NERC
NORTH AMERICAN ELECTRIC RELIABILITY CORPORATION

Mapping Document for FAC-010-3

Project 2015-09 Establish and Communicate System Operating Limits

The Project 2015-09 standard drafting team (SDT) is proposing the retirement of the NERC FAC-010-3 Reliability Standard. The SDT further proposes a new paradigm regarding the coordination of the Planning Assessment (TPL-001-4) with the establishment of System Operating Limits (SOLs) used in operations. Along with the retirement of FAC-010-3, this new paradigm consists of ~~a new FAC-015-1 Reliability Standard and~~ revisions to the existing FAC-011-3 and FAC-014-2 Reliability Standards. The SDT's ~~proposal for a new FAC-015-1 Reliability Standard, along with the~~ proposed revisions contained in FAC-011-4 and FAC-014-3, represent an improvement for planning and operations to better coordinate analysis input assumptions and System performance criteria to address the reliability issues that are ultimately faced in Real-time operations.

The proposed construct does not make use of an SOL ~~M~~methodology applicable to the planning horizon as required by the currently-effective FAC-010-3 due to its overall redundancy with TPL-001-4. However, FAC-01~~5~~4-~~1~~3, Requirement~~s~~ ~~R1~~ R7 ~~– R3 ensure~~is intended to provide a mechanism for ~~that~~ Planning Assessments performed for the Near-Term Transmission Planning Horizon, are bounded by modeling data and performance criteria that are equally limiting or more limiting than those established in accordance with the Reliability Coordinator's (RC's) SOL ~~M~~methodology. FAC-~~015~~014-~~1~~3, Requirement~~s~~ R~~1 – R3~~7 ~~respectively~~ addresses Facility Ratings, System steady state voltage limits, and stability performance criteria used in the development of Planning Assessments. ~~These~~ Therefore, this requirement~~s~~ focuses on the three components of SOLs used in operations and facilitates continuity between operations and planning. Implementing the process~~es~~ required in FAC-~~015~~014-~~1~~3 Requirement~~s~~ R~~1 – R3~~7 ensures Planning Coordinators (PC) and Transmission Planners (TP) use, or provide a technical rationale why they don't use ~~-~~Facility Ratings, System steady-state voltage limits, and stability performance criteria that are equally limiting or more limiting than the Facility Ratings, System Voltage Limits, and stability performance criteria established in accordance with the Reliability Coordinator's SOL ~~M~~methodology.

FAC-~~015~~014-~~1~~3, Requirement ~~R4~~ R8 requires PCs and TPs to communicate ~~any~~ pertinent information on Corrective Action Plans (CAP) developed to address any instability~~, Cascading or uncontrolled separation, along with key supporting information,~~ identified in ~~the~~ Planning Assessments of the Near-Term Transmission Planning Horizon to the RC~~s~~ and to impacted Transmission Operators (TOPs). This information may be useful to RCs and TOPs in the establishment of stability limits and IROLs that will ultimately be used in Real-time operations.

By implementing Requirements ~~R1~~ R7 ~~–~~and R~~4~~8 of FAC-01~~4~~4-3~~5~~, Facility Ratings, System steady-state voltage limits and stability criteria used in the development of the Planning Assessment of the Near-Term Transmission Planning Horizon are effectively bounded by the Facility Ratings, System Voltage Limits, and stability performance criteria define and established in accordance with the RC's SOL ~~M~~methodology (FAC-011-4 ~~& FAC-014-3~~). Furthermore, potentially critical stability information is communicated by planners to operators resulting ~~. The result is~~ an improvement in reliability by ~~ensuring~~ increasing continuity between planning and operations not currently provided for in the existing body of NERC Reliability Standards.

The remainder of this document provides a mapping of the existing requirements in FAC-010-3 to the proposed action by the SDT. For easier reference applicable information from Table 1 of TPL-001-4 is included below. References to notes a – j and Planning Events P0 – P7 will be included in the mapping table where appropriate.

TPL-001-4 Table 1 (steady state & stability performance criteria notes for planning events) Steady State & Stability:

a. The System shall remain stable. Cascading and uncontrolled islanding shall not occur.

b. Consequential Load Loss as well as generation loss is acceptable as a consequence of any event excluding P0.

c. Simulate the removal of all elements that Protection Systems and other controls are expected to automatically disconnect for each event.

d. Simulate Normal Clearing unless otherwise specified.

e. Planned System adjustments such as Transmission configuration changes and re-dispatch of generation are allowed if such adjustments are executable within the time duration applicable to the Facility Ratings.

