Systems	Requirements	Measures					
3.3	High Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated:  1. EACMS; 2. PCA	Prior to adding a new applicable Cyber Asset to a production environment, perform an active vulnerability assessment of the new Cyber Asset, except for CIP Exceptional Circumstances and like replacements of the same type of Cyber Asset with a baseline configuration that models an existing baseline configuration of the previous or other existing Cyber Asset.	An example of evidence may include, but is not limited to, a document listing the date of the assessment (performed prior to the commissioning of the new Cyber Asset) and the output of any tools used to perform the assessment.					

Registered Entity Response (Required):
Question: Is R3 Part 3.3 applicable to this audit? ☐ Yes ☐ No
f "No," why not?
☐ This entity is not responsible for compliance for any of the systems listed in the "Applicable Systems" column of the Table for this Part.☐ Other: [Provide explanation below]

## Registered Entity Response (Required):

#### **Compliance Narrative:**

Provide a brief explanation, in your own words, of how you comply with this Requirement. References to supplied evidence, including links to the appropriate page, are recommended.

#### Registered Entity Evidence (Required):

The following information is requested for each document submitted as evidence. Also, evidence submitted should be highlighted and bookmarked, as appropriate, to identify the exact location where evidence of compliance may be found.

		Revision or	Document	Relevant Page(s) or	Description of Applicability
File Name	Document Title	Version	Date	Section(s)	of Document

Audit ID: Audit ID if available; or NCRnnnnn-YYYYMMDD

RSAW Version: RSAW CIP-010-3 DRAFT3v0 Revision Date: December 10, 2014 RSAW Template: RSAW2014R1.3

Au	dit Team Evidence Reviewed (This section to be completed by the Compliance Enforcement Authority):
	mpliance Assessment Approach Specific to CIP-010-3, R3, Part 3.3  is section to be completed by the Compliance Enforcement Authority
	Verify the entity documented one or more processes for performing an active vulnerability assessment, prior to adding a new applicable Cyber Asset to a production environment, of the new Cyber Asset, except for CIP Exceptional Circumstances and like replacements of the same type of Cyber Asset with a baseline configuration that models an existing baseline configuration of the previous or other existing Cyber Asset.
	For each Applicable System, was a new applicable Cyber Asset added? If yes, verify that an active vulnerability assessment of the new Cyber Asset was performed prior to adding it to a production environment, except for CIP Exceptional Circumstances and like replacements of the same type of Cyber Asset with a baseline configuration that models an existing baseline configuration of the previous or other existing Cyber Asset.
Au	ditor Notes:

#### R3 Part 3.4

	CIP-010-3 Table R3 — Vulnerability Assessments							
Part	Applicable Systems	Requirements	Measures					
3.4	High Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated:  1. EACMS; 2. PACS; and 3. PCA  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated:  1. EACMS; 2. PACS; and 3. PCA	Document the results of the assessments conducted according to Parts 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3 and the action plan to remediate or mitigate vulnerabilities identified in the assessments including the planned date of completing the action plan and the execution status of any remediation or mitigation action items.	An example of evidence may include, but is not limited to, a document listing the results or the review or assessment, a list of action items, documented proposed dates of completion for the action plan, and records of the status of the action items (such as minutes of a status meeting, updates in a work order system, or a spreadsheet tracking the action items).					

Registered Entity Response (Required):  Question: Is R3 Part 3.4 applicable to this audit?   Yes  No  No  No
☐ This entity is not responsible for compliance for any of the systems listed in the "Applicable Systems" column of the Table for this Part. ☐ Other: [Provide explanation below]

#### Registered Entity Response (Required):

#### **Compliance Narrative:**

Provide a brief explanation, in your own words, of how you comply with this Requirement. References to supplied evidence, including links to the appropriate page, are recommended.

#### Registered Entity Evidence (Required):

The following information is requested for each document submitted as evidence. Also, evidence submitted should be highlighted and bookmarked, as appropriate, to identify the exact location where evidence of compliance may be found.

File Name	Document Title	Revision or Version	Document Date	Relevant Page(s) or Section(s)	Description of Applicability of Document

Audit Team Evidence Reviewed (This section to be completed by the Compliance Enforcement Authority):						

#### Compliance Assessment Approach Specific to CIP-010-3, R3, Part 3.4

This section to be completed by the Compliance Enforcement Authority

Verify the entity documented one or more processes to document the results of the assessments conducted according to Parts 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3 and the action plan to remediate or mitigate vulnerabilities identified in the assessments including the planned date of completing the action plan and the execution status of any remediation or mitigation action items.

