



## Resource Adequacy Assessments SDT Conference Call

Tuesday, December 12, 2006

### Notes

#### 1. Administrative Items

##### a. Introductions and Quorum

The call was brought to order by the chair, Mary Johannis, at 1230. Mary provided a brief history of the project to date since it has been approximately six months since the last meeting. Members in attendance were as follows:

Yuri Fishman (for Curt Dahl)	Phil Fedora (Vice Chair)	Andrew Fusco
Bill Head	Mary Johannis (Chair)	Richard Kosch
Garey Rozier	Steve Scroggs	San Waters
Bob Williams	Ed Dobrowolski (NERC)	Dan Huffman

##### b. NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines

The NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines were reviewed.

##### c. Approve Meeting Agenda

Due to the recent flurry of e-mails, the RRSWG comments were moved up on the agenda to be discussed first. Response to comments was placed next and then finally the review of the SAR itself.

#### 2. Drafting Team Actions

##### a. Review RRSWG comments

Ed Dobrowolski explained NERC's interpretation of the use of the ~~new~~ terms, Regional Reliability Organization (RRO) and Regional Entity (RE), to the group. This started discussion on the concept of applicability of ERO Standards for an RRO or RE. As a result, the group agreed to change the SAR and response wording from RRO to 'regional reliability assurance functional entity' to reflect the NERC Reliability Functional Model definition.

##### b. Review draft industry comments and responses

The group had reviewed the responses to industry comments at previous sessions in March and May. Therefore, a detailed review was not necessary. Some minor changes were determined and agreed upon and the responses issue was closed.

**c. Review draft V3 of the RAA SAR**

Based on the wording changes detailed above, some small changes were made to the SAR. These changes were made directly to the SAR document as displayed via Web Ex. It was explained that care was taken to accommodate concerns expressed by the PC in drafting these responses and the subsequent changes to the SAR.

**3. Next Steps**

**a. Finalize V3 of RAA SAR**

The SAR was finalized during the call and was sent out to the group members for a final approval cycle prior to being declared ready for posting. The group expressed the opinion that it may be best to delay posting until the NERC BOT has approved the revised NERC Reliability Functional Model (expected in February 2007) and the SAR forms are updated to reflect the changes in the model.

**b. Finalize responses to industry comments**

Mary agreed to cut and paste Phil's responses to questions 1 & 3 into the official response form and to distribute it to the group.

**c. Schedule next meeting/conference call**

At this point, there is no need seen for another meeting or conference call. If there are comments received from the next posting of the SAR, a meeting or call will be scheduled at that time.

**4. Adjourn**

The chair adjourned the conference call at 1415.