Steady State Only:

f. Applicable Facility Ratings shall not be exceeded.

g. System steady state voltages and post-Contingency voltage deviations shall be within acceptable limits as established by the Planning Coordinator and the Transmission Planner.

h. Planning event P0 is applicable to steady state only.

i. The response of voltage sensitive Load that is disconnected from the System by end-user equipment associated with an event shall not be used to meet steady state performance requirements.

Stability Only:

j. Transient voltage response shall be within acceptable limits established by the Planning Coordinator and the Transmission Planner.

Category P0 No Contingency
(Initial Condition - Normal System)

Category P1 Single Contingency
(Initial Condition - Normal System)
Loss of one of the following:

1. Generator (3 Ø fault)
2. Transmission Circuit (3 Ø fault)
3. Transformer (3 Ø fault)
4. Shunt Device (3 Ø fault)
5. Single Pole of DC line (SLG fault)

Category P2 Single Contingency
(Initial Condition - Normal System)

1. Opening of a line section w/o a fault
2. Bus Section Fault (SLG fault)
3. Internal Breaker Fault (non-Bus-tie Breaker) (SLG fault)
4. Internal Breaker Fault (Bus-tie Breaker) (SLG fault)

Category P3 Multiple Contingency
(Initial Condition - Loss of generator unit followed by System adjustments)
Loss of one of the following:

1. Generator (3 Ø fault)
2. Transmission Circuit (3 Ø fault)
3. Transformer (3 Ø fault)
4. Shunt Device (3 Ø fault)
5. Single Pole of DC line (SLG fault)

Category P4 Multiple Contingency
(Initial Condition - Normal System)

1. Generator (SLG fault)
2. Transmission Circuit (SLG fault)
3. Transformer (SLG fault)
4. Shunt Device (SLG fault)
5. Bus Section (SLG fault)
6. Loss of multiple elements caused by a stuck breaker (Bus-tie Breaker) attempting to clear a Fault on the associated bus

Category P5 Multiple Contingency
(Initial Condition - Normal System)
Delayed Fault Clearing due to the failure of a non-redundant relay protecting the Faulted element to operate as designed, for one of the following:
Generator (SLG fault)

1. Transmission Circuit (SLG fault)
2. Transformer (SLG fault)
3. Shunt Device (SLG fault)
4. Bus Section (SLG fault)

Category P6 Multiple Contingency
(Initial Condition - Loss of one of the following followed by System adjustments.

1. Transmission Circuit
2. Transformer
3. Shunt Device
4. Single Pole of DC line)

Loss of one of the following:

1. Transmission Circuit (3 Ø fault)
2. Transformer (3 Ø fault)
3. Shunt Device (3 Ø fault)
4. Single Pole of DC line (SLG fault)

Category P7 Multiple Contingency
(Initial Condition - Normal System)
The loss of:

- Any two adjacent (vertically or horizontally) circuits on common structure (SLG fault)
- Loss of a bipolar DC line (SLG fault)

Standard: FAC-010-3 — System Operating Limits Methodology for the Planning Horizon			
Requirement in Approved Standard		**Translation to New Standard or Other Action**	**Description and Change Justification**
R1.	The Planning Authority shall have a documented SOL ~~M~~methodology for use in developing SOLs within its Planning Authority Area. This SOL ~~M~~methodology shall:	**FAC-010-3, Requirement R1 is addressed by:** 1. TPL-001-4, Requirements R1, R5, and R6 2. MOD-032-1, Requirement R2 3. FAC-008-3 Requirements R2 and R3 **TPL-001-4, Requirement R1:** **R1.** Each Transmission Planner and Planning Coordinator shall maintain System models within its respective area for performing the studies needed to complete its Planning Assessment. The models shall use data consistent with that provided in accordance with the MOD-010 and MOD-012 standards, supplemented by other sources as needed, including items represented in the Corrective Action Plan, and shall represent projected System conditions. This establishes Category P0 as the normal System condition in Table 1. **R1.1** System models shall represent: **R1.1.1.** Existing Facilities **R1.1.2.** Known outage(s) of generation or Transmission	SOLs developed by the PC and TP for use in the planning horizon are addressed in other standards as described below. SOLs used in the Operations Planning, Same-day Operations, and Real-time Operations time horizons are developed in accordance with the RC's methodology as specified in FAC-011-4. The determination of Facility Ratings, System steady-state voltage limits, and stability performance criteria for use in the Long-term Planning time horizon are addressed as follows. It is important to note the new FAC-~~015~~014-~~1~~3 Requirement R7 Reliability Standard bounds the following items as stated in the introduction of this document. **Facility Ratings** PCs and TPs are required, by TPL-001-4 Requirement R1, to maintain System models and to use data consistent with that which has been provided in accordance with MOD-032-1 (which supersedes the MOD-010 and MOD-012 standards). Facility Ratings are included in this data. These Facility Ratings: • Are determined in accordance with a Generator Owner's (GOs) or TO's Facility Ratings Methodology as required by FAC-008-3 R2 & R3 and

