Comment Form for 7th Draft of Standards

Project 2007-03 Real-time Operations

Please DO NOT use this form for submitting comments. Please use the [electronic form](https://www.nerc.net/nercsurvey/Survey.aspx?s=6360d29117a14312961c48838703e8d1) to submit comments on the 7th draft and successive ballot of the standards for Real-time Operations (Project 2007-03) must be submitted by April 20, 2012. If you have questions please contact Ed Dobrowolski at ed.dobrowolski@nerc.net or by telephone at (609) 947-3673.

### Background Information:

This posting represents a successive ballot for TOP-001-2, TOP-002-3, and TOP-003-2.

In the 7th posting for Project 2007-03, the Real-time Operations Standard Drafting Team (RTOSDT) has attempted to clarify the proposed changes to the TOP family of standards based on industry comments received for the 6th posting and suggestions made during the Quality Review. Changes made were:

 TOP-001-2:

* Requirement R1 – Allowed for plural Transmission Operators and deleted second instance of ‘identified’
* Requirement R6 – changed ‘the’ to ‘its’ Reliability Coordinator
* Requirement R8 – changed ‘internal area ’ to ‘internal to its Transmission Operator Area’; changed the Time Horizon to only Operations Planning
* Requirement R10 – changed ‘each’ SOL to ‘an’ SOL
* Data Retention – Changed voice recordings to 90 calendar days from three calendar months
* Revised VSLs for Requirements R1, R3, R5, and R10

TOP-002-3:

Requirement R2 - changed ‘internal area ’ to ‘internal to its Transmission Operator Area’

TOP-003-1:

* Applicability – added Distribution Provider
* Requirement R2 – added analysis functions for the Balancing Authority
* Requirement R3 – Cited the tie to Requirement R1 and made the language in Requirement R3 consistent with that in Requirement R1
* Requirement R4 - Cited the tie to Requirement R2 and made the language in Requirement R4 consistent with that in Requirement R2
* Requirement R5 – added Distribution Provider

Measures M3 and M4 – clarified the web posting item of evidence

Revised VSLs for Requirements R1, R2, R3,and R4

The Implementation Plan and effective dates for all three standards now show a twelve month compliance period for all requirements except Requirements R1, R2, R3, and R4 of TOP-003-2 which will become effective ten months from the approval date.

**You do not have to answer all questions. Enter All Comments in Simple Text Format.**

*Insert a “check” mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.*

1. The SDT made changes to TOP-001-2 in response to industry comments and the Quality Review. This includes all aspects of this standard – requirements, measures, and data retention. Do you agree with the changes the drafting team has made?

If you do not support these changes or you agree in general but feel that alternative language would be more appropriate, please provide specific suggestions in your comments.

[ ]  Yes

[ ]  No

Comments:

1. The SDT made changes to TOP-002-3 in response to industry comments and the Quality Review. This includes all aspects of this standard – requirements, measures, and data retention. Do you agree with the changes the drafting team has made?

If you do not support these changes or you agree in general but feel that alternative language would be more appropriate, please provide specific suggestions in your comments.

[ ]  Yes

[ ]  No

Comments:

1. The SDT made changes to TOP-003-2 in response to industry comments and the Quality Review. This includes all aspects of this standard – requirements, measures, and data retention. Do you agree with the changes the drafting team has made?

If you do not support these changes or you agree in general but feel that alternative language would be more appropriate, please provide specific suggestions in your comments.

[ ]  Yes

[ ]  No

Comments:

1. The SDT is suggesting the retirement of three requirements in PRC-001 since those requirements deal with data handling and can now be incorporated in the data specification concept suggested for TOP-003-2. Do you agree with the changes the drafting team has made?

If you do not support these changes or you agree in general but feel that alternative language would be more appropriate, please provide specific suggestions in your comments.

[ ]  Yes

[ ]  No

Comments:

1. The VRF, VSL, and Time Horizons are part of a non-binding poll. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the VRF, VSL, and Time Horizon assignments. If you do not support these assignments or you agree in general but feel that alternative language would be more appropriate, please provide specific suggestions in your comments.

[ ]  Yes

[ ]  No

Comments:

1. If you have any other comments on these standards that you have not already provided in response to the prior questions, please provide them here.

Comments: