

Technical Rationale

Project 2016-02 Modifications to CIP Standards New and Modified Terms, and Exemption Language Used in NERC Reliability Standards

Proposed Modified Terms

BES Cyber Asset (BCA)

A Cyber Asset or Virtual Cyber Asset, that if rendered unavailable, degraded, or misused would, within 15 minutes of its required operation, misoperation, or non-operation, adversely impact one or more Facilities, systems, or equipment, which, if destroyed, degraded, or otherwise rendered unavailable when needed, would affect the reliable operation of the Bulk Electric System. Redundancy of affected Facilities, systems, and equipment shall not be considered when determining adverse impact. Each BES Cyber Asset is included in one or more BES Cyber Systems.

Rationale

The BCA definition is changing to allow for BCA to be either Cyber Assets (hardware included) or Virtual Cyber Assets (VCA) (software only virtual machines without the underlying hardware). See the VCA and Shared Cyber Infrastructure (SCI) definition below.

BES Cyber System Information (BCSI)

Information about the BES Cyber System or Shared Cyber Infrastructure that could be used to gain unauthorized access or pose a security threat to the BES Cyber System. BES Cyber System Information does not include individual pieces of information that by themselves do not pose a threat or could not be used to allow unauthorized access to BES Cyber Systems, such as, but not limited to, device names, individual IP addresses without context, ESP names, or policy statements. Examples of BES Cyber System Information may include, but are not limited to, security procedures or security information about BES Cyber Systems, Shared Cyber Infrastructure, Physical Access Control Systems, and Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems that is not publicly available and could be used to allow unauthorized access or unauthorized distribution; collections of network addresses; and network topology of the BES Cyber System.

Rationale

Conforming changes such that BCSI includes information about SCI.

CIP Senior Manager

A single senior management official with overall authority and responsibility for leading and managing implementation of and continuing adherence to the requirements within the NERC Critical Infrastructure Protection Standards.

Rationale

Remove explicit reference to the CIP standards as only “CIP-002 through CIP-011” as the body of CIP standards has grown beyond CIP-011. As an example, the CIP Senior Manager also has requirements within CIP-013.

Cyber Assets

Programmable electronic devices, including the hardware, software, and data in those devices; excluding Shared Cyber Infrastructure.

Rationale

Modified to explicitly exclude SCI from the definition of Cyber Asset such that SCI is a different hardware class on which the other VCAs of differing impact levels execute. SCI is defined separately such that it can be the object of additional requirements based on its unique risks.

Cyber Security Incident

A malicious act or suspicious event that:

- For a high or medium impact BES Cyber System, compromises or attempts to compromise (1) an Electronic Security Perimeter, (2) a Physical Security Perimeter, (3) an Electronic Access Control or Monitoring System, or (4) Shared Cyber Infrastructure; or
- Disrupts or attempts to disrupt the operation of a BES Cyber System.

Rationale

Modified to add SCI to the scope of compromised or attempted compromise systems.

Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems (EACMS)

Cyber Assets, Virtual Cyber Assets, or Shared Cyber Infrastructure that perform electronic access control or electronic access monitoring of the Electronic Security Perimeter(s) or BES Cyber Systems or Shared Cyber Infrastructure. This includes Intermediate Systems.

Rationale

Modified to add VCA and SCI as two other forms that an EACMS can take and add SCI as an object of the access control or monitoring.

Electronic Access Point (EAP)

An electronic policy enforcement point or a Cyber Asset interface that controls routable communication to and from a BES Cyber System.

Rationale

As network security moves deeper into the infrastructure, it's no longer necessary to prescribe that network security be performed only at a 'Cyber Asset interface on an ESP'; at one point on a network edge. Zero Trust, for example, highly distributes the network security model and is not perimeter-based, and this is incorporated through the addition of “electronic policy enforcement point or”. With the added flexibility in CIP-005 to adopt these models in addition to the traditional Electronic Security Perimeter (ESP) model, the

term EAP is being modified to allow for electronic policy enforcement points and no longer prescribes an architecture.