Standard: FAC-010-3 — System Operating Limits Methodology for the Planning Horizon		
Requirement in Approved Standard	**Translation to New Standard or Other Action**	**Description and Change Justification**
	Facility(ies) with a duration of at least six months. **R1.1.3.** New planned Facilities and changes to existing Facilities **R1.1.4.** Real and reactive Load forecasts **R1.1.5.** Known commitments for Firm Transmission Service and Interchange **R1.1.6.** Resources (supply or demand side) required for Load **TPL-001-4, Requirement R5:** **R5.** Each Transmission Planner and Planning Coordinator shall have criteria for acceptable System steady state voltage limits, post-Contingency voltage deviations, and the transient voltage response for its System. For transient voltage response, the criteria shall at a minimum, specify a low voltage level and a maximum length of time that transient voltages may remain below that level. **TPL-001-4, Requirement R6:** **R6.** Each Transmission Planner and Planning Coordinator shall define and document,	• Are provided to the PC and TP by the Facility Owner as required by MOD-032-1 R2. **System Steady-State Voltage Limits** TPL-001-4 R5 requires the TP and PC to have criteria for acceptable System steady state voltage limits. These limits are used in the Planning Assessments. **Transient and Voltage Stability Performance Criteria** TPL-001-4 Requirement R6 requires the TP and PC to have documented criteria to identify system conditions such as Cascading, voltage instability, or uncontrolled islanding. This criteria is applied when performing Planning Assessments to identify instances of Cascading, voltage instability, or uncontrolled islanding.

Standard: FAC-010-3 — System Operating Limits Methodology for the Planning Horizon		
Requirement in Approved Standard	**Translation to New Standard or Other Action**	**Description and Change Justification**
	within their Planning Assessment, the criteria or methodology used in the analysis to identify System instability for conditions such as Cascading, voltage instability, or uncontrolled islanding. **MOD-032-1, Requirement R2:** **R2.** Each Balancing Authority, Generator Owner, Load Serving Entity, Resource Planner, Transmission Owner, and Transmission Service Provider shall provide steady-state, dynamics, and short circuit modeling data to its Transmission Planner(s) and Planning Coordinator(s) according to the data requirements and reporting procedures developed by its Planning Coordinator and Transmission Planner in Requirement R1. For data that has not changed since the last submission, a written confirmation that the data has not changed is sufficient. **FAC-008-3, Requirement R2:** **R2.** Each Generator Owner shall have a documented methodology for determining Facility Ratings (Facility Ratings methodology) of its solely and jointly owned equipment connected between the location specified in R1 and the point of	

Standard: FAC-010-3 — System Operating Limits Methodology for the Planning Horizon			
Requirement in Approved Standard		**Translation to New Standard or Other Action**	**Description and Change Justification**
		interconnection with the Transmission Owner that contains all of the following… **FAC-008-3, Requirement R3:** **R3.** Each Transmission Owner shall have a documented methodology for determining Facility Ratings (Facility Ratings methodology) of its solely and jointly owned Facilities (except for those generating unit Facilities addressed in R1 and R2) that contains all of the following…	
R1.1.	Be applicable for developing SOLs used in the planning horizon.		The proposed construct as described in the document introduction does not make use of an SOL ~~M~~methodology applicable to the planning horizon or the development of SOLs in accordance with the PC's SOL ~~M~~methodology. The requirements from TPL-001-4, MOD-032-1, and FAC-008-3 discussed above are applicable to the Long-term Planning time horizon and supersede the need for developing planning horizon SOLs.
R1.2.	State that SOLs shall not exceed associated Facility Ratings.	**TPL-001-4 Table1:** **Note: 'f'**	The proposed construct as described in the document introduction does not make use of an SOL ~~M~~methodology applicable to the planning horizon or the development of SOLs in accordance with the PC's SOL ~~M~~methodology. TPL-001-4 is constructed such that a Corrective Action Plan is developed to address those conditions where Facility Ratings are forecasted

