

## Meeting Notes Project 2009-26 Interpretation of CIP-004-1 for WECC

December 12, 2011 | 1:00–3:00 p.m. ET  
Teleconference and Webinar

### Administrative

1. **Participants were read the NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines; there were no questions**
2. **Attendance and quorum**
  - a. Members: Tim Conway, NIPSCO; David Blackburn, California ISO; Amanda Mullenix, Duke Energy; Clayton Stooshnoff, FortisBC; Laurent Webber, WAPA; Steven Noess, NERC Staff
  - b. Observers: Trevor MacCrae, Southern Company Transmission; Michael Mertz, PNM Resources; Scott Miller, MEAG; Matt Dale, FERC

### Summary

1. **Issues and discussion**
  - a. Reviewed the interpretation from the last meeting and discussed some minor changes. The interpretation substance was unchanged, but some clarifying language was added to the footnote for context. The discussion explaining the limited nature of an interpretation was moved to the background section of the draft comment form that will accompany the successive ballot posting materials.
  - b. The team reviewed the draft comment form that will accompany the successive ballot posting materials and there were no changes to the proposed questions.
  - c. The team began responding to the comments from the comment report of the initial ballot. During preparing responses to the comments, the team determined that it may need to consider an implementation period to accompany this interpretation. There may be scenarios where an entity requires time to coordinate with vendors in response to this interpretation.
2. **Action Items**

Tim Conway and Steven Noess to develop strawman responses to the rest of the comments and distribute to the team for review.
3. **Future Meetings**

To be determined after completing strawman responses to comments to finalize package for submission to Quality Review.