UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

North American Electric Reliability)	Docket No.
Corporation)	

JOINT PETITION OF THE NORTH AMERICAN ELECTRIC RELIABILITY CORPORATION AND WESTERN ELECTRICITY COORDINATING COUNCIL FOR APPROVAL OF RETIREMENT OF REGIONAL RELIABILITY STANDARD PRC-004-WECC-2

Sandy Mooy Shamai Elstein Associate General Counsel Senior Counsel Ruben Arredondo Lauren A. Perotti Senior Legal Counsel Counsel Western Electricity Coordinating Council North American Electric Reliability Corporation 155 North 400 West, Suite 200 1325 G Street, N.W., Suite 600 Salt Lake City, Utah 84103-1114 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 400-3000 (801) 582-0353 smooy@wecc.biz (202) 644-8099- facsimile rarredondo@wecc.biz shamai.elstein@nerc.net lauren.perotti@nerc.net Counsel for the Western Electricity

Counsel for the Western Electricity
Coordinating Council

Counsel for the North American Electric

Reliability Corporation

March 9, 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	NOTICES AND COMMUNICATIONS	2
II.	BACKGROUND	2
A	Regulatory Framework	2
В	Procedural History	4
	1. Development and Approval of the WECC Regional Reliability Standard	4
	2. Summary of PRC-004-WECC-2 Retirement History	5
III.	JUSTIFICATION FOR RETIREMENT	5
A M	Continent-Wide Reliability Standard FAC-003-4 Addresses the Vegetation Management Causes that Originally Prompted the Development of the Regional Standard	7
	The WECC Regional Standard is Redundant to Continent-wide Standards Relating to rotection System and Remedial Action Scheme Performance and May be Retired with No adverse Impact on Reliability	8
	1. PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R1 is Redundant to Continent-wide Reliability Standards	8
	2. PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2 is Redundant to Continent-wide Reliability Standards	С
	3. PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R3 is Administrative in Nature and May be Retired with No Adverse Impact on Reliability	
IV.	EFFECTIVE DATE OF RETIREMENT	3
V.	CONCLUSION14	1

Exhibit A Technical Justification, Retirement of WECC Regional Reliability Standard PRC-004-WECC-2 Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation

Exhibit B Implementation Plan

Exhibit C Complete Record of Retirement Development

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

North American Electric Reliability)	Docket No
Corporation)	

JOINT PETITION OF THE NORTH AMERICAN ELECTRIC RELIABILITY CORPORATION AND WESTERN ELECTRICITY COORDINATING COUNCIL FOR APPROVAL OF RETIREMENT OF REGIONAL RELIABILITY STANDARD PRC-004-WECC-2

Pursuant to Section 215(d)(1) of the Federal Power Act ("FPA")¹ and Section 39.5² of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's ("FERC" or "Commission") regulations, the North American Electric Reliability Corporation ("NERC")³ and the Western Electricity Coordinating Council ("WECC") respectfully request that the Commission approve the retirement of WECC Regional Reliability Standard PRC-004-WECC-2 - Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation.

The purpose of Regional Reliability Standard PRC-004-WECC-2 is to ensure that all transmission and generation Protection System⁴ and Remedial Action Scheme misoperations on applicable transmission paths and Remedial Action Schemes are analyzed and/or mitigated. Since the initial development of this regional standard, other continent-wide Reliability Standards have been developed that have made the requirements of this regional Reliability Standard redundant and no longer necessary for reliability in the Western Interconnection.

¹ 16 U.S.C. § 824o (2012).

² 18 C.F.R. § 39.5 (2017).

The Commission certified NERC as the electric reliability organization ("ERO") in accordance with Section 215 of the FPA on July 20, 2006. *N. Amer. Elec. Reliability Corp.*, 116 FERC ¶ 61,062 (2006).

Unless otherwise designated, capitalized terms shall have the meaning set forth in the *Glossary of Terms Used in NERC Reliability Standards*, http://www.nerc.com/files/Glossary_of_Terms.pdf.

Therefore, the retirement of the regional standard will have no adverse effect on reliability and is in the public interest.

I. <u>NOTICES AND COMMUNICATIONS</u>

Notices and communications with respect to this filing may be addressed to the following:⁵

Shamai Elstein*
Senior Counsel
Lauren A. Perotti*
Counsel
North American Electric Reliability Corporation
1325 G Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 400-3000
(202) 644-8099 – facsimile
shamai.elstein@nerc.net
lauren.perotti@nerc.net

Sandy Mooy*
Associate General Counsel
Ruben Arredondo*
Senior Legal Counsel
Steve Rueckert*
Director of Standards
Western Electricity Coordinating Council
155 North 400 West, Suite 200
Salt Lake City, Utah 84103-1114
(801) 582-0353
smooy@wecc.biz
rarredondo@wecc.biz
steve@wecc.biz

II. <u>BACKGROUND</u>

A. Regulatory Framework

By enacting the Energy Policy Act of 2005,⁶ Congress entrusted the Commission with the duties of approving and enforcing rules to ensure the reliability of the Bulk-Power System ("BPS"), and with the duties of certifying an ERO that would be charged with developing and enforcing mandatory Reliability Standards, subject to Commission approval. Section 215(b)(1)⁷ of the FPA states that all users, owners, and operators of the BPS in the United States will be subject to Commission-approved Reliability Standards. Section 215(d)(5)⁸ of the FPA authorizes the Commission to order the ERO to submit a new or modified Reliability Standard. Section

Persons to be included on the Commission's service list are identified by an asterisk. NERC respectfully requests a waiver of Rule 203 of the Commission's regulations, 18 C.F.R. § 385.203, to allow the inclusion of more than two persons on the service list in this proceeding.

⁶ 16 U.S.C. § 824o.

⁷ *Id.* § 824o(b)(1).

⁸ *Id.* § 824o(d)(5).

39.5(a)⁹ of the Commission's regulations requires the ERO to file with the Commission for its approval each Reliability Standard that the ERO proposes should become mandatory and enforceable in the United States, each modification to a Reliability Standard that the ERO proposes should be made effective, and each Reliability Standard that the ERO proposes for retirement.

The Commission is vested with the regulatory responsibility to approve Reliability Standards that protect the reliability of the BPS and to ensure that Reliability Standards are just, reasonable, not unduly discriminatory or preferential, and in the public interest. Pursuant to Section 215(d)(2) of the FPA¹⁰ and Section 39.5(c)¹¹ of the Commission's regulations, the Commission will give due weight to the technical expertise of the ERO with respect to the content of a Reliability Standard.

Similarly, the Commission approves a Regional Reliability Standard proposed by a Regional Entity if the Regional Reliability Standard is just, reasonable, not unduly discriminatory or preferential, and in the public interest. ¹² Order No. 672 provides additional criteria that a Regional Reliability Standard must satisfy. Specifically, a regional difference from a continent-wide Reliability Standard must either be: (1) more stringent than the continent-wide Reliability Standard (which includes a regional standard that addresses matters that the continent-wide Reliability Standard does not), or (2) necessitated by a physical difference in the BPS. ¹³ The Commission must give due weight to the technical expertise of a Regional Entity,

_

^{9 18} C.F.R. § 39.5(a).

¹⁰ 16 U.S.C. § 824o(d)(2).

¹¹ 18 C.F.R. § 39.5(c)(1).

¹² 16 U.S.C. § 824o(d)(2) and 18 C.F.R. § 39.5(a).

Order No. 672, Rules Concerning Certification of the Electric Reliability Organization; and Procedures for the Establishment, Approval, and Enforcement of Electric Reliability Standards, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,204, at P 291 ("Order No. 672"), order on reh'g, Order No. 672-A, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,212 (2006).

like WECC, that is organized on an Interconnection-wide basis with respect to a Regional Reliability Standard applicable within that Interconnection.¹⁴

WECC Reliability Standards are intended to apply only to registered entities in the Western Interconnection. WECC develops Regional Reliability Standards in accordance with its *Reliability Standards Development Procedures* ("RSDP"). ¹⁵ Proposed WECC Regional Reliability Standards are subject to approval by NERC, as the ERO, and FERC before becoming mandatory and enforceable under Section 215 of the FPA.

B. Procedural History

This section provides a discussion of the development and approval of WECC Regional Reliability Standard PRC-004-WECC-2, as well as an overview of the standard development process for the proposed retirement of the regional standard.

On June 8, 2007, the Commission approved WECC Regional Reliability Standards

WECC-PRC-STD-001-1 (Certification of Protective Relay Applications and Settings) and

WECC-PRC-STD-003-1 (Protective Relay and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation) as

mandatory and enforceable Reliability Standards for registered entities within the Western

Interconnection. ¹⁶ Regional standard WECC-PRC-STD-001-1 required applicable Transmission

Operators and Transmission Owners to certify that all protective relay applications for BPS

transmission paths in the Western Interconnection are appropriate, that all relay operations have

Order No. 672 at P 344.

The currently-effective WECC RSDP was approved by the Commission on October 27, 2017 (*see N. Am. Elec. Reliability Corp.*, Docket No. RR17-5-000 (Oct. 27, 2017) (unpublished letter order)) and is available at http://www.nerc.com/FilingsOrders/us/Regional%20Delegation%20Agreements%20DL/WECC%20RSDP_201710 27.pdf.

Order Approving Regional Reliability Standards for the Western Interconnection and Directing Modifications, 119 FERC ¶ 61,260 (2007).

been analyzed for correctness, and that appropriate corrective action has been taken. Regional standard WECC-PRC-STD-003-1 required owners of protective relays and Remedial Action Schemes for specified paths to analyze and prepare mitigation steps in response to known or probable relay misoperations. WECC developed the predecessors to these standards following two July 1996 system disturbances.

In Order No. 751, issued in 2011, the Commission approved WECC Regional Reliability Standard PRC-004-WECC-1 (Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation) to replace the two WECC PRC standards. ¹⁷ In 2015, the Commission approved the currently-effective standard, PRC-004-WECC-2. ¹⁸ This version of the standard was developed as part of NERC's efforts to incorporate a new definition of Remedial Action Scheme and eliminate use of the term Special Protection System.

2. Summary of PRC-004-WECC-2 Retirement History

In October 2016, a regional Standard Authorization Request ("SAR") was submitted to perform a five-year review of WECC Regional Reliability Standard PRC-004-WECC-2 in accordance with the periodic review requirements of the WECC RSDP. The SAR was approved by the WECC Standards Committee on December 6, 2016.

WECC initiated Project WECC-0126 to review the regional standard. The standard drafting team for this project recommended that it be retired for the reasons explained in the following section and in Exhibit A. In accordance with the WECC RSDP, the proposed retirement of PRC-004-WECC-2 was posted for a 45-day comment period from April 6, 2017

Order No. 751, Version One Regional Reliability Standards for Facilities Design, Connections, and Maintenance; Protection and Control; and Voltage and Reactive, 135 FERC ¶ 61,061 (2011).

Order No. 818, Revisions to Emergency Operations Reliability Standards; Revisions to Undervoltage Load Shedding Reliability Standards; Revisions to the Definition of "Remedial Action Scheme" and Related Reliability Standards, 153 FERC ¶ 61,228 (2015).

through May 22, 2017. The WECC Standards Committee approved the request for ballot by the WECC Ballot Pool on July 6, 2017. The ballot pool was open from July 11, 2017 through July 26, 2017, and the final ballot was held from August 9, 2017 through August 28, 2017. The proposed retirement achieved an 89.1% quorum and 98.2% approval.

In accordance with Section 312 of NERC's Rules of Procedure, NERC posted the proposed retirement of PRC-004-WECC-2 for a 45-day comment period from November 3, 2017 through December 18, 2017. Commenters agreed that WECC's process was open, inclusive, balanced, transparent, and that due process was followed. The WECC Board of Directors approved the retirement of PRC-004-WECC-2 on December 6, 2017. The NERC Board of Trustees approved the retirement on February 8, 2018.

III. <u>JUSTIFICATION FOR RETIREMENT</u>

The purpose of Regional Reliability Standard PRC-004-WECC-2 is to ensure that all transmission and generation Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme misoperations on applicable transmission paths and Remedial Action Schemes are analyzed and/or mitigated. The language that would later become the regional standard was originally developed in response to two System disturbances occurring within the Western Interconnection in the summer of 1996. These two disturbances, which both started with the same 345 kV line flashing to a tree, involved misoperations on the same element within a single 24-hour period. ¹⁹ Following these disturbances, WECC determined that if a misoperation could be analyzed and the equipment promptly removed from service, then system operators could remedy the cause before an iterative misoperation took place.

-

See NERC, 1996 System Disturbances: Review of Electric System Disturbances in North America, 31 (2002), http://www.nerc.com/pa/rrm/ea/System% 20Disturbance% 20Reports% 20DL/1996SystemDisturbance.pdf.

In the intervening years, NERC developed continent-wide Reliability Standards which address not only the precipitating cause of these two 1996 disturbances but also the reliability goals of the regional standard relating to Protection Systems and Remedial Action Schemes.

While the WECC regional standard applies only to a limited subset of WECC Remedial Action Schemes and Protective Systems, the continent-wide standards apply to Protection Systems and Remedial Action Schemes more generally.

This section provides a requirement-by-requirement discussion of how the reliability goals of the regional standard are addressed in the continent-wide Reliability Standards. Further detail is provided in Table A of the Technical Justification document attached to this petition as Exhibit A. In light of the strong protection these continent-wide standards provide for reliability, the WECC regional standard is no longer necessary for reliability in the Western Interconnection and should be retired.

A. Continent-Wide Reliability Standard FAC-003-4 Addresses the Vegetation Management Causes that Originally Prompted the Development of the Regional Standard

Continent-wide Reliability Standard FAC-003-4 – Transmission Vegetation Management addresses the vegetation management issues that initiated the 1996 disturbances which lead to the development of the regional standard. The FAC-003-4 standard is applicable to Transmission Owners and Generator Owners that own certain transmission lines, including overhead transmission lines operated at 200 kV or higher and lines operated at under 200 kV if they have been identified as elements of a Major WECC Transfer Path. Reliability Standard

The purpose of the FAC-003-4 standard is "[t]o maintain a reliable electric transmission system by using a defense-in-depth strategy to manage vegetation located on transmission rights of way (ROW) and minimize encroachments from vegetation located adjacent to the ROW, thus preventing the risk of those vegetation- related outages that could lead to Cascading." This standard, as well as the other standards noted in this filing, are available at http://www.nerc.net/standardsreports/standardssummary.aspx.

FAC-003-4 requires, among other things, that vegetation be managed to prevent the type of encroachment encountered in 1996 (Requirements R1 and R2); that timely notification be made to the control center of vegetation conditions that could cause a Fault at any moment (Requirement R4); and that corrective action be taken to ensure that flashover distances will not be violated due to work constraints (R5).

- B. The WECC Regional Standard is Redundant to Continent-wide Standards Relating to Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Performance and May be Retired with No Adverse Impact on Reliability
 - 1. <u>PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R1 is Redundant to Continent-wide Reliability Standards</u>

PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R1 requires an applicable entity's System Operators to review all tripping of transmission elements and Remedial Action Scheme operations to identify apparent misoperations within 24 hours, and the entity's system protection personnel to analyze all operations of Protection Systems and Remedial Action Schemes within 20 business days for correctness to determine whether a misoperation has occurred that may not have been identified by the System Operators.

This regional Requirement is redundant to those contained in continent-wide NERC Reliability Standards for Protection Systems and Remedial Action Schemes which contain rigorous requirements for Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme analysis. With respect to Protection Systems, Reliability Standard PRC-004-5(i) (Protection System Misoperation Identification and Correction) requires applicable entities to identify and correct the causes of misoperations of Protection Systems for Bulk Electric System Elements. Reliability Standard PRC-001.1.1(ii) (System Protection Coordination) requires applicable entities to be familiar with the purpose and limitations of the Protection Systems applied in its area and to take corrective actions to resolve equipment failures involving system reliability as soon as possible. Under

Reliability Standard PRC-005-6 (Protection System, Automatic Reclosing, and Sudden Pressure Relaying Maintenance), entities are required to establish a testing and maintenance program for their Protection Systems and demonstrate efforts to correct Unresolved Maintenance Issues.

Monitoring and situational awareness are addressed in PRC-001-1.1(ii) and TOP-003-3 (Operational Reliability Data).

With respect to Remedial Action Schemes, currently-effective Reliability Standard PRC-016-1 (Remedial Action Scheme Misoperations) requires entities owning Remedial Action Schemes to analyze their operations, keep a record of all misoperations, take corrective action to avoid future misoperations, and provide documentation of its activities to the Regional Reliability Organization and NERC upon request. Reliability Standard PRC-017-1 (Remedial Action Scheme Maintenance and Testing) requires owners of Remedial Action Schemes to implement a maintenance and testing program for their Remedial Action Schemes and to provide certain documentation to the Regional Reliability Organization and NERC upon request.

Additionally, and as described in more detail in Exhibit A, the continent-wide TOP standards require evaluation of system impacts for a given configuration at least every day so long as the facility continues in service with a single Protection System or Remedial Action Scheme and require entities to take further action if required by the circumstances. The timeframes provided in these standards are more rigorous than the 20-business day review requirement in PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R1.2.

Together, the continent-wide Reliability Standards described above provide greater detail for Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme analysis than provided in Requirement R1

Remedial Action Scheme analysis is also addressed in Reliability Standard PRC-012-2, which will become effective in 2021 and replace PRC-016-1. The Commission approved Reliability Standard PRC-012-2 in Order No. 837, issued September 20, 2017. Order No. 837, *Remedial Action Schemes Reliability Standard*, 160 FERC ¶ 61,071 (2017).

of the PRC-004-WECC-2 standard. This regional standard requirement, which is now redundant to those contained in continent-wide Reliability Standards, may thus be retired with no adverse impact on reliability in the Western Interconnection.

2. <u>PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2 is Redundant to Continent-wide</u> Reliability Standards

PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2 is divided into two parts, one assigning tasks in the event of a Security-Based Misoperation²² and the other assigning tasks in the event of a Dependability-Based Misoperation.²³ The requirement to analyze each misoperation attaches whenever the misoperation is discovered. If the Protection System misoperation is Security-Based, the Protection System or Remedial Action Scheme shall be removed from service within 22 hours of the identification of the misoperation. Whether the Protection System requires repair, removal, replacement, or modification is fact specific and subject to if/then statements in the standard. If the Protection System or Remedial Action Scheme misoperation is Dependability-Based, the Protection System or Remedial Action Scheme can remain in service so long as repair or replacement occurs within 20 days of the identification of the misoperation; otherwise, it must be removed from service.

Like Requirement R1, the reliability-related substance of this Requirement is adequately addressed in the continent-wide Reliability Standards. These standards provide a clear and flexible approach to maintaining reliability, as opposed to the rigid if/then approach prescribed in

The WECC regional term Security-Based Misoperation is defined in the *Glossary of Terms Used in NERC Reliability Standards* as follows: "A Misoperation caused by the incorrect operation of a Protection System or [Remedial Action Scheme]. Security is a component of reliability and is the measure of a device's certainty not to operate falsely."

The WECC regional term Dependability-Based Misoperation is defined in the *Glossary of Terms Used in NERC Reliability Standards* as follows: "Is the absence of a Protection System or [Remedial Action Scheme] operation when intended. Dependability is a component of reliability and is the measure of a device's certainty to operate when required."

the WECC regional standard. Reliability Standard PRC-001-1.1(ii) Requirement R2 requires that if a protective relay or equipment failure reduces system reliability, then corrective action is to be taken as soon as possible. Likewise, Reliability Standard PRC-016-1 Requirement R2 requires the Remedial Action Scheme owner to take corrective actions to avoid future misoperations. Reliability Standard TOP-001-3 requires the Transmission Operator and Balancing Authority to maintain the reliability of their respective areas by their own actions (or by issuing Operating Instructions).

Through its analysis, WECC has determined that not only is the regional standard redundant to continent-wide requirements, application of the regional standard could lessen reliability in certain cases because it mandates a specific action without regard to outcome when an alternate action may be better for reliability. Additionally, WECC's analysis of the 22-hour timeline in PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2.1 has indicated that, because the obligation is not triggered until after a misoperation is identified and such identification may take weeks to occur, the requirement does not in fact provide a higher performance threshold than the continent-wide Reliability Standards. Lastly, WECC has concluded that the standard does not sufficiently define what are considered Protection Systems or Remedial Action Scheme actions "that appear to be entirely reasonable and correct" and thus exempt from the actions specified in Requirement R2 Parts 2.1 through 2.4.²⁴

In conclusion, WECC has determined that the continent-wide requirements address the reliability goal of the regional standard and provide entities with the flexibility they need to take the actions that best serve reliability in light of all of the relevant circumstances. Therefore, the retirement of this regional standard Requirement is appropriate.

11

See Exhibit A.

3. PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R3 is Administrative in Nature and May be Retired with No Adverse Impact on Reliability

PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R3 requires applicable entities to submit misoperation incident reports to WECC within 10 days of identifying a Protection System or Remedial Action Scheme misoperation or completing repairs or replacement of the equipment that misoperated. As the requirement requires only a report be presented, with no further guidance on the contents of the report or additional analysis to be made, WECC has determined that the requirement is administrative in nature and redundant to other standards, and its retirement would not negatively impact the reliability of the BPS. As noted above, other NERC Reliability Standards address analysis of Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme misoperations. To the extent the data collection aspects of Requirement R3 remain necessary, they can be addressed through requirements in other standards (such as PRC-016-1 Requirement R3 for Remedial Action Schemes) or through a targeted request for data or information pursuant to Section 1600 of the NERC Rules of Procedure. Therefore, WECC and NERC seek the retirement of Requirement R3 pursuant to paragraph 81 of the Commission's 2012 order approving NERC's Find, Fix, Track and Report program.²⁵

In this order, the Commission stated:

The Commission is interested in obtaining views on whether [redundant or unnecessary] requirements could be removed from the Reliability Standards with little effect on reliability and an increase in efficiency of the ERO compliance program. If NERC believes that specific Reliability Standards or specific requirements within certain Standards should be revised or removed, we invite NERC to make specific proposals to the Commission identifying the Standards or requirements and setting forth in detail the technical basis for its belief. . . .

For these reasons, and as stated more fully in Exhibit A, NERC and WECC jointly submit that the retirement of regional Reliability Standard PRC-004-WECC-2 would have no adverse impact on reliability and that the Commission should approve its retirement.

IV. EFFECTIVE DATE OF RETIREMENT

NERC and WECC respectfully request that the Commission approve the retirement of WECC Regional Reliability Standard PRC-004-WECC-2 to be effective as of the date of regulatory approval in accordance with the proposed implementation plan (Exhibit B). Following the retirement of PRC-004-WECC-2, the two WECC defined terms that are now used only in the PRC-004-WECC-2 standard, Security-Based Misoperation and Dependability-Based Misoperation, would be considered retired as well.

V. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

For the reasons set forth above, NERC and WECC respectfully request that the Commission approve the proposed retirement of Regional Reliability Standard PRC-004-WECC-2, effective as proposed herein.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Lauren A. Perotti

Sandy Mooy
Associate General Counsel
Ruben Arredondo
Senior Legal Counsel
Western Electricity Coordinating Council
155 North 400 West, Suite 200
Salt Lake City, Utah 84103-1114
(801) 582-0353
smooy@wecc.biz
arredondo@wecc.biz

Counsel for the Western Electricity Coordinating Council Shamai Elstein
Senior Counsel
Lauren A. Perotti
Counsel
North American Electric Reliability
Corporation
1325 G Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 400-3000
(202) 644-8099– facsimile
shamai.elstein@nerc.net
lauren.perotti@nerc.net

Counsel for the North American Electric Reliability Corporation

March 9, 2018

Exhibit A Technical Justification, Retirement of WECC Regional Reliability Standard PRC-004WECC-2 Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation

Technical Justification

WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2
Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation
Request to Retire

Cover Sheet

Technical Justification
Retirement of WECC Regional Reliability Standard
PRC-004-WECC-2
Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation

White Paper:

Retirement of WECC Regional Reliability Standard PRC-004-WECC-2

Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation

Technical Justification

WECC Standards Committee
June 21, 2017

Developed as: WECC-0126



155 North 400 West, Suite 200

Salt Lake City, Utah 84103-1114

Attachment G
Technical Justification

WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2
Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation
Request to Retire

Executive Summary

The WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2 Protection System (PS) and Remedial Action Scheme (RAS) Misoperation Drafting Team (DT) reviewed NERC Standards, both in effect and proposed for regulatory approval. The DT also considered the development history of PRC-004-WECC-2 and its history of performance.

The following are the DT's findings, conclusions, and recommendations.

Findings and Conclusion

The DT concluded that retirement of the standard can be made without incurring a negative impact on reliability because:

- 1. The reliability concern for which the standard was drafted is now specifically covered in FAC-003-4 Transmission Vegetation Management (enforceable October 1, 2016);
- 2. The Applicability section is overly narrow and included in other existing NERC Standards;
- 3. Requirement R1 is covered in other NERC Standards;
- 4. Requirement R2 is covered in other NERC Standards, conflicts with existing NERC Standards, and its application can lessen reliability as opposed to enhancing it;
- 5. Requirement R3 is entirely administrative in nature and should be retired under FERC P81 criteria;
- 6. The language of the standard does not meet the FERC Order 672 criteria in that it fails to assign the reliability task directly to an entity included in the NERC Functional Model.

Recommendation

After completing its review, the DT recommends that the substance of PRC-004-WECC-2 should be retired immediately and in its entirety because the reliability-related substance is addressed in peripheral NERC Standards. The DT does not believe any further actions are necessary to implement the proposed change.

Background

In 1996, two system disturbances occurred within the Western Interconnection, on the same elements within a single 24-hour period, due to improper vegetation management. To prevent reoccurrence of such a specific event, language was included in WECC's Reliability Management System (RMS) requiring that the relay or Remedial Action Scheme (RAS) that misoperated be removed from service or repaired within 22 hours.¹ The language was premised on the position that if the misoperation was analyzed and promptly removed from service, the system operators could remedy the cause before an iterative misoperation took place.

By 2007, with the implementation of mandatory standards, WECC examined the RMS, identifying those requirements it deemed essential for reliability that were not addressed by NERC Standards, and translated those requirements into a language and format acceptable to the North America Electricity Reliability Council (NERC)³ and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). That translation resulted in WECC Standard PRC-STD-003-1, Protective Relay and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation and PRC-STD-001-1, Certification of Protective Relay Applications and Settings.⁴

As the mandatory scheme evolved, two things occurred. First, NERC/FERC identified drafting and format concerns in those two PRC-STD standards and instructed WECC to redraft them accordingly. The result was that the current PRC-004-WECC-1 (inactive March 31, 2017) was replaced by PRC-004-WECC-2 (United States Enforcement Date April 1, 2017) to accommodate changes in the NERC Glossary

¹The Reliability Management System (RMS) (AKA: Western Electricity Coordinating Council, FERC Electric Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, Original Sheet Number 1) was the precursor to the NERC Mandatory Standards within the Western Interconnection. The Transfer Path Table and the Remedial Action Scheme table were originally developed as part of the RMS. The 22-hour period was memorialized in the RMS, Section I. Protective Relay and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation, and Section 2. WSCC Criterion, Section a. For more detail, refer to Compliance Filing of WECC in Response to Order Numbers 751 and 752 on Version One Regional Reliability Standards, RM09-09-000.

² "WECC explains that these requirements were developed as a result of a 345 kV line relay misoperation in July 1996 when virtually the same outage occurred the next day because the faulty equipment had not been isolated." 119 FERC ¶ 61,260; United States of America Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) North American Electric Reliability Corporation, Docket No. RR07-11-000, Order Approving Regional Reliability Standards for the Western Interconnection and Directing Modifications (Issued June 8, 2007), para. 85.

³ Currently known as the North American Electricity Reliability Corporation (emphasis added).

⁴ 135 FERC ¶ 61,061; United States of America Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 18 CFR Part 40, Docket No. RM09-9-000; Order No. 751, Version One Regional Reliability Standards for Facilities Design, Connections, and Maintenance; Protection and Control; and Voltage and Reactive (issued April 21, 2011), para. 34. FERC Order issued approving PRC-004-WECC-1 (approval effective June 27, 2011).

of Terms Used in NERC Reliability Standards (Glossary).⁵ ⁶ Second, the Facilities Design, Connection and Maintenance (FAC) standards were introduced to address the specific type of vegetation management concerns that caused the 1996 disturbances.

In the 20 years since the precipitating events, the *remedy* for those events shifted to the vegetation management standard of the NERC FAC suite and the remaining language pertinent to Protection Systems (PS), Special Protection Schemes (SPS), and Remedial Action Schemes (RAS) shifted to other NERC PRC Standards.⁷

Shifting Remediation

At the threshold, it should be noted that remediation of the 1996 seminal event has shifted to FAC-003-4, Transmission Vegetation Management. Therefore, PRC-004-WECC-2 no longer addresses the cause for which it was drafted.

In 1996, if the applicable entities had been complying with a 2016 version of FAC-003-4, Transmission Vegetation Management (enforceable October 1, 2016) it is unlikely that the predecessors to PRC-004-WECC-2 would have been written. Remediation for the primary causal event has shifted to FAC-003-4, which is applicable to transmission facilities operated at 200-kV or higher, and below 200-kV if the facility is identified as an element of a Major WECC Transfer Path. FAC-003-4 requires: 1) that vegetation be managed to prevent the type of encroachment encountered in 1996 (R1 and R2); 2) timely notification to the appropriate control center of vegetation conditions that could cause a Flashover at any moment (R4); and 3) corrective action to ensure that Flashover distances will not be violated due to work constraints.⁸

Applicability – Scope

The narrow scope of the PRC-004-WECC-2 Applicability section should be retired in favor of the broader Applicability section of other NERC Standards. Whereas PRC-004-WECC-2 only applies to

⁵ In the Glossary of Terms Used in NERC Reliability Standards, Protection Systems are not the same as Special Protection Systems (SPS). An SPS is synonymous with a RAS per that glossary.

⁶ Footnote 31 NERC RAS Petition at 1-2. NERC requested approval of the PRC-004-WECC-2 to incorporate the proposed definition of Remedial Action Scheme and eliminate use of the term Special Protection System. 153 FERC ¶ 61,228; United States of America Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 18 CFR Part 40, Docket Nos. RM15-7-000, RM15-12-000, and RM15-13-000, Order No. 818, Revisions to Emergency Operations Reliability Standards; Revisions to Undervoltage Load Shedding Reliability Standards; Revisions to the Definition of "Remedial Action Scheme" and Related Reliability Standards, (Issued November 19, 2015).

