Unofficial Comment Form

Project 2012-13 Nuclear Plant Interface Coordination

NUC-001-3

Please **DO NOT** use this form for submitting comments. Please use the [electronic form](https://www.nerc.net/nercsurvey/Survey.aspx?s=a8ba793112864856936a0777e77bb3b9) to submit comments on the Standard. The electronic comment form must be completed by 8:00 p.m. ET **Thursday, May 22, 2014**.

If you have questions please contact Stephen Eldridge or by telephone at 404-446-9686.

The project page may be accessed by [clicking here](http://www.nerc.com/pa/Stand/Pages/Project2012-13NUC.aspx).

## Background Information

Project 2012-13 Nuclear Power Interface Coordination seeks to implement the changes that were proposed by the NUC-001-2.1 Five Year Review Team. The NUC Five Year Review Team (FYRT) was appointed by the Standards Committee Executive Committee on April 22, 2013. The NUC FYRT reviewed the NUC-001-2.1 standard to identify opportunities for consolidation and additional improvements.  The NUC FYRT posted for industry comment its recommendation to revise NUC-001-2.1 on July 27, 2013.  The NUC FYRT considered comments and submitted to the Standards Committee its final recommendation to revise NUC-001-2.1 on October 17, 2013. The Standards Authorization Request (SAR) to revise NUC-001-2.1 was developed in October 2013 and subsequently posted for a 30 day informal comment period from February 12th-March 13th of 2014. The NUC-001-3 Standards Drafting Team (SDT) met from March 18th-20th 2014 and made revisions to the currently effective NUC-001-2.1 standard for which it is requesting industry comment.

The following is a summary of changes the drafting team has made:

* Added Time Horizons to the Requirements
* Modified the language the Measurement M2 to enhance clarity
* Modified the language in Requirement R5 and Measure M5 to enhance clarity
* Removed the phrases “Protection Systems” and “undervoltage load shedding programs” in order to avoid conflict with other standards in development
* Removed the reference to Bulk Electric System in sub-requirement 9.3.6
* Modified Requirement R9 so that multiple agreements may be used to address all mandated elements
* Increased the VRF in Requirement R1 from “Lower” to “Medium”
* Modified and expaned the language within the VSLs to better align with the modified requirements

This posting solicits comment on the NUC-001-3 standard.

## Questions on NUC-001-3

1. The FYRT recommended Requirement R5 be revised for consistency with R4 and to clarify that nuclear plants must be operated to meet the Nuclear Plant Interface Requirements, and the Project 2012-03 drafting team has implemented this recommendation. Do you agree or disagree with this requirement? If you disagree, please provide an alternative solution.

[ ]  Yes

[ ]  No

Comments:

2. The FYRT recommended that R9 be revised to clarify that all agreements do not have to discuss each of the elements in R9, but that the sum total of the agreements need to address the elements, and the Project 2012-03 drafting team has implemented this recommendation. Do you agree or disagree with this requirement? If you disagree, please provide an alternative solution.

[ ]  Yes

[ ]  No

Comments:

3. Do you agree with the VRFs and VSLs for Requirements R5 and R9? If not, please explain.

[ ]  Yes

[ ]  No

Comments:

4. Do you have any additional comments? Please provide them here.

[ ]  Yes

[ ]  No

Comments: