

Meeting Notes

Project 2010-07: Generator Requirements at the Transmission Interface September 8-10, 2010

1. Attendance

- a. Scott Helyer, Chair
- b. Louis Slade, Vice Chair
- c. Ben Church
- d. Sam Dwyer
- e. Steve Enyeart
- f. John Simpson
- g. Susan Morris, FERC Staff
- h. Stephanie Schmidt, FERC Staff
- i. Mallory Huggins, NERC Coordinator

2. Administration

- a. The meeting began at 8:00 a.m. EST on Wednesday, September 8, 2010. NERC Coordinator Mallory Huggins reminded the team that the meeting was open, reviewed the NERC Anti-trust Guidelines, led introductions, and reviewed the agenda and goals for the meeting.

3. Review Takeaways from Leadership Kickoff Meeting

- a. Mallory Huggins and Chair Scott Helyer reviewed the key points from the leadership meeting that took place on August 10. These included:
 - i. That the goal of the first SAR DT meeting was to focus on comments affecting the SAR itself, rather than comments directed at the redline standards.
 - ii. FERC's concern that changes be made so that the SAR did not obligate the SDT to abide by the redline standards exactly as proposed.

- iii. A suggestion that the SAR DT's ultimate goal should be to provide a proposed plan to the SC in order to keep the project moving forward.

4. Review & Respond to Comments

- a. The team completed an initial review of the comments and noted which required changes to the SAR and which required responses, then kept track of the issues introduced by the various comments.
- b. A quantitative review of the comments offered and the standards referenced in the comments indicated that there was majority support for the scope of the SAR as written.
- c. With this in mind, the team responded to comments, addressing issues such as the scope of the SAR, proposed modifications to definitions, and coordination among entities if the changes were to be approved. The team ultimately left most issues up to the SDT. Rather than limit the choices of the SDT, the SAR DT decided to leave the SDT the flexibility to remove certain standards, consider standards proposed in other related FERC and NERC documents, and to regard the redline standards document as a reference rather than part and parcel of the standard. The SAR DT also gave the SDT the discretion to aggregate the proposed modifications into a single new standard or one or more existing standard(s) to address the purpose of the SAR.
- d. The team planned to make final edits to the SAR and the comment responses by COB September 17.

5. Proposal for Next Steps

- a. The team briefly discussed its proposal for next steps, and elected to draft a document over email within two weeks.

6. Adjourn

- a. The meeting adjourned at 11:30 a.m. EST on September 10, 2010.