⁷ This project is part of WECC's commitment to harmonize PRC-004-WECC-2 with NERC Standards addressing RAS and PS per PRC-004-4(i), 5 Background, page 2.

⁸ FAC-003-4, Transmission Vegetation Management, Section 6. Background. See also: "Consideration of Actual Field Conditions in Determination of Facility Ratings."

specific RAS and PS included in defined tables, other NERC Standards address the same analysis without limiting the analysis to RAS and PS contained in the specified tables.

The Applicability of the PRC-004-WECC-2 reads as follows:

4. Applicability

- 4.1. Transmission Owners of selected WECC major transmission path facilities and RAS listed in tables titled "Major WECC Transfer Paths in the Bulk Electric System" provided at [hyperlink] and "Major WECC Remedial Action Schemes (RAS)" provided at [hyperlink].
- **4.2.** Generator Owners that own RAS listed in the Table titled "Major WECC Remedial Action Schemes (RAS)" provided at [hyperlink].
- **4.3.** Transmission Operators that operate major transmission path facilities and RAS listed in Tables titled "Major WECC Transfer Paths in the Bulk Electric System" provided at [hyperlink] and "Major WECC Remedial Action Schemes (RAS)" provided at [hyperlink].

Although the requirements of PRC-004-WECC-2 address both RAS and PS, other existing NERC Standards address these two topics in separate standards.

PRC-016-1 Remedial Action Scheme Misoperations, Requirement R1 requires any Transmission Owner (TO), Generator Owner (GO), and Distribution Provider (DP) owning a RAS to "...analyze its RAS operations and maintain a record of all misoperations..." in accordance with the regional procedures.

Since *all RAS* must be examined under PRC-016-1, there is no reason to retain PRC-004-WECC-2 which only applies to a specific and limited subset of WECC RAS. Review of all RAS under PRC-016-1 includes the subset of RAS targeted in PRC-004-WECC-2. Therefore, the PRC-004-WECC-2 Applicability section is a lesser included subset of PRC-016-1 (effective date April 1, 2017) making PRC-004-WECC-2 redundant.

In like fashion, PRC-004-4(i) Protection System Misoperation Identification and Correction, requires all TOs, GOs, and DPs to review *all PS* operations on the Bulk Electric System (BES) to: 1) identify those that are Misoperations of PS; 2) analyze Misoperations of PS; and 3) develop and implement Corrective Action Plans (CAP) to address the cause(s) of Misoperation. Thus, the PRC-004-WECC-2 Applicability section is a lesser included subset of PRC-004-4(i) making PRC-004-WECC-2 redundant.

Applicability – Failure to Meet Order 672 Criteria

Although the Applicability section accurately identifies the correct NERC Functional Entities, the Requirements do not assign tasks to those entities.

⁹ PRC-004-4(i) Protection System Misoperation Identification and Correction, 5. Background, page 2.

Rather than assigning the reliability task to the TO or GO, Requirement R1 assigns its task to "System Operators and System Protection personnel of the Transmission Owners and Generator Owners." Requirement R1 does not directly assign a reliability task to any applicable entity listed in the NERC Functional Model. As such, it falls short of the FERC Order 672 mandate that a Reliability Standard impose a requirement only on a user, owner, or operator of facilities associated with the Bulk-Power System (BES). Presuming the requirement could be interpreted to apply to the TO and GO directly, Requirement R1 imposes a duty to "analyze all Protection System and RAS operations." Because these tasks are covered in other NERC Standards (see following analysis) there is no need to retain the requirement nor try to sort out which NERC Functional Model entity the original draft intended.

Retirement of Requirement R1

The entirety of Requirement R1 should be retired because it is redundant to other NERC Standards.

The text of Requirement R1 is as follows:

B. Requirements

The requirements below only apply to the major transmission paths facilities and RAS listed in the tables titled "Major WECC Transfer Paths in the Bulk Electric System" and "Major WECC Remedial Action Schemes (RAS)."

- R.1. System Operators and System Protection personnel of the Transmission Owners and Generator Owners shall analyze all Protection System and RAS operations. [Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Operations Assessment]
 - R1.1. System Operators shall review all tripping of transmission elements and RAS operations to identify apparent Misoperations within 24 hours.
 - R1.2. System Protection personnel shall analyze all operations of Protection Systems and RAS within 20 business days for correctness to characterize whether a Misoperation has occurred that may not have been identified by System Operators.

Covered Elsewhere

Unlike PRC-004-WECC-2 that includes both PS and RAS, in the NERC Standards these two classifications of devices are addressed in separate standards.

As for PS, existing NERC Standards include and go beyond a mandate for analysis. TOs and Generator Operators (GOP) are required to be familiar with the purpose and limitations of their PS schemes and

¹⁰ The proposed Reliability Standard may impose a requirement on any user, owner, or operator of such facilities, but not on other entities (Order 672 at P. 322).

¹¹ In the Glossary of Terms Used in NERC Reliability Standards, Protection Systems are not the same as <u>Special</u> Protection Systems (SPS). An SPS is synonymous with a RAS per that glossary; an SPS is not the same as a Protection System.

take corrective actions as soon as possible – not just analyze the problem.¹² Entities must maintain and test their PS, and demonstrate efforts to correct identified Unresolved Maintenance Issues.¹³ Monitoring and situational awareness are also required¹⁴. Finally, TOs and GOs are required to correct identified and unresolved maintenance Issues.¹⁵ These combined NERC Standards meet and exceed the reliability concerns of Requirement R1 regarding PS.

As for RAS, PRC-004-4 not only calls for analysis it also requires coordination with other entities, notification of events and findings, and – most importantly – that corrective actions be planned and implemented. Elsewhere, applicable entities that own a RAS are required to analyze RAS operation and misoperation, take corrective actions to ensure misoperation does not reoccur, and to provide documentation of its activities upon request from the Regional Reliability Organization (RRO). ¹⁶ PRC-016-1 Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation calls for the inclusion of specific detail in its reports exceeding the requirement of PRC-004-WECC-2. Further, PRC-017-1 Special Protection System Maintenance and Testing requires both the TO and GO to have a system maintenance and testing program (to include specific characteristics), and to provide supporting documentation to the RRO on request. These combined NERC Standards meet and exceed the reliability concerns of PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R1 regarding RAS.

Finally, even in the absence of the continent-wide PRC suite, TOP standards would require essential analysis and remedial action so long as a facility continues in service with a single PS or RAS. In many cases, this occurs in less than the 20-day window prescribed in PRC-004-WECC-2 and focuses on results as opposed to a perfunctory task.¹⁷

The continent-wide TOP standards require time frames to take action that range from as quickly as possible out to as much as day-ahead planning. So long as a facility continues in service with a single PS

¹² PRC-001-1.1(ii) System Protection Coordination, Requirements R1 and R2.

¹³ PRC-005-6 – Protection System, Automatic Reclosing, and Sudden Pressure Relaying Maintenance.

¹⁴ PRC-001-1.1(ii) — System Protection Coordination; TOP-003-3, Operational Reliability Data, R1, part 1.2.

¹⁵ PRC-005-6 — Protection System, Automatic Reclosing, and Sudden Pressure Relaying Maintenance, Requirement R5.

¹⁶ PRC-016-1 — Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation; (United States Enforcement Date April 1, 2017).

 $^{^{17}}$ TPL-001-4 — Transmission System Planning Performance Requirements focuses on system performance rather than the method of achieving that performance.

TOP-002-2.1b — Normal Operations Planning, R6 focuses on a different aspect of system performance by analyzing the system at a minimum of the next N-1 Contingency planning.

TOP-004-2 — Transmission Operations, requires that TOPs operate to maintain reliability following occurrence of their most severe single contingency and (R3) for any multiple contingencies identified by their RC. These contingencies exclude any facilities that are already out-of-service (either forced or planned).

TOP-006-3 — Monitoring System Conditions, R3 requires that the RC, TOP, and Balancing Authority "shall provide its operating personnel with appropriate technical information concerning protective relays within" their areas of responsibility.

TOP-008-1 — Response to Transmission Limit Violations, R2 requires the TOP "operate to prevent the likelihood that a disturbance, action or inaction will result in an IROL or SOL violation ..." which reinforces the TPL-004-2 R2 requirement.

or RAS, the TOP is required by the TOP standards to evaluate the system impacts for that configuration at least every day and to take further action if required by the actual circumstances. These TOP time restrictions are much more rigorous than the WECC 20 business days.¹⁸

Because the reliability content of PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R1 is covered in other existing NERC Standards, Requirement R1 can be retired without incurring any negative impact on reliability.

Illusory Time Windows – 20 Business Days

In Requirement R1.2, the 20-day review period has its origins in compliance and not in reliability. Therefore, it is not essential for reliability.

When the predecessors of PRC-004-WECC-2 were developed (circa 1995-2000), the WECC Relay Work Group identified the duration of the window (<u>20</u> business days) to *measure* performance, not as a time window essential for reliability. ¹⁹ Meeting minutes from the WECC Relay Work Group establish the first draft of what would later be called a Violation Severity Level (VSL) wherein the 20-business-day window was included in a Level 3 and Level 4 VSL.

The definition of the window (20 <u>business days</u>) makes its regulatory debut in the RMS²⁰ where it is used as a defined term. A Business Day is defined as "any day other than Saturday, Sunday, or a legal public holiday as designated in section 6103, of title 5 US Code." If the 20-business day window was reliability in nature it would not be predicated on weekends and holidays. This conclusion is further buttressed when considering that holidays for the United States, Canada, and Mexico do not always align.

To the extent that any level of reliability currently attaches to the 20-day window, other NERC Standards impute a shorter time window for remedial action thereby rendering the 20-day window moot. As presented, the review of numerous other NERC Standards shows that operational review of the system is required to take place much sooner than 20 days.²¹ Thus, the duration and definition of the time window are irrelevant to reliability and can be retired without detriment to the system.

Retirement of Requirement R2

The entirety of Requirement R2 should be retired because it is redundant to other NERC Standards.

¹⁸ IRO-001.1 R3, requires action within 30 minutes. TOP-008 R2, as noted, primarily reinforces TOP-004 R2, basically saying that the TOP is covered within the IRO timing requirement.

¹⁹ WECC Relay Work Group Meeting Minutes, July 20, 2000.

²⁰ Reliability Management System, I. Protection Relay and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation, Section 2.d.

²¹ TOP-002-2.1b Normal Operations Planning, Requirement R6 requires a minimum of N-1 Contingency planning to meet unscheduled changes in system configuration and generation dispatch.

The text of Requirement R2 is as follows:

B. Requirements

- R.2. Transmission Owners and Generator Owners shall perform the following actions for each Misoperation of the Protection System or RAS. It is not intended that Requirements R2.1 through R2.4 apply to Protection System and/or RAS actions that appear to be entirely reasonable and correct at the time of occurrence and associated system performance is fully compliant with NERC Reliability Standards. If the Transmission Owner or Generator Owner later finds the Protection System or RAS operation to be incorrect through System Protection personnel analysis, the requirements of R2.1 through R2.4 become applicable at the time the Transmission Owner or Generator Owner identifies the Misoperation:
 - R2.1. If the Protection System or RAS has a Security-Based Misoperation and two or more Functionally Equivalent Protection Systems (FEPS) or Functionally Equivalent RAS (FERAS) remain in service to ensure Bulk Electric System (BES) reliability, the Transmission Owners or Generator Owners shall remove from service the Protection System or RAS that misoperated within 22 hours following identification of the Misoperation. Repair or replacement of the failed Protection System or RAS is at the Transmission Owners' and Generator Owners' discretion. [Violation Risk Factor: High] [Time Horizon: Same-day Operations]
 - **R2.2.** If the Protection System or RAS has a Security-Based Misoperation and only one FEPS or FERAS remains in service to ensure BES reliability, the Transmission Owner or Generator Owner shall perform the following. [Violation Risk Factor: High] [Time Horizon: Sameday Operations]
 - **R2.2.1.** Following identification of the Protection System or RAS Misoperation,
 Transmission Owners and Generator Owners shall remove from service within 22 hours for repair or modification the Protection System or RAS that misoperated.
 - **R2.2.2.** The Transmission Owner or Generator Owner shall repair or replace any Protection System or RAS that misoperated with a FEPS or FERAS within 20 business days of the date of removal. The Transmission Owner or Generator Owner shall remove the Element from service or disable the RAS if repair or replacement is not completed within 20 business days.
 - **R2.3.** If the Protection System or RAS has a Security-Based or Dependability-Based Misoperation and a FEPS and FERAS is not in service to ensure BES reliability, Transmission Owners or Generator Owners shall repair and place back in service within 22 hours the Protection System or RAS that misoperated. If this cannot be done, then Transmission Owners and Generator Owners shall perform the following. [Violation Risk Factor: High] [Time Horizon: Same-day Operations]

- **R2.3.1.** When a FEPS is not available, the Transmission Owners shall remove the associated Element from service.
- **R2.3.2.** When FERAS is not available, then
 - **2.3.2.1.** The Generator Owners shall adjust generation to a reliable operating level, or
 - **2.3.2.2.** Transmission Operators shall adjust the SOL and operate the facilities within established limits.
- **R2.4.** If the Protection System or RAS has a Dependability-Based Misoperation but has one or more FEPS or FERAS that operated correctly, the associated Element or transmission path may remain in service without removing from service the Protection System or RAS that failed, provided one of the following is performed.
 - **R2.4.1.** Transmission Owners or Generator Owners shall repair or replace any Protection System or RAS that misoperated with FEPS and FERAS within 20 business days of the date of the Misoperation identification, or
 - **R2.4.2.** Transmission Owners or Generator Owners shall remove from service the associated Element or RAS. [Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Operations Assessment]

Retirement of Requirement R2

Requirement R2 is divided into two parts, one assigning tasks in the event of Security-Based Misoperation and the other assigning tasks in the event of Dependability-based Misoperation.²² The requirement to analyze each Misoperation attaches whenever the Misoperation is discovered (identified).

If a PS or RAS Misoperation is *Security-based*, the PS or RAS shall be removed from service within 22 hours of the identification of the Misoperation. Whether the PS or RAS requires repair, removal, replacement or modification is fact specific and subject to specified if/then statements.

If the PS or RAS Misoperation is *Dependability-based*, but portions of the systems operate as designed, the PS or RAS can remain in service so long as repair or replacement occurs within 20 days of the identification of the Misoperation; otherwise, the PS or RAS must be removed from service.

Illusory Time Windows – 22 Hours

On the surface, the 22-hour remediation trigger of PRC-004-WECC-2, Requirement R2.2.1 is quite attractive and perceptually creates a much higher performance threshold than its peripheral NERC

²² Security-based Misoperations and Dependability-based Misoperations are included in the WECC-specific section of the Glossary of Terms Used in NERC Reliability Standards.

Standards.²³ But when examined, the remedial clock does not begin to run until the Misoperation is *identified*. Restated, there is no remediation required until the operation is identified. The system operator may identify an *apparent* Misoperation (R1) within the identified period (R2) and thereby meet the original intent to remediate the cause. However, the reality is that the identification will not likely be determined by the Real-time system operator thus negating the assumed purpose of the 22 hours. The higher likelihood is that the system operator may annotate an anomaly in the operations log and pass the investigation on to protection engineers. After analysis and identification by the protection engineer, only then would the tolling clock begin to run. So, it could be days or weeks before the requirement to perform remediation attached. Even though the 22 hours appears to be a higher standard, in practice it is illusory because it lacks a definitive start time.

Because the 22-hour window appears in the requirement, the current mandatory regulatory regime presumes that the original drafters intended its inclusion for reliability purposes. However, a review of development record shows that the 22-hour time window did not appear in the requirement until drafted into the Reliability Management System (RMS) agreement. Meeting minutes from the July 20, 2000 WECC Relay Work Group meeting indicate that the 22-hour period was originally intended for inclusion in what would today be called a Measure. As such, the 22-hour window was not originally drafted to meet a reliability need; rather, it makes the task measurable. The minutes indicate that:

"During the Phase 2 evaluation period the relay misoperation requirement was found to be too loosely defined to enable the assessment of <u>compliance</u> on a consistent basis among all affected parties, per the requirement, the clock starts as soon as it is determined that a relay misoperated or <u>probably misoperated</u>. Making this determination could take days or weeks. It was concluded that <u>compliance</u> with the requirement as originally worded is <u>not measurable</u> on an accurate or consistent basis. Consequently, the Relay Work Group in cooperation with the WSCC staff developed revisions to the requirement that will enable a consistent and accurate <u>measure of performance to assess compliance</u> the revised requirement is described in detail below." (Italic emphasis added.)

In fact, the intent of the reports is stated in the 1998 predecessor to the RMS in that:

"The transmission path operators for the paths listed in Table 2 are requested to submit data as specified in detail within this section. *For the purpose of maintaining historical records,* and in the event, some or all of the *compliance data* have to be reviewed to resolve questions that

²³ Since a real-time assessment of system performance is being conducted at least once every 30 minutes by the Transmission Operator, the value of a review within 22 hours is diluted and somewhat redundant. TOP-001-3, Transmission Operations, R13.

may arise in the future, the Path Operators are requested to save the data, as defined below, for at least a one-year period."²⁴ (Emphasis added.)

The language that found its way into the requirement section of the RMS was originally intended to serve a compliance purpose – not reliability. To the extent the 22-hour period may have evolved to address a reliability task, that task (vegetation management) is now covered in the FAC suite. As such, the 22-hour time frame can be deleted from the standard without impacting reliability.

Requirement R2 Conflicts with other Standards / Lessens Reliability

PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2 has a specified set of actions that must be taken once the Misoperation is identified. Because the operator cannot deviate from the specific actions, all discretion is removed. Therefore, R2 conflicts with other standards and lessens reliability.

Under the fact pattern identified in PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2.1, the TO and GO "shall remove from service" the PS or RAS that misoperated. The inflexible mandate leaves the TO/GO no operational choice. By contrast, PRC-001-1.1(ii) System Protection Coordination, Requirement R2, part 2.1 and 2.2 require that "[if] a protective relay or equipment failure reduces system reliability" then corrective action is to be taken as soon as possible. Likewise, PRC-016-1 Remedial Action Scheme Misoperations, Requirement R2 allows the TO/GO owning a RAS to take "corrective actions to avoid Misoperations." Further, TOP-001-3 Transmission Operations, Requirement R1 requires the Transmission Operator (TOP) to maintain the reliability of its Transmission Operator Area *via its own actions* (emphasis added). The Balancing Authority (BA) has a similar mandate in Requirement R2 of that document.

To illustrate how retention of PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2 can lessen reliability, the following actual fact pattern is offered.

Example

A fault occurred on an important path line and the relays at both terminals operated correctly to clear it. Different makes of reclosing relays are used at the two terminals, which did not allow the recloser reset time to be set the same at both terminals. The terminal that normally recloses first had a longer reset delay of 20 cycles (Terminal A), and the terminal that normally recloses after the other terminal

²⁴ WSCC Detailed Reporting Instructions, Reliability Management System, Evaluation Program Phase 2, Phase 2 Evaluation Period Reporting Requirements, A. Transmission Path Operators Data Collection, see sections on Protective Relay Application and Settings, and Remedial Action Schemes, and Protective Relay and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation, August 12, 1998.

²⁵ Under NERC Project 2007-06.2 Phase 2 of System Protection Coordination, PRC-001-1.1(ii) is proposed for retirement. Should that occur, system awareness and corrective actions shift to other applicable entities under numerous existing NERC Standards. Please refer to that proceeding for a detailed analysis of which NERC Standards would cover the reliability tasks of PRC-001-1(ii) in the event of retirement. Misoperations that have causes other than failure can be mitigated by taking corrective action as soon as possible.

had a shorter reset delay of 15 cycles (Terminal B). A very unusual circumstance occurred when a second fault occurred on the line after the time that the recloser at Terminal B had reset (15 cycles), but before the recloser at the Terminal A had reset (20 cycles). Terminal A tripped to lockout after the second fault and did not reclose. Terminal B, which would normally reclose after Terminal A, tripped for the second fault and then proceeded to reclose. Because this is a very long line, the switch-ontofault (SOTF) settings are set sensitively to provide instantaneous tripping for the entire length of the line. When Terminal B reclosed, the SOTF elements tripped it open due to the line charging current. It is important to recall that this terminal normally recloses after Terminal A, in which case the voltage on the line would block the SOTF elements.

Because Terminal B tripped for no fault, it created a misoperation. Because both relays at Terminal B behaved the same, they both misoperated. This would bring Requirement R2.3.1 into play, requiring the line to be removed from service if the applicable entity could not repair or replace the relays within 22 hours. Given the large volume of operations that were occurring due to the poor weather, repairing the problem within 22 hours was not easy. Taking the line out of service would have caused more problems than it solved because it would have removed an important line during heavy transfer conditions. With the poor weather that was occurring, other lines were also operating, and every available line needed to be in service. This did not present a reliability concern since the relays were only susceptible to Misoperation during a reclose during the very unlikely scenario of a second fault occurring between 15 and 20 cycles after the first.

This practical example illustrates that PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2 can force undesirable consequences. Had consideration of all the surrounding circumstances been allowed, strict adherence to PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2 would not have been the best choice for reliability.

As seen in the example, PRC-004-WECC-2 *mandates* a specific action without regard to outcome. By contrast, the alternate approach of PRC-001-1.1(ii) allows the TO/GO owning a RAS to take reasoned action *if* the failure reduces reliability. Further, it allows that entity to consider all the surrounding circumstances and act accordingly. Finally, if retained, PRC-004-WECC-2 could conflict with other standards wherein applicable entities are provided flexibility to decide the most appropriate actions to ensure reliability. As such, the alternate approach of PRC-001-1.1(ii) should be adopted over that of the PRC-004-WECC-2.

Requirement R2 – Failure to Meet Order 672 Criteria

Pursuant to FERC Order 672, a Reliability Standard should be clear and unambiguous regarding what is required and who is required to comply. Users, owners, and operators of the Bulk-Power System must know what they are required to do to maintain reliability. ²⁶ PRC-004-WECC-2, Requirement R2 falls short of that requirement and should deleted.

²⁶ FERC Order No. 672 at P 325.

Requirement R2.1 through R2.4 are not intended to apply to PS and/or RAS actions "that appear to be entirely reasonable and correct" when "associated system performance is fully compliant with NERC Reliability Standards." What appears to be reasonable to one entity may not appear reasonable to the next. In like fashion, what appears to be reasonable to one auditor may not be reasonable to the next. What is reasonable is the sum of all the surrounding circumstances. These circumstances will vary each time the standard is applied.

Because of the ever-changing fact patterns, neither the applicable entity nor the assigned auditor can be soundly informed as to what action must be taken or what constitutes compliance until after a violation may have occurred. The result is a lack of due process. Further, the language implies that what is reasonable equates to what is the best course of action to ensure reliability. This is not always the case. As seen above, one may act to remain perfectly in compliance but those actions may not be in the best interest of reliability. Finally, the requirement requires the applicable entity to stand as a proxy to the compliance auditor in that it requires the applicable entity to know whether an act is "entirely reasonable and correct" without further guidance. This is the standards' equivalent of drafting a law requiring all vehicles to stop *close* to the limit line – without indicating what constitutes *close*.

Although entities make every effort to remain in compliance, applicable entities are not auditors and cannot make the definitive determination whether an act complies with a standard. As such, the ambiguity of the wording robs the applicable entity of the notice required under due process. Thus, Requirement R2 does not meet FERC's Order 672 criteria and should be deleted.

Retirement of Requirement R3

The entirety of Requirement R3 should be retired because it is purely administrative in nature and meets the "P81" criteria for retirement.

The text of Requirement R3 is as follows:

B. Requirements

- **R.3.** Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall submit Misoperation incident reports to WECC within 10 business days for the following. [Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Operations Assessment]
 - **R3.1.** Identification of a Misoperation of a Protection System and/or RAS,
 - **R3.2.** Completion of repairs or the replacement of Protection System and/or RAS that misoperated.

Retirement of Requirement R3

The language of PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R3 can be retired without incurring any negative impact to reliability because the Requirement is administrative in nature.

The purpose of PRC-004-WECC-2 is "to ensure all transmission and generation Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme (RAS) Misoperations on Transmission Paths and RAS defined in section 4 are analyzed and/or mitigated."

Retirement of R3 would be consistent with FERC's order²⁷ approving NERC's Compliance Enforcement Initiative (CEI), including the Find, Fix, Track and Report (FFT) program. On March 15, 2012, FERC issued an order²⁸ approving NERC's Compliance Enforcement Implementation, including the FFT program. Paragraph 81 ("P 81") of the FFT Order reads:

The Commission notes that NERC's FFT initiative is predicated on the view that many violations of requirements currently included in Reliability Standards pose lesser risk to the Bulk-Power System. If so, some current requirements likely provide little protection for Bulk-Power System reliability or may be redundant. The Commission is interested in obtaining views on whether such requirements could be removed from the Reliability Standards with little effect on reliability and an increase in efficiency of the ERO compliance program. If NERC believes that specific Reliability Standards or specific requirements within certain Standards should be revised or removed, we invite NERC to make specific proposals to the Commission identifying the Standards or requirements and setting forth in detail the technical basis for its belief. In addition, or in the alternative, we invite NERC, the Regional Entities and other interested entities to propose appropriate mechanisms to identify and remove from the Commission approved Reliability Standards unnecessary or redundant requirements. We will not impose a deadline on when these comments should be submitted, but ask that to the extent such comments are submitted NERC, the Regional Entities, and interested entities coordinate to submit their respective comments concurrently.²⁹

In keeping with the FFT approach, the WECC-0126 DT reviewed the standard to identify requirements that could be removed from Reliability Standards without negatively impacting the reliability of the Bulk-Power System. This project identified Requirement R3 as a candidate for retirement under that criteria.

Requirement R3 P81 Justification

The language of R3 can be retired without incurring any negative impact to reliability because it is purely administrative in nature. At its core, the requirement calls for the TO and GO to "submit Misoperation incident reports to WECC" and to prove compliance by having "evidence that they reported."

²⁷ North American Electric Reliability Corporation, 138 FERC ¶ 61,193 at P 81 (2012) ("FFT Order").

²⁸ FFT Order at P 81.

²⁹ Joint Petition for Approval of Proposed Regional Reliability Standards, VAR-002-WECC-2 AND VAR-501-WECC-2, Section C. Project 2013-02 Paragraph 81, page 6 (VAR Order).

In PRC-004-WECC-2, requiring documentation does not add to or detract from the reliability of the grid; rather, having documentation is an element of verifying that a reliability task has been completed. In application, the requirement looks backward to ensure paperwork was filled out. As drafted, it neither requires identification of a Misoperation nor remediation of failing elements associated with a Misoperation. It only requires that a report be made. The Measure advances reliability no further as it too requires only that a report be presented. At its core, the Measure doesn't even specify the content of the report – only that a report be made.³⁰

Further, the implied reliability tasks of Requirement R3 are expressly addressed in peripheral NERC Standards. The stated intent of the Requirement/Measure is to ensure that Misoperation of specific PS and RAS are analyzed and mitigated. Although the standard under review addresses only specific PS and specific RAS, these systems would be included in the broader and more general provisions of other existing NERC Standards. (See Requirement R1 analysis.)

Finally, if the true intent of PRC-004-WECC-2 is to collect data, that data can be collected in accordance with NERC's Rules of Procedure via a Rule 1600 data request. In the alternative, specifically for RAS, PRC-016-1 Requirement R3 requires both the TO and GO owning a RAS to "provide documentation of the misoperations analyses and the correction action plans to" WECC on request. As such, Requirement R3 is fully redundant and can be deleted.

Whereas Requirement R3 is administrative in nature, its implied and explicit reliability tasks are covered in existing NERC Standards. The described data collection can occur in accordance with NERC Rules of Procedure 1600; therefore, Requirement R3 can be retired without incurring any negative impact on reliability.

³⁰ If not retired, the language of each of the Measures should be redrafted to reflect "will have evidence" as opposed to the requirement "shall have evidence."

Table A NERC Standard / PRC-004-WECC-2 Cross-reference Table

The Purpose of PRC-004-WECC-2 is to serve as a "Regional Reliability Standard to ensure all transmission and generation Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme (RAS) Misoperations on Transmission Paths and RAS defined in section 4 are analyzed and/or mitigated".

The requirements below only apply to the major transmission paths facilities and RAS listed in the tables titled "Major WECC Transfer Paths in the Bulk Electric System" and "Major WECC Remedial Action Schemes (RAS)."

The following table illustrates how each element of the PRC is either addressed elsewhere or simply not needed for reliability.

Retirement of Regional Reliability Standard PRC-004-WECC-1 Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation			
PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement in Approved Standard	PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement covered elsewhere	Description and Change Justification	
Applicability (Narrow and exclusive) The Applicability section is narrowly crafted to apply only to: 1) Transmission Owners (TO) of selected facilities with RAS listed in a specific table; 2) Generator Owners (GO) with RAS listed in a specific table; and, 3) Transmission Operators operating facilities and RAS listed in the specified table.	Applicability (Broader and all-inclusive) PRC-016-1 applies to TOs, GOs, and Distribution Providers' (DP) RAS regardless of path. PRC-004-4 applies to TOs, GOs, and DPs' PS regardless of path.	Whereas PRC-016-1 (RAS) and PRC-004-4 (PS) do not carry the overly exclusive exceptions of PRC-004-WECC-2 (only major transmission paths, facilities, and RAS listed in specified tables), the Applicability section of PRC-004-WECC-2 is fully included in the aforementioned standards. As such, all facilities included in PRC-004-WECC-2 are addressed elsewhere.	
PRC-004-WECC-2 Covers RAS plus PS R.1. System Operators and System Protection personnel of the Transmission Owners and Generator Owners shall analyze all Protection System and RAS operations.	PRC-004-5(i) Covers PS. PRC-004-5(I) Protection System Misoperation Identification and Correction. R1. requires the TO and GO to identify the reasons for PS	Whereas PRC-004-WECC-2 covers analysis of both the RAS and the PS, these two devices are now addressed separately in NERC Standards PRC-004-5(i), PRC-016-1, and PRC-012-2.	

[Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Operations Assessment]	operation caused a Misoperation, within 120 days. ³¹ See also PRC-001-1.1(ii), Requirements R1 and R2; PRC-005-6, Requirement R5. PRC-016-1 Covers RAS PRC-016-1 Special Protection System Misoperations R1. The TO and GOshall analyzeits RAS operations and maintain a record of all misoperations in accordance with the Regional RAS review procedure specified in PRC-012. R1. ³² PRC-012-2, Remedial Action	prescribed in PRC-004-WECC-2. Inclusion of the reliability elements of PRC-004-WECC-2 in PRC-004-5(i) and PRC-016-1 and PRC-012-2 render PRC-004-WECC-2 redundant. As such, the Requirement can be deleted. The difference in time frames between PRC-004-WECC-2 and the other NERC Standards is addressed in the preceding sections of this filing.
	PRC-012-2, Remedial Action Schemes ³³ R5. Requires the TO and GO to review its RAS within 120 days of operation or failure. (The term analyze is used in R5.2.) ³⁴	
PRC-004-WECC-2 R1.1 System Operators shall review all tripping of transmission elements and RAS operations to identify apparent Misoperations within 24 hours. R1.2. System Protection personnel shall analyze all operations of Protection Systems	PRC-012-2 Covering RAS R5. Requires the TO and GO to analyze each RAS operation, within 120 days, to determine: 1) 5.1.1, what caused the operation, 2) 5.1.2 and 5.1.3, if the device worked properly, and 3) 5.1.4., whether there were any unintended consequences. PRC-004-5(i) Covers PS	The language of PRC-004-WECC-2 fails to meet the FERC Order 672 criteria for clarity in that "apparent," "reasonable," characterization" and "correctness" are ambiguous. Both PRC-012-2 and PRC-004-5(i) require review after operation to determine the cause and, in some cases, even determine whether unforeseen consequences resulted.

³¹ United States Enforcement Date is April 2, 2017.

³² Becomes Inactive on March 31, 2017.

³³ PRC-012-2 has been filed with FERC and is pending regulatory disposition as of March 29, 2017.

³⁴ NERC Board of Trustees approved May 5, 2016, pending at FERC. (FERC has proposed to approve the standard subject to comments received on a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NOPR), comments closing April 10, 2017.)

and RAS within 20 business days for correctness to characterize whether a Misoperation has occurred that may not have been identified by System Operators.

PRC-004-5(i), R1. Requires the TO and GO owning a PS that operates, to identify whether that PS caused a Misoperation, within 120 days of the event the threshold analysis, the applicable entity is required to determine: 1) R1.1, if the PS was the cause of the Misoperation, 2) R1.2, who owns the components, and 3) R1.3 whether the operation was automatic or manual.

Although the more specific analysis is arguably included in the more general PRC-004-WECC-2 analysis, adoption of the superior PRC-012-2 and PRC-004-5(i) requirements add clarity and conformity without sacrificing reliability. As such, analysis of both RAS and PS operation is covered in greater detail outside of PRC-004-WECC-2 making PRC-004-WECC-2 redundant. Its retirement would have no negative impact on reliability because the tasks are covered elsewhere.

See above analysis pertaining to 22-hours, and 20 days for time window differential.



2000-07-20-RWG-M eeting.pdf

PRC-004-WECC-2

Covers PS and RAS

R.2. Transmission Owners and Generator Owners shall perform the following actions for each Misoperation of the Protection System or RAS.

It is not intended that Requirements R2.1 through R2.4 apply to Protection System and/or RAS actions that appear to be entirely reasonable and correct at the time of occurrence and associated system performance is fully compliant with NERC Reliability Standards. If the Transmission Owner or Generator

PRC-016-1

Covers PS

PRC-016-1 — Remedial Action Scheme Misoperations

R2. Each TO, GO, and DP, owing a RAS shall take corrective actions to avoid future misoperations.

PRC-012-2

Covers RAS

R5. Each RAS-entity, within 120 full calendar days of a RAS operation or a failure of its RAS to operate when expected, or on a mutually agreed upon schedule with its reviewing Reliability Coordinator(s), shall

Whereas the reliability tasks of PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2 are included in PRC-016-1 and PRC-012-2, PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2 is redundant and can be retired.

Owner later finds the Protection System or RAS operation to be incorrect through System Protection personnel analysis, the requirements of R2.1 through R2.4 become applicable at the time the Transmission Owner or Generator Owner identifies the Misoperation:

analyze and communicate RAS performance.

PRC-012-2, Requirements R6 and R7 further cover RAS

Requirement R6 requires the TO, GO, and DP develop and submit a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) to the Reliability Coordinator within six months of: 1) notification of a RAS deficiency (see R4 and R5), or identifying a deficiency while performing a functional test (R8).

PRC-001-1.1(ii)

R2. Each Generator Operator and Transmission Operator shall notify

reliability entities of relay or equipment failures as follows:

R2.1. If a protective relay or equipment failure reduces system reliability, the Generator Operator shall notify its Transmission Operator and Host Balancing Authority. The Generator Operator

shall take corrective action as soon

as possible.

R2.2. If a protective relay or equipment failure reduces system reliability, the Transmission Operator shall notify its Reliability Coordinator and affected Transmission Operators and Balancing Authorities. The Transmission Operator shall take corrective action as soon as possible.

R6. Each Transmission Operator and Balancing Authority shall monitor the status of each Special Protection System in their area, and shall notify affected

PRC-001-1.1(ii)

R2 and R6 require the applicable entities to be aware of PS/RAS and to communicate with other affected parties in the event of change or operation of these devices. That standard is broad enough to allow the operators to determine the best appropriate action based on all the surrounding circumstances. Those actions may or may not include the specified tasks included in PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2. If the specifics of that requirement are retained, they limit the operator's discretion and could lead to a lessthan-favorable operational decision simply to be compliant, thereby defeating the reliability-related intent.

PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2 requires that the device be taken out-of-service under specified circumstances. By contrast, TOP-001-3, Requirement R1, requires the TO to "act to maintain the reliability of its Transmission Operator Area via its own actions." The TOP-001-3,

RAS has a Security-Based Misoperation and two or more Functionally Equivalent Protection Systems (FEPS) or Functionally Equivalent RAS (FERAS) remain in service to ensure Bulk Electric System (BES) reliability, the Transmission Owners or Generator Owners shall remove from service the Protection System or RAS that misoperated within 22 hours following identification of the Misoperation. Repair or replacement of the failed Protection System or RAS is at the Transmission Owners' and Generator Owners' discretion. [Violation Risk Factor: High] [Time Horizon: Same-day Operations]

R2.1. If the Protection System or

Transmission Operators and Balancing Authorities of each change in status.

PRC-004-4(i)

R5. Each Transmission Owner, Generator Owner, and Distribution Provider that owns the Protection System component(s) that caused the Misoperation shall, within 60 calendar days of first identifying a cause of the Misoperation:

- Develop a Corrective Action
 Plan (CAP) for the identified
 Protection System
 component(s), and an
 evaluation of the CAP's
 applicability to the entity's
 other Protection Systems
 including other locations; or
- Explain in a declaration why corrective actions are beyond the entity's control or would not improve BES reliability, and that no further corrective actions will be taken.

PRC-016-1

R1. The Transmission Owner, Generator Owner, and Distribution Provider that owns an RAS shall analyze its RAS operations and maintain a record of all misoperations in accordance with the Regional RAS review procedure specified in Reliability Standard PRC-012-0_R1.

R2. The Transmission Owner, Generator Owner, and Distribution Provider that owns a RAS shall take corrective actions to avoid future misoperations. Requirement R1 mandate to act with discretion conflicts with the PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2 mandate to perform specific tasks. The PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2 approach has the potential to lead to reliability concerns; by contrast, the approach of PRC-001-1.1(ii) and TOP-001-3 provide the operator with discretion more targeted for remedy of actual circumstances and not implemented merely for compliance purposes.

Additionally, the overly prescriptive PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2 approach may conflict with IRO-017-1 Requirement R1 wherein the Reliability Coordinator (RC) is required to "develop, implement, and maintain an outage coordination process." If PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2 is retained it mandates a specific action that may conflict with the broader authority and outage coordination process established by the RC.

- R2.2. If the Protection System or RAS has a Security-Based Misoperation and only one FEPS or FERAS remains in service to ensure BES reliability, the Transmission Owner or Generator Owner shall perform the following. [Violation Risk Factor: High] [Time Horizon: Same-day Operations]
 R2.2.1. Following identification of the Protection System or RAS
- R2.2.1. Following identification of the Protection System or RAS Misoperation, Transmission Owners and Generator Owners shall remove from service within 22 hours for repair or modification the Protection System or RAS that misoperated.
- R2.2.2. The Transmission Owner or Generator Owner shall repair or replace any Protection System or RAS that misoperated with a FEPS or FERAS within 20 business days of the date of removal. The Transmission Owner or Generator Owner shall remove the Element from service or disable the RAS if repair or replacement is not completed within 20 business days.
- R2.3. If the Protection System or RAS has a Security-Based or Dependability-Based Misoperation and a FEPS and FERAS is not in service to ensure BES reliability, Transmission Owners or Generator Owners shall repair and place back in service within 22 hours the Protection System or RAS that misoperated. If this cannot be done, then Transmission Owners and Generator Owners shall perform the following. [Violation Risk Factor:

High] [Time Horizon: Same-day Operations] R2.3.1. When a FEPS is not		
available, the Transmission Owners shall remove the associated Element from service.		
R2.3.2. When FERAS is not available, then		
2.3.2.1. The Generator Owners shall adjust generation to a reliable operating level, or		
2.3.2.2. Transmission Operators shall adjust the SOL and operate the facilities within established limits.		
R2.4. If the Protection System or RAS has a Dependability-Based Misoperation but has one or more		
reps or Feral that operated correctly, the associated Element or transmission path may remain in		
service without removing from service the Protection System or RAS that failed, provided one of the		
following is performed. R2.4.1. Transmission Owners or Generator Owners shall repair or		
replace any Protection System or RAS that misoperated with FEPS and FERAS within 20 business days of		
the date of the Misoperation identification, or R2.4.2. Transmission Owners or		
Generator Owners shall remove from service the associated Element		
or RAS. [Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Operations Assessment]		
R.3. Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall submit	As of July 1, 2016, Protection System Operations and	Retirement of PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R3 fits the retirement

Misoperation incident reports to WECC within 10 business days for the following.

- R3.1. Identification of a Misoperation of a Protection System and/or RAS,
- R3.2. Completion of repairs or the replacement of Protection System and/or RAS that misoperated.

Misoperations are reported by TOs, GOs, and DPs, via the Misoperation Information Data Analysis System at NERC (MIDAS) in PRC-004 -5(i) and the accompanying 1600 Data Request.

This renders PRC-004-WECC-2 administrative request redundant.

criteria established under FERC's "P81" criteria. See Retirement of Requirement R3 analysis in the main body of this filing.

The 10-day time window is a legacy imported from the RMS, circa July 1999. A records search at WECC and inquires via corporate memory did not reveal why the original drafters believed the 10 days were essential. However, the 10-day reference was found in the 1999 WSCC Reliability Criteria Agreement (Section 5 Determining Compliance, 5.2 Data Submission and Review) as part of the document's compliance section giving rise to the conclusion that it was required for accountability and not reliability.

Considering the NERC 1600 requirement, the 10-days has proven to no longer be essential.

Currently, Midas will send out reminder notifications to entities that have not yet submitted for a specified quarter. They will also provide confirmation notifications upon submittal. Once the submittal is reviewed by the regions or NERC, the regions may send additional notifications to the MIDAS contacts as questions arise.

Currently, all WECC entities must comply under that request, but they have 60 days to do so while also complying with the administrative request under PRC-004-WECC-2. Duplicative administrative reporting is not needed.

WECC will continue to be responsible
for facilitating and monitoring these
data submissions, and will continue
to share the content with the WECC
Relay Work Group (RWG) for further
analysis and recommendations.

Exhibit B Implementation Plan

Implementation Plan WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2 Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation

Standard Authorization Request

WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2 Request to Retire - Standard Authorization Request

Approvals Required

- WECC Board of DirectorsDecember 6, 2017
- NERC Board of Trustees February 8, 2018
- FERC Pending

Applicable Entities

4. Applicability

- **4.1.** Transmission Owners of selected WECC major transmission path facilities and RAS listed in tables titled "Major WECC Transfer Paths in the Bulk Electric System" provided at https://www.wecc.biz/Reliability/TableMajorPaths4-28-08.pdf and "Major WECC Remedial Action Schemes (RAS)" provided at https://www.wecc.biz/Reliability/TableMajorRAS4-28-08.pdf.
- **4.2.** Generator Owners that own RAS listed in the Table titled "Major WECC Remedial Action Schemes (RAS)" provided at https://wecc.biz/Reliability/TableMajorRAS4-28-08.pdf.
- 4.3. Transmission Operators that operate major transmission path facilities and RAS listed in Tables titled "Major WECC Transfer Paths in the Bulk Electric System" provided at https://www.wecc.biz/Reliability/TableMajorPaths4-28-08.pdf and "Major WECC Remedial Action Schemes (RAS)" provided at https://www.wecc.biz/Reliability/TableMajorRAS4-28-08.pdf.

Conforming Changes to Other Standards

The entire standard is proposed for retirement immediately and in its entirety on receipt of applicable regulatory approval because the reliability-related substance is addressed in peripheral NERC Standards. The WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2, Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation Standard Drafting Team (DT) does not believe any further actions are necessary to implement the proposed retirement.

Proposed Effective Date

Immediately upon receipt of applicable regulatory approval.



Justification

The DT reviewed NERC Standards, both in effect and proposed for regulatory approval. The DT also considered the development history of PRC-004-WECC-2 and its history of performance.

What follows are the findings and justification for full and immediate retirement of the document. A detailed analysis of each of the following bullets is included with this filing.

- 1) The reliability concern for which the original standard was drafted is now specifically covered in FAC-003-4 Transmission Vegetation Management (enforceable October 1, 2016).
- 2) The Applicability section is overly narrow and included in other existing NERC Standards.
- 3) Requirement R1 is covered in other NERC Standards.
- 4) Requirement R2 is covered in other NERC Standards, conflicts with existing NERC Standards, and its application can lessen reliability as opposed to enhancing it.
- 5) Requirement R3 is entirely administrative in nature and should be retired under FERC P81 criteria.
- 6) The language of the standard does not meet the FERC Order 672 criteria in that it fails to assign the reliability task directly to an entity included in the NERC Functional Model.

Considering the above findings, the DT is recommending full and immediate retirement of the standard upon receipt of applicable regulatory approval.

Consideration of Early Compliance

The drafting team foresees no concerns with early compliance.

Required Retirements

The currently approved standard (PRC-004-WECC-2) should be retired completely and immediately following applicable regulatory approval. No other retirements or modifications are needed.

Exhibit C Complete Record of Development

Steven Rueckert 155 North 400 West Salt Lake City, Utah 84103

January 2, 2018

Subject: Notification of Completion

WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2

Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation

Request to Retire a Regional Reliability Standard

To: Mat Bunch

Manager of Standards Development, Standards North American Electric Reliability Corporation 3353 Peachtree Rd. NE, North Tower – Suite 600

Atlanta, GA 30326

Dear Mat,

In accordance with the Western Electricity Coordinating Council's (WECC) Reliability Standards Development Procedures, the WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2, Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation Drafting Team has completed its assigned project. Proposed retirement of the standard has been approved by the WECC Ballot Pool and the WECC Board of Directors.

The NERC Board of Trustees approved retirement of PRC-004-WECC-2 on February 8, 2018. WECC is seeking disposition by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, to retire PRC-004-WECC-2 immediately on receipt of applicable regulatory approval. The reliability-related content of the standard is covered in other NERC Standards.

Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Steven Rueckert
Director of Standards
Western Electricity Coordinating Council



For documentation support please contact Mr. W. Shannon Black, sblack@wecc.biz, (503) 307-5782.

WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2					
Protection System and Remedial	Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation				
Request to Retire a Regional Reli					
SAR – Standard Authorization Re	•				
Regional Reliability Standard(s) (<u> </u>	ttachment B (2)			
Project Roadmap Attachment C	•				
Implementation Plan Attachmen	• •				
Technical Justification Attachme					
Regional Reliability Standard Sub	•	·			
Drafting Team Roster with Biogra		ent G (7)			
Ballot Pool Members Attachmen					
Final Ballot Results Attachment I	• •				
Minority Issues Attachment J (10	•				
	WECC Standards Committee Roster Attachment K (11)				
Responses to Comments – WECC	Attachment L1	(12) and L2 (12b)			
				Info (13)	
				PRC-004-WECC-2	
				(Retirement) (14)	
				Submit Comments	
PRC-004-WECC-2 (Retirement)		Standard Under Development	11/03/17 - 12/18/17	Technical Justification for Retirement (15)	
(Kethement)		Development	12/10/17	Unofficial Comment Form (Word) (16)	
				Comments Received (17)	
				Consideration of Comments (18)	



Attachment A Standard Authorization Request

WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2
Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation
Request to Retire

This Standard Authorization Request (SAR) was received on October 26, 2016, and deemed complete the same day. The SAR was vetted and approved during the December 6, 2016 WECC Standards Committee meeting.

Introduction

In accordance with the Reliability Standards Development Procedures (Procedures), WECC Regional Reliability Standards (RRS), such as PRC-004-WECC-2, Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation, are to be reviewed at least once every five years.

Version 1 was approved in FERC Order 751 (issued April 21, 2011) with a United States Enforcement Date of October 12, 2011. Version 2 was approved in FERC Order 818 (issued November 19, 2015) with a United States Enforcement Date of April 1, 2017. Changes between Version 1 and Version 2 focus mainly on incorporation of "the new Remedial Action Scheme definition and eliminate use of the term Special Protection System, and the associated implementation plan." FERC Order 818, p.23, footnote 31

Requester Information

1. Provide your contact information and your alternates contact information:

• Your First Name: W. Shannon

Your Last Name: Black

Your Email Address: sblack@wecc.bizYour Phone Number: (503) 307-5782

Organization Name: WECC
 Alternates First Name: Steven
 Alternates Last Name: Rueckert

Alternates Email Address: steve@wecc.biz

Alternates Phone Number: NA



Type of Request

- 2. Specify the type of request: (select one)
 - Request to Review and update as needed.

Create, Modify or Retire a Document Questions

Provide the requested information for your request to create, modify, or retire the document.

- 3. Requested Action: (select one)
 - Other
 - i. Five-year review
- 4. Document Type: (select one)
 - WECC Regional Reliability Standard (RRS)
- 5. Issue: Specify what industry problem this request is trying to resolve.

The RRS was created under the Procedures and requires review at least once every five years.

6. Proposed Remedy: Specify how this request proposes to address the issue described.

Overall Review

This SAR is designed to meet the five-year review requirement contained in the Procedures.

The assigned drafting team is requested to review the document and to recommend any one or more of the following actions, as deemed appropriate:

- Complete or partial retirement;
- Correction of non-substantive drafting conventions and formats;
- Full redraft, if deemed necessary;
- Conformity and/or alignment with other regulatory documents;
- Restructuring of the document;
- Relocating portions of the document;
- Any combination of the above.

Consider for Retirement

In completing the five-year review, the drafting team is requested to specifically review Requirement R3 for possible retirement because, among other things, the requirement is administrative in nature, may be redundant to WECC authority under the NERC Rules of

Procedure, Section 1600 Data Request, and may provide no added reliability to the Bulk-Electric System. ¹

The drafting team should review PRC-004-4(i), Protection System Misoperation Identification and Correction to ensure PRC-004-WECC-2 does not conflict with or create redundancy to that document. (See Background section of the NERC PRC-004-4(i).)

The following is offered for drafting team guidance.

When a Regional Reliability Standard requirement meets one or more of the following three criteria, it should be retired:²

- 1) Retirement of the requirement creates no reliability gaps;
- 2) The requirement is generally administrative in nature; or,
- 3) The requirement is redundant, or is adverse to the reliability principles set forth by NERC.

Criterion A: Reliability Gaps

Retirement of the requirement would create no reliability gaps.

Criterion B: Administrative

The requirement is generally administrative in nature in that is meets one or more of the following criteria: 1) administrative; 2) data collection/data retention; 3) documentation; 4) reporting; 5) periodic updates; 6) commercial or business practice; and 7) redundant).

Criterion C: Redundancy

The requirement is redundant in that it meets one or more of the following criteria: 1) the requirement part of a Find/Fix/Track filing; 2) the requirement is being reviewed in an ongoing Standards Development Project; 3) the requirement is a Violation Risk Factor ("VRF") of the requirement; 4) the requirement is a Tier in the 2013 Actively Monitored List ("AML"); 5) the requirement has a negative impact on NERC's reliability principles; 6) the requirement has a negative impact on the defense in-depth protection of the Bulk Electric System; or 7) the requirement has a negative impact on the promotion of results or performance based Reliability Standards.

¹ Reliability Standard PRC-004-WECC-2 addresses the analysis of misoperations that occur on transmission and generation protection systems and remedial action schemes in the Western Interconnection. Docket No. RM09-9-000. It replaced PRC-004-WECC-1. (Predecessors include WECC PRC-STD-001-1, and WECC PRC-STD-003-1.) Changes made to the tables included in the applicability section of the standard will require development and submittal of the underlying methodology. 18 CFR Part 40, RM-09-9-000, Order Number 751, p. 40 (Order 751). FERC has already deemed this regional standard more stringent than the NERC equivalent. Order 751. para. 34-37.

² NERC Paragraph 81 Technical White Paper, page 6, December 20, 2012

² P81 Technical Paper, Section II. Executive Summary, Oct 23, 2012.

Specifically, for a requirement to be proposed for retirement, it must satisfy both, number 1 and 2 above. Number 3 is considered as additional information to make a more informed decision.

- 7. Functions: Each function will be reviewed if affected.
 - Transmission Owners of selected WECC major transmission path facilities and Remedial Action Schemes listed in the tables titled "Major WECC Transfer Path in the Bulk Electric System" and "Major WECC Remedial Action Schemes (RAS)".
 - Generator Owners that own RASs listed in the table titled "Major WECC Remedial Action Schemes (RAS)".
 - Transmission Operators that operate major transmission path facilities and RAS listed in Tables title "Major WECC Transfer Paths in the Bulk Electric System" and "Major WECC Remedial Action Schemes (RAS)".
- 8. Detailed Description:

See above.

- 9. Affected Reliability Principles: Which of the following reliability principles is MOST affected by this request? (select one)
 - **Reliability Principle** —Information necessary for the planning and operation of interconnected bulk electric systems shall be made available to those entities responsible for planning and operating the systems reliably.

Document Information

Specify the documents title, document number, and affected section regarding the request.

10. Document Title: See above.

Reference Uploads

Please reference or upload any affected Standards, Regional Business Practices, Criterion, Policies, White Papers, Technical Reports or other relevant documents. If this request is based on a conflict of law, please include a copy of, or accessible reference to, the specific law or regulatory mandate in conflict.

11. Provide additional comments (if needed)

Standard PRC-012-2 Remedial Action Schemes, Gene Henneberg, NV Energy, Davis Erwin, Pacific Gas and Electric Company

A. Introduction

1. Title: Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation

2. Number: PRC-004-WECC-2

3. Purpose: Regional Reliability Standard to ensure all transmission and generation Protection

System and Remedial Action Scheme (RAS) Misoperations on Transmission Paths

and RAS defined in section 4 are analyzed and/or mitigated.

4. Applicability

- **4.1.** Transmission Owners of selected WECC major transmission path facilities and RAS listed in tables titled "Major WECC Transfer Paths in the Bulk Electric System" provided at https://www.wecc.biz/Reliability/TableMajorPaths4-28-08.pdf and "Major WECC Remedial Action Schemes (RAS)" provided at https://www.wecc.biz/Reliability/TableMajorRAS4-28-08.pdf.
- **4.2.** Generator Owners that own RAS listed in the Table titled "Major WECC Remedial Action Schemes (RAS)" provided at https://wecc.biz/Reliability/TableMajorRAS4-28-08.pdf.
- **4.3.** Transmission Operators that operate major transmission path facilities and RAS listed in Tables titled "Major WECC Transfer Paths in the Bulk Electric System" provided at https://www.wecc.biz/Reliability/TableMajorPaths4-28-08.pdf and "Major WECC Remedial Action Schemes (RAS)" provided at https://www.wecc.biz/Reliability/TableMajorRAS4-28-08.pdf.
- **5. Effective Date:** See Implementation Plan for the Revised Definition of "Remedial Action Scheme"

B. Requirements

The requirements below only apply to the major transmission paths facilities and RAS listed in the tables titled "Major WECC Transfer Paths in the Bulk Electric System" and "Major WECC Remedial Action Schemes (RAS)."

- **R.1.** System Operators and System Protection personnel of the Transmission Owners and Generator Owners shall analyze all Protection System and RAS operations. [Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Operations Assessment]
 - **R1.1.** System Operators shall review all tripping of transmission elements and RAS operations to identify apparent Misoperations within 24 hours.
 - **R1.2.** System Protection personnel shall analyze all operations of Protection Systems and RAS within 20 business days for correctness to characterize whether a Misoperation has occurred that may not have been identified by System Operators.
- **R.2.** Transmission Owners and Generator Owners shall perform the following actions for each Misoperation of the Protection System or RAS. It is not intended that Requirements R2.1 through R2.4 apply to Protection System and/or RAS actions that appear to be entirely reasonable and correct at the time of occurrence and associated system performance is fully compliant with NERC Reliability Standards. If the Transmission Owner or Generator Owner later finds the Protection System or RAS operation to be incorrect through System Protection personnel analysis, the requirements of R2.1 through R2.4 become applicable at the time the Transmission Owner or Generator Owner identifies the Misoperation:
 - **R2.1.** If the Protection System or RAS has a Security-Based Misoperation and two or more Functionally Equivalent Protection Systems (FEPS) or Functionally Equivalent RAS (FERAS) remain in service to ensure Bulk Electric System (BES) reliability, the Transmission Owners or Generator Owners shall remove from service the Protection

- System or RAS that misoperated within 22 hours following identification of the Misoperation. Repair or replacement of the failed Protection System or RAS is at the Transmission Owners' and Generator Owners' discretion. [Violation Risk Factor: High] [Time Horizon: Same-day Operations]
- **R2.2.** If the Protection System or RAS has a Security-Based Misoperation and only one FEPS or FERAS remains in service to ensure BES reliability, the Transmission Owner or Generator Owner shall perform the following. [Violation Risk Factor: High] [Time Horizon: Same-day Operations]
 - **R2.2.1.** Following identification of the Protection System or RAS Misoperation, Transmission Owners and Generator Owners shall remove from service within 22 hours for repair or modification the Protection System or RAS that misoperated.
 - **R2.2.2.** The Transmission Owner or Generator Owner shall repair or replace any Protection System or RAS that misoperated with a FEPS or FERAS within 20 business days of the date of removal. The Transmission Owner or Generator Owner shall remove the Element from service or disable the RAS if repair or replacement is not completed within 20 business days.
- **R2.3.** If the Protection System or RAS has a Security-Based or Dependability-Based Misoperation and a FEPS and FERAS is not in service to ensure BES reliability, Transmission Owners or Generator Owners shall repair and place back in service within 22 hours the Protection System or RAS that misoperated. If this cannot be done, then Transmission Owners and Generator Owners shall perform the following. [Violation Risk Factor: High] [Time Horizon: Same-day Operations]
 - **R2.3.1.** When a FEPS is not available, the Transmission Owners shall remove the associated Element from service.
 - **R2.3.2.** When FERAS is not available, then
 - **2.3.2.1.** The Generator Owners shall adjust generation to a reliable operating level, or
 - **2.3.2.2.** Transmission Operators shall adjust the SOL and operate the facilities within established limits.
- **R2.4.** If the Protection System or RAS has a Dependability-Based Misoperation but has one or more FEPS or FERAS that operated correctly, the associated Element or transmission path may remain in service without removing from service the Protection System or RAS that failed, provided one of the following is performed.
 - **R2.4.1.** Transmission Owners or Generator Owners shall repair or replace any Protection System or RAS that misoperated with FEPS and FERAS within 20 business days of the date of the Misoperation identification, or
 - **R2.4.2.** Transmission Owners or Generator Owners shall remove from service the associated Element or RAS. [Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Operations Assessment]
- **R.3.** Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall submit Misoperation incident reports to WECC within 10 business days for the following. [Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Operations Assessment]
 - **R3.1.** Identification of a Misoperation of a Protection System and/or RAS,
 - **R3.2.** Completion of repairs or the replacement of Protection System and/or RAS that misoperated.

C. Measures

Each measure below applies directly to the requirement by number.

- **M1.** Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall have evidence that they reported and analyzed all Protection System and RAS operations.
 - **M1.1** Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall have evidence that System Operating personnel reviewed all operations of Protection System and RAS within 24 hours.
 - M1.2 Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall have evidence that System Protection personnel analyzed all operations of Protection System and RAS for correctness within 20 business days.
- M2. Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall have evidence for the following.
 - **M2.1** Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall have evidence that they removed the Protection System or RAS that misoperated from service within 22 hours following identification of the Protection System or RAS Misoperation.
 - **M2.2** Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall have evidence that they removed from service and repaired the Protection System or RAS that misoperated per measurements M2.2.1 through M2.2.2.
 - **M2.2.1** Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall have evidence that they removed the Protection System or RAS that misoperated from service within 22 hours following identification of the Protection System or RAS Misoperation.
 - **M2.2.2** Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall have evidence that they repaired or replaced the Protection System or RAS that misoperated within 20 business days or either removed the Element from service or disabled the RAS.
 - M2.3 The Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall have evidence that they repaired the Protection System or RAS that misoperated within 22 hours following identification of the Protection System or RAS Misoperation.
 - **M2.3.1** The Transmission Owner shall have evidence that it removed the associated Element from service.
 - **M2.3.2** The Generator Owners and Transmission Operators shall have documentation describing all actions taken that adjusted generation or SOLs and operated facilities within established limits.
 - **M2.4** Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall have evidence that they repaired or replaced the Protection System or RAS that misoperated including documentation that describes the actions taken.
 - **M2.4.1** Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall have evidence that they repaired or replaced the Protection System or RAS that misoperated within 20 business days of the misoperation identification.
 - **M2.4.2** Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall have evidence that they removed the associated Element or RAS from service.
- **M3.** Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall have evidence that they reported the following within 10 business days.

- **M3.1** Identification of all Protection System and RAS Misoperations and corrective actions taken or planned.
- **M3.2** Completion of repair or replacement of Protection System and/or RAS that misoperated.