For each Applicable System, for each assessment conducted according to Parts 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3, verify the results of the assessment were documented.

For each Applicable System, for each assessment conducted according to Parts 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3, were any vulnerabilities identified?

If yes, verify:

- An action plan to remediate or mitigate the identified vulnerabilities was created or modified;
- the action plan includes a planned date of completion;
- the action plan includes the execution status of any remediation or mitigation action items; and
- the completion of the action plan, if the planned date of completion has been reached.

<b>Auditor Notes:</b>	

R4	Supporting	Evidence	and	Documentation	١
----	------------	----------	-----	---------------	---

- R4. Each Responsible Entity, for its high impact and medium impact BES Cyber Systems and associated Protected Cyber Assets, shall implement, except under CIP Exceptional Circumstances, one or more documented plan(s) for Transient Cyber Assets and Removable Media that include the sections in Attachment 1. [Violation Risk Factor: Medium] [Time Horizon: Long-term Planning and Operations Planning]
- M4. Evidence shall include each of the documented plan(s) for Transient Cyber Assets and Removable Media that collectively include each of the applicable sections in Attachment 1 and additional evidence to demonstrate implementation of plan(s) for Transient Cyber Assets and Removable Media. Additional examples of evidence per section are located in Attachment 2. If a Responsible Entity does not use Transient Cyber Asset(s) or Removable Media, examples of evidence include, but are not limited to, a statement, policy, or other document that states the Responsible Entity does not use Transient Cyber Asset(s) or Removable Media.

Registered Entity Response (Required):  Question: Is R4 applicable to this audit? □ Yes □ No  If "No," why not? □ This entity is not responsible for compliance for any high or medium impact BES Cyber Systems. □ Other: [Provide explanation below]					
Registered Entity Response (Required): Compliance Narrative: Provide a brief explanation, in your own words, of how you comply with this Requirement. References to supplied evidence, including links to the appropriate page, are recommended.					
Registered Entity Evidence (Required):  The following information is requested for each document submitted as evidence. Also, evidence submitted					
should be highlighted and bookmarked, as appropriate, to identify the exact location where evidence of compliance may be found.					
File Name	Document Title	Revision or Version	Document Date	Relevant Page(s) or Section(s)	Description of Applicability of Document

Audit Team Evidence Reviewed (This section to be completed by the Compliance Enforcement Authority):

#### Compliance Assessment Approach Specific to CIP-010-3, R4

This section to be completed by the Compliance Enforcement Authority

#### Section 1. For Transient Cyber Assets(s) managed by the Responsible Entity:

Verify that the Responsible Entity has documented at least one plan for Transient Cyber Asset(s) that includes:

- 1. Transient Cyber Asset management;
- 2. Transient Cyber Asset authorization;
- 3. software vulnerability mitigation;
- 4. introduction of malicious code mitigation; and
- 5. unauthorized use mitigation.

Verify that the Responsible Entity has implemented its plan(s) to manage Transient Cyber Asset(s) individually or by group: (1) in an ongoing manner to ensure compliance with applicable requirements at all times, (2) in an on-demand manner applying the applicable requirements before connection to a BES Cyber System, or (3) a combination of both (1) and (2) above.

Verify that the Responsible Entity has implemented one or a combination of the following methods to achieve the objective of mitigating the risk of vulnerabilities posed by unpatched software on the Transient Cyber Asset (per Transient Cyber Asset capability):

- Security patching, including manual or managed updates;
- Live operating system and software executable only from read-only media;
- System hardening; or
- Other method(s) to mitigate software vulnerabilities.

If a Transient Cyber Asset is not fully capable of any of the methods above, then verify the Transient Cyber Asset capabilities and the implementation of those capabilities up to (but not exceeding) the requirement.

Verify that the Responsible Entity has implemented one or a combination of the following methods to achieve the objective of mitigating the introduction of malicious code (per Transient Cyber Asset capability):

- Antivirus software, including manual or managed updates of signatures or patterns;
- Application whitelisting; or
- Other method(s) to mitigate the introduction of malicious code.

If a Transient Cyber Asset is not fully capable of any of the methods above, then verify the Transient Cyber Asset capabilities and the implementation of those capabilities up to (but not exceeding) the requirement.