External Routable Connectivity (ERC)

The ability to access a BES Cyber System through its ESP via a bi-directional routable protocol connection.

Rationale

The ERC definition is changing to allow for zero trust or other network models that are not strictly perimeter or network-border based, thus not having concepts of “inside” or “outside”. These concepts are replaced with the language “through its ESP” so that it does not imply a prescriptive network security model. The ERC term is used throughout the CIP Standards within the Applicable Systems column as a scoping mechanism based on the inherent risk associated with external routable connectivity as well as to limit the scope of requirements that would require ERC to function. The SDT is maintaining this use of ERC, but also clarifying the relationship between ERC and IRA in that a non-routable, serial only BCS may have ERC and have IRA through the ERC through a subsequent IP/serial conversion (see changes to IRA definition).

Electronic Security Perimeter (ESP)

A logical border surrounding a network to which BES Cyber Systems are connected using a routable protocol; or a logical boundary defined by one or more EAPs.

Rationale

The traditional network border ESP remains a valid network security model, however it is no longer the only prescribed model as CIP-005 allows other access control models that are not based on network perimeters such as Zero Trust architectures. The proposed ESP definition retains its current definition but appends “or a logical boundary defined by one or more EAPs” to incorporate models that move away from implicit trust within network perimeters and using network location as a primary factor in access control decisions. In these models, the perimeter shrinks to increasingly more granular levels, potentially down to a process or resource level on a BCS. The proposed definition allows for an ESP to be (a) static point(s) on a network boundary such as a traditional firewall as an EAP that is enforcing access policies or configurations (e.g., firewall rulesets), (b) many dynamic, short-lived, session-level ‘perimeters’ established at time of access that are network independent (e.g., users to resources, for example), or (c) hybrid implementations combining elements of both.

The SDT has kept the ‘logical border’ concept for the “surrounding a network” ESP and used the language “logical boundary” for zero trust models. A ‘border’ does indeed surround an object, in this case a network, but a ‘boundary’ may not surround or enclose, it’s a line that can be crossed, such as a policy enforcement point controlling access to a resource. The SDT has also updated language in the standards to remove concepts such as ‘inside’ an ESP and replaced that with more inclusive phrases such as ‘protected by’ an ESP.

Interactive Remote Access (IRA)

User-initiated access by a person using a Cyber Asset or VCA, not protected by any of the Responsible Entity's Electronic Security Perimeters (ESP), and using a routable protocol:

- to a Cyber System protected by an ESP;
- that is converted to a non-routable protocol, to a Cyber System not protected by an Electronic Security Perimeter; or
- To a Management Interface of Shared Cyber Infrastructure

Rationale

The proposed IRA definition changes in two fundamental ways: (1) to incorporate as IRA situations where a BCS has ERC and through it users outside of any of the Responsible Entity's ESPs have access to non-routable (serial) Cyber Systems within the asset through a subsequent IP/serial conversion, and (b) to include the Management Interfaces of SCI as targets of IRA. The references to ownership of the remote client have been removed.

Intermediate Systems

One or more Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems that are used to restrict Interactive Remote Access to only authorized users.

Rationale

The IS definition is changing to remove requirement language (i.e., where an Intermediate System must reside) embedded within the definition. That language has been moved to CIP-005 R2 within a mandatory requirement. The definition was also updated from a Cyber Asset focus to an EACMS focus to include more forms (i.e., VCA) the Intermediate System can take.

Physical Access Control Systems (PACS)

Cyber Assets, Virtual Cyber Assets, or Shared Cyber Infrastructure (SCI) that control, alert, or log access to the Physical Security Perimeter(s), exclusive of locally mounted hardware or devices at the Physical Security Perimeter such as motion sensors, electronic lock control mechanisms, and badge readers.

Rationale

Modified to add VCA and SCI as two other forms that a PACS can take.

Physical Security Perimeter (PSP)

The physical border surrounding locations in which BES Cyber Assets, BES Cyber Systems, Shared Cyber Infrastructure, or Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems reside, and for which access is controlled.

Rationale

The PSP definition is changing to add SCI as type of device to be included within a PSP.

Protected Cyber Asset (PCA)

One or more Cyber Assets or Virtual Cyber Assets that:

- Are within an Electronic Security Perimeter but are not part of the highest impact BES Cyber System within the same Electronic Security Perimeter; or
- Share CPU or memory with any part of a BES Cyber System, excluding Virtual Cyber Assets that are being actively remediated prior to introduction to the ESP.

Rationale

The PCA definition is being updated to include “share CPU or memory with any part of a BES Cyber System” to mitigate the risks of hardware-based vulnerabilities (Spectre, Meltdown, Rowhammer, etc.) on Shared Cyber Infrastructure for any virtual machines allowed to run on the same hardware as BES Cyber Systems. Since virtualization can allow systems of differing trust levels to simultaneously execute on the same hypervisor servers in the hardware underlay and thus share the same CPU and memory, this addition to the PCA definition requires that those VCAs that do share CPU and memory with a BCS become associated PCA’s of the BCS. This provides the high water marking of VCAs sharing a single hypervisor’s CPU or memory. Affinity rules can be used within the virtualization configuration to prevent this situation and keep other VCAs from becoming associated PCAs. Finally, the definition is being modified to account for “remediation VLAN” automation of security controls where a VCA may instantiate in a logical network reserved for vulnerability assessment and updates (OS patches, AV updates, etc.). The intent is the VCA does not become a PCA while temporarily in this state as its being updated prior to being connected to its production network.

Removable Media

Storage media that (i) are not Cyber Assets or Shared Cyber Infrastructure, (ii) are capable of transferring executable code, (iii) can be used to store, copy, move, or access data, and (iv) are directly connected for 30 consecutive calendar days or less to a BES Cyber Asset, Shared Cyber Infrastructure, a network protected by an ESP, or a Protected Cyber Asset.

Rationale

The Removable Media definition is being updated to add SCI as a target of the Removable Media connection and incorporate the new ESP definition.

Reportable Cyber Security Incident

A Cyber Security Incident that compromised or disrupted:

- A BES Cyber System that performs one or more reliability tasks of a functional entity;
- An Electronic Security Perimeter of a high or medium impact BES Cyber System;
- An Electronic Access Control or Monitoring System of a high or medium impact BES Cyber System;
or
- Shared Cyber Infrastructure supporting a BES Cyber System.

Rationale

This definition is being modified to add compromised or disrupted SCI supporting a BCS as a target.

Transient Cyber Asset (TCA)

A Cyber Asset or Virtual Cyber Asset that is:

1. capable of transmitting or transferring executable code,
2. not included in a BES Cyber System,
3. not a Protected Cyber Asset (PCA) associated with high or medium impact BES Cyber Systems, and
4. connected for 30 consecutive calendar days or less:
 - a. to a network within an Electronic Security Perimeter containing high or medium impact BES Cyber Systems, or
 - b. directly (e.g., using Ethernet, serial, Universal Serial Bus, or wireless including near field or Bluetooth communication) to a:
 - i. BES Cyber Asset,
 - ii. Shared Cyber Infrastructure, or
 - iii. Protected Cyber Asset associated with high or medium impact BES Cyber Systems.

Virtual machines hosted on a physical TCA are treated as software on that physical TCA. Examples of TCAs include, but are not limited to, Cyber Assets or Virtual Cyber Assets used for data transfer, vulnerability assessment, maintenance, or troubleshooting purposes.