D. Compliance

1. Compliance Monitoring Process

1.1 Compliance Monitoring Responsibility

Compliance Enforcement Authority

1.2 Compliance Monitoring Period

Compliance Enforcement Authority may use one or more of the following methods to assess compliance:

- Misoperation Reports
- Reports submitted quarterly
- Spot check audits conducted anytime with 30 days notice given to prepare
- Periodic audit as scheduled by the Compliance Enforcement Authority
- Investigations
- Other methods as provided for in the Compliance Monitoring Enforcement Program
- **1.2.1** The Performance-reset Period is one calendar month.

1.3 Data Retention

Reliability Coordinators, Transmission Owners, and Generation Owners shall keep evidence for Measures M1 and M2 for five calendar years plus year to date.

1.4. Additional Compliance Information

None.

2. Violation Severity Levels

R1

Lower	Moderate	High	Severe
System Operating personnel	System Operating personnel of	System Protection personnel	System Protection
of the Transmission Owner	the Transmission Owner or	of the Transmission Owner	personnel of the
or Generator Owner did not	Generator Owner did not	and Generator Owner did	Transmission Owner or
review the Protection	review the Protection System	not analyze the Protection	Generator Owner did not
System Operation or RAS	operation or RAS operation	System operation or RAS	analyze the Protection
operation within 24 hours	within six business days.	operation within 20 business	System operation or RAS
but did review the		days but did analyze the	operation within 25
Protection System		Protection System operation	business days.
Operation or RAS operation		or RAS operation within 25	
within six business days.		business days.	
-			

R2.1 and R2.2.1

Lower	Moderate	High	Severe
The Transmission Owner and Generator Owner did not remove from service, repair, or implement other compliance measures for the Protection System or RAS that misoperated as required within 22 hours but did perform the requirements within 24 hours.	The Transmission Owner and Generator Owner did not remove from service, repair, or implement other compliance measures for the Protection System or RAS that misoperated as required in less than 24 hours but did perform the requirements within 28 hours.	The Transmission Owner and Generator Owner did not perform the removal from service, repair, or implement other compliance measures for the Protection System or RAS that misoperated as required in less than 28 hours but did perform the requirements within 32 hours.	The Transmission Owner and Generator Owner did not perform the removal from service, repair, or implement other compliance measures for the Protection System or RAS that misoperated as required within 32 hours.

R2.3

Lower	Moderate	High	Severe
The Transmission Operator	The Transmission Operator	The Transmission Operator	The Transmission
and Generator Owner did	and Generator Owner did not	and Generator Owner did	Operator and Generator
not adjust generation to a	adjust generation to a reliable	not adjust generation to a	Owner did not adjust
reliable operating level,	operating level, adjust the	reliable operating level,	generation to a reliable
adjust the SOL and operate	SOL and operate the facilities	adjust the SOL and operate	operating level, adjust the
the facilities within	within established limits or	the facilities within	SOL and operate the
established limits or	implement other compliance	established limits or	facilities within
implement other compliance	measures for the Protection	implement other compliance	established limits or
measures for the Protection	System or RAS that	measures for the Protection	implement other
System or RAS that	misoperated as required in less	System or RAS that	compliance measures for
misoperated as required	than 24 hours but did perform	misoperated as required in	the Protection System or
within 22 hours but did	the requirements within 28	less than 28 hours but did	RAS that misoperated as
perform the requirements	hours.	perform the requirements	required within 32 hours.
within 24 hours.		within 32 hours.	

R2.2.2 and R2.4

Lower	Moderate	High	Severe
The Transmission Owner and Generator Owner did not perform the required repairs, replacement, or system operation adjustments to comply with the requirements within 20 business days but did	The Transmission Owner and Generator Owner did not perform the required repairs, replacement, or system operation adjustment to comply with the requirements within 25 business days but did perform the required	The Transmission Owner and Generator Owner did not perform the required repairs, replacement, or system operation adjustment to comply with the requirements within 28 business days but did	The Transmission Owner and Generator Owner did not perform the required repairs, replacement, or system operation adjustments to comply with the requirements within 30 business days.
perform the required activities within 25 business	activities within 28 business days.	perform the required activities within 30 business	within 30 business days.
days.		days.	

R3.1

Lower	Moderate	High	Severe
The Transmission Owner and Generator Owner did not report the Misoperation and corrective actions taken or planned to comply with the requirements within 10 business days but did perform the required activities within 15 business days.	The Transmission Owner and Generator Owner did not report the Misoperation and corrective actions taken or planned to comply with the requirements within 15 business days but did perform the required activities within 20 business days.	The Transmission Owner and Generator Owner did not report the Misoperation and corrective actions taken or planned to comply with the requirements within 20 business days but did perform the required activities within 25 business days.	The Transmission Owner and Generator Owner did not report the Misoperation and corrective actions taken or planned to comply with the requirements within 25 business days.

R3.2

Lower	wer Moderate High		Severe
The Transmission Owner and Generator Owner did not report the completion of repair or replacement of Protection System and/or RAS that misoperated to comply with the requirements within 10 business days of the completion but did perform the required activities within 15 business days.	The Transmission Owner and Generator Owner did not report the completion of repair or replacement of Protection System and/or RAS that misoperated to comply with the requirements within 15 business days of the completion but did perform the required activities within 20 business days.	The Transmission Owner and Generator Owner did not report the completion of repair or replacement of Protection System and/or RAS that misoperated to comply with the requirements within 20 business days of the completion but did perform the required activities within 25 business days.	The Transmission Owner and Generator Owner did not report the completion of repair or replacement of Protection System and/or RAS that misoperated to comply with the requirements within 25 business days of the completion.

WECC Standard PRC-004-WECC-2 — Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation

Version History — Shows Approval History and Summary of Changes in the Action Field

Version	Date	Action	Change Tracking
1	April 16, 2008	Permanent Replacement Standard for PRC-STD-001-1 and PRC-STD-003-1	
1	April 21, 2011	FERC Order issued approving PRC-004-WECC-1 (approval effective June 27, 2011)	
2	November 13, 2014	Adopted by the NERC Board of Trustees	
2	November 19, 2015	FERC Order issued approving PRC-004-WECC-2. Docket No. RM15-13-000.	
2	May 26, 2017	All links were updated in the Applicability section of the standard (4.1, 4.2 and 4.3)	

Attachment C
Project Roadmap
WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2
Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation
Request to Retire

Project Roadmap

Actions	Proposed Date
1. SAR Filed	October 26, 2016
2. DT Solicited	October 26, 2016
3. WSC approved the SAR and DT	December 6, 2016
4. First DT meeting	January 26, 2017
5. Posting 1 Comments Open	April 6, 2017
6. Posting 1 Comments Closed (45-day)	May 22, 2017
7. DT Meets to answer Comments	May 24, 2017
8. WSC approves for Ballot	July 6, 2017
9. Notice of Ballot Pool Forming	July 10, 2017
10. Ballot Pool Open	July 11, 2017
11. Notice of Standards Briefing	July 17, 2017
12. Ballot Pool – Closed	July 26, 2017
13. Standards Briefing	August 1, 2017
14. Ballot Open	August 9, 2017
15. Ballot Closes	August 28, 2017
16. NERC Posting for 45 days – Open	November 3, 2017
17. WECC Board of Directors approval	December 6, 2017
18. NERC Posting for 45 days – Closed	December 18, 2017
19. NERC Board of Trustees approval	February 8, 2017



Anticipated Actions	Proposed Date
1. FERC approval	

Attachment D Implementation Plan WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2

Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation

Standard Authorization Request

WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2 Request to Retire - Standard Authorization Request

Approvals Required

- WECC Board of DirectorsDecember 6, 2017
- NERC Board of Trustees February 8, 2018
- FERC Pending

Applicable Entities

4. Applicability

- 4.1. Transmission Owners of selected WECC major transmission path facilities and RAS listed in tables titled "Major WECC Transfer Paths in the Bulk Electric System" provided at https://www.wecc.biz/Reliability/TableMajorPaths4-28-08.pdf and "Major WECC Remedial Action Schemes (RAS)" provided at https://www.wecc.biz/Reliability/TableMajorRAS4-28-08.pdf.
- **4.2.** Generator Owners that own RAS listed in the Table titled "Major WECC Remedial Action Schemes (RAS)" provided at https://wecc.biz/Reliability/TableMajorRAS4-28-08.pdf.
- 4.3. Transmission Operators that operate major transmission path facilities and RAS listed in Tables titled "Major WECC Transfer Paths in the Bulk Electric System" provided at https://www.wecc.biz/Reliability/TableMajorPaths4-28-08.pdf and "Major WECC Remedial Action Schemes (RAS)" provided at https://www.wecc.biz/Reliability/TableMajorRAS4-28-08.pdf.

Conforming Changes to Other Standards

The entire standard is proposed for retirement immediately and in its entirety on receipt of applicable regulatory approval because the reliability-related substance is addressed in peripheral NERC Standards. The WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2, Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation Standard Drafting Team (DT) does not believe any further actions are necessary to implement the proposed retirement.

Proposed Effective Date

Immediately upon receipt of applicable regulatory approval.



Justification

The DT reviewed NERC Standards, both in effect and proposed for regulatory approval. The DT also considered the development history of PRC-004-WECC-2 and its history of performance.

What follows are the findings and justification for full and immediate retirement of the document. A detailed analysis of each of the following bullets is included with this filing.

- 1) The reliability concern for which the original standard was drafted is now specifically covered in FAC-003-4 Transmission Vegetation Management (enforceable October 1, 2016).
- 2) The Applicability section is overly narrow and included in other existing NERC Standards.
- 3) Requirement R1 is covered in other NERC Standards.
- 4) Requirement R2 is covered in other NERC Standards, conflicts with existing NERC Standards, and its application can lessen reliability as opposed to enhancing it.
- 5) Requirement R3 is entirely administrative in nature and should be retired under FERC P81 criteria.
- 6) The language of the standard does not meet the FERC Order 672 criteria in that it fails to assign the reliability task directly to an entity included in the NERC Functional Model.

Considering the above findings, the DT is recommending full and immediate retirement of the standard upon receipt of applicable regulatory approval.

Consideration of Early Compliance

The drafting team foresees no concerns with early compliance.

Required Retirements

The currently approved standard (PRC-004-WECC-2) should be retired completely and immediately following applicable regulatory approval. No other retirements or modifications are needed.

Attachment E Technical Justification

WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2
Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation
Request to Retire

Cover Sheet

Technical Justification
Retirement of WECC Regional Reliability Standard
PRC-004-WECC-2
Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation

White Paper:

Retirement of WECC Regional Reliability Standard PRC-004-WECC-2

Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation

Technical Justification

WECC Standards Committee
June 21, 2017

Developed as: WECC-0126



155 North 400 West, Suite 200

Salt Lake City, Utah 84103-1114

Attachment G
Technical Justification

WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2
Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation
Request to Retire

Executive Summary

The WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2 Protection System (PS) and Remedial Action Scheme (RAS) Misoperation Drafting Team (DT) reviewed NERC Standards, both in effect and proposed for regulatory approval. The DT also considered the development history of PRC-004-WECC-2 and its history of performance.

The following are the DT's findings, conclusions, and recommendations.

Findings and Conclusion

The DT concluded that retirement of the standard can be made without incurring a negative impact on reliability because:

- 1. The reliability concern for which the standard was drafted is now specifically covered in FAC-003-4 Transmission Vegetation Management (enforceable October 1, 2016);
- 2. The Applicability section is overly narrow and included in other existing NERC Standards;
- 3. Requirement R1 is covered in other NERC Standards;
- 4. Requirement R2 is covered in other NERC Standards, conflicts with existing NERC Standards, and its application can lessen reliability as opposed to enhancing it;
- 5. Requirement R3 is entirely administrative in nature and should be retired under FERC P81 criteria;
- 6. The language of the standard does not meet the FERC Order 672 criteria in that it fails to assign the reliability task directly to an entity included in the NERC Functional Model.

Recommendation

After completing its review, the DT recommends that the substance of PRC-004-WECC-2 should be retired immediately and in its entirety because the reliability-related substance is addressed in peripheral NERC Standards. The DT does not believe any further actions are necessary to implement the proposed change.

Background

In 1996, two system disturbances occurred within the Western Interconnection, on the same elements within a single 24-hour period, due to improper vegetation management. To prevent reoccurrence of such a specific event, language was included in WECC's Reliability Management System (RMS) requiring that the relay or Remedial Action Scheme (RAS) that misoperated be removed from service or repaired within 22 hours.¹ The language was premised on the position that if the misoperation was analyzed and promptly removed from service, the system operators could remedy the cause before an iterative misoperation took place.

By 2007, with the implementation of mandatory standards, WECC examined the RMS, identifying those requirements it deemed essential for reliability that were not addressed by NERC Standards, and translated those requirements into a language and format acceptable to the North America Electricity Reliability Council (NERC)³ and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). That translation resulted in WECC Standard PRC-STD-003-1, Protective Relay and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation and PRC-STD-001-1, Certification of Protective Relay Applications and Settings.⁴

As the mandatory scheme evolved, two things occurred. First, NERC/FERC identified drafting and format concerns in those two PRC-STD standards and instructed WECC to redraft them accordingly. The result was that the current PRC-004-WECC-1 (inactive March 31, 2017) was replaced by PRC-004-WECC-2 (United States Enforcement Date April 1, 2017) to accommodate changes in the NERC Glossary

¹The Reliability Management System (RMS) (AKA: Western Electricity Coordinating Council, FERC Electric Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, Original Sheet Number 1) was the precursor to the NERC Mandatory Standards within the Western Interconnection. The Transfer Path Table and the Remedial Action Scheme table were originally developed as part of the RMS. The 22-hour period was memorialized in the RMS, Section I. Protective Relay and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation, and Section 2. WSCC Criterion, Section a. For more detail, refer to Compliance Filing of WECC in Response to Order Numbers 751 and 752 on Version One Regional Reliability Standards, RM09-09-000.

² "WECC explains that these requirements were developed as a result of a 345 kV line relay misoperation in July 1996 when virtually the same outage occurred the next day because the faulty equipment had not been isolated." 119 FERC ¶ 61,260; United States of America Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) North American Electric Reliability Corporation, Docket No. RR07-11-000, Order Approving Regional Reliability Standards for the Western Interconnection and Directing Modifications (Issued June 8, 2007), para. 85.

³ Currently known as the North American Electricity Reliability Corporation (emphasis added).

⁴ 135 FERC ¶ 61,061; United States of America Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 18 CFR Part 40, Docket No. RM09-9-000; Order No. 751, Version One Regional Reliability Standards for Facilities Design, Connections, and Maintenance; Protection and Control; and Voltage and Reactive (issued April 21, 2011), para. 34. FERC Order issued approving PRC-004-WECC-1 (approval effective June 27, 2011).

of Terms Used in NERC Reliability Standards (Glossary).⁵ ⁶ Second, the Facilities Design, Connection and Maintenance (FAC) standards were introduced to address the specific type of vegetation management concerns that caused the 1996 disturbances.

In the 20 years since the precipitating events, the *remedy* for those events shifted to the vegetation management standard of the NERC FAC suite and the remaining language pertinent to Protection Systems (PS), Special Protection Schemes (SPS), and Remedial Action Schemes (RAS) shifted to other NERC PRC Standards.⁷

Shifting Remediation

At the threshold, it should be noted that remediation of the 1996 seminal event has shifted to FAC-003-4, Transmission Vegetation Management. Therefore, PRC-004-WECC-2 no longer addresses the cause for which it was drafted.

In 1996, if the applicable entities had been complying with a 2016 version of FAC-003-4, Transmission Vegetation Management (enforceable October 1, 2016) it is unlikely that the predecessors to PRC-004-WECC-2 would have been written. Remediation for the primary causal event has shifted to FAC-003-4, which is applicable to transmission facilities operated at 200-kV or higher, and below 200-kV if the facility is identified as an element of a Major WECC Transfer Path. FAC-003-4 requires: 1) that vegetation be managed to prevent the type of encroachment encountered in 1996 (R1 and R2); 2) timely notification to the appropriate control center of vegetation conditions that could cause a Flashover at any moment (R4); and 3) corrective action to ensure that Flashover distances will not be violated due to work constraints.⁸

Applicability – Scope

The narrow scope of the PRC-004-WECC-2 Applicability section should be retired in favor of the broader Applicability section of other NERC Standards. Whereas PRC-004-WECC-2 only applies to

⁵ In the Glossary of Terms Used in NERC Reliability Standards, Protection Systems are not the same as Special Protection Systems (SPS). An SPS is synonymous with a RAS per that glossary.

⁶ Footnote 31 NERC RAS Petition at 1-2. NERC requested approval of the PRC-004-WECC-2 to incorporate the proposed definition of Remedial Action Scheme and eliminate use of the term Special Protection System. 153 FERC ¶ 61,228; United States of America Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 18 CFR Part 40, Docket Nos. RM15-7-000, RM15-12-000, and RM15-13-000, Order No. 818, Revisions to Emergency Operations Reliability Standards; Revisions to Undervoltage Load Shedding Reliability Standards; Revisions to the Definition of "Remedial Action Scheme" and Related Reliability Standards, (Issued November 19, 2015).

⁷ This project is part of WECC's commitment to harmonize PRC-004-WECC-2 with NERC Standards addressing RAS and PS per PRC-004-4(i), 5 Background, page 2.

⁸ FAC-003-4, Transmission Vegetation Management, Section 6. Background. See also: "Consideration of Actual Field Conditions in Determination of Facility Ratings."

specific RAS and PS included in defined tables, other NERC Standards address the same analysis without limiting the analysis to RAS and PS contained in the specified tables.

The Applicability of the PRC-004-WECC-2 reads as follows:

4. Applicability

- 4.1. Transmission Owners of selected WECC major transmission path facilities and RAS listed in tables titled "Major WECC Transfer Paths in the Bulk Electric System" provided at [hyperlink] and "Major WECC Remedial Action Schemes (RAS)" provided at [hyperlink].
- **4.2.** Generator Owners that own RAS listed in the Table titled "Major WECC Remedial Action Schemes (RAS)" provided at [hyperlink].
- **4.3.** Transmission Operators that operate major transmission path facilities and RAS listed in Tables titled "Major WECC Transfer Paths in the Bulk Electric System" provided at [hyperlink] and "Major WECC Remedial Action Schemes (RAS)" provided at [hyperlink].

Although the requirements of PRC-004-WECC-2 address both RAS and PS, other existing NERC Standards address these two topics in separate standards.

PRC-016-1 Remedial Action Scheme Misoperations, Requirement R1 requires any Transmission Owner (TO), Generator Owner (GO), and Distribution Provider (DP) owning a RAS to "...analyze its RAS operations and maintain a record of all misoperations..." in accordance with the regional procedures.

Since *all RAS* must be examined under PRC-016-1, there is no reason to retain PRC-004-WECC-2 which only applies to a specific and limited subset of WECC RAS. Review of all RAS under PRC-016-1 includes the subset of RAS targeted in PRC-004-WECC-2. Therefore, the PRC-004-WECC-2 Applicability section is a lesser included subset of PRC-016-1 (effective date April 1, 2017) making PRC-004-WECC-2 redundant.

In like fashion, PRC-004-4(i) Protection System Misoperation Identification and Correction, requires all TOs, GOs, and DPs to review *all PS* operations on the Bulk Electric System (BES) to: 1) identify those that are Misoperations of PS; 2) analyze Misoperations of PS; and 3) develop and implement Corrective Action Plans (CAP) to address the cause(s) of Misoperation. Thus, the PRC-004-WECC-2 Applicability section is a lesser included subset of PRC-004-4(i) making PRC-004-WECC-2 redundant.

Applicability – Failure to Meet Order 672 Criteria

Although the Applicability section accurately identifies the correct NERC Functional Entities, the Requirements do not assign tasks to those entities.

⁹ PRC-004-4(i) Protection System Misoperation Identification and Correction, 5. Background, page 2.

Rather than assigning the reliability task to the TO or GO, Requirement R1 assigns its task to "System Operators and System Protection personnel of the Transmission Owners and Generator Owners." Requirement R1 does not directly assign a reliability task to any applicable entity listed in the NERC Functional Model. As such, it falls short of the FERC Order 672 mandate that a Reliability Standard impose a requirement only on a user, owner, or operator of facilities associated with the Bulk-Power System (BES). Presuming the requirement could be interpreted to apply to the TO and GO directly, Requirement R1 imposes a duty to "analyze all Protection System and RAS operations." Because these tasks are covered in other NERC Standards (see following analysis) there is no need to retain the requirement nor try to sort out which NERC Functional Model entity the original draft intended.

Retirement of Requirement R1

The entirety of Requirement R1 should be retired because it is redundant to other NERC Standards.

The text of Requirement R1 is as follows:

B. Requirements

The requirements below only apply to the major transmission paths facilities and RAS listed in the tables titled "Major WECC Transfer Paths in the Bulk Electric System" and "Major WECC Remedial Action Schemes (RAS)."

- R.1. System Operators and System Protection personnel of the Transmission Owners and Generator Owners shall analyze all Protection System and RAS operations. [Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Operations Assessment]
 - R1.1. System Operators shall review all tripping of transmission elements and RAS operations to identify apparent Misoperations within 24 hours.
 - R1.2. System Protection personnel shall analyze all operations of Protection Systems and RAS within 20 business days for correctness to characterize whether a Misoperation has occurred that may not have been identified by System Operators.

Covered Elsewhere

Unlike PRC-004-WECC-2 that includes both PS and RAS, in the NERC Standards these two classifications of devices are addressed in separate standards.

As for PS, existing NERC Standards include and go beyond a mandate for analysis. TOs and Generator Operators (GOP) are required to be familiar with the purpose and limitations of their PS schemes and

¹⁰ The proposed Reliability Standard may impose a requirement on any user, owner, or operator of such facilities, but not on other entities (Order 672 at P. 322).

¹¹ In the Glossary of Terms Used in NERC Reliability Standards, Protection Systems are not the same as <u>Special</u> Protection Systems (SPS). An SPS is synonymous with a RAS per that glossary; an SPS is not the same as a Protection System.

take corrective actions as soon as possible – not just analyze the problem.¹² Entities must maintain and test their PS, and demonstrate efforts to correct identified Unresolved Maintenance Issues.¹³ Monitoring and situational awareness are also required¹⁴. Finally, TOs and GOs are required to correct identified and unresolved maintenance Issues.¹⁵ These combined NERC Standards meet and exceed the reliability concerns of Requirement R1 regarding PS.

As for RAS, PRC-004-4 not only calls for analysis it also requires coordination with other entities, notification of events and findings, and – most importantly – that corrective actions be planned and implemented. Elsewhere, applicable entities that own a RAS are required to analyze RAS operation and misoperation, take corrective actions to ensure misoperation does not reoccur, and to provide documentation of its activities upon request from the Regional Reliability Organization (RRO). ¹⁶ PRC-016-1 Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation calls for the inclusion of specific detail in its reports exceeding the requirement of PRC-004-WECC-2. Further, PRC-017-1 Special Protection System Maintenance and Testing requires both the TO and GO to have a system maintenance and testing program (to include specific characteristics), and to provide supporting documentation to the RRO on request. These combined NERC Standards meet and exceed the reliability concerns of PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R1 regarding RAS.

Finally, even in the absence of the continent-wide PRC suite, TOP standards would require essential analysis and remedial action so long as a facility continues in service with a single PS or RAS. In many cases, this occurs in less than the 20-day window prescribed in PRC-004-WECC-2 and focuses on results as opposed to a perfunctory task.¹⁷

The continent-wide TOP standards require time frames to take action that range from as quickly as possible out to as much as day-ahead planning. So long as a facility continues in service with a single PS

¹² PRC-001-1.1(ii) System Protection Coordination, Requirements R1 and R2.

¹³ PRC-005-6 – Protection System, Automatic Reclosing, and Sudden Pressure Relaying Maintenance.

¹⁴ PRC-001-1.1(ii) — System Protection Coordination; TOP-003-3, Operational Reliability Data, R1, part 1.2.

¹⁵ PRC-005-6 — Protection System, Automatic Reclosing, and Sudden Pressure Relaying Maintenance, Requirement R5.

¹⁶ PRC-016-1 — Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation; (United States Enforcement Date April 1, 2017).

 $^{^{17}}$ TPL-001-4 — Transmission System Planning Performance Requirements focuses on system performance rather than the method of achieving that performance.

TOP-002-2.1b — Normal Operations Planning, R6 focuses on a different aspect of system performance by analyzing the system at a minimum of the next N-1 Contingency planning.

TOP-004-2 — Transmission Operations, requires that TOPs operate to maintain reliability following occurrence of their most severe single contingency and (R3) for any multiple contingencies identified by their RC. These contingencies exclude any facilities that are already out-of-service (either forced or planned).

TOP-006-3 — Monitoring System Conditions, R3 requires that the RC, TOP, and Balancing Authority "shall provide its operating personnel with appropriate technical information concerning protective relays within" their areas of responsibility.

TOP-008-1 — Response to Transmission Limit Violations, R2 requires the TOP "operate to prevent the likelihood that a disturbance, action or inaction will result in an IROL or SOL violation ..." which reinforces the TPL-004-2 R2 requirement.

or RAS, the TOP is required by the TOP standards to evaluate the system impacts for that configuration at least every day and to take further action if required by the actual circumstances. These TOP time restrictions are much more rigorous than the WECC 20 business days.¹⁸

Because the reliability content of PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R1 is covered in other existing NERC Standards, Requirement R1 can be retired without incurring any negative impact on reliability.

Illusory Time Windows – 20 Business Days

In Requirement R1.2, the 20-day review period has its origins in compliance and not in reliability. Therefore, it is not essential for reliability.

When the predecessors of PRC-004-WECC-2 were developed (circa 1995-2000), the WECC Relay Work Group identified the duration of the window (<u>20</u> business days) to *measure* performance, not as a time window essential for reliability. ¹⁹ Meeting minutes from the WECC Relay Work Group establish the first draft of what would later be called a Violation Severity Level (VSL) wherein the 20-business-day window was included in a Level 3 and Level 4 VSL.

The definition of the window (20 <u>business days</u>) makes its regulatory debut in the RMS²⁰ where it is used as a defined term. A Business Day is defined as "any day other than Saturday, Sunday, or a legal public holiday as designated in section 6103, of title 5 US Code." If the 20-business day window was reliability in nature it would not be predicated on weekends and holidays. This conclusion is further buttressed when considering that holidays for the United States, Canada, and Mexico do not always align.

To the extent that any level of reliability currently attaches to the 20-day window, other NERC Standards impute a shorter time window for remedial action thereby rendering the 20-day window moot. As presented, the review of numerous other NERC Standards shows that operational review of the system is required to take place much sooner than 20 days.²¹ Thus, the duration and definition of the time window are irrelevant to reliability and can be retired without detriment to the system.

Retirement of Requirement R2

The entirety of Requirement R2 should be retired because it is redundant to other NERC Standards.

¹⁸ IRO-001.1 R3, requires action within 30 minutes. TOP-008 R2, as noted, primarily reinforces TOP-004 R2, basically saying that the TOP is covered within the IRO timing requirement.

¹⁹ WECC Relay Work Group Meeting Minutes, July 20, 2000.

²⁰ Reliability Management System, I. Protection Relay and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation, Section 2.d.

²¹ TOP-002-2.1b Normal Operations Planning, Requirement R6 requires a minimum of N-1 Contingency planning to meet unscheduled changes in system configuration and generation dispatch.

The text of Requirement R2 is as follows:

B. Requirements

- **R.2.** Transmission Owners and Generator Owners shall perform the following actions for each Misoperation of the Protection System or RAS. It is not intended that Requirements R2.1 through R2.4 apply to Protection System and/or RAS actions that appear to be entirely reasonable and correct at the time of occurrence and associated system performance is fully compliant with NERC Reliability Standards. If the Transmission Owner or Generator Owner later finds the Protection System or RAS operation to be incorrect through System Protection personnel analysis, the requirements of R2.1 through R2.4 become applicable at the time the Transmission Owner or Generator Owner identifies the Misoperation:
 - R2.1. If the Protection System or RAS has a Security-Based Misoperation and two or more Functionally Equivalent Protection Systems (FEPS) or Functionally Equivalent RAS (FERAS) remain in service to ensure Bulk Electric System (BES) reliability, the Transmission Owners or Generator Owners shall remove from service the Protection System or RAS that misoperated within 22 hours following identification of the Misoperation. Repair or replacement of the failed Protection System or RAS is at the Transmission Owners' and Generator Owners' discretion. [Violation Risk Factor: High] [Time Horizon: Same-day Operations]
 - **R2.2.** If the Protection System or RAS has a Security-Based Misoperation and only one FEPS or FERAS remains in service to ensure BES reliability, the Transmission Owner or Generator Owner shall perform the following. [Violation Risk Factor: High] [Time Horizon: Sameday Operations]
 - **R2.2.1.** Following identification of the Protection System or RAS Misoperation,
 Transmission Owners and Generator Owners shall remove from service within 22 hours for repair or modification the Protection System or RAS that misoperated.
 - **R2.2.2.** The Transmission Owner or Generator Owner shall repair or replace any Protection System or RAS that misoperated with a FEPS or FERAS within 20 business days of the date of removal. The Transmission Owner or Generator Owner shall remove the Element from service or disable the RAS if repair or replacement is not completed within 20 business days.
 - **R2.3.** If the Protection System or RAS has a Security-Based or Dependability-Based Misoperation and a FEPS and FERAS is not in service to ensure BES reliability, Transmission Owners or Generator Owners shall repair and place back in service within 22 hours the Protection System or RAS that misoperated. If this cannot be done, then Transmission Owners and Generator Owners shall perform the following. [Violation Risk Factor: High] [Time Horizon: Same-day Operations]

- **R2.3.1.** When a FEPS is not available, the Transmission Owners shall remove the associated Element from service.
- R2.3.2. When FERAS is not available, then
 - **2.3.2.1.** The Generator Owners shall adjust generation to a reliable operating level, or
 - **2.3.2.2.** Transmission Operators shall adjust the SOL and operate the facilities within established limits.
- **R2.4.** If the Protection System or RAS has a Dependability-Based Misoperation but has one or more FEPS or FERAS that operated correctly, the associated Element or transmission path may remain in service without removing from service the Protection System or RAS that failed, provided one of the following is performed.
 - **R2.4.1.** Transmission Owners or Generator Owners shall repair or replace any Protection System or RAS that misoperated with FEPS and FERAS within 20 business days of the date of the Misoperation identification, or
 - **R2.4.2.** Transmission Owners or Generator Owners shall remove from service the associated Element or RAS. [Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Operations Assessment]

Retirement of Requirement R2

Requirement R2 is divided into two parts, one assigning tasks in the event of Security-Based Misoperation and the other assigning tasks in the event of Dependability-based Misoperation.²² The requirement to analyze each Misoperation attaches whenever the Misoperation is discovered (identified).

