

Notes

Disturbance Monitoring SDT — Project 2007-11

Tuesday December 1, 2009 | 2:00 p.m. – 4:00 p.m. EST
Dial-in Number: 866.740.1260 | Code: 6088084

Webinar Log-in: <https://cc.readytalk.com/r/kxjb58j6av3a>

1. Administrative

1.1. Roll Call

Stephanie Monzon conducted roll call. Those present are listed below:

- **Navin B. Bhatt — American Electric Power (Chair)**
- Tracy M. Lynd — Consumers Energy Co.
- James R. Detweiler — FirstEnergy Corp.
- Barry G. Goodpaster — Exelon Business Services Company
- Steven Myers — Electric Reliability Council of Texas, Inc.
- Jeffrey M. Pond — National Grid
- Jack Soehren — ITC Holdings
- Stephanie Monzon — North American Electric Reliability Corporation
- Alan D. Baker — Florida Power & Light Company
- Daniel J. Hansen — RRI Energy, Inc.
- Charles Jensen – JEA
- Susan McGill — PJM
- Larry E. Smith — Alabama Power Company
- Felix Amarh — Georgia Transmission Corporation
- Willy Haffecke — Springfield Missouri City Utilities
- Richard Ferner — WAPA

Observers:

- Anthony Jablonski – ReliabilityFirst Corporation
- Sherry Goiffon – Oncor
- Greg Bradley – APP Engineering
- Kevin Howard - WAPA
- Bob Cummings – North American Electric Reliability Corporation
- Bruce Pickett – FPL

- Charlie Childs — Ametek Power Instruments
- Ron Losh - SPP
- Danny Johnson – FERC
- Cynthia Pointer – FERC
- Kal Ayoub – FERC
- Laura Zotter – ERCOT
- Jeff Mitchell – ReliabilityFirst Corporation

2. NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines

Stephanie Monzon reviewed the NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines with the group.

3. Review of Triggering Requirement

The team reviewed the NPCC standard for ideas on how to incorporate triggering requirements into the standard. In general the team decided that the triggering requirement would be a combination of establishing a requirement to have and use a methodology and to include specifics on what events should trigger for DDR records. As a result, requirement R13 was merged into the new triggering requirement R7 and the requirement for the length of record in Requirement R13 was preserved as the only remaining requirement in R13. This requirement applies to FRs and DDRs where continuous recording is not used for DDRs.

At the November meeting Sherry brought up a concern regarding the triggering requirement. The team decided that it would review her proposal on the next conference call.

The team reviewed requirement R7 and decided to keep the exception “except for continuous recorders” in part 7.3 of requirement R7. Some team members felt that the exception is implicit in part 7.3 but the majority of the team members on the phone thought that it would be better to spell out the exception in the requirement.

4. Question 8 – Response to Comments

The team will continue reviewing responses to comments for Question 8 beginning at Sierra Pacific (where the group left off on Oct. 20th).

The team reviewed responses to comments through Beckwith. The team will pick up at this response noting that it will need to be modified to reflect the changes in the triggering requirement the team made in Michigan.

5. Action Items

Action Items	Status:	Assigned To:
The group must resolve how to develop requirements for maintenance and testing of disturbance monitoring equipment (DME). Possible options include, adding	In Progress This issue will be addressed in the comment form to solicit	All

Action Items	Status:	Assigned To:
<p>maintenance and testing requirements to the draft PRC-002 standard, asking the Standards Committee to transfer the maintenance and testing requirements to the standard drafting team (SDT) for Project 2007-17 Protection System Maintenance and Testing, or some other solution. Ultimately, the maintenance and testing requirements for DME should “look and feel” like the maintenance and testing requirements developed by the SDT for Project 2007-17 Protection System Maintenance and Testing.</p>	<p>industry feedback on how to proceed.</p> <p>Discussed at the 12/08/08 call:</p> <p>The team reviewed the status of the issue clarifying that the team was going to post the standard and solicit industry feedback on omitting these requirements. The team would use this feedback to propose an alternate to the SC or NERC staff – possibly create a supplemental to SAR to the Maintenance project.</p> <p>5/6/09 –</p> <p>Bob Cummings will take a proposal to the June SC meeting that the requirements for maintenance and testing be removed from Project 2007-11 and be included elsewhere (PRC-005). The team has reviewed an initial proposal of requirements for maintenance and testing that can be used once the team has direction regarding where to include these requirements.</p>	
<p>The team reviewed the suggestion made by WECC to move R6 from PRC-018-1 into the proposed standard. The team decided that this was a feasible approach to addressing the maintenance and testing requirements. Richard suggested that we should reword Requirement R6. Richard volunteered to reword for review by the team.</p>	<p>Created 4/1</p> <p>5/6/09-</p> <p>Richard proposed requirements (5/3 e-mail to the team) that the team reviewed on 5/6/09. See action item above regarding maintenance and testing requirements.</p>	Richard F.