Rationale

The TCA definition is being updated to add VCA as a form a TCA can take. The intent is to handle VCAs that are created for typical TCA uses but are normally dormant (e.g. a VCA with Wireshark for troubleshooting network issues within a virtualized infrastructure). Additionally, SCI was added as a target to which TCA's can be directly connected. The statement "Virtual machines hosted on a physical TCA are treated as software on that physical TCA" is to clarify that on a physical TCA (laptop) with hypervisor software and one or more VCA images, the hypervisor and VCAs are treated as software on the one physical TCA. This is to clarify that the one physical TCA does not have to be tracked as multiple distinct virtual TCAs. Corresponding clarifications have been made to the methods in CIP-003 and CIP-010 used to mitigate the risks of TCA software.

Proposed New Terms

Cyber System

A group of one or more Cyber Assets, Virtual Cyber Assets, or Shared Cyber Infrastructure.

Rationale

This proposed new term is used to simplify applicability when referring in the standards or other definitions to all the forms an object may take (CA, VCA, or SCI). If other forms are needed in the future, their addition to this one definition can reduce needed edits throughout the standards.

Management Interface

An administrative interface of an SCI or EACMS that:

- Controls the processes of initializing, deploying, and configuring Shared Cyber Infrastructure; or
- Is an autonomous subsystem that provides access to power management or the physical console independently of the host system's CPU, firmware, and operating system; or
- Configures an Electronic Security Perimeter;

excluding physical user interfaces (e.g., power switch, touch panel, etc.).

Rationale

This term is being defined so that requirements can be addressed to SCI and EACMS Management Interfaces to target the unique risks for virtualized environments presented by the management 'consoles' for such environments. With 'infrastructure as a service' (IaaS) environments, the management consoles can not only be used to create, but also to destroy or reconfigure virtual servers, networks, switches, firewalls, etc. The term also includes interfaces commonly known as ILO (Integrated Lights Out), that can be used to remotely manage hardware (usually including power on/off, access to the physical console, etc.). It also includes interfaces used to configure an ESP (such as on firewalls or a network switch that is enforcing an ESP between different logical networks (e.g., VLANs).

Shared Cyber Infrastructure (SCI)

One or more programmable electronic devices, including the software that shares the devices' resources, that:

- In a clustered configuration, hosts one or more Virtual Cyber Assets (VCA) included in a BCS or their associated EACMS or PACS; and also hosts one or more VCAs that are not included in, or associated with, BCS of the same impact categorization; or
- Provides storage resources required for system functionality of one or more CAs or VCAs included in a BCS or their associated EACMS or PACS; and also for one or more CAs or VCAs that are not included in, or associated with, BCS of the same impact categorization.

SCI does not include the supported VCA or Cyber Assets with which it shares its resources.

Rationale

The SCI definition is being created to separate the underlying hardware from VCAs in the situation where the shared hardware resources support VCAs of varying impact levels. This allows security requirements to be targeted to SCI to address the unique risks of shared hardware. There are many requirements that now

include the newly defined term SCI in the “Applicable Systems” column to maintain security level parity with traditional Cyber Assets.

Beyond security level parity with protecting a typical hardware based Cyber Asset, the SCI can have a more significant impact in a virtualized environment since it can host, and therefore impact, multiple virtualized systems of varying impact levels. Because of this capability, some additional controls only apply to SCI, such as the management plane isolation required by the proposed CIP-005. Addressing these unique risks requires separation of the hardware underlay into a separate definition.

The phrase “SCI does not include the supported VCA or Cyber Assets with which it shares its resources” is included to clarify that, for example, electronic access to a hosted VCA by a user is not electronic access to the SCI on which it executes.

Of note is that shared network devices are not in the scope of this definition. Since network switches and firewalls share their resources by nature, this exclusion avoids pulling all network hardware into scope as SCI. However, network switches and other hardware that does enforce an ESP (such as a network switch configured to logical isolate different VLANs) comes into scope as an EACMS.