If a PS or RAS Misoperation is *Security-based*, the PS or RAS shall be removed from service within 22 hours of the identification of the Misoperation. Whether the PS or RAS requires repair, removal, replacement or modification is fact specific and subject to specified if/then statements.

If the PS or RAS Misoperation is *Dependability-based*, but portions of the systems operate as designed, the PS or RAS can remain in service so long as repair or replacement occurs within 20 days of the identification of the Misoperation; otherwise, the PS or RAS must be removed from service.

Illusory Time Windows – 22 Hours

On the surface, the 22-hour remediation trigger of PRC-004-WECC-2, Requirement R2.2.1 is quite attractive and perceptually creates a much higher performance threshold than its peripheral NERC

²² Security-based Misoperations and Dependability-based Misoperations are included in the WECC-specific section of the Glossary of Terms Used in NERC Reliability Standards.

Standards.²³ But when examined, the remedial clock does not begin to run until the Misoperation is *identified*. Restated, there is no remediation required until the operation is identified. The system operator may identify an *apparent* Misoperation (R1) within the identified period (R2) and thereby meet the original intent to remediate the cause. However, the reality is that the identification will not likely be determined by the Real-time system operator thus negating the assumed purpose of the 22 hours. The higher likelihood is that the system operator may annotate an anomaly in the operations log and pass the investigation on to protection engineers. After analysis and identification by the protection engineer, only then would the tolling clock begin to run. So, it could be days or weeks before the requirement to perform remediation attached. Even though the 22 hours appears to be a higher standard, in practice it is illusory because it lacks a definitive start time.

Because the 22-hour window appears in the requirement, the current mandatory regulatory regime presumes that the original drafters intended its inclusion for reliability purposes. However, a review of development record shows that the 22-hour time window did not appear in the requirement until drafted into the Reliability Management System (RMS) agreement. Meeting minutes from the July 20, 2000 WECC Relay Work Group meeting indicate that the 22-hour period was originally intended for inclusion in what would today be called a Measure. As such, the 22-hour window was not originally drafted to meet a reliability need; rather, it makes the task measurable. The minutes indicate that:

"During the Phase 2 evaluation period the relay misoperation requirement was found to be too loosely defined to enable the assessment of <u>compliance</u> on a consistent basis among all affected parties, per the requirement, the clock starts as soon as it is determined that a relay misoperated or <u>probably misoperated</u>. Making this determination could take days or weeks. It was concluded that <u>compliance</u> with the requirement as originally worded is <u>not measurable</u> on an accurate or consistent basis. Consequently, the Relay Work Group in cooperation with the WSCC staff developed revisions to the requirement that will enable a consistent and accurate <u>measure of performance to assess compliance</u> the revised requirement is described in detail below." (Italic emphasis added.)

In fact, the intent of the reports is stated in the 1998 predecessor to the RMS in that:

"The transmission path operators for the paths listed in Table 2 are requested to submit data as specified in detail within this section. *For the purpose of maintaining historical records,* and in the event, some or all of the *compliance data* have to be reviewed to resolve questions that

²³ Since a real-time assessment of system performance is being conducted at least once every 30 minutes by the Transmission Operator, the value of a review within 22 hours is diluted and somewhat redundant. TOP-001-3, Transmission Operations, R13.

may arise in the future, the Path Operators are requested to save the data, as defined below, for at least a one-year period."²⁴ (Emphasis added.)

The language that found its way into the requirement section of the RMS was originally intended to serve a compliance purpose – not reliability. To the extent the 22-hour period may have evolved to address a reliability task, that task (vegetation management) is now covered in the FAC suite. As such, the 22-hour time frame can be deleted from the standard without impacting reliability.

Requirement R2 Conflicts with other Standards / Lessens Reliability

PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2 has a specified set of actions that must be taken once the Misoperation is identified. Because the operator cannot deviate from the specific actions, all discretion is removed. Therefore, R2 conflicts with other standards and lessens reliability.

Under the fact pattern identified in PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2.1, the TO and GO "shall remove from service" the PS or RAS that misoperated. The inflexible mandate leaves the TO/GO no operational choice. By contrast, PRC-001-1.1(ii) System Protection Coordination, Requirement R2, part 2.1 and 2.2 require that "[if] a protective relay or equipment failure reduces system reliability" then corrective action is to be taken as soon as possible. Likewise, PRC-016-1 Remedial Action Scheme Misoperations, Requirement R2 allows the TO/GO owning a RAS to take "corrective actions to avoid Misoperations." Further, TOP-001-3 Transmission Operations, Requirement R1 requires the Transmission Operator (TOP) to maintain the reliability of its Transmission Operator Area via its own actions (emphasis added). The Balancing Authority (BA) has a similar mandate in Requirement R2 of that document.

To illustrate how retention of PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2 can lessen reliability, the following actual fact pattern is offered.

Example

A fault occurred on an important path line and the relays at both terminals operated correctly to clear it. Different makes of reclosing relays are used at the two terminals, which did not allow the recloser reset time to be set the same at both terminals. The terminal that normally recloses first had a longer reset delay of 20 cycles (Terminal A), and the terminal that normally recloses after the other terminal

²⁴ WSCC Detailed Reporting Instructions, Reliability Management System, Evaluation Program Phase 2, Phase 2 Evaluation Period Reporting Requirements, A. Transmission Path Operators Data Collection, see sections on Protective Relay Application and Settings, and Remedial Action Schemes, and Protective Relay and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation, August 12, 1998.

²⁵ Under NERC Project 2007-06.2 Phase 2 of System Protection Coordination, PRC-001-1.1(ii) is proposed for retirement. Should that occur, system awareness and corrective actions shift to other applicable entities under numerous existing NERC Standards. Please refer to that proceeding for a detailed analysis of which NERC Standards would cover the reliability tasks of PRC-001-1(ii) in the event of retirement. Misoperations that have causes other than failure can be mitigated by taking corrective action as soon as possible.

had a shorter reset delay of 15 cycles (Terminal B). A very unusual circumstance occurred when a second fault occurred on the line after the time that the recloser at Terminal B had reset (15 cycles), but before the recloser at the Terminal A had reset (20 cycles). Terminal A tripped to lockout after the second fault and did not reclose. Terminal B, which would normally reclose after Terminal A, tripped for the second fault and then proceeded to reclose. Because this is a very long line, the switch-ontofault (SOTF) settings are set sensitively to provide instantaneous tripping for the entire length of the line. When Terminal B reclosed, the SOTF elements tripped it open due to the line charging current. It is important to recall that this terminal normally recloses after Terminal A, in which case the voltage on the line would block the SOTF elements.

Because Terminal B tripped for no fault, it created a misoperation. Because both relays at Terminal B behaved the same, they both misoperated. This would bring Requirement R2.3.1 into play, requiring the line to be removed from service if the applicable entity could not repair or replace the relays within 22 hours. Given the large volume of operations that were occurring due to the poor weather, repairing the problem within 22 hours was not easy. Taking the line out of service would have caused more problems than it solved because it would have removed an important line during heavy transfer conditions. With the poor weather that was occurring, other lines were also operating, and every available line needed to be in service. This did not present a reliability concern since the relays were only susceptible to Misoperation during a reclose during the very unlikely scenario of a second fault occurring between 15 and 20 cycles after the first.

This practical example illustrates that PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2 can force undesirable consequences. Had consideration of all the surrounding circumstances been allowed, strict adherence to PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2 would not have been the best choice for reliability.

As seen in the example, PRC-004-WECC-2 *mandates* a specific action without regard to outcome. By contrast, the alternate approach of PRC-001-1.1(ii) allows the TO/GO owning a RAS to take reasoned action *if* the failure reduces reliability. Further, it allows that entity to consider all the surrounding circumstances and act accordingly. Finally, if retained, PRC-004-WECC-2 could conflict with other standards wherein applicable entities are provided flexibility to decide the most appropriate actions to ensure reliability. As such, the alternate approach of PRC-001-1.1(ii) should be adopted over that of the PRC-004-WECC-2.

Requirement R2 – Failure to Meet Order 672 Criteria

Pursuant to FERC Order 672, a Reliability Standard should be clear and unambiguous regarding what is required and who is required to comply. Users, owners, and operators of the Bulk-Power System must know what they are required to do to maintain reliability. ²⁶ PRC-004-WECC-2, Requirement R2 falls short of that requirement and should deleted.

²⁶ FERC Order No. 672 at P 325.

Requirement R2.1 through R2.4 are not intended to apply to PS and/or RAS actions "that appear to be entirely reasonable and correct" when "associated system performance is fully compliant with NERC Reliability Standards." What appears to be reasonable to one entity may not appear reasonable to the next. In like fashion, what appears to be reasonable to one auditor may not be reasonable to the next. What is reasonable is the sum of all the surrounding circumstances. These circumstances will vary each time the standard is applied.

Because of the ever-changing fact patterns, neither the applicable entity nor the assigned auditor can be soundly informed as to what action must be taken or what constitutes compliance until after a violation may have occurred. The result is a lack of due process. Further, the language implies that what is reasonable equates to what is the best course of action to ensure reliability. This is not always the case. As seen above, one may act to remain perfectly in compliance but those actions may not be in the best interest of reliability. Finally, the requirement requires the applicable entity to stand as a proxy to the compliance auditor in that it requires the applicable entity to know whether an act is "entirely reasonable and correct" without further guidance. This is the standards' equivalent of drafting a law requiring all vehicles to stop *close* to the limit line – without indicating what constitutes *close*.

Although entities make every effort to remain in compliance, applicable entities are not auditors and cannot make the definitive determination whether an act complies with a standard. As such, the ambiguity of the wording robs the applicable entity of the notice required under due process. Thus, Requirement R2 does not meet FERC's Order 672 criteria and should be deleted.

Retirement of Requirement R3

The entirety of Requirement R3 should be retired because it is purely administrative in nature and meets the "P81" criteria for retirement.

The text of Requirement R3 is as follows:

B. Requirements

- **R.3.** Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall submit Misoperation incident reports to WECC within 10 business days for the following. [Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Operations Assessment]
 - **R3.1.** Identification of a Misoperation of a Protection System and/or RAS,
 - **R3.2.** Completion of repairs or the replacement of Protection System and/or RAS that misoperated.

Retirement of Requirement R3

The language of PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R3 can be retired without incurring any negative impact to reliability because the Requirement is administrative in nature.

The purpose of PRC-004-WECC-2 is "to ensure all transmission and generation Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme (RAS) Misoperations on Transmission Paths and RAS defined in section 4 are analyzed and/or mitigated."

Retirement of R3 would be consistent with FERC's order²⁷ approving NERC's Compliance Enforcement Initiative (CEI), including the Find, Fix, Track and Report (FFT) program. On March 15, 2012, FERC issued an order²⁸ approving NERC's Compliance Enforcement Implementation, including the FFT program. Paragraph 81 ("P 81") of the FFT Order reads:

The Commission notes that NERC's FFT initiative is predicated on the view that many violations of requirements currently included in Reliability Standards pose lesser risk to the Bulk-Power System. If so, some current requirements likely provide little protection for Bulk-Power System reliability or may be redundant. The Commission is interested in obtaining views on whether such requirements could be removed from the Reliability Standards with little effect on reliability and an increase in efficiency of the ERO compliance program. If NERC believes that specific Reliability Standards or specific requirements within certain Standards should be revised or removed, we invite NERC to make specific proposals to the Commission identifying the Standards or requirements and setting forth in detail the technical basis for its belief. In addition, or in the alternative, we invite NERC, the Regional Entities and other interested entities to propose appropriate mechanisms to identify and remove from the Commission approved Reliability Standards unnecessary or redundant requirements. We will not impose a deadline on when these comments should be submitted, but ask that to the extent such comments are submitted NERC, the Regional Entities, and interested entities coordinate to submit their respective comments concurrently.²⁹

In keeping with the FFT approach, the WECC-0126 DT reviewed the standard to identify requirements that could be removed from Reliability Standards without negatively impacting the reliability of the Bulk-Power System. This project identified Requirement R3 as a candidate for retirement under that criteria.

Requirement R3 P81 Justification

The language of R3 can be retired without incurring any negative impact to reliability because it is purely administrative in nature. At its core, the requirement calls for the TO and GO to "submit Misoperation incident reports to WECC" and to prove compliance by having "evidence that they reported."

²⁷ North American Electric Reliability Corporation, 138 FERC ¶ 61,193 at P 81 (2012) ("FFT Order").

²⁸ FFT Order at P 81.

²⁹ Joint Petition for Approval of Proposed Regional Reliability Standards, VAR-002-WECC-2 AND VAR-501-WECC-2, Section C. Project 2013-02 Paragraph 81, page 6 (VAR Order).

In PRC-004-WECC-2, requiring documentation does not add to or detract from the reliability of the grid; rather, having documentation is an element of verifying that a reliability task has been completed. In application, the requirement looks backward to ensure paperwork was filled out. As drafted, it neither requires identification of a Misoperation nor remediation of failing elements associated with a Misoperation. It only requires that a report be made. The Measure advances reliability no further as it too requires only that a report be presented. At its core, the Measure doesn't even specify the content of the report – only that a report be made.³⁰

Further, the implied reliability tasks of Requirement R3 are expressly addressed in peripheral NERC Standards. The stated intent of the Requirement/Measure is to ensure that Misoperation of specific PS and RAS are analyzed and mitigated. Although the standard under review addresses only specific PS and specific RAS, these systems would be included in the broader and more general provisions of other existing NERC Standards. (See Requirement R1 analysis.)

Finally, if the true intent of PRC-004-WECC-2 is to collect data, that data can be collected in accordance with NERC's Rules of Procedure via a Rule 1600 data request. In the alternative, specifically for RAS, PRC-016-1 Requirement R3 requires both the TO and GO owning a RAS to "provide documentation of the misoperations analyses and the correction action plans to" WECC on request. As such, Requirement R3 is fully redundant and can be deleted.

Whereas Requirement R3 is administrative in nature, its implied and explicit reliability tasks are covered in existing NERC Standards. The described data collection can occur in accordance with NERC Rules of Procedure 1600; therefore, Requirement R3 can be retired without incurring any negative impact on reliability.

³⁰ If not retired, the language of each of the Measures should be redrafted to reflect "will have evidence" as opposed to the requirement "shall have evidence."

Table A NERC Standard / PRC-004-WECC-2 Cross-reference Table

The Purpose of PRC-004-WECC-2 is to serve as a "Regional Reliability Standard to ensure all transmission and generation Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme (RAS) Misoperations on Transmission Paths and RAS defined in section 4 are analyzed and/or mitigated".

The requirements below only apply to the major transmission paths facilities and RAS listed in the tables titled "Major WECC Transfer Paths in the Bulk Electric System" and "Major WECC Remedial Action Schemes (RAS)."

The following table illustrates how each element of the PRC is either addressed elsewhere or simply not needed for reliability.

Retirement of Regional Reliability Standard PRC-004-WECC-1 Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation					
PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement in Approved Standard	PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement covered elsewhere	Description and Change Justification			
Applicability (Narrow and exclusive) The Applicability section is narrowly crafted to apply only to: 1) Transmission Owners (TO) of selected facilities with RAS listed in a specific table; 2) Generator Owners (GO) with RAS listed in a specific table; and, 3) Transmission Operators operating facilities and RAS listed in the specified table.	Applicability (Broader and all-inclusive) PRC-016-1 applies to TOs, GOs, and Distribution Providers' (DP) RAS regardless of path. PRC-004-4 applies to TOs, GOs, and DPs' PS regardless of path.	Whereas PRC-016-1 (RAS) and PRC-004-4 (PS) do not carry the overly exclusive exceptions of PRC-004-WECC-2 (only major transmission paths, facilities, and RAS listed in specified tables), the Applicability section of PRC-004-WECC-2 is fully included in the aforementioned standards. As such, all facilities included in PRC-004-WECC-2 are addressed elsewhere.			
PRC-004-WECC-2 Covers RAS plus PS	PRC-004-5(i) Covers PS.				
R.1. System Operators and System Protection personnel of the Transmission Owners and Generator Owners shall analyze all Protection System and RAS operations.	PRC-004-5(I) Protection System Misoperation Identification and Correction. R1. requires the TO and GO to identify the reasons for PS operation and whether the	Whereas PRC-004-WECC-2 covers analysis of both the RAS and the PS, these two devices are now addressed separately in NERC Standards PRC-004-5(i), PRC-016-1, and PRC-012-2. Each requires analysis like that			

[Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Operations Assessment]	operation caused a Misoperation, within 120 days. 31 See also PRC-001-1.1(ii), Requirements R1 and R2; PRC-005-6, Requirement R5. PRC-016-1 Covers RAS PRC-016-1 Special Protection System Misoperations R1. The TO and GOshall analyzeits RAS operations and maintain a record of all misoperations in accordance with the Regional RAS review procedure specified in PRC-012. R1. 32 PRC-012-2, Remedial Action Schemes 33 R5. Requires the TO and GO to review its RAS within 120 days of operation or failure. (The term	prescribed in PRC-004-WECC-2. Inclusion of the reliability elements of PRC-004-WECC-2 in PRC-004-5(i) and PRC-016-1 and PRC-012-2 render PRC-004-WECC-2 redundant. As such, the Requirement can be deleted. The difference in time frames between PRC-004-WECC-2 and the other NERC Standards is addressed in the preceding sections of this filing.
	analyze is used in R5.2.) ³⁴	
PRC-004-WECC-2 R1.1 System Operators shall review	PRC-012-2 Covering RAS	
all tripping of transmission elements and RAS operations to identify apparent Misoperations within 24 hours.	R5. Requires the TO and GO to analyze each RAS operation, within 120 days, to determine: 1) 5.1.1, what caused the operation, 2) 5.1.2 and 5.1.3, if the device worked	The language of PRC-004-WECC-2 fails to meet the FERC Order 672 criteria for clarity in that "apparent," "reasonable," characterization" and "correctness" are ambiguous.
R1.2. System Protection personnel shall analyze all operations of Protection Systems	properly, and 3) 5.1.4., whether there were any unintended consequences. PRC-004-5(i) Covers PS	Both PRC-012-2 and PRC-004-5(i) require review after operation to determine the cause and, in some cases, even determine whether unforeseen consequences resulted.

³¹ United States Enforcement Date is April 2, 2017.

³² Becomes Inactive on March 31, 2017.

³³ PRC-012-2 has been filed with FERC and is pending regulatory disposition as of March 29, 2017.

³⁴ NERC Board of Trustees approved May 5, 2016, pending at FERC. (FERC has proposed to approve the standard subject to comments received on a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NOPR), comments closing April 10, 2017.)

and RAS within 20 business days for correctness to characterize whether a Misoperation has occurred that may not have been identified by System Operators.

PRC-004-5(i), R1. Requires the TO and GO owning a PS that operates, to identify whether that PS caused a Misoperation, within 120 days of the event the threshold analysis, the applicable entity is required to determine: 1) R1.1, if the PS was the cause of the Misoperation, 2) R1.2, who owns the components, and 3) R1.3 whether the operation was automatic or manual.

Although the more specific analysis is arguably included in the more general PRC-004-WECC-2 analysis, adoption of the superior PRC-012-2 and PRC-004-5(i) requirements add clarity and conformity without sacrificing reliability. As such, analysis of both RAS and PS operation is covered in greater detail outside of PRC-004-WECC-2 making PRC-004-WECC-2 redundant. Its retirement would have no negative impact on reliability because the tasks are covered elsewhere.

See above analysis pertaining to 22-hours, and 20 days for time window differential.



2000-07-20-RWG-M eeting.pdf

PRC-004-WECC-2

Covers PS and RAS

R.2. Transmission Owners and Generator Owners shall perform the following actions for each Misoperation of the Protection System or RAS.

It is not intended that Requirements R2.1 through R2.4 apply to Protection System and/or RAS actions that appear to be entirely reasonable and correct at the time of occurrence and associated system performance is fully compliant with NERC Reliability Standards. If the Transmission Owner or Generator

PRC-016-1

Covers PS

PRC-016-1 — Remedial Action Scheme Misoperations

R2. Each TO, GO, and DP, owing a RAS shall take corrective actions to avoid future misoperations.

PRC-012-2

Covers RAS

R5. Each RAS-entity, within 120 full calendar days of a RAS operation or a failure of its RAS to operate when expected, or on a mutually agreed upon schedule with its reviewing Reliability Coordinator(s), shall

Whereas the reliability tasks of PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2 are included in PRC-016-1 and PRC-012-2, PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2 is redundant and can be retired.

Owner later finds the Protection System or RAS operation to be incorrect through System Protection personnel analysis, the requirements of R2.1 through R2.4 become applicable at the time the Transmission Owner or Generator Owner identifies the Misoperation: analyze and communicate RAS performance.

PRC-012-2, Requirements R6 and R7 further cover RAS

Requirement R6 requires the TO, GO, and DP develop and submit a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) to the Reliability Coordinator within six months of: 1) notification of a RAS deficiency (see R4 and R5), or identifying a deficiency while performing a functional test (R8).

PRC-001-1.1(ii)

R2.1. If the Protection System or RAS has a Security-Based Misoperation and two or more Functionally Equivalent Protection Systems (FEPS) or Functionally Equivalent RAS (FERAS) remain in service to ensure Bulk Electric System (BES) reliability, the Transmission Owners or Generator Owners shall remove from service the Protection System or RAS that misoperated within 22 hours following identification of the Misoperation. Repair or replacement of the failed Protection System or RAS is at the Transmission Owners' and Generator Owners' discretion. [Violation Risk Factor: High] [Time Horizon: Same-day Operations]

R2. Each Generator Operator and Transmission Operator shall notify reliability entities of relay or equipment failures as follows:

PRC-001-1.1(ii)

R2.1. If a protective relay or equipment failure reduces system reliability, the Generator Operator shall notify its Transmission Operator and Host Balancing Authority. The Generator Operator shall take corrective action as soon as possible.

R2.2. If a protective relay or equipment failure reduces system reliability, the Transmission Operator shall notify its Reliability Coordinator and affected Transmission Operators and Balancing Authorities. The Transmission Operator shall take corrective action as soon as possible.

R6. Each Transmission Operator and Balancing Authority shall monitor the status of each Special Protection System in their area, and shall notify affected R2 and R6 require the applicable entities to be aware of PS/RAS and to communicate with other affected parties in the event of change or operation of these devices. That standard is broad enough to allow the operators to determine the best appropriate action based on all the surrounding circumstances. Those actions may or may not include the specified tasks included in PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2. If the specifics of that requirement are retained, they limit the operator's discretion and could lead to a lessthan-favorable operational decision simply to be compliant, thereby defeating the reliability-related intent.

PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2 requires that the device be taken out-of-service under specified circumstances. By contrast, TOP-001-3, Requirement R1, requires the TO to "act to maintain the reliability of its Transmission Operator Area via its own actions." The TOP-001-3,

Transmission Operators and Balancing Authorities of each change in status.

PRC-004-4(i)

R5. Each Transmission Owner, Generator Owner, and Distribution Provider that owns the Protection System component(s) that caused the Misoperation shall, within 60 calendar days of first identifying a cause of the Misoperation:

- Develop a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) for the identified Protection System component(s), and an evaluation of the CAP's applicability to the entity's other Protection Systems including other locations; or
- Explain in a declaration why corrective actions are beyond the entity's control or would not improve BES reliability, and that no further corrective actions will be taken.

PRC-016-1

R1. The Transmission Owner, Generator Owner, and Distribution Provider that owns an RAS shall analyze its RAS operations and maintain a record of all misoperations in accordance with the Regional RAS review procedure specified in Reliability Standard PRC-012-0_R1.

R2. The Transmission Owner, Generator Owner, and Distribution Provider that owns a RAS shall take corrective actions to avoid future misoperations. Requirement R1 mandate to act with discretion conflicts with the PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2 mandate to perform specific tasks. The PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2 approach has the potential to lead to reliability concerns; by contrast, the approach of PRC-001-1.1(ii) and TOP-001-3 provide the operator with discretion more targeted for remedy of actual circumstances and not implemented merely for compliance purposes.

Additionally, the overly prescriptive PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2 approach may conflict with IRO-017-1 Requirement R1 wherein the Reliability Coordinator (RC) is required to "develop, implement, and maintain an outage coordination process." If PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2 is retained it mandates a specific action that may conflict with the broader authority and outage coordination process established by the RC.

- R2.2. If the Protection System or RAS has a Security-Based Misoperation and only one FEPS or FERAS remains in service to ensure BES reliability, the Transmission Owner or Generator Owner shall perform the following. [Violation Risk Factor: High] [Time Horizon: Same-day Operations]
 R2.2.1. Following identification of the Protection System or RAS Misoperation, Transmission Owners
- R2.2.1. Following identification of the Protection System or RAS Misoperation, Transmission Owners and Generator Owners shall remove from service within 22 hours for repair or modification the Protection System or RAS that misoperated.
- R2.2.2. The Transmission Owner or Generator Owner shall repair or replace any Protection System or RAS that misoperated with a FEPS or FERAS within 20 business days of the date of removal. The Transmission Owner or Generator Owner shall remove the Element from service or disable the RAS if repair or replacement is not completed within 20 business days.
- R2.3. If the Protection System or RAS has a Security-Based or Dependability-Based Misoperation and a FEPS and FERAS is not in service to ensure BES reliability, Transmission Owners or Generator Owners shall repair and place back in service within 22 hours the Protection System or RAS that misoperated. If this cannot be done, then Transmission Owners and Generator Owners shall perform the following. [Violation Risk Factor:

High] [Time Horizon: Same-day Operations] R2.3.1. When a FEPS is not		
available, the Transmission Owners shall remove the associated Element from service.		
R2.3.2. When FERAS is not available, then		
2.3.2.1. The Generator Owners shall adjust generation to a reliable operating level, or		
2.3.2.2. Transmission Operators shall adjust the SOL and operate the facilities within established limits.		
R2.4. If the Protection System or RAS has a Dependability-Based Misoperation but has one or more		
FEPS or FERAS that operated correctly, the associated Element or transmission path may remain in		
service without removing from service the Protection System or RAS that failed, provided one of the		
following is performed. R2.4.1. Transmission Owners or		
Generator Owners shall repair or replace any Protection System or		
RAS that misoperated with FEPS and FERAS within 20 business days of		
the date of the Misoperation identification, or R2.4.2.		
Transmission Owners or Generator Owners shall remove		
from service the associated Element or RAS. [Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Operations Assessment]		
R.3. Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall submit	As of July 1, 2016, Protection System Operations and	Retirement of PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R3 fits the retirement

Misoperation incident reports to WECC within 10 business days for the following.

R3.1. Identification of a Misoperation of a Protection System and/or RAS,

R3.2. Completion of repairs or the replacement of Protection System and/or RAS that misoperated.

Misoperations are reported by TOs, GOs, and DPs, via the Misoperation Information Data Analysis System at NERC (MIDAS) in PRC-004 -5(i) and the accompanying 1600 Data Request.

This renders PRC-004-WECC-2 administrative request redundant.

criteria established under FERC's "P81" criteria. See Retirement of Requirement R3 analysis in the main body of this filing.

The 10-day time window is a legacy imported from the RMS, circa July 1999. A records search at WECC and inquires via corporate memory did not reveal why the original drafters believed the 10 days were essential. However, the 10-day reference was found in the 1999 WSCC Reliability Criteria Agreement (Section 5 Determining Compliance, 5.2 Data Submission and Review) as part of the document's compliance section giving rise to the conclusion that it was required for accountability and not reliability.

Considering the NERC 1600 requirement, the 10-days has proven to no longer be essential.

Currently, Midas will send out reminder notifications to entities that have not yet submitted for a specified quarter. They will also provide confirmation notifications upon submittal. Once the submittal is reviewed by the regions or NERC, the regions may send additional notifications to the MIDAS contacts as questions arise.

Currently, all WECC entities must comply under that request, but they have 60 days to do so while also complying with the administrative request under PRC-004-WECC-2. Duplicative administrative reporting is not needed.

WECC will continue to be responsible
for facilitating and monitoring these
data submissions, and will continue
to share the content with the WECC
Relay Work Group (RWG) for further
analysis and recommendations.
_



Regional Reliability Standard Submittal Request Attachment F

Region:	Western Electricity Coordinating Council			
Regional Standard Number:	PRC-004-WECC-2			
Regional Standard Title:	Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation			
Date Submitted:	January 2, 2018			
Regional Contact Name:	Steven Rueckert			
Regional Contact Title:	Director of Standards			
Regional Contact Telephone Number:	(801) 883-6878			
Request (check all that apply): Retirement of WECC Regional Standard PRC-004-WECC-2 Interpret an Existing Standard Approval of a new standard Revision of an existing standard Withdrawal of an existing standard Urgent Action				
Has this action been approved by your Board of Directors: Yes No				
(If no please indicate date standard action is expected along with the current status (e.g., third comment period with anticipated board approval on mm/dd/year)):				
December 6, 2017, Board of Directors / Board Resolution				
Resolved, that the Western Electricity Coordinating Council (WECC) Board of Directors (Board), acting on the recommendation of the WECC Standards Committee at the meeting of the Board on December 6, 2017, hereby retires Regional Reliability Standards — • PRC-004-WECC-2, Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation, and				



VAR-002-WECC-2, Automatic Voltage Regulators				
to file the regional standard used verbatim. It is extrem	remaining questions is to provide NERC with the information needed d(s) with FERC. The information provided may to a large degree be ely important for the entity submitting this form to provide sufficient es the scope and justification of the request.]			
Concise statement of the basis and purpose (scope) of request:	See below.			
Concise statement of the justification of the request:	As proposed, PRC-004-WECC-2, Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation Procedures would be retired completely and immediately on receipt of applicable regulatory approval.			
	Executive Summary			
	The WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2 Standard Drafting Team (DT) reviewed NERC Standards, both in effect and proposed for regulatory approval. The DT also considered the development history of PRC-004-WECC-2 and its history of performance.			
	The following are the findings, conclusion reached, and recommendation of the DT.			
	Findings and Conclusion			
	The DT concluded that retirement of the standard can be made without incurring a negative impact on reliability because:			
	 The reliability concern for which the standard was drafted is now specifically covered in FAC-003-4 Transmission Vegetation Management (enforceable October 1, 2016). 			



