

## Meeting Notes

### Project 2010-11 TPL Table 1, Footnote 'b'

January 18, 2013

Conference Call

#### Administrative

##### 1. Introductions

The meeting was brought to order by the Chair, John Odom at 11:00 a.m. ET on January 18, 2013. Participants were introduced and those in attendance were:

Name	Company	Member/ Observer
John Odom, Chair	FRCC	Member
Doug Hohlbaugh, Vice Chair	First Energy	Member
Ed Dobrowolski	NERC	Member
Bill Harm	PJM	Member
Bob Jones	Southern	Member
Ron Mazur	Manitoba Hydro	Member
Tom Mielnik	Mid-American	Member
Bernie Pasternack	Transmission Strategies	Member
Bob Pierce	Duke	Member
Eugene Blick	FERC	Observer
Ray Kershaw	ITC	Observer
Ruth Kloecker	ITC	Observer
Check Lawrence	ATC	Observer

Name	Company	Member/ Observer
Charles Long	Entergy	Observer
Andres Lopez	FERC	Observer
Larisa Loyferman	Center Point	Observer
Chifong Thomas	Bright Source	Observer

## 2. Determination of Quorum

The rule for NERC Standard Drafting Teams (SDT) states that a quorum requires two-thirds of the voting members of the SDT to be physically present. Quorum was achieved.

## 3. NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and Public Announcement

NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and public announcement were delivered.

## 4. Roster Updates

The team reviewed the team roster and confirmed that it was accurate and up to date.

## Agenda

### 1. Review of Meeting Agenda and Objectives

An item was added to the agenda to address the formal appeal by the Province of Ontario.

The objective of the call was to finalize the draft responses to comments and any changes to the standards to facilitate submittal of the documents for posting.

### 2. Review Voting Results – John Odom

The successive ballot period ended on January 11, 2013. Quorum was achieved but the motion failed to pass as it achieved only 65.77% approval.

### 3. Review Status of Ontario Appeal and Standards Committee Decision – John Odom

The Province of Ontario filed an official Level 1 appeal with the ERO based on the belief that the SDT provided an incorrect response in the previous consideration of comments on a question regarding jurisdictional issues in Canadian provinces. The Standards Committee accepted the appeal and authorized a language change to the standards to accommodate the concerns.

#### 4. Review Draft Comment Responses – John Odom

The SDT reviewed the draft responses and provided corrections as needed. When the review was finalized, the SDT accepted the document and authorized it for posting.

#### 5. Review Proposed Footnote Changes

The SDT accepted the changes necessary to accommodate the concerns expressed by the Province of Ontario.

The SDT deleted the duplicative wording in Section III regarding the 75 MW limit. This was done to avoid possible confusion.

The SDT made one grammatical correction in Section III, changing ‘does’ to ‘do’.

The SDT corrected one typo in the main body of footnote ‘b’ that was caused by an incorrect move from redline to clean in the previous posting.

#### 6. Next Steps

The change authorized by the Standards Committee regarding the appeal by the Province of Ontario represents a substantive change in the language of the standards. Normally, this would prevent the standards from proceeding to a recirculation ballot. However, due to the pressing deadline for this project, there is no time available to post another successive ballot. The authorized change does not impact the requirements, or the intent of the SDT, with regard to obligations for US registered entities. Therefore, the Standards Committee voted to authorize a deviation from the standards process and to allow the project to move forward to a recirculation ballot. The posting announcement will explain this to the industry.

As the SDT made no other substantive changes to the standards, the decision was made to submit the project documents with the recommendation to proceed to recirculation. The SDT authorized Ed Dobrowolski to prepare the necessary documents and to submit them to NERC staff for posting as quickly as possible. This should allow for the completion of the recirculation ballot prior to the February Board of Trustees meeting which is the deadline previously given to the SDT by the Standards Committee.

#### 7. Schedule

The project schedule called for completion of the recirculation ballot prior to the February 2013 Board of Trustees meeting. With the submittal authorized during this conference call, the project will remain on schedule.

#### 8. Future Meetings

There are no meetings scheduled at this time. Any future meetings will be dependent on the outcome of the recirculation ballot and subsequent actions of the Board of Trustees.

**9. Action Item Review**

Other than the authorization for Ed Dobrowolski to submit the documents to NERC staff, no additional action items were developed during this conference call.

**10. Adjourn**

The chair adjourned the call at 3:45 p.m. ET.