

Meeting Notes

Project 2021-07 Extreme Cold Weather Grid Operations, Preparedness, and Coordination Standard Drafting Team

June 22 and 23, 2022 | 1:00 – 3:00 p.m. Eastern

Review NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and Public Announcement

Alison Oswald, NERC staff, called attention to the NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and the public meeting notice.

Roll Call and Determination of Quorum

A team roll call was performed and quorum was determined. The member attendance sheet is attached as attachment 1.

Chair Remarks

Kenny Luebbert, chair, started the meeting thanking the team for their hard work on the first phase of the project.

Ballot Review

Alison Oswald stated that the ballot results are not yet final, but shared preliminary results which are as follows: EOP-011-3 received 70% approval, EOP-012-1 received 20% approval, and the implementation plan received 57% approval.

Assign Comments

Assigned questions to Standard Drafting Team (SDT) members and gave instructions for member's response to industry comments. The team was informed that question 8 did not make it into the standards balloting system and industry was instructed to put comments to question 8 into question 10 responses. NERC staff will look through all the questions to find any responses about cost effectiveness or legal issues to the project. The assignments are listed below:

- Question 1 – Jill, Keith and Collin
- Question 2 – Matt
- Question 3 – Thor and Brad
- Question 4 – Venona and David Kezell
- Question 5 – Ryan Salisbury
- Question 6 – David Deerman
- Question 7 – Kenny Luebbert

- Question 9 – David McRee and Jonathan Davidson
- Question 10 – Chad Wiseman and Derek

Retrofit Response

Lauren Perotti, NERC Legal, addressed some comments received on the retrofit discussion, as she will not be available during the meetings next week. NERC Legal will come up with responses for the team to consider, but believes the team is not acting unlawfully.

Question 1 (UFLS/UVLS)

Jill Loewer gave a brief overview of the responses received on Q1. Of the responses for Q1, 59% approved the standards as written, 28% did not approve. In addition, 17% voted that no changes were needed to the current draft and 9% approved, but also had suggested changes in their response. Some responses also suggested that PRC-006 and PRC-010 be reviewed for this issue the next time they are open for another standards development project and being looked at by a team of PRC experts. The team reviewed PRC-010 R8 and the phrase included in that requirement “and other functional entities with a reliability need”. It was suggested that this same language could be added to PRC-006 R7. The review team recommended that the SDT leave the standard as drafted with no additions to PRC-006 and PRC-010, as any requests for this data could be included in the TOP data specification by individual entities. The SDT voted to keep EOP-011-3 drafted as is, and not make any changes to PRC-006 and PRC-010. The results of the vote are below. The review team for this question will draft justification for the team to review next week.

Question 2 (Facilities Section of EOP-012)

Matt Harward reviewed the responses received on Q2. Out of the responses, 8% voted for the RC to be the entity to determine the winter season, 60% voted for the BA, 9% for the GO, 10% does not have a preference but believes that there should be written requirements for the BA, and 8% believe that no definition of Winter Season is needed. Matt reviewed some suggested revisions to the language from multiple entities including a suggestion from NAGF to not have a winter season defined. David Lemmons, representing NAGF, explained the background and position of NAGF further. He stated that with the way the language is drafted, if a plant freezes the entities will investigate and create a CAP. In addition, no requirement in the standard talks about winter season; instead the requirements reference coldest temperature since 1975 and Freezing. The team had discussion on winter season vs cold weather. The team believes that either phrase gets to the same end, if the SDT clarifies stating “as determined by the GO for those that don’t operate in cold weather/winter months”. However, since geographic differences mean cold weather could occur outside of a “winter season” the team suggested to replace the term with cold weather. To this end, the team further discussed that the report focused on freezing, so the team revised the language to state “operating at or below 32 degrees Fahrenheit”. The team will review this language over the weekend and finish discussion at the next meeting.

Attachment 1

Name	Organization	6/22	6/23	UFLS/UVLS Vote
Kenneth Luebbert	Evergy, Inc.	Y	Y	Y
Matthew Harward	Southwest Power Pool, Inc.	Y	Y	Y
Venona Greaff	Oxy	N	N	Y
Derek Kassimer	ReliabilityFirst	N	Y	Y
Jonathan Davidson	City Utilities of Springfield	Y	Y	Y
David McRee	Duke Energy	Y	Y	Y
Thor Angle	Puget Sound Energy	N	Y	Y
Keith Smith	Orsted Onshore North American	Y	Y	Y
Chad Wiseman	Newfoundland & Labrador Hydro	Y	N	N
Bradley Pabian	Louisville Gas & Electric and Kentucky Utilities	Y	Y	Y
Collin Martin	Oncor Electric Delivery, LLC	Y	Y	N
Jill Loewer	Utility Services	Y	Y	Y
David Kezell	Electric Reliability Council of Texas, Inc. (ERCOT)	Y	Y	Y
Ryan Salisbury	Oklahoma Gas & Electric	Y	Y	Y
David Deerman	Southern Company Services	Y	Y	Y