- 2. The Applicability section is overly narrow and included in other existing NERC Standards;
- 3. Requirement R1 is covered in other NERC Standards;
- 4. Requirement R2 is covered in other NERC Standards, conflicts with existing NERC Standards, and its application can lessen reliability as opposed to enhancing it;
- 5. Requirement R3 is entirely administrative in nature and should be retired under FERC P81 criteria;
- 6. The language of the standard does not meet the FERC Order 672 criteria in that it fails to assign the reliability task directly to an entity included in the NERC Functional Model.

Recommendation

After completing its review, the DT recommends that PRC-004-WECC-2 be retired immediately and in its entirety, on receipt of applicable regulatory approval, because the reliability-related content is addressed in peripheral NERC Standards. The DT does not believe any further actions are necessary to implement the proposed change.

Attachment G
Drafting Team Roster
WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2
Request to Retire

Below are biographical snapshots for the members of the WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-3, Protection Scheme and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation Drafting Team.



Name	Background
Bill Middaugh Tri-State Generation and Transmission Team Chair	Bill Middaugh has been working in the electric utility industry since 1982 with about 26 years in system protection and eight years in transmission planning. He Is currently the System Protection Manager at Tri-State Generation and Transmission Association. As a transmission planning engineer, he performed power flow studies in support of new generation interconnections, summer and winter operating conditions, and future transmission requirements. He also performed dynamic stability studies and developed tools to analyze apparent impedances during power swings to evaluate relay performance. As a protection engineer he developed Tri-State's responses to NERC's Recommendation 8a which is to ensure that transmission facilities are not unnecessarily interrupted during system disturbances when operator action within the first 15-minutes could alleviate potentially damaging overloads or prevent cascading outages. Additionally, regarding relay loadability, he developed Tri-State's documentation procedure for reliability standard PRC-023. He chaired the WECC Relay Work Group for about five years and coordinated WECC member responses to NERC Recommendation 8a. He also chaired two WECC regional drafting teams associated with misoperations; one a standard and one a criterion. He chaired the NERC PRC-026 drafting team and is currently the chair of Project 2007-06 – System Protection Coordination (PRC-027-1), which is awaiting FERC approval. He was also a member of the team
	developing NERC reliability standard PRC-004-3, "Protection System Misoperation Identification and Correction."
	Mr. Middaugh received a Bachelor of Science degree in Electrical Engineering from the University of Colorado at Boulder in 1982. He is a registered Professional Engineer in the State of Colorado and a member of IEEE.

Name	Background
Mr. Gene Henneberg Nevada Energy	Mr. Gene Henneberg earned a Bachelor of Science from Walla Walla College and Master of Science in Electrical Engineering from Washington State University. He has more than 38 years of utility experience with NV Energy in Transmission Planning, substation construction and operations, and System Protection. Mr. Henneberg was the chair of the drafting team for NERC's PRC-012-2, and for WECC's PRC-012—014-WECC-CRT-1 and -2, and a drafting team member for WECC's PRC-004-WECC-1 He is a member of the WECC Relay Work Group, chair of the WECC Remedial Action Scheme Reliability Subcommittee, and is active in the IEEE Power System Relaying Committee. He is a registered professional engineer in Nevada.
Randy Spacek Avista Utilities	Mr. Spacek has 29 plus years of experience in transmission, distribution and generation protection, operations, integration, technical training, and technical writing.
	Mr. Spacek is presently a System Protection Engineering Manager. As manager, he is responsible for NERC compliance activities in the areas of Protection Relay and Control (PRC), and facilitates the group's business, technical and support efforts.
	Mr. Spacek is also a Senior Electrical Engineer in the area of System Protection and Integration having experience in the area of generation and transmission relaying and substation integration. He has been involved in the development of new transmission relay standards, relay applications and integration design, and the development of Protection systems.
	Mr. Spacek has a Bachelor of Science in Electrical Engineering from the University of Idaho and is a registered Professional Engineer.
Stuart Steiner Los Angeles Department of Water and Power	Mr. Steiner is a Subject Matter Expert for NERC Standards PRC-004 and PRC-005 with 31 years of experience in the System Protection group at the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power.

Name	Background
Dean Bender Bonneville Power Administration	Mr. Dean Bender of the Bonneville Power Administration has 25 years of protection system experience including setting relays and analyzing misoperations. He currently serves as the vice-chair of the WECC Relay Work Group. As Bonneville Power Administration's subject matter expert for PRC-004-WECC since its inception, he has submitted 17 reports to WECC per the requirements of this standard. Mr. Bender was a participant on original PRC standard drafting team.
Jonathan Meyer Idaho Power – System protection	Mr. Meyer's current position is Leader of System Protection Engineering at Idaho Power Company. He has been a member of System Protection for 16 years, progressing from a Protection Engineer to the leadership role, setting the philosophies for transmission protection at Idaho Power and taking responsibility for NERC/WECC compliance associated with Protection, Relaying, and Control (PRC) Standards applicable to Transmission Owners (e.g. PRC-004, PRC-005, PRC-23, etc.)
	Mr. Meyer has created/reviewed the audit packages for the applicable standards for three successful NERC/WECC audits and is regularly utilized as a consultant with company on compliance related questions and interpretations for other working groups, most recently for NERC FAC-008 for our Planning Department. In addition, he was a member of the original drafting team for PRC-004-WECC and is currently a member of the WECC Relay Work Group.
	More recently, Mr. Meyer was a volunteer member of the NERC PRC-012-2 Remedial Action Schemes Drafting Team. Through his regular attendance and participation, he was given the responsibilities of a full member (minus full voting privilege). Mr. Meyer drafted Requirement 8 – functional testing, created the draft rational and technical justification documents, responded to industry comments, and presented on the NERC webinars for PRC-012.

Name	Background
Rafael Pina Pacific Gas and Electric	Mr. Pineda has over 25 years of experience in transmission protection, substation engineering, and hydro generation engineering with the last 18 years in protection. He is a member of the WECC Relay Work Group since 2009 and is the PRC-004 Subject Matter Expert at PG&E.
	Mr. Pineda currently provides protection support for operations, maintenance, and capital projects on PG&E's 500kV transmission system and wide-area RAS schemes.
	Mr. Pineda earned a Bachelor of Science degree in Electrical Engineering from California Polytechnic State University at San Luis Obispo in 1990. He is a registered Professional Engineer in the State of California.
David Jensen PacifiCorp	Mr. Jensen has 25 plus years of experience in transmission and distribution planning, operations, and protection. He is currently a Senior Distribution and Transmission Specialist in the PacifiCorp Protection and Control group and is the current subject matter expert at PacifiCorp for PRC-004-WECC. He has been involved with system protection investigations, procedures, compliance, and reporting for more than 7 years. Mr. Jensen has a Bachelor of Science degree in Electrical Engineering from the
	University of Utah.

Attachment H Ballot Pool Members WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2 Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation Request to Retire

Ballot Pool

Title	Company	Sector	Vote	Comments	Created By
	AES				
WECC-0126	Corporation	Generation			Leo Bernier
	Arizona Public				
	Service	System			
WECC-0126	Company	Coordination	Yes		Vivian Vo
	Arizona Public	-	-	-	-
	Service	Marketers and			Linda
WECC-0126	Company	Brokers	Yes		Henrickson
	Arizona Public	-	-	-	-
	Service				
WECC-0126	Company	Transmission	Yes		Gary Nolan
	Arizona Public	-	-	-	<u>-</u>
	Service				Kasey
WECC-0126	Company	Generation	Yes		Bohannon
	Arizona Public				
	Service				Michelle
WECC-0126	Company	Distribution	Yes		Amarantos
	Avista	Marketers and			
WECC-0126	Corporation	Brokers	Yes		Scott Kinney
	Balancing		-	-	
	Authority of				
	Northern	System			
WECC-0126	California	Coordination	Yes		Joe Tarantino
	Black Hills				
WECC-0126	Corporation	Transmission			Eric Scherr
	Black Hills				
WECC-0126	Corporation	Distribution			Eric Scherr



Title	Company	Sector	Vote	Comments	Created By
	Black Hills				
WECC-0126	Corporation	Generation			Eric Scherr
	Black Hills	System	-		-
WECC-0126	Corporation	Coordination			Eric Scherr
	Bonneville	-	-		Kammy
	Power				Rogers-
WECC-0126	Administration	Transmission	Yes		Holliday
	Bonneville				
	Power				Rebecca
WECC-0126	Administration	Distribution	Yes		Berdahl
	Bonneville				
	Power	Marketers and			Andrew
WECC-0126	Administration	Brokers	Yes		Meyers
	Bonneville	-	-	-	-
	Power	System			Francis
WECC-0126	Administration	Coordination	Yes		Halpin
	British	-	-	-	-
	Columbia				
	Hydro &				
	Power				Hootan
WECC-0126	Authority	Distribution	Abstain	No Comments	Jarollahi
	British				
	Columbia				
	Hydro &				
	Power	System			Patricia
WECC-0126	Authority	Coordination	Abstain	No Comments	Robertson
	British				
	Columbia				
	Hydro &				
	Power				Patricia
WECC-0126	Authority	Transmission	Abstain	No Comments	Robertson
	California				
	Independent				
	System	System			
WECC-0126	Operator	Coordination	Yes		Richard Vine

Title	Company	Sector	Vote	Comments	Created By
	California				
	Independent				
	System				
WECC-0126	Operator	Transmission	Yes		Richard Vine
	Dominion				
	Resources				
WECC-0126	Services, Inc.	Generation			Sean Bodkin
	El Paso Electric				
WECC-0126	Company	Transmission	Yes		Pablo Onate
	El Paso Electric	System			
WECC-0126	Company	Coordination	Yes		Pablo Onate
				EPE agrees with the	
				finding and conclusions of	
				the Drafting Team for the	
	El Paso Electric			retirement of this	
WECC-0126	Company	Generation	Yes	standard.	Victor Garzon
				EPE agrees with the	
				finding and conclusions of	
				the Drafting Team for the	
	El Paso Electric			retirement of this	
WECC-0126	Company	Distribution	Yes	standard.	Victor Garzon
	Idaho Power	System			
WECC-0126	Company	Coordination	Yes		Laura Nelson
	Idaho Power				
WECC-0126	Company	Generation	Yes		Laura Nelson
	Idaho Power				
WECC-0126	Company	Distribution	Yes		Laura Nelson
	Idaho Power				
WECC-0126	Company	Transmission	Yes		Laura Nelson
	Nevada Power	-		-	Eric
WECC-0126	Company	Transmission	Yes		Schwarzrock
	Northern				
	California	Marketers and			Dennis
WECC-0126	Power Agency	Brokers	Yes		Sismaet

Title	Company	Sector	Vote	Comments	Created By
	Northern				
	California				Marty
WECC-0126	Power Agency	Generation	Yes		Hostler
	Open Access				
	Technology	End User			Mark
WECC-0126	International	Representative	Yes		Hackney
	Pacific Gas				
	and Electric				
WECC-0126	Company	Generation	Abstain	none	Alex Chua
					Sandra
WECC-0126	PacifiCorp	Generation	Yes		Shaffer
					Sandra
WECC-0126	PacifiCorp	Distribution	Yes		Shaffer
					Sandra
WECC-0126	PacifiCorp	Transmission	Yes		Shaffer
		Marketers and			Sandra
WECC-0126	PacifiCorp	Brokers	Yes		Shaffer
		System	-	•	Sandra
WECC-0126	PacifiCorp	Coordination	Yes		Shaffer
	Platte River				
	Power	System			Matthew
WECC-0126	Authority	Coordination	Yes		Thompson
	Platte River				
	Power	Marketers and			Sabrina
WECC-0126	Authority	Brokers	Yes		Martz
	Platte River				
	Power				
WECC-0126	Authority	Transmission	Yes	-	Jeff Landis
	Platte River				
	Power				
WECC-0126	Authority	Generation	Yes		Tyson Archie
	Public Service				
	Company of	System			Laurie
WECC-0126	New Mexico	Coordination	Yes		Williams

Title	Company	Sector	Vote	Comments	Created By
	Public Service				
	Company of				Laurie
WECC-0126	New Mexico	Generation	Yes		Williams
	Public Service				
	Company of				Laurie
WECC-0126	New Mexico	Distribution	Yes		Williams
	Public Service				
	Company of				Laurie
WECC-0126	New Mexico	Transmission	Yes		Williams
	Public Service				
	Company of	Marketers and			Laurie
WECC-0126	New Mexico	Brokers	Yes		Williams
	Public Utility				
	District No. 1				
	of Clark				
WECC-0126	County	Transmission	Yes		Jack Stamper
	Public Utility				
	District No. 1				
	of Snohomish				
WECC-0126	County	Generation	Yes		Franklin Lu
	Public Utility				
	District No. 1				
	of Snohomish				
WECC-0126	County	Distribution	Yes		Franklin Lu
	Public Utility				
	District No. 1				
	of Snohomish				
WECC-0126	County	Transmission	Yes		Franklin Lu
	Public Utility				
	District No. 1				
	of Snohomish	Marketers and			
WECC-0126	County	Brokers	Yes		Franklin Lu
	Public Utility				
	District No. 2				
	of Grant	System			LeRoy
WECC-0126	County	Coordination	Yes		Patterson

Title	Company	Sector	Vote	Comments	Created By
	Public Utility				
	District No. 2				
	of Grant				LeRoy
WECC-0126	County	Generation	Yes		Patterson
	Public Utility				
	District No. 2				
	of Grant				LeRoy
WECC-0126	County	Distribution	Yes		Patterson
	Public Utility				
	District No. 2				
	of Grant				LeRoy
WECC-0126	County	Transmission	Yes		Patterson
	Public Utility				
	District No. 2				
	of Grant	Marketers and			LeRoy
WECC-0126	County	Brokers	Yes		Patterson
	Puget Sound		-		Theresa
WECC-0126	Energy, Inc.	Transmission	Yes		Rakowsky
	Puget Sound				Theresa
WECC-0126	Energy, Inc.	Distribution	Yes		Rakowsky
	Puget Sound	System	-		Theresa
WECC-0126	Energy, Inc.	Coordination	Yes		Rakowsky
	Puget Sound	Marketers and			
WECC-0126	Energy, Inc.	Brokers			Lynda Kupfer
	Puget Sound				
WECC-0126	Energy, Inc.	Generation			Eleanor Ewry
	Sacramento	-	-	-	-
	Municipal	System			
WECC-0126	Utility District	Coordination	Yes		Joe Tarantino
	Sacramento	-	-	-	-
	Municipal				
WECC-0126	Utility District	Generation	Yes		Joe Tarantino
	Sacramento	-	-	-	
	Municipal				
WECC-0126	Utility District	Distribution	Yes		Joe Tarantino

Title	Company	Sector	Vote	Comments	Created By
	Sacramento				
	Municipal				
WECC-0126	Utility District	Transmission	Yes		Joe Tarantino
	Sacramento				
	Municipal	Marketers and			
WECC-0126	Utility District	Brokers	Yes		Joe Tarantino
	Salt River				
WECC-0126	Project	Generation	Yes		Kevin Nielsen
	Salt River				
WECC-0126	Project	Distribution	Yes		Rudy Navarro
	San Diego Gas				
WECC-0126	& Electric	Transmission	Yes		Martine Blair
	San Diego Gas				
WECC-0126	& Electric	Distribution	Yes		ANNIE RUIZ
	San Diego Gas	-	-	-	Jerome
WECC-0126	& Electric	Generation	Yes		Gobby
	San Diego Gas	System	-	-	•
WECC-0126	& Electric	Coordination	Yes		Bridget Silvia
	Seattle City	-	-	-	•
WECC-0126	Light	Transmission	Yes		Hao Li
	Seattle City				
WECC-0126	Light	Distribution	Yes		Tuan Tran
	Seattle City	System			
WECC-0126	Light	Coordination	Yes		Pawel Krupa
	Seattle City	Marketers and			Charles
WECC-0126	Light	Brokers	Yes		Freeman
	Seattle City				
WECC-0126	Light	Generation			Mike Haynes
	Southern				
	California				
	Edison				Thomas
WECC-0126	Company	Generation	Yes		Rafferty

Title	Company	Sector	Vote	Comments	Created By
	Southern				
	California				
	Edison				
WECC-0126	Company	Distribution	Yes		Steven Mavis
	Southern		-		
	California				
	Edison				
WECC-0126	Company	Transmission	Yes		Steven Mavis
	Southern				
	California				
	Edison	System			Romel
WECC-0126	Company	Coordination	Yes		Aquino
WECC-0126	Tacoma Power	Distribution	Yes		Chad Edinger
		System			
WECC-0126	Tacoma Power	Coordination	Yes		Chad Edinger
			-		Joseph
WECC-0126	Tacoma Power	Transmission	Yes		Wilson
		Marketers and			
WECC-0126	Tacoma Power	Brokers			Todd Lloyd
	Tri-State		-		
	Generation &				
	Transmission -				
WECC-0126	Reliability	Distribution	Yes		Janelle Gill
	Tri-State				
	Generation &				
	Transmission -	System			
WECC-0126	Reliability	Coordination	Yes		Tracy Sliman
	Tri-State				
	Generation &				
	Transmission -				
WECC-0126	Reliability	Transmission	Yes		Tracy Sliman
	Tri-State				
	Generation &				
	Transmission -				
WECC-0126	Reliability	Generation			Mark Stein

Title	Company	Sector	Vote	Comments	Created By
	Tucson	System			
WECC-0126	Electric Power	Coordination	Yes		John Tolo
	Tucson	-	-	•	-
WECC-0126	Electric Power	Generation	Yes		John Tolo
	Tucson	-	-	•	-
WECC-0126	Electric Power	Distribution	Yes		John Tolo
	Tucson	-	-	•	,
WECC-0126	Electric Power	Transmission	Yes		John Tolo
	Western Area				
	Power	System			Patrick
WECC-0126	Administration	Coordination	Yes		Harwood
	Western Area				
	Power				Patrick
WECC-0126	Administration	Transmission	Yes		Harwood
	Western Area	-	-	•	-
	Power				
	Administration				
	- Rocky				
	Mountain				James
WECC-0126	Region	Transmission	Yes		Hirning
	Western Area				
	Power				
	Administration				
	- Upper Great	System		NERC Standards should	
WECC-0126	Plains Region	Coordination	No	suffice.	Lloyd Linke
	Western Area				
	Power				
	Administration				
	- Upper Great			NERC Standards should	
WECC-0126	Plains Region	Transmission	No	suffice.	Lloyd Linke

Attachment I Final Ballot Results

WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2

Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation Request to Retire

Ballot Name: WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2

Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation

After completing a routine five-year review, the drafting team concluded that the substance of PRC-004-WECC-2 should be retired immediately because the reliability-related substance is addressed in peripheral NERC Standards.

Ballot Pool Open: 07/11/2017 Ballot Pool Closed: 07/26/2017

Ballot Opened: 08/09/2017

Ballot Closed: 08/28/2017

Total Ballot Pool: 101
Total Votes: 90
Quorum: 89.1%
Weighted Votes: 98.2%
Ballot Results: Pass

Voting Sectors	Total In Ballot Pool	Votes Non- Abstain	Sector Weight	Yes Votes	Weighted Segment Vote	No Votes	Abstain	Total Votes for Quorum	Didn't Vote
Distribution	19	17	1	17	100.0%	0	1	18	1
End User Representative	1	1	0.1	1	10.0%	0	0	1	0
Generation	21	14	1	14	100.0%	0	1	15	6
Marketers and Brokers	13	11	1	11	100.0%	0	0	11	2
Other Non- Registered WECC Members and Participating									
Stakeholders	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0



	Total In Ballot	Votes Non-	Sector	Yes	Weighted Segment	No		Total Votes for	Didn't
Voting Sectors	Pool	Abstain	Weight	Votes	Vote	Votes	Abstain	Quorum	Vote
State and Provincial Representatives	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0
System Coordination	22	20	1	19	95.0%	1	1	21	1
Transmission	25	23	1	22	95.7%	1	1	24	1

Attachment J

Minority Issues

WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2

Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation

Request to Retire

Following a ballot period from August 9 through August 28, 2017, the WECC Ballot Pool approved retirement of WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2, Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation.

The project was posted for comment on one occasion. The drafting team reviewed and considered all comments received. No minority views were expressed from the posting.

A single negative vote was cast during balloting. That vote was supported with the following statement: "NERC Standards should suffice." Since the ballot proposed retirement of the standard, the comment included with the negative vote seem to support retirement of the standard.

During the NERC 45-day posting there were no minority views expressed.



Attachment K

WECC Standards Committee Members WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2

Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation Request to Retire

The following individuals are those assigned to the WECC Standards Committee as of September 1, 2017.

Sector	Name	Organization
1 Transmission	Dana Cabbell	Southern California Edison
2 Generation	Gary Nolan	Arizona Public Service Company
3 Marketers and Brokers	Tanner Brier	Bonneville Power Administration
4 Distribution	Warren Rust	Colorado Springs Utilities
5 System Coordination	Joseph Tarantino	Sacramento Muni. Utility District
6 End User Representative	Caitlin Liotiris	Utah Assoc. of Energy Users
7 State and Provincial	Vacant	Vacant
8 Other Non-Registered Entities	Crystal Musselman	Proven Compliance Solutions
Board of Directors	Joe McArthur	Non-Affiliate Director / WSC Chair



Attachment L1

WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2

Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation
Response to Comments / Posting 1
April 6 through May 22, 2017

Posting 1

The WECC-0126, PRC-004-WECC-2, Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation Drafting Team (DT) thanks everyone who submitted comments on the proposed document.

Posting

This project was posted for a 45-day public comment period from April 6 through May 22, 2017.

WECC distributed the notice for the posting on April 4, 2017. The DT asked stakeholders to provide feedback on the proposed document through a standardized electronic template. WECC received four comments on this posting.

Location of Comments

All comments received on the project can be viewed in their original format on the WECC-0126 project page under the "Submit and Review Comments" accordion.

Changes in Response to Comment

In response to comments received, the WECC-0126 drafting team made no further substantive changes. All respondents concurred with the DT that the document should be retired.

Minority View

There is no minority view.

Effective Date

The WECC-0126 drafting team is recommending immediate retirement of the Regional Reliability Standard because the reliability-related substance is addressed in peripheral NERC Standards. The DT does not believe any further actions are necessary to implement the proposed change.

The proposed Effective Date for the project is immediately on receipt of applicable regulatory approval.

Justification

See above.

Attachment L1
WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2
Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation
Response to Comments / Posting 1
April 6 through May 22, 2017

Action Plan

On May 24, 2017, the WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2 Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation Drafting Team agreed to forward the project to the WECC Standards Committee (WSC) with a request for ballot.

No further postings are anticipated.

Contacts and Appeals

If you feel your comment has been omitted or overlooked, please contact W. Shannon Black, WECC Consultant, at (503) 307-5782. In addition, there is a WECC Reliability Standards Appeals Process.

Cor	nmenter	Organization
1	Pjoy Chua	Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP)
2	Laura Nelson	Idaho Power
3	Kenneth Silver	Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP)
4	William Franklin	Public Service Company of Colorado (PSCO)
5	Michelle Amarantos	Arizona Public Service Company (APS)



Report Form for WECC-0126

Index to Questions, Comments, and Responses

Question

1. The Drafting Team welcomes comments on all aspects of the document.

Report Form for WECC-0126

1. The Drafting Team welcomes comments on all aspects of the document.

Summary Consideration:	See summary	in the preamble of this document.
Commenter / Comment		Response
LADWP ((1) Chua and (3) S	ilver)	LADWP [agrees] the substance of PRC-004-WECC-2 should be retired.
The DT appreciates LADWR	o's continued ir	nvolvement in the standards development process.
Idaho Power		Idaho Power agrees with the recommendation for retirement of PRC-004-WECC-2.
The DT appreciates Idaho I process.	Power's continu	ued involvement in the standards development
PSCo		PSCo supports retirement of the PRC-004-WECC-2 standard and supports the work of the drafting team.
The DT appreciates PSCo's continued involvement in the standards development process.		
APS		APS supports the Drafting Team's recommendation to retire PRC-004-WECC-[2].
The DT appreciates APS' continued involvement in the standards development process.		

Attachment L2

WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2

Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation
NERC Response to Comments / Posting 1
November 3 through December 18, 2017

Posting 1

The WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2, Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation (DT) thanks everyone who submitted comments on the proposed project.

Posting

This document was posted for a 45-day public comment period at the North American Electricity Reliability Corporation (NERC) from November 3 through December 18, 2017.

On November 3, 2017, NERC distributed notice of the posting via the NERC Standards Announcements email exploder.

NERC received comments from four entities as shown in the following table.

Location of Comments

All comments received on the project can be viewed in their original format on the WECC-0126 project page under the "Submit and Review Comments" accordion. Additionally, the raw data provided to WECC by NERC in support of this filing is appended to this response form.

Changes in Response to Comment

No changes were made to the project based on the comments received during this posting.

Minority View

There were no minority concerns.

Effective Date and Implementation Plan

The Reliability Standards Development Procedures (Procedures) require that an implementation plan be posted with at least one posting of the project. After completing its review, the DT recommends that the substance of PRC-004-WECC-2 should be retired immediately and in its entirety because the reliability-related substance is addressed in peripheral NERC Standards. The DT does not believe any further actions are necessary to implement the proposed changes.

Action Plan

As of January 10, 2018, this project is awaiting filing at NERC.



Contacts and Appeals

If you feel your comment has been omitted or overlooked, please contact W. Shannon Black, WECC Consultant. In addition, the WECC Reliability Standards Appeals Process can be found in the Reliability Standards Development Procedures.

WECC Standards Comment Table

Commenter		Organization
1	Aaron Cavanaugh	Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)
2	John Tolo	Tucson Electric Power Company (TEP)
3	Laurie Williams	PNM Resources - Public Service Company of New Mexico (PNM)
4	Glen Farmer	Avista

Index to Questions, Comments, and Responses

Questions

- 1. Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Open" criteria as outlined above? If "No," please explain in the comment area below:
- 2. Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Inclusive" criteria as outlined above? If "No," please explain in the comment area below:
- 3. Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Balanced" criteria as outlined above? If "No," please explain in the comment area below:
- 4. Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Due Process" criteria as outlined above? If "No," please explain in the comment area below:
- 5. Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Transparent" criteria as outlined above? If "No," please explain in the comment area below:

1. Response Summary

Summary Consideration:	See summary in the preamble of this document.		
Commenter / Comment			Response

The WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2, Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation Drafting Team thanks all parties for their continued support and dedication to the standards development process.

All respondents answered in the affirmative on all questions.

There were no minority opinions nor were there requests for modification.

No changes were made to the project.

Raw Data provided by NERC

Comment Report

Project Name: Regional Reliability Standard (WECC) | PRC-004-WECC-2

Retirement

Comment Period

11/3/2017

Start Date:

Comment Period End 12/18/2017

Date:

Associated Ballots:

There were 4 sets of responses, including comments from approximately 4 different people from approximately 4 companies representing 4 of the Industry Segments as shown in the table on the following pages.

Questions

- 1. Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Open" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the comment area below:
- 2. Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Inclusive" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the comment area below:

- 3. Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Balanced" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the comment area below:
- 4. Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Due Process" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the comment area below:
- 5. Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Transparent" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the comment area below:

Organization Name	Name	Segment(s)	Region	 Member .			Group Member	
				Name	Organization	Segment(s)	Region	

1. Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Open" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the comment area below:		
John Tolo - Unisource - T	ucson Electric Power Co 1	
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Laurie Williams - PNM Re	sources - Public Service Company of New Mexico -	
Answer	Yes	

Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Glen Farmer - Avista - Av	ista Corporation - 1,3,5
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Aaron Cavanaugh - Bonn	eville Power Administration - 1,3,5,6 - WECC
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	

2. Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Inclusive" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the comment area below:		
Aaron Cavanaugh - Bonn	eville Power Administration - 1,3,5,6 - WECC	
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Glen Farmer - Avista - Av	ista Corporation - 1,3,5	
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Laurie Williams - PNM Re 1,3	sources - Public Service Company of New Mexico -	
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		

Dislikes 0						
Response	Response					
John Tolo - Unisource - T	ucson Electric Power Co 1					
Answer	Yes					
Document Name						
Comment						
Likes 0						
Dislikes 0						
Response	Response					
3. Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Balanced" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the comment area below:						
John Tolo - Unisource - T	ucson Electric Power Co 1					
Answer	Yes					
Document Name						
Comment						
Likes 0						
Dislikes 0						
Response						
Laurie Williams - PNM Resources - Public Service Company of New Mexico -						
Laurie Williams - PNM Re 1,3	sources - Public Service Company of New Mexico -					

Document Name				
Comment				
Likes 0				
Dislikes 0				
Response				
Glen Farmer - Avista - Av	ista Corporation - 1,3,5			
Answer	Yes			
Document Name				
Comment				
Likes 0				
Dislikes 0				
Response				
Aaron Cavanaugh - Bonn	eville Power Administration - 1,3,5,6 - WECC			
Answer	Yes			
Document Name				
Comment				
Likes 0				
Dislikes 0				
Response				

	opment of the Regional Reliability Standard met a as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the			
Aaron Cavanaugh - Bonn	eville Power Administration - 1,3,5,6 - WECC			
Answer	Yes			
Document Name				
Comment				
Likes 0				
Dislikes 0				
Response				
Glen Farmer - Avista - Av	ista Corporation - 1,3,5			
Answer	Yes			
Document Name				
Comment				
Likes 0				
Dislikes 0				
Response				
Laurie Williams - PNM Resources - Public Service Company of New Mexico - 1,3				
Answer	Yes			
Document Name				
Comment				
Likes 0				

Dislikes 0	
Response	
John Tolo - Unisource - T	ucson Electric Power Co 1
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
the "Transparent" criteria comment area below:	opment of the Regional Reliability Standard met as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the
John Tolo - Unisource - T	ucson Electric Power Co 1
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Response	
	sources - Public Service Company of New Mexico -

Document Name				
Comment				
Likes 0				
Dislikes 0				
Response				
Glen Farmer - Avista - Av	ista Corporation - 1,3,5			
Answer	Yes			
Document Name				
Comment				
Likes 0				
Dislikes 0				
Response				
Aaron Cavanaugh - Bonn	eville Power Administration - 1,3,5,6 - WECC			
Answer	Yes			
Document Name				
Comment				
Likes 0				
Dislikes 0				
Response				



Regional Reliability Standards Announcement

Western Electricity Coordinating Council FAC-501-WECC-2, PRC-004-WECC-2, and VAR-002-WECC-2

Comment period open through December 18, 2017

Now Available

The Western Electricity Coordinating Council (WECC) has requested NERC to post the following proposed Regional Reliability Standards for industry review and comment as permitted by the NERC Rules of Procedure:

- FAC-501-WECC-2 Transmission Maintenance
- PRC-004-WECC-2 Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation (Retirement)
- VAR-002-WECC-2 Automatic Voltage Regulators (Retirement)

Commenting

Use the <u>Standards Balloting and Commenting System (SBS)</u> to submit comments. If you experience any difficulties using the electronic forms, contact <u>Mat Bunch</u>. The forms must be submitted by **8 p.m. Eastern, Monday, December 18, 2017.** Unofficial Word versions of the comment forms are posted on the <u>Regional Reliability Standards Under Development</u> page.

