

Meeting Notes

Project 2013-02 Paragraph 81

August 2, 2012

Conference Call

Administrative

1. Introductions

The meeting was brought to order by the team chair, Brian Murphy at 1:30 p.m. ET on Thursday, August 2, 2012. Mr. Murphy asked those on the conference call to introduce themselves. The call participants were:

Name	Company	Member/ Observer
Brian J. Murphy	NextEra Energy, Inc.	Member
Guy Zito	Northeast Power Coordinating Council	Member
Michael Brytowski	Great River Energy	Member
Sam Ciccone	FirstEnergy Corporation	Member
Doug Johnson	American Transmission Company, LLC	Member
David Kiguel	Hydro One Networks, Inc.	Member
Scott Kinney	Avista Corporation	Member
Mark Ladrow	SERC Reliability Corporation	Member
Stephanie Monzon	PJM Interconnection, LLC	Member
Stephen R. Pelcher	South Carolina Public Service Authority (Santee Cooper)	Member
Mark A. Pratt	Southern Company	Member

Name	Company	Member/ Observer
Frank Vick	Texas Reliability Entity, Inc.	Member
Mary Ann Zehr	Tri-State Generation and Transmission Association, Inc.	Member
Kristin Iwanechko	North American Electric Reliability Corporation	Member
Stacey Tyrewala	North American Electric Reliability Corporation	Observer
Patti Metro	National Rural Electric Cooperative Association	Observer
Scott Miller	MEAG Power	Observer
Joe Tarantino	Sacramento Municipal Utility District	Observer

2. NERC Antitrust Guidelines

Kristin Iwanechko reviewed the NERC Antitrust Guidelines. No questions raised by those on the call.

Agenda

1. Report on Meeting with FERC Staff

- a. Brian Murphy, Guy Zito, Steve Pelcher, Patti Metro, Herb Schrayshuen (NERC), and Holly Hawkins (NERC), among others, met with members of FERC staff on the morning of August 2, 2012 to discuss the Paragraph 81 efforts. Approximately eight members of FERC staff were in attendance. Mr. Murphy, Ms. Metro, Mr. Zito, and Mr. Pelcher reported on the earlier meeting.
- b. The meeting with FERC Staff was perceived to be very positive. FERC staff appeared to have read the materials that were shared with them in advance of the meeting and had thoughtful questions and a few suggestions on how to improve the “Criteria” descriptions. FERC staff appeared impressed with the industry-wide effort and cooperation between NERC, Regions and Trades. FERC Staff suggested that additional meetings would be useful as the project moves forward.

- c. The major take away from the meeting with FERC Staff was that the technical justifications would need to be very clear in explaining why the proposed requirements should be retired. FERC Staff wants to be sure that no gaps are created and defense in depth is maintained. FERC staff would also like to better understand what the term “little impact” means as that term is used in the draft SAR.

2. Possible Dates for Face-to-Face Meetings

- a. Consensus was reached that the first face-to-face meeting of the drafting team should be on September 12-13, 2012. The primary purpose of that meeting would be to compile agreed upon responses to the comments submitted during the comment period on the draft Standard Authorization Request (SAR). Although there was consensus on the dates of this initial face-to-face meeting, the venue for the meeting was left undecided. Although a couple participants noted that the desirability of having the meeting at NERC’s Atlanta office, space limitations at NERC’s Atlanta office may require that the initial face-to-face meeting of the drafting team be held at NERC’s Washington, DC office.
- b. A suggestion was made to create four sub-teams and assign each sub-team sets of issues. The sub-teams would then meet prior to the September 2012 face-to-face meeting to review comments submitted in response to the draft SAR, draft proposed summary responses to their assigned issues and identify any unresolved issues to be discussed at that meeting.

3. Date for Initial Webinar

- a. There is a webinar is scheduled for August 21, 2012, 2:00–3:00 p.m. ET. An announcement will be sent out with the registration link after the draft SAR is posted.

4. Work Plan

- a. Prior to the August 2, 2012 meeting, Mr. Murphy had circulated a draft work plan to the team looking for volunteers to expand upon the current technical justifications to show there is no reliability gap caused by retiring the requirement. The work plan also includes assignments for other tasks that will need to be completed. During the discussion of the work plan, it was observed by a couple participants about the need to improve upon the justification for the retirement of standards.

5. Other Issues

- a. Ms. Iwanechko notified the team that the draft SAR would be posted for comment possibly by the end of the day on August 2, 2012, but no later than Friday, August 3, 2012. Either way, the comment period would end on September 4, 2012.
- b. Communications – The industry trade associations who support this project, the regional entities, and NERC would like to “get the word out” regarding the Paragraph 81 project. Ms. Iwanechko is maintaining a slide presentation that would be available to anyone that has an opportunity at various venues to put on a presentation concerning the Paragraph 81 project. A

communication plan has been created and is being updated to identify these venues along with the proposed presenters.

- c. There were some procedural questions regarding whether or not the draft SAR would need to be re-posted for comment if commenters identify additional requirements to be included in Phase 1 of the project and the standard drafting team agrees with the commenters' suggestion. Mr. Murphy and Mr. Zito will discuss this issue with NERC Staff and report back to the team.

6. **Future meeting(s)**

There is a meeting scheduled for September 12-13, 2012. The location is to be determined.

7. **Adjourn**

The meeting was adjourned at 2:16 p.m. ET on August 2, 2012.