Standard: FAC-010-3 — System Operating Limits Methodology for the Planning Horizon			
Requirement in Approved Standard		**Translation to New Standard or Other Action**	**Description and Change Justification**
			to be exceeded in response to a planning event. The implementation of the Corrective Action Plan ensures the System is planned so there are no exceedances of Facility Ratings.
R1.3.	Include a description of how to identify the subset of SOLs that qualify as IROLs.	**TPL-001-4, Requirement R6:** **R6.** Each Transmission Planner and Planning Coordinator shall define and document, within their Planning Assessment, the criteria or methodology used in the analysis to identify System instability for conditions such as Cascading, voltage instability, or uncontrolled islanding.	The proposed construct as described in the document introduction does not make use of an SOL ~~M~~methodology applicable to the planning horizon or the development of IROLs in accordance with the PC's SOL ~~M~~methodology. In the proposed construct, PCs and TPs develop Planning Assessments effectively bound by the RC's SOL methodology. These Planning Assessments then identify instances of instability, Cascading, or uncontrolled separation per the criteria developed in TPL-001-4 and communicate those instances to the Reliability Coordinator via ~~FAC-015-1, Requirement R4. IROLs are established by the RC as required by FAC-014-3.~~the distribution of the Planning Assessments (in accordance with IRO-017-1 Requirement R3) TPL-001-4, Requirement R6 requires PC and TPs to document criteria or a methodology for use in identifying Cascading, voltage instability, or uncontrolled islanding in the analysis conducted for the annual Planning Assessment. This criterion addresses the conditions described in the definition for Interconnection Reliability

			Operating Limit (IROL).
R2.	The Planning Authority's SOL ~~M~~methodology shall include a requirement that SOLs provide BES	**TPL-001-4 Table 1**	The proposed construct as described in the document introduction does not make use of an SOL ~~M~~methodology applicable to the planning

Standard: FAC-010-3 — System Operating Limits Methodology for the Planning Horizon			
Requirement in Approved Standard		**Translation to New Standard or Other Action**	**Description and Change Justification**
	performance consistent with the following:		horizon. The SDT proposes retiring Requirement R2 and its subparts due to redundancy with TPL-001-4 performance requirements contained in Table 1 notes a – j. The TPL-001-4 criteria provide the performance criteria for studies within the planning horizon that serve as the basis of the annual Planning Assessment the standard requires the PC and TP produce.
R2.1.	In the pre-contingency state and with all Facilities in service, the BES shall demonstrate transient, dynamic and voltage stability; all Facilities shall be within their Facility Ratings and within their thermal, voltage and stability limits. In the determination of SOLs, the BES condition used shall reflect expected system conditions and shall reflect changes to system topology such as Facility outages.	**TPL-001-4 Table1:** **Notes: 'a', 'f', 'g'** **TPL-001-4, Requirement R1:** **R1.** (refer to Requirement R1 section above)	Pre-contingency (Category P0) Bulk Electric System (BES) planned performance is addressed by TPL-001-4 Table 1 with notes a, f, and g specifying the applicable performance criteria. BES planned performance is based on expected system conditions and changes to system topology such as Facility outages as specified in TPL-001-4 Requirement R1.
R2.2.	Following the single Contingencies[1] identified in	**TPL-001-4 Table1:** **Notes: 'a', 'f', 'g'**	Single contingency (Categories P1 & P2) BES planned performance is addressed by TPL-001-4

[1] The Contingencies identified in R2.2.1 through R2.2.3 are the minimum contingencies that must be studied but are not necessarily the only Contingencies that should be studied.