6. Next Steps

7. 2009 Schedule

Date and Time	Location	Comments
February 18, 2009	Conference Call	To discuss the technical paper
March 2, 2009	Conference Call	Webinar presenters and NERC staff required on this call to prep for the webinar

March 12, 2009 11 a.m.–12:30 p.m. EST	Industry Webinar	Need to confirm date with team and speakers
March 30, 2009 — 1–5 p.m. EST March 31, 2009 — 8 a.m.–5 p.m. EST April 1, 2009 — 8 a.m.–5 p.m. EST	FRCC Offices Tampa, FL	Confirmed by Chuck.
April 27, 2009	Conference Call	To identify the comments that require discussion with the entire team during our May 5-6 meeting.
May 5, 2009 – 8-5 PM May 6, 2009 – 8-5 PM	FPL Juno Beach	Confirmed
June 3, 2009 – 1-4 PM EST	Conference Call	The team decided to conduct a conference call on June 3 1-4 PM EST
July 13, 2009 – 9 -11:30 PM EST	Conference Call	
August 18-20 2009	Two and a half day meetings	WAPA EPTC
August 26, 2009 2-4pm eastern	Conference Call and WebEx	Questions 4-5
August 28, 2009 10 am- Noon eastern	Conference Call and WebEx	Question 5
September 9, 2009 10 am- Noon eastern	Conference Call and WebEx	FERC Conference Call and WebEx
September 24, 2009 1-3 pm eastern	Conference Call and WebEx	Questions 7-8 (still need to schedule a call to address 7-8) Question 9
September 29, 2009 1-4pm eastern	Conference Call and WebEx	Question 9 overflow
October 7, 2009 1-3 pm eastern	Conference Call and WebEx	Question 9 overflow – completed first pass Questions 7 (SOE) – completed through BPA
October 14, 2009	Conference Call	Questions 7 (SOE) - overflow

1-3 pm eastern	and WebEx	
October 20, 2009 1-3 pm eastern	Conference Call and WebEx	Question 8 – NYISO (Number of cycles to create record) Questions 10, 13, 18 Agenda for November Meeting - Maintenance and Testing Questions 11-12 Questions 16-17
November 3-4, 2009 8-5 pm (both days)	In Person Meeting	ITC – Detroit, Michigan - Maintenance and testing - NPCC DME standard
November 19, 2009 2-4 pm eastern	web-conference	- Cancelled
December 1, 2009 2-4 pm eastern	web-conference	Review triggering requirement (R7) specifically review Sherry's overlap proposal Question 8 – Sierra Pacific
December 17, 2009 2-4 pm eastern	web-conference	Question 8 – Beckwith Questions 10, 13, 18 Questions 11-12 Questions 16-17
January 11, 2010 2-4 pm eastern	web-conference	Questions 10, 13, 18 Questions 11-12 Questions 16-17
February 2 -3, 2009 8-5 pm (both days)	In Person Meeting	Juno, FL / FRCC Tampa (Alan will check on locations)

8. **Other**

9. **Adjourn**

Attachment 1 Antitrust Guidelines

I. General

It is NERC's policy and practice to obey the antitrust laws and to avoid all conduct that unreasonably restrains competition. This policy requires the avoidance of any conduct that violates, or that might appear to violate, the antitrust laws. Among other things, the antitrust laws forbid any agreement between or among competitors regarding prices, availability of service, product design, terms of sale, division of markets, allocation of customers or any other activity that unreasonably restrains competition.

It is the responsibility of every NERC participant and employee who may in any way affect NERC's compliance with the antitrust laws to carry out this commitment.