

## Conference Call Notes for Project 2006-02 Assess Transmission Future Needs SDT

June 15, 2009

### 1. Administrative Items

#### a. Introductions and Quorum

The Chair brought the call to order on Monday, June 15, 2009 at 2 p.m. EDT.  
Call participants were:

Bill Harm	Doug Hohlbaugh	Bob Jones
Brian Keel	Ron Mazur	Bob Millard, Vice Chair
John Odom, Chair	Bernie Pasternack	Bob Pierce
Chifong Thomas	Jim Useldinger	Dana Walters
Ray Kershaw, Observer	Chuck Lawrence, Observer	Charles Long, Observer
Steve Rueckert, Observer	Hari Singh, Observer	Bob Snow, FERC Observer
Curt Stepanek, Observers	Ed Dobrowolski, NERC	

#### b. NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines — Ed Dobrowolski

No questions were raised on the NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines.

#### c. Conference Call Agenda and Objectives — John Odom

The goal of the call was to address the remaining roadmap issues.

### 2. Review and Resolve Remaining Roadmap Issues

#### a. Transient Voltage Recovery Issue — Bob Jones, Tom Mielnik, and Ron Mazur

The sub-team had proposed a resolution to this issue in an e-mail dated 6/9/09 at 1525 from Bob Jones. The content of that e-mail, suggesting a new requirement R4.7, was the basis for this discussion.

Questions were raised on interfaces and overlaps in territory but those types of issues should be ferreted out in the peer review of the final results.

A major point of discussion was what would happen if one entity had a more restrictive limit than their neighbor thus causing the neighbor's Contingency to impact your System with the more restrictive limit. Who would pay for the

ultimate resolution of the problem? It was determined that this was a contractual issue and not a reliability standards issue.

Due to the way that the proposed Requirement R4.7 was worded, it was decided to promote it to a full requirement — R5. This meant that any references to requirement numbering below R4 had to be adjusted accordingly. It also means that a VRF, Measure, data retention, and VSL's have to be crafted for the new requirement.

This issue arose due to a question about header note 'I' where it was felt that a requirement had been introduced in the notes. The sub-team raised a similar comment about header note 'f'. The SDT agreed that header note 'f' was also introducing a requirement and adjusted the wording of the new Requirement R5 to accommodate both conditions. A semantic change was made to the 2 header notes to clarify this situation.

**b. Generation Tripping Ceiling — Tom Gentile, Chifong Thomas, and Bob Jones**

The sub-team proposed several changes to the roadmap document. Discussion started on the proposed new requirements — R3.6 and R4.6.

The WECC representatives wanted the term 'region' included in the requirements due to the way that WECC is currently operating. This term created a great deal of confusion and debate and the resulting discussion ran past the scheduled time for this call.

It was suggested that R4.6 was not needed, since if this issue was handled in the steady state requirements, it wasn't relevant to Stability.

**c. Regional Planning Requirements (R3.4, R4.4, and R8)**

Due to time limitations, this issue was not discussed.

**d. VRF, Time Horizon, Measure, Data Retention, and VSL — All**

- 1) Requirement R5
- 2) Transient Voltage Recovery (if needed)
- 3) Generation tripping (if needed)
- 4) Regional Planning (if needed)

Due to time limitations, these issues were not discussed.

**3. Next Steps — John Odom**

Since the issues were not all resolved and the SDT needs to resolve these issues prior to considering comments from the 3<sup>rd</sup> posting, another conference call was scheduled.

**4. Next Meetings**

- a. There will be an industry wide WebEx associated for the third posting on Tuesday, June 30, 2009 from noon to 2:30 p.m. EDT. SDT members will have a separate call-in number which will be distributed.
- b. There will be a conference call and WebEx on Thursday, July 2, 2009 from 11 a.m. to 3 p.m. EDT to clean up the issues that weren't resolved during the June 15<sup>th</sup> call. Details will be distributed.
- c. The next meeting will be from Tuesday, July 21<sup>st</sup> through Thursday, July 23<sup>rd</sup> (noon) in Akron, OH where assigned SDT members will summarize their comment responses and lead discussions on items requiring group input. Meeting logistics have been distributed.
- d. There will be a conference call and WebEx on Tuesday, August 4<sup>th</sup> from noon to 4 p.m. EDT to resolve action items from the Akron meeting.
- e. There will be a conference call and WebEx on Thursday, August 13<sup>th</sup> from noon to 4 p.m. EDT for clean-up of any and all remaining items.

#### **5. Action Items and Schedule — Ed Dobrowolski**

There were no specific action items developed during this call.

With the addition of the July 2<sup>nd</sup> conference call, this project maintains the possibility of meeting schedule which calls for the project to go to ballot late this year.

#### **6. Adjourn**

The Chair adjourned the call at 5:15 p.m. EDT.