

**Assess Transmission Future Needs Standards Drafting Team
 Conference Call Notes**

June 12, 2007

1. Administrative Items

a. Introductions and Quorum

John Odom, Chair, called the session to order at 1100 EDT. Participation in the call was as follows:

Darrin Church	Tom Gentile	Bob Jones
Tom Mielnik	John Odom, Chair	Bernie Pasternack
Bob Pierce	Bob Snow	Chifong Thomas
Yury Tsimberg	Bob Williams	Bill Harm (observer)
Hari Singh (observer)	Ed Dobrowolski, NERC	

b. NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines

There were no questions on the NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines.

c. Review Meeting Agenda & Objectives

The objective of this call was to review the two tables and to come to a consensus as to their content.

A red-lined version of the table based on comments during the call was sent out to the mail server.

2. Review Steady State Table – Chifong Thomas

We should use the symbol for ‘phase’ instead of writing it out for consistency.

Footnotes will be removed in the final text.

We need to set up a numbering scheme for the events that is similar to what was used for stability.

Bernie's write-up on spares needs to be added.

Contingency descriptions should be identical in the two tables if possible.

The final tables should just be black and white.

Column headings should be duplicated at the top of each page.

Chifong Thomas, Bernie Pasternack, Yury Tsimberg, Tom Gentile, and John Odom will work on consolidating the steady state table. This work must be completed in time for the conference call on June 26.

3. Review Stability Table – Bob Jones

A question was raised as to whether the TO or the TP sets limits. The team agreed that the TP sets limits for stability but that the TO performs this function for steady state.

Tom Mielnik, Tom Gentile, and Chifong Thomas will follow up on the categorization of DC faults with experts in their respective organizations.

Do we need to include the extreme non-electric events; such as a gas pipeline explosion scenario in the table? It was agreed that this is a steady state issue but not a stability item and therefore should be addressed only in the steady state table.

Wording on 'substantial' load loss and 'widespread' areas was eventually deleted from the table.

There is an open question for the standards text as to whether small entities are to be affected by the table elements if they have already participated in a regional study.

The steady state and stability performance tables need to be made compatible to the extent possible.

Are proxies other than angular stability required?

4. Schedule Next Meeting – John Odom

- a. Monday, June 18, 2007 – Conference call and web ex from 1100 to 1600 EDT to finalize TPL-007-0 language
- b. Tuesday, June 26, 2007 from 1300 to 1600 to finalize standard
- c. Monday, July 9, 2007 from 1200 to 1600 EDT (contingency call if needed to finalize for posting)
- d. Wednesday, July 18, 2007 starting at 0800 PDT through Thursday, July 19, 2007 at 1700 PDT in San Francisco, CA, hosted by PG&E. Please be prepared to attend the entire meeting. Hotel information has been distributed. There is no block of rooms set aside at any of the hotels so you are encouraged to make your reservation early. Remember to ask for the PG&E rate.

5. Review Action Items & Project Schedule – Ed Dobrowolski

The following action items were raised during this call:

- Tom Mielnik, Tom Gentile, and Chifong Thomas will follow up on the categorization of DC faults with experts in their respective organizations.
- Chifong, Bernie, Yury, Tom G., and John will work on consolidating the steady state table. This work must be completed in time for the conference call on June 26.

6. Adjourn

John Odom, Chair, adjourned the call at 1500 EDT.