

## Conference Call Notes Backup Facilities SDT — Project 2006-04

August 15, 2008

### 1. Administrative Items

#### a. Introductions and Quorum

The Chair brought the call to order at 1 p.m. EDT on Friday, August 15, 2008.  
Call participants were:

Tom Bowe	Sam Brattini, Chair	Blaine Dinwiddie
Charles Jenkins	Glenn Kaht	Barry Lawson
Sara McCoy	Keith Porterfield	James Vermillion
Ed Dobrowolski, NERC		

#### b. NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines — Ed Dobrowolski

No questions were raised on the NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines.

#### c. Conference Call Agenda and Objectives — Sam Brattini

The goal of the call was to attempt to reconcile the second posting material with the requests from the Standards Committee, specifically to integrate the applicability provisions of Section 4.2 into requirements.

### 2. Report on SC Conference Call — Sam Brattini

Sam and Tom had spoken with Scott Henry, SC Chair, about the impasse with NERC staff and an agenda item was added to the SC Conference Call on August 7, 2008 to address the issue. Mike represented the SDT at the SC meeting by phone. As a result of the review, the SC requested that the SDT to eliminate Section 4.2 and integrate the intent into the requirements.

### 3. Rewrite Section 4.2 as Requirements — All

Charles came up with an idea of adding a phrase to Requirements R4 and R5 to cover the intent of the deleted Section 4.2. After considerable debate as to whether the phrase also needed to be attached to the other requirements, R4 and R5 were the only ones deemed to need the addition. The wording was adjusted to accommodate other members of the SDT and the draft was accepted for submittal for posting.

The SDT also considered the suggestion by the SC that the use of headers in front of the requirements might provide some assistance in clarifying matters. The SDT did not feel that this concept added anything to the standard so the idea was not utilized in the revision.

NERC staff had questioned whether there was a need for a specific step to actually implement the plan. The SDT does not feel that another requirement was needed and that this concept was sufficiently addressed in Requirement R1.4.

**4. Next Steps — Sam Brattini**

Ed will clean up the documents to reflect the agreed upon changes and submit them to Maureen for posting.

**5. Action Items and Schedule — Ed Dobrowolski**

No action items were developed during this call.

Due to the controversy over applicability and delays in NERC staff review of the submitted documents, the project schedule has slipped 6 weeks.

**6. Next Meeting — Sam Brattini**

With the anticipation of posting the revised material in the next week, a meeting was set for Thursday, November 6, 2008 from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. CST and Friday, November 7, 2008 from 8 a.m. to noon CST in Dallas, TX. Details will be sent at a later date.

**7. Adjourn**

The Chair adjourned the call at 3 p.m. EDT.