Standard: FAC-010-3 — System Operating Limits Methodology for the Planning Horizon			
Requirement in Approved Standard		**Translation to New Standard or Other Action**	**Description and Change Justification**
	Requirement 2.2.1 through Requirement 2.2.3, the system shall demonstrate transient, dynamic and voltage stability; all Facilities shall be operating within their Facility Ratings and within their thermal, voltage and stability limits; and Cascading or uncontrolled separation shall not occur.		Table 1 with notes a through j specifying the applicable performance criteria.
R2.2.1.	Single line to ground or three-phase Fault (whichever is more severe), with Normal Clearing, on any Faulted generator, line, transformer, or shunt device.	**TPL-001-4 Table1:** **Note: 'd'** **TPL-001-4 Table 1:** Categories P1 & P2 Single Contingency Events **TPL-001-4 Table 1:** **Footnote 2.** Unless specified otherwise, simulate Normal Clearing of faults. Single line to ground (SLG) or three-phase (3Ø) are the fault types that must be evaluated in Stability simulations for the event described. A 3Ø or a double line to ground fault study indicating the criteria are being met is sufficient evidence that a SLG condition would also meet the criteria.	

Standard: FAC-010-3 — System Operating Limits Methodology for the Planning Horizon			
Requirement in Approved Standard		**Translation to New Standard or Other Action**	**Description and Change Justification**
R2.2.2.	Loss of any generator, line, transformer, or shunt device without a Fault.	**TPL-001-4 Table1:** Categories P1 & P2 Single Contingency Events	
R2.2.3.	Single pole block, with Normal Clearing, in a monopolar or bipolar high voltage direct current system.	**TPL-001-4 Table1:** Categories P1 & P2 Single Contingency Events	
R2.3.	Starting with all Facilities in service, the system's response to a single Contingency, may include any of the following:	**TPL-001-4 Table 1**	Allowable actions for BES planned performance in response to single contingencies are addressed in approved TPL-001-4 Table 1, including Consequential Load Loss and System Reconfiguration.
R2.3.1.	Planned or controlled interruption of electric supply to radial customers or some local network customers connected to or supplied by the Faulted Facility or by the affected area.	**TPL-001-4 Table1:** **Note: 'b'**	
R2.3.2.	System reconfiguration through manual or automatic control or protection actions.	**TPL-001-4 Table1:** **Note: 'e'**	
R2.4.	To prepare for the next Contingency, system adjustments may be made,	**TPL-001-4 Table1:** **Note: 'e'**	Allowable System adjustments for BES planned performance to prepare for the next

Standard: FAC-010-3 — System Operating Limits Methodology for the Planning Horizon			
Requirement in Approved Standard		**Translation to New Standard or Other Action**	**Description and Change Justification**
	including changes to generation, uses of the transmission system, and the transmission system topology.	**TPL-001-4 Table 1:** Footnote 9**.** An objective of the planning process should be to minimize the likelihood and magnitude of interruption of Firm Transmission Service following Contingency events. Curtailment of Firm Transmission Service is allowed both as a System adjustment (as identified in the column entitled 'Initial Condition') and a corrective action when achieved through the appropriate re-dispatch of resources obligated to re-dispatch, where it can be demonstrated that Facilities, internal and external to the Transmission Planner's planning region, remain within applicable Facility Ratings and the re-dispatch does not result in any Non- Consequential Load Loss. Where limited options for re-dispatch exist, sensitivities associated with the availability of those resources should be considered.	Contingency are addressed TPL-001-4 Table 1 note e and footnote 9.
R2.5.	Starting with all Facilities in service and following any of the multiple Contingencies identified in Reliability Standard TPL-003 the system shall demonstrate transient, dynamic and voltage stability;	**TPL-001-4 Table1:** **Notes: 'a', 'f', 'g' 'j'** **TPL-001-4 Table1:** Categories P3 – P7 Multiple Contingency Events	Multiple contingency BES planned performance is addressed as Category P3 - P7 in TPL-001-4 Table 1. These include the multiple contingency events that start with all Facilities in service (P4, P5 & P7). Notes a through j from Table 1 (above) specify the applicable performance criteria.