Verify that the Responsible Entity has implemented one or a combination of the following methods to achieve the objective of mitigating the risk of unauthorized use of Transient Cyber Asset(s):

- Restrict physical access;
- Full-disk encryption with authentication;
- Multi-factor authentication; or
- Other method(s) to mitigate the risk of unauthorized use.

#### Section 2. For Transient Cyber Asset(s) managed by a party other than the Responsible Entity:

Verify that the Responsible Entity has documented at least one plan for Transient Cyber Asset(s) managed by a party other than the Responsible Entity that includes:

- 1. Software vulnerability mitigation;
- 2. introduction of malicious code mitigation; and
- 3. determination of additional mitigation actions, as necessary.

Verify that the Responsible Entity has implemented one or a combination of the following methods to achieve the objective of mitigating the risk of vulnerabilities posed by unpatched software on the Transient Cyber Asset (per Transient Cyber Asset capability):

- Review of installed security patch(es);
- Review of security patching process used by the party;
- Review of other vulnerability mitigation performed by the party; or
- Other method(s) to mitigate software vulnerabilities

If a Transient Cyber Asset is not fully capable of any of the methods above, then verify the Transient Cyber Asset capabilities and the implementation of those capabilities up to (but not exceeding) the requirement.

Verify that the Responsible Entity has implemented one or a combination of the following methods to achieve the objective of mitigating malicious code (per Transient Cyber Asset capability):

- Review of antivirus update level;
- Review of antivirus update process used by the party;
- Review of application whitelisting used by the party;
- Review use of live operating system and software executable only from read-only media;
- Review of system hardening used by the party; or
- Other method(s) to mitigate malicious code

If a Transient Cyber Asset is not fully capable of any of the methods above, then verify the Transient Cyber Asset capabilities and the implementation of those capabilities up to (but not exceeding) the requirement.

For any method used to mitigate software vulnerabilities or malicious code as specified in 2.1 and 2.2:

- 1. Verify that the Responsible Entity determined whether any additional mitigation actions are necessary.
- 2. If any additional mitigation actions were necessary, verify that such actions were implemented prior to connecting the Transient Cyber Asset.

#### Section 3. For Removable Media:

Verify that the Responsible Entity has documented at least one plan for Removable Media that includes:

- 1. Removable Media authorization; and
- 2. malicious code mitigation.

Verify the Responsible Entity authorized, for each individual or group of Removable Media:

- 1. Users, either individually or by group or role; and
- 2. locations, either individually or by group.

Verify that the Responsible Entity has implemented the following methods to achieve the objective of mitigating the threat of introducing malicious code to high impact or medium impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated Protected Cyber Assets:

- Use method(s) to detect malicious code on Removable Media using a Cyber Asset other than a BES Cyber System or Protected Cyber Assets; and
- mitigate the threat of detected malicious code on Removable Media prior to connecting the Removable Media to a high impact or medium impact BES Cyber System or associated Protected Cyber Assets.

<b>Auditor Notes:</b>	



#### **Additional Information:**

#### Reliability Standard

The full text of CIP-010-3 may be found on the NERC Web Site (www.nerc.com) under "Program Areas & Departments", "Reliability Standards."

In addition to the Reliability Standard, there is an applicable Implementation Plan available on the NERC Web Site.

In addition to the Reliability Standard, there is background information available on the NERC Web Site.

Capitalized terms in the Reliability Standard refer to terms in the NERC Glossary, which may be found on the NERC Web Site.

#### Sampling Methodology

Sampling is essential for auditing compliance with NERC Reliability Standards since it is not always possible or practical to test 100% of either the equipment, documentation, or both, associated with the full suite of enforceable standards. The Sampling Methodology Guidelines and Criteria (see NERC website), or sample guidelines, provided by the Electric Reliability Organization help to establish a minimum sample set for monitoring and enforcement uses in audits of NERC Reliability Standards.

#### **Regulatory Language**

See FERC Order 706 See FERC Order 791

# **Revision History for RSAW**

Version	Date	Reviewers	Revision Description
DRAFT1v0 06/17/2014		Posted for Industry	New Document
		Comment	
DRAFT2v0	09/17/2014	CIP RSAW	Address comments received in response to
		Development Team	DRAFT1v0.
DRAFT3v0	12/10/2014	CIP RSAW	Revise RSAW to address new standard language.
		Development Team	Address comments received in response to
			DRAFT2v0.