Regional Reliability Standards Development Process

Section 300 of <u>NERC's Rules of Procedures of the Electric Reliability Organization</u> governs the regional reliability standards development process. Although the technical aspects of this Regional Reliability Standard have been vetted through WECC's Regional Standards development process, the final approval process for a Regional Reliability Standard requires NERC publicly to notice and request comment on the criteria outlined in the unofficial comment forms.

Documents and information about this project are available on the <u>WECC's Standards Under</u> <u>Development</u> page.

For more information or assistance, contact Standards Developer, <u>Mat Bunch</u> (via email) or at (404) 446-9785.

North American Electric Reliability Corporation 3353 Peachtree Rd, NE Suite 600, North Tower Atlanta, GA 30326 404-446-2560 | www.nerc.com

A. Introduction

1. Title: Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation

2. Number: PRC-004-WECC-2

3. Purpose: Regional Reliability Standard to ensure all transmission and generation Protection

System and Remedial Action Scheme (RAS) Misoperations on Transmission Paths

and RAS defined in section 4 are analyzed and/or mitigated.

4. Applicability

- **4.1.** Transmission Owners of selected WECC major transmission path facilities and RAS listed in tables titled "Major WECC Transfer Paths in the Bulk Electric System" provided at https://www.wecc.biz/Reliability/TableMajorPaths4-28-08.pdf and "Major WECC Remedial Action Schemes (RAS)" provided at https://www.wecc.biz/Reliability/TableMajorRAS4-28-08.pdf.
- **4.2.** Generator Owners that own RAS listed in the Table titled "Major WECC Remedial Action Schemes (RAS)" provided at https://wecc.biz/Reliability/TableMajorRAS4-28-08.pdf.
- **4.3.** Transmission Operators that operate major transmission path facilities and RAS listed in Tables titled "Major WECC Transfer Paths in the Bulk Electric System" provided at https://www.wecc.biz/Reliability/TableMajorPaths4-28-08.pdf and "Major WECC Remedial Action Schemes (RAS)" provided at https://www.wecc.biz/Reliability/TableMajorRAS4-28-08.pdf.
- **5. Effective Date:** See Implementation Plan for the Revised Definition of "Remedial Action Scheme"

B. Requirements

The requirements below only apply to the major transmission paths facilities and RAS listed in the tables titled "Major WECC Transfer Paths in the Bulk Electric System" and "Major WECC Remedial Action Schemes (RAS)."

- **R.1.** System Operators and System Protection personnel of the Transmission Owners and Generator Owners shall analyze all Protection System and RAS operations. [Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Operations Assessment]
 - **R1.1.** System Operators shall review all tripping of transmission elements and RAS operations to identify apparent Misoperations within 24 hours.
 - **R1.2.** System Protection personnel shall analyze all operations of Protection Systems and RAS within 20 business days for correctness to characterize whether a Misoperation has occurred that may not have been identified by System Operators.
- **R.2.** Transmission Owners and Generator Owners shall perform the following actions for each Misoperation of the Protection System or RAS. It is not intended that Requirements R2.1 through R2.4 apply to Protection System and/or RAS actions that appear to be entirely reasonable and correct at the time of occurrence and associated system performance is fully compliant with NERC Reliability Standards. If the Transmission Owner or Generator Owner later finds the Protection System or RAS operation to be incorrect through System Protection personnel analysis, the requirements of R2.1 through R2.4 become applicable at the time the Transmission Owner or Generator Owner identifies the Misoperation:
 - **R2.1.** If the Protection System or RAS has a Security-Based Misoperation and two or more Functionally Equivalent Protection Systems (FEPS) or Functionally Equivalent RAS (FERAS) remain in service to ensure Bulk Electric System (BES) reliability, the Transmission Owners or Generator Owners shall remove from service the Protection

- System or RAS that misoperated within 22 hours following identification of the Misoperation. Repair or replacement of the failed Protection System or RAS is at the Transmission Owners' and Generator Owners' discretion. [Violation Risk Factor: High] [Time Horizon: Same-day Operations]
- **R2.2.** If the Protection System or RAS has a Security-Based Misoperation and only one FEPS or FERAS remains in service to ensure BES reliability, the Transmission Owner or Generator Owner shall perform the following. [Violation Risk Factor: High] [Time Horizon: Same-day Operations]
 - **R2.2.1.** Following identification of the Protection System or RAS Misoperation, Transmission Owners and Generator Owners shall remove from service within 22 hours for repair or modification the Protection System or RAS that misoperated.
 - **R2.2.2.** The Transmission Owner or Generator Owner shall repair or replace any Protection System or RAS that misoperated with a FEPS or FERAS within 20 business days of the date of removal. The Transmission Owner or Generator Owner shall remove the Element from service or disable the RAS if repair or replacement is not completed within 20 business days.
- **R2.3.** If the Protection System or RAS has a Security-Based or Dependability-Based Misoperation and a FEPS and FERAS is not in service to ensure BES reliability, Transmission Owners or Generator Owners shall repair and place back in service within 22 hours the Protection System or RAS that misoperated. If this cannot be done, then Transmission Owners and Generator Owners shall perform the following. [Violation Risk Factor: High] [Time Horizon: Same-day Operations]
 - **R2.3.1.** When a FEPS is not available, the Transmission Owners shall remove the associated Element from service.
 - **R2.3.2.** When FERAS is not available, then
 - **2.3.2.1.** The Generator Owners shall adjust generation to a reliable operating level, or
 - **2.3.2.2.** Transmission Operators shall adjust the SOL and operate the facilities within established limits.
- **R2.4.** If the Protection System or RAS has a Dependability-Based Misoperation but has one or more FEPS or FERAS that operated correctly, the associated Element or transmission path may remain in service without removing from service the Protection System or RAS that failed, provided one of the following is performed.
 - **R2.4.1.** Transmission Owners or Generator Owners shall repair or replace any Protection System or RAS that misoperated with FEPS and FERAS within 20 business days of the date of the Misoperation identification, or
 - **R2.4.2.** Transmission Owners or Generator Owners shall remove from service the associated Element or RAS. [Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Operations Assessment]
- **R.3.** Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall submit Misoperation incident reports to WECC within 10 business days for the following. [Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Operations Assessment]
 - **R3.1.** Identification of a Misoperation of a Protection System and/or RAS,
 - **R3.2.** Completion of repairs or the replacement of Protection System and/or RAS that misoperated.

C. Measures

Each measure below applies directly to the requirement by number.

- **M1.** Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall have evidence that they reported and analyzed all Protection System and RAS operations.
 - **M1.1** Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall have evidence that System Operating personnel reviewed all operations of Protection System and RAS within 24 hours.
 - M1.2 Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall have evidence that System Protection personnel analyzed all operations of Protection System and RAS for correctness within 20 business days.
- M2. Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall have evidence for the following.
 - **M2.1** Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall have evidence that they removed the Protection System or RAS that misoperated from service within 22 hours following identification of the Protection System or RAS Misoperation.
 - **M2.2** Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall have evidence that they removed from service and repaired the Protection System or RAS that misoperated per measurements M2.2.1 through M2.2.2.
 - **M2.2.1** Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall have evidence that they removed the Protection System or RAS that misoperated from service within 22 hours following identification of the Protection System or RAS Misoperation.
 - **M2.2.2** Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall have evidence that they repaired or replaced the Protection System or RAS that misoperated within 20 business days or either removed the Element from service or disabled the RAS.
 - M2.3 The Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall have evidence that they repaired the Protection System or RAS that misoperated within 22 hours following identification of the Protection System or RAS Misoperation.
 - **M2.3.1** The Transmission Owner shall have evidence that it removed the associated Element from service.
 - **M2.3.2** The Generator Owners and Transmission Operators shall have documentation describing all actions taken that adjusted generation or SOLs and operated facilities within established limits.
 - **M2.4** Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall have evidence that they repaired or replaced the Protection System or RAS that misoperated including documentation that describes the actions taken.
 - **M2.4.1** Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall have evidence that they repaired or replaced the Protection System or RAS that misoperated within 20 business days of the misoperation identification.
 - **M2.4.2** Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall have evidence that they removed the associated Element or RAS from service.
- **M3.** Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall have evidence that they reported the following within 10 business days.

- **M3.1** Identification of all Protection System and RAS Misoperations and corrective actions taken or planned.
- **M3.2** Completion of repair or replacement of Protection System and/or RAS that misoperated.

D. Compliance

1. Compliance Monitoring Process

1.1 Compliance Monitoring Responsibility

Compliance Enforcement Authority

1.2 Compliance Monitoring Period

Compliance Enforcement Authority may use one or more of the following methods to assess compliance:

- Misoperation Reports
- Reports submitted quarterly
- Spot check audits conducted anytime with 30 days notice given to prepare
- Periodic audit as scheduled by the Compliance Enforcement Authority
- Investigations
- Other methods as provided for in the Compliance Monitoring Enforcement Program
- **1.2.1** The Performance-reset Period is one calendar month.

1.3 Data Retention

Reliability Coordinators, Transmission Owners, and Generation Owners shall keep evidence for Measures M1 and M2 for five calendar years plus year to date.

1.4. Additional Compliance Information

None.

2. Violation Severity Levels

R1

Lower Moderate		High	Severe
System Operating personnel	System Operating personnel of	System Protection personnel	System Protection
of the Transmission Owner	the Transmission Owner or	of the Transmission Owner	personnel of the
or Generator Owner did not	Generator Owner did not	and Generator Owner did	Transmission Owner or
review the Protection	review the Protection System	not analyze the Protection	Generator Owner did not
System Operation or RAS	operation or RAS operation	System operation or RAS	analyze the Protection
operation within 24 hours	within six business days.	operation within 20 business	System operation or RAS
but did review the		days but did analyze the	operation within 25
Protection System		Protection System operation	business days.
Operation or RAS operation		or RAS operation within 25	
within six business days.		business days.	
-			

R2.1 and R2.2.1

Lower	Moderate	High	Severe
The Transmission Owner and Generator Owner did not remove from service, repair, or implement other compliance measures for the Protection System or RAS that misoperated as required within 22 hours but did perform the requirements within 24 hours.	The Transmission Owner and Generator Owner did not remove from service, repair, or implement other compliance measures for the Protection System or RAS that misoperated as required in less than 24 hours but did perform the requirements within 28 hours.	The Transmission Owner and Generator Owner did not perform the removal from service, repair, or implement other compliance measures for the Protection System or RAS that misoperated as required in less than 28 hours but did perform the requirements within 32 hours.	The Transmission Owner and Generator Owner did not perform the removal from service, repair, or implement other compliance measures for the Protection System or RAS that misoperated as required within 32 hours.

R2.3

Lower	Moderate	High	Severe
The Transmission Operator	The Transmission Operator	The Transmission Operator	The Transmission
and Generator Owner did	and Generator Owner did not	and Generator Owner did	Operator and Generator
not adjust generation to a	adjust generation to a reliable	not adjust generation to a	Owner did not adjust
reliable operating level,	operating level, adjust the	reliable operating level,	generation to a reliable
adjust the SOL and operate	SOL and operate the facilities	adjust the SOL and operate	operating level, adjust the
the facilities within	within established limits or	the facilities within	SOL and operate the
established limits or	implement other compliance	established limits or	facilities within
implement other compliance	measures for the Protection	implement other compliance	established limits or
measures for the Protection	System or RAS that	measures for the Protection	implement other
System or RAS that	misoperated as required in less	System or RAS that	compliance measures for
misoperated as required than 24 hours but did perform		misoperated as required in	the Protection System or
within 22 hours but did	the requirements within 28	less than 28 hours but did	RAS that misoperated as
perform the requirements	hours.	perform the requirements	required within 32 hours.
within 24 hours.		within 32 hours.	

R2.2.2 and R2.4

Lower Moderate		Ioderate High	
The Transmission Owner and Generator Owner did not perform the required repairs, replacement, or system operation adjustments to comply with the requirements within 20 business days but did	The Transmission Owner and Generator Owner did not perform the required repairs, replacement, or system operation adjustment to comply with the requirements within 25 business days but did perform the required	The Transmission Owner and Generator Owner did not perform the required repairs, replacement, or system operation adjustment to comply with the requirements within 28 business days but did	The Transmission Owner and Generator Owner did not perform the required repairs, replacement, or system operation adjustments to comply with the requirements within 30 business days.
perform the required activities within 25 business	activities within 28 business days.	perform the required activities within 30 business	within 30 business days.
days.		days.	

R3.1

Lower	Moderate	High	Severe	
The Transmission Owner and Generator Owner did not report the Misoperation and corrective actions taken or planned to comply with the requirements within 10 business days but did perform the required activities within 15 business days.	The Transmission Owner and Generator Owner did not report the Misoperation and corrective actions taken or planned to comply with the requirements within 15 business days but did perform the required activities within 20 business days.	The Transmission Owner and Generator Owner did not report the Misoperation and corrective actions taken or planned to comply with the requirements within 20 business days but did perform the required activities within 25 business days.	The Transmission Owner and Generator Owner did not report the Misoperation and corrective actions taken or planned to comply with the requirements within 25 business days.	

R3.2

Lower Moderate		High	Severe	
The Transmission Owner and Generator Owner did not report the completion of repair or replacement of Protection System and/or RAS that misoperated to comply with the requirements within 10 business days of the completion but did perform the required activities within 15 business days.	The Transmission Owner and Generator Owner did not report the completion of repair or replacement of Protection System and/or RAS that misoperated to comply with the requirements within 15 business days of the completion but did perform the required activities within 20 business days.	The Transmission Owner and Generator Owner did not report the completion of repair or replacement of Protection System and/or RAS that misoperated to comply with the requirements within 20 business days of the completion but did perform the required activities within 25 business days.	The Transmission Owner and Generator Owner did not report the completion of repair or replacement of Protection System and/or RAS that misoperated to comply with the requirements within 25 business days of the completion.	

WECC Standard PRC-004-WECC-2 — Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation

Version History — Shows Approval History and Summary of Changes in the Action Field

Version	Date	Action	Change Tracking
1	April 16, 2008	Permanent Replacement Standard for PRC-STD-001-1 and PRC-STD-003-1	
1	April 21, 2011	FERC Order issued approving PRC-004-WECC-1 (approval effective June 27, 2011)	
2	November 13, 2014	Adopted by the NERC Board of Trustees	
2	November 19, 2015	FERC Order issued approving PRC-004-WECC-2. Docket No. RM15-13-000.	
2	May 26, 2017	All links were updated in the Applicability section of the standard (4.1, 4.2 and 4.3)	

Attachment F2

Technical Justification

WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2

Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation Request to Retire Regional Reliability Standard

Cover Sheet

Technical Justification
Retirement of WECC Regional Reliability Standard
PRC-004-WECC-2
Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation

White Paper:

Retirement of WECC Regional Reliability Standard PRC-004-WECC-2

Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation

Technical Justification

WECC Standards Committee
June 21, 2017

Developed as: WECC-0126



155 North 400 West, Suite 200

Salt Lake City, Utah 84103-1114

Attachment F
Technical Justification

WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2

Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation
Request to Retire Regional Reliability Standard
Developed as: WECC-0126

Executive Summary

The WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2 Standard Drafting Team (DT) reviewed NERC Standards, both in effect and proposed for regulatory approval. The DT also considered the development history of PRC-004-WECC-2 and its history of performance.

The following are the findings reached and conclusion, and the recommendation made by the DT.

Findings and Conclusion

The DT concluded that retirement of the standard can be made without incurring a negative impact on reliability because:

- 1. The reliability concern for which the standard was drafted is now specifically covered in FAC-003-4 Transmission Vegetation Management (enforceable October 1, 2016).
- 2. The Applicability section is overly narrow and included in other existing NERC Standards;
- 3. Requirement R1 is covered in other NERC Standards;
- 4. Requirement R2 is covered in other NERC Standards, conflicts with existing NERC Standards, and its application can lessen reliability as opposed to enhancing it;
- 5. Requirement R3 is entirely administrative in nature and should be retired under FERC P81 criteria;
- 6. The language of the standard does not meet the FERC Order 672 criteria in that it fails to assign the reliability task directly to an entity included in the NERC Functional Model.

Recommendation

After completing its review, the DT recommends that the substance of PRC-004-WECC-2 should be retired immediately and in its entirety because the reliability-related substance is addressed in peripheral NERC Standards. The DT does not believe any further actions are necessary to implement the proposed change.

Background

In 1996, two system disturbances occurred within the Western Interconnection, on the same elements, within a single 24-hour period, due to improper vegetation management. To prevent reoccurrence of such a specific event, language was included in WECC's Reliability Management System (RMS) requiring that the relay or Remedial Action Scheme (RAS) that misoperated be removed from service or repaired within 22 hours.^{1 2} The language was premised on the position that if the misoperation was analyzed and promptly removed from service the system operators could remedy the cause before an iterative misoperation took place.

By 2007, with the implementation of mandatory standards, WECC examined the RMS, identifying those requirements it deemed essential for reliability, and translated those requirements into a language and format acceptable to the North America Electricity Reliability Council (NERC)³ and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). That translation resulted in WECC Standard PRC-STD-003-1, Protective Relay and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation and PRC-STD-001-1, Certification of Protective Relay Applications and Settings.⁴

As the mandatory scheme evolved, two things occurred. First, NERC/FERC identified drafting and format concerns in those two standards and instructed WECC to redraft them accordingly. The result was that the current PRC-004-WECC-1 (inactive March 31, 2017) was replaced by PRC-004-WECC-2 (United States Enforcement Date April 1, 2017) to accommodate changes in the NERC Glossary of Terms Used in NERC Reliability Standards (Glossary). ⁵ The second was the introduction of the

¹The Reliability Management System (AKA: Western Electricity Coordinating Council, FERC Electric Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, Original Sheet Number 1) was the precursor to the NERC Mandatory Standards within the Western Interconnection. The Transfer Path Table and the Remedial Action Scheme table were originally developed as part of the RMS. The 22-hour period was memorialized in the RMS: I. Protective Relay and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation; 2. WSCC Criterion, Section a. For more detail refer to Compliance Filing of WECC in Response to Order Numbers 751 and 752 on Version One Regional Reliability Standards. RM09-09-000.

² "WECC explains that these requirements were developed as a result of a 345 kV line relay misoperation in July 1996 when virtually the same outage occurred the next day because the faulty equipment had not been isolated." 119 FERC ¶ 61,260; United States of America Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) North American Electric Reliability Corporation, Docket No. RR07-11-000, Order Approving Regional Reliability Standards for the Western Interconnection and Directing Modifications (Issued June 8, 2007), Para. 85.

³ Currently known as the North American Electricity Reliability Corporation. (Emphasis added.)

⁴ 135 FERC ¶ 61,061; United States of America Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 18 CFR Part 40, Docket No. RM09-9-000; Order No. 751, Version One Regional Reliability Standards for Facilities Design, Connections, and Maintenance; Protection and Control; and Voltage and Reactive (Issued April 21, 2011), para. 34. FERC Order issued approving PRC-004-WECC-1 (approval effective June 27, 2011).

⁵ In the Glossary of Terms Used in NERC Reliability Standards, Protection Systems are not the same as Special Protection Systems (SPS). An SPS is synonymous with a RAS per that glossary.

⁶ FN31 NERC RAS Petition at 1-2. NERC requested approval of the following Reliability Standards to incorporate the proposed definition of Remedial Action Scheme and eliminate use of the term Special Protection System: i.e., PRC-004-WECC-2.

Facilities Design, Connection and Maintenance (FAC) standards designed, among other things, to address the specific type of vegetation management concerns that caused the 1996 disturbances.

In the 20 years since the precipitating events, the *remedy* for those events shifted to the vegetation management standards of the NERC FAC suite and the remaining language pertinent to Protection Systems (PS), Special Protection Schemes (SPS), and Remedial Action Schemes (RAS) shifted to other NERC PRC Standards.⁷

Shifting Remediation

At the threshold, it should be noted that remediation of the 1996 seminal event has shifted to FAC-003-4, Transmission Vegetation Management. Therefore, PRC-004-WECC-2 no longer addresses the cause for which it was drafted.

In 1996, if the applicable entities had been complying with a 2016 version of FAC-003-4, Transmission Vegetation Management (enforceable October 1, 2016) it is unlikely that the predecessors to PRC-004-WECC-2 would have been written. Remediation for the primary causal event has shifted to FAC-003-4, which is applicable to transmission facilities operated at 200-kV or higher, and below 200-kV if the facility is identified as an element of a Major WECC Transfer Path. FAC-003-4 requires: 1) that vegetation be managed to prevent the type of encroachment encountered in 1996 (R1 and R2); 2) timely notification to the appropriate control center of vegetation conditions that could cause a Flashover at any moment (R4); and 3) corrective action to ensure that Flashover distances will not be violated due to work constraints.⁸

Applicability – Scope

The narrow scope of the PRC-004-WECC-2 Applicability section should be retired in favor of the broader Applicability section of other NERC Standards. Whereas PRC-004-WECC-2 only applies to specific RAS and PS included in defined tables, other NERC Standards address the same analysis without limiting the analysis to RAS and PS contained in the specified tables.

¹⁵³ FERC ¶ 61,228; United States of America Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 18 CFR Part 40, Docket Nos. RM15-7-000, RM15-12-000, and RM15-13-000, Order No. 818, Revisions to Emergency Operations Reliability Standards; Revisions to Undervoltage Load Shedding Reliability Standards; Revisions to the Definition of "Remedial Action Scheme" and Related Reliability Standards, (Issued November 19, 2015)

⁷ This project is part of WECC's commitment to harmonize PRC-004-WECC-2 with NERC Standards addressing RAS and PS per PRC-004-4(i), 5 Background, page 2.

⁸ FAC-003-4, Transmission Vegetation Management, Section 6. Background. See also: "Consideration of Actual Field Conditions in Determination of Facility Ratings".

The Applicability of the PRC-004-WECC-2 reads as follows:

4. Applicability

- **4.1.** Transmission Owners of selected WECC major transmission path facilities and RAS listed in tables titled "Major WECC Transfer Paths in the Bulk Electric System" provided at [hyperlink] and "Major WECC Remedial Action Schemes (RAS)" provided at [hyperlink].
- **4.2.** Generator Owners that own RAS listed in the Table titled "Major WECC Remedial Action Schemes (RAS)" provided at [hyperlink].
- **4.3.** Transmission Operators that operate major transmission path facilities and RAS listed in Tables titled "Major WECC Transfer Paths in the Bulk Electric System" provided at [hyperlink] and "Major WECC Remedial Action Schemes (RAS)" provided at [hyperlink].

Although the requirements of PRC-004-WECC-2 address both RAS and PS, the existing NERC Standards address these two topics in separate standards.

PRC-016-1 Remedial Action Scheme Misoperations, Requirement R1 requires any Transmission Owner (TO), Generator Owner (GO), and Distribution Provider (DP) owning a RAS to ". . . analyze its RAS operations and maintain a record of all misoperations. . ." in accordance with the regional procedures.

Since *all RAS* must be examined under PRC-016-1, there is no reason to retain PRC-004-WECC-2 which only applies to a specific and limited subset of WECC RAS. Review of all RAS under PRC-016-1 subsumes the subset of RAS targeted in PRC-004-WECC-2. So, the specificity of the PRC-004-WECC-2 Applicability section is a lesser included subset of PRC-016-1 (effective date April 1, 2017) making PRC-004-WECC-2 redundant.

In like fashion, PRC-004-4(i) Protection System Misoperation Identification and Correction, requires all TOs, GOs, and DPs to review *all PS* operations on the BES to: 1) identify those that are Misoperations of PS; 2) analyze Misoperations of PS; and 3) develop and implement Corrective Action Plans (CAP) to address the cause(s) of Misoperation. Thus, the specificity of the PRC-004-WECC-2 Applicability section is a lesser included subset of PRC-004-4(i) making PRC-004-WECC-2 redundant.

Applicability – Failure to Meet Order 672 Criteria

Although the Applicability section accurately identifies the correct NERC Functional Entities, the Requirements do not assign tasks to those entities.

Rather than assigning the reliability task to the TO or GO, R1 assigns its task to "System Operators and System Protection personnel of the Transmission Owners and Generator Owners." R1 does not directly assign a reliability task to any applicable entity listed in the NERC Functional Model. As such, it falls

⁹ PRC-004-4(i) Protection System Misoperation Identification and Correction, 5. Background, page 2.

short of the FERC Order 672 mandate that a Reliability Standard impose a requirement only on a user, owner, or operator of facilities associated with the Bulk-Power System (BES).¹⁰ Presuming the requirement could be interpreted to apply to the TO and GO directly, R1 imposes a duty to "analyze all Protection System and RAS operations."¹¹ Because these tasks are covered in other NERC Standards (see following analysis) there is no need to retain the requirement nor try to sort out which NERC Functional Model entity the original draft intended.

Retirement of Requirement R1

The entirety of Requirement R1 should be retired because it is redundant to other NERC Standards.

The text of Requirement R1 is as follows:

B. Requirements

The requirements below only apply to the major transmission paths facilities and RAS listed in the tables titled "Major WECC Transfer Paths in the Bulk Electric System" and "Major WECC Remedial Action Schemes (RAS)."

- R.1. System Operators and System Protection personnel of the Transmission Owners and Generator Owners shall analyze all Protection System and RAS operations. [Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Operations Assessment]
 - R1.1. System Operators shall review all tripping of transmission elements and RAS operations to identify apparent Misoperations within 24 hours.
 - R1.2. System Protection personnel shall analyze all operations of Protection Systems and RAS within 20 business days for correctness to characterize whether a Misoperation has occurred that may not have been identified by System Operators.

Covered Elsewhere

Unlike PRC-004-WECC-2 that sweeps in both PS and RAS, in the NERC Standards these two classifications of devices are addressed in separate standards.

As for PS, existing NERC Standards include and go beyond a mandate for analysis. TOs and Generator Operators (GOP) are required to be familiar with the purpose and limitations of their PS schemes and take corrective actions as soon as possible – not just analyze the problem.¹² Entities must maintain and

¹⁰ The proposed Reliability Standard may impose a requirement on any user, owner, or operator of such facilities, but no on other. Order 672 at P. 322.

¹¹ In the Glossary of Terms Used in NERC Reliability Standards, Protection Systems are not the same as <u>Special</u> Protection Systems (SPS). An SPS is synonymous with a RAS per that glossary; an SPS is not the same as a Protection System.

¹² PRC-001-1.1(ii) System Protection Coordination, Requirements R1 and R2.

test their PS, and demonstrate efforts to correct identified Unresolved Maintenance Issues. ¹³ Monitoring and situational awareness are also required ¹⁴. Finally, TOs and GOs are required to correct identified and unresolved maintenance Issues. ¹⁵ These combined NERC Standards meet and exceed the reliability concerns of Requirement R1 regarding PS.

As for RAS, PRC-004-4 not only calls for analysis it also requires coordination with other entities, notification of events and findings, and most importantly that corrective actions be planned and implemented. Elsewhere, applicable entities that own a RAS are required to analyze RAS operation and misoperation, take corrective actions to ensure misoperation does not reoccur, and to provide documentation of its activities upon request from the Regional Reliability Organization (RRO). PRC-016-1 Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation calls for the inclusion of specific detail in its reports exceeding the requirement of PRC-004-WECC-2. Further, PRC-017-1 Special Protection System Maintenance and Testing requires the TO and GO to have a system maintenance and testing program (to include specific characteristics), and to provide supporting documentation to the RRO on request. These combined NERC Standards meet and exceed the reliability concerns of PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R1 regarding RAS.

Finally, even in the absence of the continent-wide PRC suite, TPL/TOP standards would require essential analysis and remedial action so long as a facility continues in service with a single PS or RAS. In many cases, this occurs in less than the 20-day window prescribed in PRC-004-WECC-2 and focuses on results as opposed to a perfunctory task.¹⁷

The continent-wide TPL/TOP standards require time frames to take action that range from as quickly as possible out to as much as day-ahead planning. So long as a facility continues in service with a single PS or RAS, the TOP is required by the TOP standards to evaluate the system impacts for that configuration

¹³ PRC-005-6 – Protection System, Automatic Reclosing, and Sudden Pressure Relaying Maintenance.

¹⁴ PRC-001-1.1(ii) — System Protection Coordination; TOP-003-3, Operational Reliability Data, R1, part 1.2

¹⁵ PRC-005-6 – Protection System, Automatic Reclosing, and Sudden Pressure Relaying Maintenance, Requirement R5.

¹⁶ PRC-016-1 — Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation; (United States Enforcement Date April 1, 2017)

¹⁷ TPL-001-4 — Transmission System Planning Performance Requirements, focuses on system performance rather than the method of achieving that performance.

TOP-002-2.1b — Normal Operations Planning, R6 focuses on a different aspect of system performance by analyzing the system at a minimum of the next N-1 Contingency planning.

TOP-004-2 — Transmission Operations, requires that TOPs operate to maintain reliability following occurrence of their most severe single contingency and (R3) for any multiple contingencies identified by their RC. These contingencies exclude any facilities that are already out-of-service (either forced or planned).

TOP-006-3 — Monitoring System Conditions, R3 requires that the RC, TOP, and Balancing Authority "shall provide its operating personnel with appropriate technical information concerning protective relays within" their areas of responsibility.