Standard: FAC-010-3 — System Operating Limits Methodology for the Planning Horizon			
Requirement in Approved Standard		**Translation to New Standard or Other Action**	**Description and Change Justification**
	all Facilities shall be operating within their Facility Ratings and within their thermal, voltage and stability limits; and Cascading or uncontrolled separation shall not occur.		
R2.6.	In determining the system's response to any of the multiple Contingencies, identified in Reliability Standard TPL-003, in addition to the actions identified in R2.3.1 and R2.3.2, the following shall be acceptable:	**TPL-001-4, Requirement R2.7.3** **TPL-001-4 Table 1**	Allowable actions for BES planned performance in response to multiple contingencies are addressed in TPL-001-4 Requirement R2.7.3 and Table 1, including all actions that were acceptable in response to single Contingencies discussed above; and load shedding and curtailment of Firm Transmission Service.
R2.6.1.	Planned or controlled interruption of electric supply to customers (load shedding), the planned removal from service of certain generators, and/or the curtailment of contracted Firm (non-recallable reserved) electric power Transfers.	**TPL-001-4, Requirement R2, Part 2.7.3.** **2.7.3.** If situations arise that are beyond the control of the Transmission Planner or Planning Coordinator that prevent the implementation of a Corrective Action Plan in the required timeframe, then the Transmission Planner or Planning Coordinator is permitted to utilize Non-Consequential Load Loss and curtailment of Firm Transmission Service to correct the situation that would normally not be permitted in Table 1, provided that the Transmission Planner or Planning Coordinator documents that they are taking	Table 1 in TPL-001-4 specifies the conditions where service interruption is acceptable.

Standard: FAC-010-3 — System Operating Limits Methodology for the Planning Horizon		
Requirement in Approved Standard	**Translation to New Standard or Other Action**	**Description and Change Justification**
	actions to resolve the situation. The Transmission Planner or Planning Coordinator shall document the situation causing the problem, alternatives evaluated, and the use of Non-Consequential Load Loss or curtailment of Firm Transmission Service. **TPL-001-4 Table 1:** Footnote 9 (refer to R2.4 section) Footnote 12. An objective of the planning process is to minimize the likelihood and magnitude of Non-Consequential Load Loss following planning events. In limited circumstances, Non-Consequential Load Loss may be needed throughout the planning horizon to ensure that BES performance requirements are met. However, when Non-Consequential Load Loss is utilized under footnote 12 within the Near-Term Transmission Planning Horizon to address BES performance requirements, such interruption is limited to circumstances where the Non-Consequential Load Loss meets the conditions shown in Attachment 1. In no case can the planned Non-Consequential Load Loss under footnote 12 exceed 75 MW	

Standard: FAC-010-3 — System Operating Limits Methodology for the Planning Horizon			
Requirement in Approved Standard		**Translation to New Standard or Other Action**	**Description and Change Justification**
		for US registered entities. The amount of planned Non-Consequential Load Loss for a non-US Registered Entity should be implemented in a manner that is consistent with, or under the direction of, the applicable governmental authority or its agency in the non-US jurisdiction.	
R3.	The Planning Authority's methodology for determining SOLs, shall include, as a minimum, a description of the following, along with any reliability margins applied for each:		The proposed construct as described in the document introduction does not make use of an SOL ~~M~~methodology applicable to the planning horizon. The SDT also acknowledges that the June 2013 report from the Independent Experts Review Project identified FAC-010-2.1, Requirements R3 and R4 as "Requirements Recommended for Retirement" in Appendix E of the report (R5 had since been retired). Requirement R3 was identified as "More appropriate as a Guideline. This is a checklist."
R3.1.	Study model (must include at least the entire Planning Authority Area as well as the critical modeling details from other Planning Authority Areas that would impact the Facility or Facilities under study).	**TPL-001-4, Requirement R1:** **R1**. (refer to Requirement R2.1 section above)	Study model used for BES planned performance is specified in approved TPL-001-4, Requirement R1.