Virtual Cyber Asset (VCA)

A non-dormant logical instance of an operating system or firmware, on a virtual machine hosted on a BCA, EACMS, PACS, PCA, or SCI, excluding logical instances that are being actively remediated.

Rationale

The NERC Glossary definition of Cyber Asset has a direct tie to the hardware on which it relied. This affected the definitions of the “Applicable Systems” terms such as BES Cyber Systems (BCS), EACMS, PACS, and Protected Cyber Assets (PCAs). Because the Reliability Standard is applicable to the aforementioned systems, the control for the Cyber Assets also applies to the hardware. This one-to-one relationship between a Cyber Asset and its underlying hardware is what virtualization intentionally breaks to increase reliability and resiliency by allowing Virtual Cyber Assets to be abstracted from the hardware and therefore able to move to any available hardware out of a pool of resources. The proposed NERC Glossary definition of Virtual Cyber Asset (VCA) allows the tie between a specific piece of hardware and the related applicable systems to no longer be singularly defined.

The phrase “non-dormant logical instance” is used to clarify that a VCA does not include disk image files that are not currently instantiated or executing and thus providing no functions or services. Likewise, the phrase “excluding logical instances that are being actively remediated” excludes those that are instantiated but are being remediated in an isolated environment before they are moved to production networks and begin providing their function or service.

The phrase “on a virtual machine” is used to clarify that a dedicated, non-virtualized Cyber Asset may have a ‘logical instance of an Operating System (OS) or firmware’, but that is not a VCA. Such a logical instance of an OS or firewall on a virtual machine is a VCA.

The phrase “hosted on a BCA, EACMS, PACS, PCA, or SCI” is to clarify that an entity for an “all-in” scenario can still classify the underlying hardware as one or several of these types, yet the VCA’s remain their own object subject to requirements and are not simply “software in the device” as in the Cyber Asset definition.

Examples of Virtual Cyber Assets may include, but are not limited to, logical instances of the following:

- Operating Systems (Virtual Machines (VM));
- Networking devices such as switches, routers, and load balancers;
- Security appliances such as firewalls and VPN concentrators; and
- Helper appliances with logical connectivity (such as malware detection, plugins, etc.).

Proposed Retired Terms

None

Technical Rationale for Exemptions Section

Rationale for Exemption 4.2.3.1

The term ‘Cyber Assets’ was changed to the new proposed term ‘Cyber Systems’. Rather than changing this language to a list of all possible forms (Cyber Assets, Virtual Cyber Assets, or Shared Cyber Infrastructure) as the object of the exemption, the SDT chose to instead use the existing language in the 4.2.3.4 and 4.2.3.5 exemptions such that all five exemptions use a form of ‘systems’ as their object.

Rationale for Exemption 4.2.3.2 and 4.2.3.3

In 4.2.3.2, the term ‘Cyber Assets’ was changed to ‘Cyber Systems’ which is a new proposed glossary addition. Rather than changing these two exemptions to list all possible forms (Cyber Assets, Virtual Cyber Assets, or Shared Cyber Infrastructure), the SDT chose to define a new term that incorporates all forms and use it within the multiple exemptions and at other points within the standards.

For 4.2.3.3, the ability to move workloads or VM’s seamlessly across different sites for increased resiliency can require different sites to be connected as a flat network without layer 3 ESP’s at each discrete site (e.g., a layer 2 adjacency across the sites). A “Super ESP” as it’s been historically known is created across the sites and thus an exemption based on having a discrete layer 3 ESP at each site no longer works to exclude, for example, the network transport equipment that may belong to carriers. The SDT is including the 4.2.3.3 exemption to further clarify this scenario. Responsible Entities should notice the exemption uses the word “between” – when extending an ESP between geographic locations, CIP-005 requires the confidentiality and integrity protection of the data (typically through encryption) between the relevant PSPs. This exemption then covers the related Cyber Systems “between” those encryption points but does not exclude the endpoints performing the encryption.