

Meeting Notes

Project 2006-06 Reliability Coordination Standard Drafting Team

June 5, 2012
Conference Call

Administrative

1. Introductions and Chair's Remarks

Mike Hardy (chair) provided opening remarks and thanked Scott Barfield McGinnis (advisor) for summarizing the quality review feedback from the full Consideration of Comments report.

Introductions were made and those in attendance were:

Name	Company	Member or Observer
William M. Hardy, Chair	Southern Company	Member
James S. Case	Entergy Services, Inc.	Member
Al DiCaprio	PJM Interconnection, LLC	Member
Anthony P. Jankowski	WE Energies	Member
H. Steven Myers	ERCOT	Member
Robert C. Rhodes	Southwest Power Pool, Inc.	Member
Eric Senkowicz	Florida Reliability Coordinating Council	Member
Scott Barfield-McGinnis	NERC	Observer

2. Determination of Quorum

The rule for NERC Standard Drafting Team (SDT or team) states that a quorum requires two-thirds of the voting members of the SDT to be physically present. Quorum was achieved as 7 team members were present.

3. NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and Public Announcement

The advisor read the guidelines and public announcement. There were no questions.

4. Review Current Team Roster

The advisor noted there were no updates or revisions to the roster other than the previous formatting edit made by a NERC staff administrative assistant.

5. Review Meeting Agenda and Objectives

The advisor reviewed the meeting agenda and turned the meeting over to the chair.

Agenda

1. Discuss Quality Review feedback

COM-001-2 Consideration of Comments – The team gave careful consideration of all the quality review feedback and suggestions. There were approximately 25 observations. The team made minor revisions to several responses and carried those throughout the document where applicable. The team responded that “adjacent” and “neighboring” are essentially the same term. Giving consideration to quality review feedback and differing viewpoints, the team removed the statement. Some feedback concerned the objective of the standard as being “how and not “what.” The COM-001-2 standard requires the responsible entities to have Interpersonal Communication capability, which is an asset (i.e., “what”) that facilitates other standards, such as IRO-010.

There were several significant observations concerning compliance. There was a perceived gap when an entity’s capability failed under the strict construct of the language; an entity would be found non-compliant while performing other requirements like R9, R10 or R11 in remedying the failure or notifying others. In response and to address this perceived gap, the team added a clarifying exception in Requirements R1, R3, R5, R7, and R8.

Another excellent observation pertained to R11 where the Distribution Provider or Generation Operator that experiences a failure is required to notify its Transmission Operator and Balancing Authority. The team agreed this was ambiguous and revised R11 by adding a clarifying clause that identified the entity specific to the failure.

2. Consider Changes to Standard COM-001-2

- a. Added the parenthetical “(unless the Reliability Coordinator experiences a failure of its Interpersonal Communication capability in which case Requirement R10 shall apply)” to Requirement R1.
- b. Added the parenthetical “(unless the Transmission Operator experiences a failure of its Interpersonal Communication capability in which case Requirement R10 shall apply)” to Requirement R3.
- c. Added the parenthetical “(unless the Balancing Authority experiences a failure of its Interpersonal Communication capability in which case Requirement R10 shall apply)” to Requirement R5.
- d. Added the parenthetical (unless the Distribution Provider experiences a failure of its Interpersonal Communication capability in which case Requirement R11 shall apply)” to Requirement R7.
- e. Added the parenthetical (unless the Generator Operator experiences a failure of its Interpersonal Communication capability in which case Requirement R11 shall apply)” to Requirement R8.

- f. Added the clause “as identified in Requirement R7 for a Distribution Provider or Requirement R8 for a Generator Operator” in Requirement R11 to more accurately add the entity experiencing the failure and to lessen the compliance burden.

3. **Project Schedule**

The advisor reviewed the schedule and confirmed the team would be able to make the July 2012 meeting as planned. Additionally, the goal is to take all three standards to the NERC Board of Trustees in August 2012; therefore, the work on COM-001 will be compressed.

4. **Action Items – None**

5. **Future Meeting(s) – Confirmed and Posted**

WE Energies in Milwaukee, WI

Wednesday, July 18, 2012 | 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. CT

Thursday, July 19, 2012 | 8:00 a.m. to noon CT

6. **Adjourn**

The team adjourned at 5:45 p.m. ET June 5, 2012