Standard: FAC-010-3 — System Operating Limits Methodology for the Planning Horizon			
Requirement in Approved Standard		**Translation to New Standard or Other Action**	**Description and Change Justification**
R3.2.	Selection of applicable Contingencies.	**TPL-001-4 Table1:** Categories P1 – P7 Planning Events	Applicable contingencies for BES planned performance are specified in approved TPL-001-4 Table 1.
R3.3.	Level of detail of system models used to determine SOLs.	**TPL-001-4, Requirement R1:** **R1.** (refer to Requirement R1 section above)	Model details for BES planned performance are specified in approved TPL-001-4, Requirement R1.
R3.4.	Allowed uses of Remedial Action Schemes.	**TPL-001-4, Requirement R2, Part 2.7:** **2.7.** For planning events shown in TPL-001-4 Table 1, when the analysis indicates an inability of the System to meet the performance requirements in Table 1, the Planning Assessment shall include Corrective Action Plan(s) addressing how the performance requirements will be met. Revisions to the Corrective Action Plan(s) are allowed in subsequent Planning Assessments but the planned System shall continue to meet the performance requirements in Table 1. Corrective Action Plan(s) do not need to be developed solely to meet the performance requirements for a single sensitivity case analyzed in accordance with TPL-001-4, Requirements R2, Parts 2.1.4 and 2.4.3. The Corrective Action Plan(s) shall: **2.7.1.** List System deficiencies and the associated actions needed to	TPL-001-4, Requirement R2.7 requires the development of a Corrective Action Plan to address system deficiencies. The Corrective Action Plan is required to include any automatic tripping or other automated protection that is required to meet the performance criteria in TPL-001-4 Table 1.

Standard: FAC-010-3 — System Operating Limits Methodology for the Planning Horizon			
Requirement in Approved Standard		**Translation to New Standard or Other Action**	**Description and Change Justification**
		achieve required System performance. Examples of such actions include: • Installation, modification, or removal of Protection Systems or Special Protection Systems • Installation or modification of automatic generation tripping as a response to a single or multiple Contingency to mitigate Stability performance violations. • Installation or modification of manual and automatic generation runback/tripping as a response to a single or multiple Contingency to mitigate steady state performance violations.	
R3.5.	Anticipated transmission system configuration, generation dispatch and Load level.	**TPL-001-4, Requirement R1:** **R1.** (refer to Requirement R1 section above)	Anticipated transmission dispatch, generation, and load levels are incorporated into study models used for BES planned performance as specified in TPL-001-4, Requirement R1.

Standard: FAC-010-3 — System Operating Limits Methodology for the Planning Horizon			
Requirement in Approved Standard		**Translation to New Standard or Other Action**	**Description and Change Justification**
R3.6.	Criteria for determining when violating a SOL qualifies as an Interconnection Reliability Operating Limit (IROL) and criteria for developing any associated IROL T_v	See mapping for Requirement R1, Part 1.3	See mapping for Requirement R1.3
R4.	The Planning Authority shall issue its SOL ~~M~~methodology, and any change to that methodology, to all of the following prior to the effectiveness of the change:		The proposed construct as described in the document introduction does not make use of an SOL ~~M~~methodology applicable to the planning horizon. The modeling and performance requirements as well as the reliability objectives of FAC-010-3 are redundant with those in TPL-001-4. Furthermore, the Planning Assessment required by TPL-001-4 is distributed, in accordance with TPL-001-4 Requirement R8 and IRO-017 Requirement R3, to all applicable entities listed in FAC-010-3 Requirement R4. The SDT also acknowledges that the June 2013 report from the Independent Experts Review Project identified FAC-010-2.1, Requirements R3 and R4 as "Requirements Recommended for Retirement" in Appendix E of the report (Requirement R5 had since been retired). Requirement R4 was identified as "More appropriate as a Guideline. Description of
R4.1.	Each adjacent Planning Authority and each Planning Authority that indicated it has a reliability-related need for the methodology.	**TPL-001-4, Requirement R8:** **R8.** Each Planning Coordinator and Transmission Planner shall distribute its Planning Assessment results to adjacent Planning Coordinators and adjacent Transmission Planners within 90 calendar days of completing its Planning Assessment, and to any functional entity that has a reliability related need and submits a written request for the information within 30 days of such a request.	
R4.2.	Each Reliability Coordinator and Transmission Operator that operates any portion of the Planning Authority's Planning Authority Area.	**TPL-001-4, Requirement R8:** **R8.** (refer to Requirement R4, Part 4.1 section above) **IRO-017-1, Requirement R3:**	

Standard: FAC-010-3 — System Operating Limits Methodology for the Planning Horizon			
Requirement in Approved Standard		**Translation to New Standard or Other Action**	**Description and Change Justification**
		R3. Each Planning Coordinator and Transmission Planner shall provide its Planning Assessment to impacted Reliability Coordinators**.**	appropriate coordination does not rise to a Standard.”
R4.3.	Each Transmission Planner that works in the Planning Authority’s Planning Authority Area.	See mapping for Requirement R4, Part 4.1	