TOP-008-1 — Response to Transmission Limit Violations, R2 requires the TOP "operate to prevent the likelihood that a disturbance, action or inaction will result in an IROL or SOL violation ..." which reinforces the TPL-004-2 R2 requirement.

at least every day and to take further action if required by the actual circumstances. These TOP time restrictions are much more rigorous than the WECC 20 business days. 18

Because the reliability content of PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R1 is covered in other existing NERC Standards, Requirement R1 can be retired without incurring any negative impact on reliability.

Illusory Time Windows – 20 Business Days

In Requirement R1.2, the 20-day review period has its origins in compliance and not in reliability. Therefore, it is not essential for reliability.

When the predecessors of PRC-004-WECC-2 were developed (circa 1995-2000), the WECC Relay Work Group identified the duration of the window (<u>20</u> business days) to *measure* performance, not as a time window essential for reliability.¹⁹ Meeting minutes from the WECC Relay Work Group establish the first draft of what would later be called a Violation Severity Level (VSL) wherein the 20-business-day window was included in a Level 3 and Level 4 VSL.

The definition of the window (20 <u>business days</u>) makes its regulatory debut in the RMS²⁰ where is it used as a defined term. A Business Day is defined as "any day other than Saturday, Sunday, or a legal public holiday as designated in section 6103, of title 5 US Code." If the 20-business day window was reliability in nature it would not be predicated on weekends and holidays.

To the extent that any level of reliability now attaches to the 20-day window, other NERC Standards impute a shorter time window for remedial action thereby rendering the 20-day window moot. As presented, the review of numerous other NERC Standards shows that operational review of the system is required to take place much sooner than 20 days. ²¹ Thus, the duration and definition of the time window are irrelevant to reliability and can be retired without detriment to the system.

Retirement of Requirement R2

The entirety of Requirement R2 should be retired because it is redundant to other NERC Standards.

The text of Requirement R2 is as follows:

B. Requirements

R.2. Transmission Owners and Generator Owners shall perform the following actions for each Misoperation of the Protection System or RAS. It is not intended that Requirements R2.1

¹⁸ IRO-001.1 R3, requires action within 30 minutes. TOP-008 R2, as noted, primarily reinforces TOP-004 R2, basically saying that the TOP is covered within the IRO timing requirement.

¹⁹ WECC Relay Work Group Meeting Minutes, July 20, 2000.

²⁰ Reliability Management System, I. Protection Relay and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation, Section 2.d.

²¹ TOP-002-2.1b Normal Operations Planning, Requirement R6 requires a minimum of N-1 Contingency planning to meet unscheduled changes in system configuration and generation dispatch.

through R2.4 apply to Protection System and/or RAS actions that appear to be entirely reasonable and correct at the time of occurrence and associated system performance is fully compliant with NERC Reliability Standards. If the Transmission Owner or Generator Owner later finds the Protection System or RAS operation to be incorrect through System Protection personnel analysis, the requirements of R2.1 through R2.4 become applicable at the time the Transmission Owner or Generator Owner identifies the Misoperation:

- R2.1. If the Protection System or RAS has a Security-Based Misoperation and two or more Functionally Equivalent Protection Systems (FEPS) or Functionally Equivalent RAS (FERAS) remain in service to ensure Bulk Electric System (BES) reliability, the Transmission Owners or Generator Owners shall remove from service the Protection System or RAS that misoperated within 22 hours following identification of the Misoperation. Repair or replacement of the failed Protection System or RAS is at the Transmission Owners' and Generator Owners' discretion. [Violation Risk Factor: High] [Time Horizon: Same-day Operations]
- **R2.2.** If the Protection System or RAS has a Security-Based Misoperation and only one FEPS or FERAS remains in service to ensure BES reliability, the Transmission Owner or Generator Owner shall perform the following. [Violation Risk Factor: High] [Time Horizon: Sameday Operations]
 - **R2.2.1.** Following identification of the Protection System or RAS Misoperation,

 Transmission Owners and Generator Owners shall remove from service within 22 hours for repair or modification the Protection System or RAS that misoperated.
 - R2.2.2. The Transmission Owner or Generator Owner shall repair or replace any Protection System or RAS that misoperated with a FEPS or FERAS within 20 business days of the date of removal. The Transmission Owner or Generator Owner shall remove the Element from service or disable the RAS if repair or replacement is not completed within 20 business days.
- R2.3. If the Protection System or RAS has a Security-Based or Dependability-Based Misoperation and a FEPS and FERAS is not in service to ensure BES reliability, Transmission Owners or Generator Owners shall repair and place back in service within 22 hours the Protection System or RAS that misoperated. If this cannot be done, then Transmission Owners and Generator Owners shall perform the following. [Violation Risk Factor: High] [Time Horizon: Same-day Operations]
 - **R2.3.1.** When a FEPS is not available, the Transmission Owners shall remove the associated Element from service.
 - R2.3.2. When FERAS is not available, then
 - **2.3.2.1.** The Generator Owners shall adjust generation to a reliable operating level, or

- **2.3.2.2.** Transmission Operators shall adjust the SOL and operate the facilities within established limits.
- **R2.4.** If the Protection System or RAS has a Dependability-Based Misoperation but has one or more FEPS or FERAS that operated correctly, the associated Element or transmission path may remain in service without removing from service the Protection System or RAS that failed, provided one of the following is performed.
 - **R2.4.1.** Transmission Owners or Generator Owners shall repair or replace any Protection System or RAS that misoperated with FEPS and FERAS within 20 business days of the date of the Misoperation identification, or
 - **R2.4.2.** Transmission Owners or Generator Owners shall remove from service the associated Element or RAS. [Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Operations Assessment]

Retirement of Requirement R2

Requirement R2 is divided into two parts, one assigning tasks in the event of Security-Based Misoperation and the other assigning tasks in the event of Dependability-based Misoperation.²² The requirement to analyze each Misoperation attaches whenever the Misoperation is discovered (identified).

If a PS or RAS Misoperation is *Security-based*, the PS or RAS shall be removed from service within 22 hours of the identification of the Misoperation. Whether the PS or RAS requires repair, removal, replacement or modification is fact specific and subject to If/Then statements.

If the PS or RAS Misoperation is *Dependability-based*, but portions of the systems operated as designed, the PS or RAS can remain in service so long as repair or replacement occurs within 20 days of the identification of the Misoperation; otherwise, the PS or RAS must be removed from service.

Illusory Time Windows - 22 Hours

On the surface, the 22-hour remediation trigger of PRC-004-WECC-2, Requirement R2.2.1 is quite attractive and perceptually creates a much higher performance threshold than its peripheral NERC Standards.²³ But when examined, the remedial clock does not begin to run until the Misoperation is *identified*. In other words, there is no remediation required until the operation is identified. The system operator may identify an *apparent* Misoperation (R1) within the coveted period (R2) and thereby meet

²² Security-based Misoperations and Dependability-based Misoperations are included in the WECC-specific section of the Glossary of Terms Used in NERC Reliability Standards.

²³ Since a real-time assessment of system performance is being conducted at least once every 30 minutes by the Transmission Operator, the value of a review within 22 hours is diluted and somewhat redundant. TOP-001-3, Transmission Operations, R13.

the original intent to remediate the cause. However, the reality is that the identification will not likely be determined by the Real-time system operator thus negating the assumed purpose of the 22 hours. Rather, the higher likelihood is that the system operator may annotate an anomaly in the operations log and pass the investigation on to protection engineers. After analysis and identification by the protection engineer, only then would the tolling clock begin to run. So, it could be days or weeks before the requirement to perform remediation attached. Even though the 22 hours appears to be a higher standard, in practice it is illusory because it lacks a definitive start time.

Because the 22-hour window appears in the requirement, it is assumed that the original drafters intended its inclusion for reliability purposes. However, a review of development record shows that the 22-hour time window did not appear in the requirement until drafted into the Reliability Management System (RMS) agreement. Meeting minutes from a July 20, 2000 WECC Relay Work Group meeting indicates that the 22-hour period was originally intended for inclusion in what would today be called a Measure. The minutes indicate that:

"During the Phase 2 evaluation period the relay misoperation requirement was found to be too loosely defined to enable the assessment of <u>compliance</u> on a consistent basis among all affected parties, per the requirement, the clock starts as soon as it is determined that a relay misoperated or <u>probably misoperated</u>. Making this determination could take days or weeks. It was concluded that <u>compliance</u> with the requirement as originally worded is <u>not measurable</u> on an accurate or consistent basis. Consequently, the Relay Work group in cooperation with the WSCC staff developed revisions to the requirement that will enable a consistent and accurate <u>measure of performance to assess compliance</u> the revised requirement is described in detail below." (Italic emphasis added.)

In fact, the intent of the reports is stated in the 1998 predecessor to the RMS in that:

"The transmission path operators for the paths listed in Table 2 are requested to submit data as specified in detail within this section. <u>For the purpose of maintaining historical records</u>, and in the event, some or all of the <u>compliance data</u> have to be reviewed to resolve questions that may arise in the future, the Path Operators are requested to save the data, as defined below, for at least a one-year period."²⁴ (Emphasis added.)

The language that found its way into the requirement section of the RMS was originally intended to serve a compliance purpose. To the extent the 22-hour period may have evolved to address a reliability task, that task (vegetation management) is now covered in the FAC suite. As such, the 22-hour time frame can be deleted from the standard without impacting reliability.

²⁴ WSCC Detailed Reporting Instructions, Reliability Management System, Evaluation Program Phase 2, Phase 2 Evaluation Period Reporting Requirements, A. Transmission Path Operators Data Collection, see sections on Protective Relay Application and Settings, and Remedial Action Schemes, and Protective Relay and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation, August 12, 1998.

Requirement R2 Conflicts with other Standards / Lessens Reliability

PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2 has a specified set of actions that must be taken once the Misoperation is identified. Because the operator cannot deviate from the specific actions, all discretion is removed. Therefore, R2 conflicts with other standards and lessens reliability.

Under the fact pattern identified in PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2.1, the TO and GO "shall remove from service" the PS or RAS that misoperated. The inflexible mandate leaves the TO/GO no operational choice. By contrast, PRC-001-1.1(ii) System Protection Coordination, Requirement R2, part 2.1 and 2.2 require that "[if] a protective relay or equipment failure reduces system reliability" then corrective action is to be taken as soon as possible. Likewise, PRC-016-1 Remedial Action Scheme Misoperations, Requirement R2 allows the TO/GO owning a RAS to take "corrective actions to avoid Misoperations." Further, TOP-001-3 Transmission Operations, R1 requires the Transmission Operator (TOP) to maintain the reliability of its Transmission Operator Area *via its own actions* (emphasis added). The Balancing Authority (BA) has a similar mandate in R2 of that document.

To illustrate how retention of PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2 can lessen reliability, the following fact pattern is offered.

Example 1

A fault occurred on an important path line and the relays at both terminals operated correctly to clear it. Different makes of reclosing relays are used at the two terminals, which did not allow the recloser reset time to be set the same at both terminals. The terminal that normally recloses first had a longer reset delay of 20 cycles (Terminal A), and the terminal that normally recloses after the other terminal had a shorter reset delay of 15 cycles (Terminal B). A very unusual circumstance occurred when a second fault occurred on the line after the time that the recloser at Terminal B had reset (15 cycles), but before the recloser at the Terminal A had reset (20 cycles). Terminal A, therefore, tripped to lockout after the second fault and did not reclose. Terminal B, which would normally reclose after Terminal A, tripped for the second fault and then proceeded to reclose. Because this is a very long line, the switch-onto-fault (SOTF) settings are set sensitively to provide instantaneous tripping for the entire length of the line. When Terminal B reclosed, The SOTF elements tripped it open due to the line charging current. It is important to recall that this terminal normally recloses after Terminal A, in which case the voltage on the line would block the SOTF elements.

Because Terminal B tripped for no fault, it created a misoperation. Because both relays at Terminal B behaved the same, they both misoperated. This would bring R2.3.1 into play, requiring the line to be

²⁵ Under NERC Project 2007-06.2 Phase 2 of System Protection Coordination, PRC-001-1.1(ii) is proposed for retirement. Should that occur, system awareness and corrective actions shifts to other applicable entities under numerous existing NERC Standards. Please refer to that proceeding for a detailed analysis of which NERC Standards would cover the reliability tasks of PRC-001-1(ii) in the event of retirement. Misoperations that have causes other than failure can be mitigated by taking corrective action as soon as possible.

removed from service if the applicable entity could not repair or replace the relays within 22 hours. Given the large volume of operations that were occurring due to the poor weather, repairing the problem within 22 hours was not easy. Taking the line out of service would have caused more problems than it solved because it would have removed an important line during heavy transfer conditions. With the poor weather that was occurring, other lines were also operating, and every available line needed to be in service. This did not present a reliability concern since the relays were only susceptible to Misoperation during a reclose during the very unlikely scenario of a second fault occurring between 15 and 20 cycles after the first.

This practical example illustrates that PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2 can force undesirable consequences. Had consideration of all the surrounding circumstances been allowed, strict adherence to PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2 would not have been the best choice for reliability.

As seen in the example, PRC-004-WECC-2 *mandates* a specific action without regard to outcome. By contrast, the alternate approach of PRC-001-1.1(ii) allows the TO/GO owning a RAS to take reasoned action *if* the failure reduces reliability. Further, it allows that entity to consider all the surrounding circumstances and act accordingly. Finally, if retained, PRC-004-WECC-2 could conflict with other standards wherein applicable entities are provided flexibility to decide the most appropriate actions to ensure reliability. As such, the alternate approach of PRC-001-1.1(ii) should be adopted over that of the PRC-004-WECC-2.

Requirement R2 – Failure to Meet Order 672 Criteria

Pursuant to FERC Order 672, a Reliability Standard should be clear and unambiguous regarding what is required and who is required to comply. Users, owners, and operators of the Bulk-Power System must know what they are required to do to maintain reliability.²⁶ PRC-004-WECC-2, Requirement R2 falls short of that requirement and should deleted.

Requirement R2.1 through R2.4 are not intended to apply to PS and/or RAS actions "that appear to be entirely reasonable and correct" when "associated system performance is fully compliant with NERC Reliability Standards." What appears to be reasonable to one entity may not appear reasonable to the next. In like fashion, what appears to be reasonable to one auditor may not be reasonable to the next. What is reasonable is the sum of all the surrounding circumstances. These circumstances will vary each time the standard is applied.

Because of the ever-changing fact patterns, neither the applicable entity nor the assigned auditor can be soundly informed as to what action must be taken or what constitutes compliance until after a violation may have occurred. Further, the language implies that what is reasonable equates to what is the best course of action to ensure reliability. This is not always the case. As seen above, one may act to remain perfectly in compliance but those actions may not be in the best interest of reliability.

²⁶ FERC Order No. 672 at P 325.

Finally, the requirement requires the applicable entity to stand as a proxy to the compliance auditor in that it requires the applicable entity to know whether an act is "entirely reasonable and correct" without further guidance. This is the standards equivalent of drafting a law requiring all vehicles to stop *close* to the limit line – without indicating what constitutes *close*.

Although entities make every effort to remain in compliance, applicable entities are not auditors and cannot make the definitive determination whether an act complies with a standard. As such, the ambiguity of the wording robs the applicable entity of the notice required under due process. Thus, Requirement R2 does not meet FERC's Order 672 criteria and should be deleted.

Retirement of Requirement R3

The entirety of Requirement R3 should be retired because it is purely administrative in nature and meets the "P81" criteria for retirement.

The text of Requirement R3 is as follows:

B. Requirements

- **R.3.** Transmission Owners and Generation Owners shall submit Misoperation incident reports to WECC within 10 business days for the following. [Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Operations Assessment]
 - R3.1. Identification of a Misoperation of a Protection System and/or RAS,
 - **R3.2.** Completion of repairs or the replacement of Protection System and/or RAS that misoperated.

Retirement of Requirement R3

The language of PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R3 can be retired without incurring any negative impact to reliability because the Requirement is administrative in nature.

The purpose of PRC-004-WECC-2 is "to ensure all transmission and generation Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme (RAS) Misoperations on Transmission Paths and RAS defined in section 4 are analyzed and/or mitigated."

Retirement of R3 would be consistent with FERC's order²⁷ approving NERC's Compliance Enforcement Initiative ("CEI"), including the Find, Fix, Track and Report ("FFT") program. On March 15, 2012, FERC issued an order²⁸ approving NERC's Compliance Enforcement Implementation (CEI), including the FFT program. Paragraph 81 ("P 81") of the FFT Order reads:

The Commission notes that NERC's FFT initiative is predicated on the view that many violations of requirements currently included in Reliability Standards pose lesser risk to the Bulk-Power

²⁷ North American Electric Reliability Corporation, 138 FERC ¶ 61,193 at P 81 (2012) ("FFT Order").

²⁸ FFT Order at P 81.

System. If so, some current requirements likely provide little protection for Bulk-Power System reliability or may be redundant. The Commission is interested in obtaining views on whether such requirements could be removed from the Reliability Standards with little effect on reliability and an increase in efficiency of the ERO compliance program. If NERC believes that specific Reliability Standards or specific requirements within certain Standards should be revised or removed, we invite NERC to make specific proposals to the Commission identifying the Standards or requirements and setting forth in detail the technical basis for its belief. In addition, or in the alternative, we invite NERC, the Regional Entities and other interested entities to propose appropriate mechanisms to identify and remove from the Commission approved Reliability Standards unnecessary or redundant requirements. We will not impose a deadline on when these comments should be submitted, but ask that to the extent such comments are submitted NERC, the Regional Entities, and interested entities coordinate to submit their respective comments concurrently.²⁹

In keeping with the FFT approach, the WECC-0126 DT reviewed the standard to identify requirements that could be removed from Reliability Standards without negatively impacting the reliability of the Bulk-Power System. This project identified Requirement R3 as a candidate for retirement under that criteria.

Requirement R3 P81 Justification

The language of R3 can be retired without incurring any negative impact to reliability because it is purely administrative in nature. At its core, the requirement calls for the TO and GO to "submit Misoperation incident reports to WECC" and to prove compliance by having "evidence that they reported."

In PRC-004-WECC-2, requiring documentation does not add to or detract from the reliability of the grid; rather, having documentation is an element of verifying that a reliability task has been completed. In application, the requirement looks backwards to ensure paperwork was filled out. As drafted, it neither requires identification of a Misoperation nor remediation of failing elements associated with a Misoperation. It only requires that a report be made. The Measure advances reliability no further as it too requires only that a report be presented. As its core, the Measure doesn't even specify the content of the report – only that a report be made.³⁰

Further, the implied reliability tasks of R3 are expressly addressed in peripheral NERC Standards. The stated intent of the Requirement/Measure is to ensure that Misoperation of specific PS and RAS are analyzed and mitigated. Although the standard under review addresses only specific PS and RAS, these

²⁹ Joint Petition for Approval of Proposed Regional Reliability Standards, VAR-002-WECC-2 AND VAR-501-WECC-2, Section C. Project 2013-02 Paragraph 81, page 6. (VAR Order)

³⁰ If not retired, the language of each of the Measures should be redrafted to reflect "will have evidence" as opposed to the requirement "shall have evidence."

specific systems would be included in the broader more general provisions of other existing NERC Standards. (See Requirement R1 analysis.)

Finally, if the true intent of PRC-004-WECC-2 is to collect data, that data can be collected in accordance with NERC's Rules of Procedure via a Rule 1600 data request. In the alternative, specifically for RAS, PRC-016-1 Requirement R3 requires the TO and GO owning a RAS to "provide documentation of the misoperations analyses and the correction action plans to" WECC on request. As such, Requirement R3 is fully redundant and can be deleted.

Whereas Requirement R3 is administrative in nature, its implied and explicit reliability tasks are covered in existing NERC Standards, and the described data collection can occur in accordance with NERC Rules of Procedure 1600, Requirement R3 can be retired without incurring any negative impact on reliability.

Table A NERC Standard / PRC-004-WECC-2 Cross-reference Table

The Purpose of PRC-004-WECC-2 is to serve as a "Regional Reliability Standard to ensure all transmission and generation Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme (RAS) Misoperations on Transmission Paths and RAS defined in section 4 are analyzed and/or mitigated".

The requirements below only apply to the major transmission paths facilities and RAS listed in the tables titled "Major WECC Transfer Paths in the Bulk Electric System" and "Major WECC Remedial Action Schemes (RAS)."

The following table illustrates how each element of the PRC is either addressed elsewhere or simply not needed for reliability.

	etirement of Regional Reliability Stand otection System and Remedial Action S	
PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement in Approved Standard	PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirements covered elsewhere	Description and Change Justification
Applicability (Narrow and exclusive) The Applicability section is narrowly crafted to apply only to: 1) Transmission Owners (TO) of selected facilities with RAS listed in a specific table; 2) Generator Owners (GO) with RAS listed in a specific table; and, 3) Transmission Operators operating facilities and RAS listed in the specified table.	Applicability (Broader and all-inclusive) PRC-016-1 applies to TOs, GOs, and Distribution Providers' (DP) RAS regardless of path. PRC-004-4 applies to TOs, GOs, and DPs' PS regardless of path.	Whereas PRC-016-1 (RAS) and PRC-004-4 (PS) do not carry the overly exclusive exceptions of PRC-004-WECC-2 (only major transmission paths, facilities, and RAS listed in specified tables), the Applicability section of PRC-004-WECC-2 is fully included in the aforementioned standards. As such, all facilities included in PRC-004-WECC-2 are addressed elsewhere.
PRC-004-WECC-2 Covers RAS plus PS R.1. System Operators and System Protection personnel of the Transmission Owners and Generator Owners shall analyze all Protection System and RAS operations. [Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Operations Assessment]	PRC-004-5(i) Covers PS. PRC-004-5(I) Protection System Misoperation Identification and Correction. R1. requires the TO and GO to identify the reasons for PS operation and whether the	Whereas PRC-004-WECC-2 covers analysis of both the RAS and the PS, these two devices are now addressed separately in NERC Standards PRC-004-5(i), PRC-016-1, and PRC-012-2. Each requires analysis like that prescribed in PRC-004-WECC-2.

Retirement of Regional Reliability Standard		
PRC-004-WECC-1 Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation		Scheme Misoperation
PRC-004-WECC-2	PRC-004-WECC-2	Description and Change Justification
Requirement in Approved Standard	Requirements covered elsewhere	
	operation caused a Misoperation, within 120 days. ³¹ See also PRC-001-1.1(ii), Requirements R1 and R2; PRC-005-6, Requirement R5.	Inclusion of the reliability elements of PRC-004-WECC-2 in PRC-004-5(i) and PRC-016-1 and PRC-012-2 render PRC-004-WECC-2 redundant. As such, the Requirement can be deleted. The difference in time frames
	PRC-016-1 Covers RAS PRC-016-1 Special Protection System Misoperations	between PRC-004-WECC-2 and the other NERC Standards is addressed in the preceding sections of this filing.
	R1. The TO and GOshall analyzeits RAS operations and maintain a record of all misoperations in accordance with the Regional RAS review procedure specified in PRC-012. R1. ³² PRC-012-2, Remedial Action Schemes ³³ R5. Requires the TO and GO to review its RAS within 120 days of	
	operation or failure. (The term analyze is used in R5.2.) ³⁴	
PRC-004-WECC-2	PRC-012-2	
R1.1 System Operators shall review all tripping of transmission elements and RAS operations to identify apparent Misoperations within 24 hours.	R5. Requires the TO and GO to analyze each RAS operation, within 120 days, to determine: 1) 5.1.1, what caused the operation, 2) 5.1.2 and 5.1.3, if the device worked properly, and 3) 5.1.4., whether	The language of PRC-004-WECC-2 fails to meet the FERC Order 672 criteria for clarity in that "apparent," "reasonable," characterization" and "correctness" are ambiguous.

³¹ United States Enforcement Date is April 2, 2017.

³² Becomes Inactive on March 31, 2017.

³³ PRC-012-2 has been filed with FERC and is pending regulatory disposition as of March 29, 2017.

³⁴ NERC Board of Trustees approved May 5, 2016, pending at FERC. (FERC has proposed to approve the standard subject to comments received on a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NOPR), comments closing April 10, 2017.

Retirement of Regional Reliability Standard		
PRC-004-WECC-1 Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation		
PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement in Approved Standard	PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirements covered elsewhere	Description and Change Justification
R1.2. System Protection personnel shall analyze all operations of Protection Systems and RAS within 20 business days for correctness to characterize whether a Misoperation has occurred that may not have been identified by System Operators.	there were any unintended consequences. PRC-004-5(i) Covers PS PRC-004-5(i), R1. Requires the TO and GO owning a PS that operates, to identify whether that PS caused a Misoperation, within 120 days of the event the threshold analysis, the applicable entity is required to determine: 1) R1.1, if the PS was the cause of the Misoperation, 2) R1.2, who owns the components, and 3) R1.3 whether the operation was automatic or manual.	Both PRC-012-2 and PRC-004-5(i) require review after operation to determine the cause, and in some cases, even determine whether unforeseen consequences resulted. Although the more specific analysis is arguably included in the more general PRC-004-WECC-2 analysis, adoption of the superior PRC-012-2 and PRC-004-5(i) requirements add clarity and conformity without sacrificing reliability. As such, analysis of both RAS and PS operation is covered in greater detail outside of PRC-004-WECC-2 making PRC-004-WECC-2 redundant. Its retirement would have no negative impact on reliability because the tasks are covered elsewhere. See above analysis pertaining to 22-hours, and 20 days for time window differential. PDF 2000-07-20-RWG-M eeting.pdf

Retirement of Regional Reliability Standard PRC-004-WECC-1 Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation		
PRC-004-WECC-1 Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Wisoperation PRC-004-WECC-2 PRC-004-WECC-2		scheme Misoperation
Requirement in Approved Standard	Requirements covered elsewhere	Description and Change Justification
PRC-004-WECC-2	PRC-016-1	
Covers PS and RAS	Covers PS	Whereas the reliability tasks of PRC-
R.2. Transmission Owners and Generator Owners shall perform the following actions for each Misoperation of the Protection System or RAS. It is not intended that Requirements R2.1 through R2.4 apply to Protection System and/or RAS actions that appear to be entirely reasonable and correct at the time of occurrence and associated system performance is fully compliant with NERC Reliability Standards. If the Transmission Owner or Generator Owner later finds the Protection System or RAS operation to be incorrect through System Protection personnel analysis, the requirements of R2.1 through R2.4 become applicable at the time the Transmission Owner or Generator Owner identifies the Misoperation:	PRC-016-1 — Remedial Action Scheme Misoperations R2. Each TO, GO, and DP, owing a RAS shall take corrective actions to avoid future misoperations. PRC-012-2 Covers RAS R5. Each RAS-entity, within 120 full calendar days of a RAS operation or a failure of its RAS to operate when expected, or on a mutually agreed upon schedule with its reviewing Reliability Coordinator(s), shall analyze and communicate RAS performance. PRC-012-2, Requirements R6 and R7 further cover RAS Requirement R6 requires the TO, GO, and DP develop and submit a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) to the Reliability Coordinator within six months of: 1) notification of a RAS deficiency (see R4 and R5), or	004-WECC-2 Requirement R2 are included in PRC-016-1 and PRC-012-2, PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2 is redundant and can be retired.
	identifying a deficiency while performing a functional test (R8).	DDC 001 1 1/ii\
B2.4 If the Best are S.	PRC-001-1.1(ii)	PRC-001-1.1(ii)
R2.1. If the Protection System or	R2. Each Generator Operator and	R2 and R6 require the applicable
RAS has a Security-Based Misoperation and two or more	Transmission Operator shall notify reliability entities of relay or	entities to be aware of PS/RAS and to communicate with other affected
Functionally Equivalent Protection	equipment failures as follows:	parties in the event of change or
Systems (FEPS) or Functionally		operation of these devices. That
Equivalent RAS (FERAS) remain in	R2.1. If a protective relay or	standard is broad enough to allow
service to ensure Bulk Electric	equipment failure reduces system reliability, the Generator Operator	the operators to determine the best

WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2 Rec	quest to Ketire	21
Ro	etirement of Regional Reliability Stand	ard
PRC-004-WECC-1 Pr	otection System and Remedial Action S	Scheme Misoperation
PRC-004-WECC-2	PRC-004-WECC-2	Description and Change Justification
Requirement in Approved Standard	Requirements covered elsewhere	
System (BES) reliability, the	shall notify its Transmission	appropriate action based on all the
Transmission Owners or Generator	Operator and Host Balancing	surrounding circumstances. Those
Owners shall remove from service	Authority. The Generator Operator	actions may or may not include the
the Protection System or RAS that	shall take corrective action as soon	specified tasks included in PRC-004-
misoperated within 22 hours	as possible.	WECC-2 Requirement R2. If the
following identification of the	R2.2. If a protective relay or	specifics of that requirement are
Misoperation. Repair or	equipment failure reduces system	retained they limit the operator's
replacement of the failed Protection	reliability, the Transmission	discretion and could lead to a less-
System or RAS is at the Transmission	Operator shall notify its Reliability	than-favorable operational decision
Owners' and Generator Owners'	Coordinator and affected	simply to be compliant, thereby
discretion. [Violation Risk Factor:	Transmission Operators and	defeating the reliability-related
High] [Time Horizon: Same-day	Balancing Authorities. The	intent.
Operations]	Transmission Operator shall take	PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2
	corrective action as soon as	requires that the device be taken
	possible.	out-of-service under specified
	R6. Each Transmission Operator	circumstances. By contrast, TOP-001-
	and Balancing Authority shall	3, Requirement R1, requires the TO
	monitor the status of each Special	to "act to maintain the reliability of
	Protection System in their area,	its Transmission Operator Area via its
	and shall notify affected	own actions." The TOP-001-3,
	Transmission Operators and	Requirement R1 mandate to act with
	Balancing Authorities of each	discretion conflicts with the PRC-004-
	change in status.	WECC-2 Requirement R2 mandate to
	PRC-004-4(i)	perform specific tasks. The PRC-004-
		WECC-2 Requirement R2 approach
	R5. Each Transmission Owner,	has the potential to lead to reliability
	Generator Owner, and Distribution	concerns; by contrast, the approach
	Provider that owns the Protection	of PRC-001-1.1(ii) and TOP-001-3
	System component(s) that caused	provide the operator with discretion
	the Misoperation shall, within 60	more targeted for remedy of actual
	calendar days of first identifying a	circumstances and not implemented
	cause of the Misoperation:	merely for compliance purposes.
	Develop a Corrective Action	Additionally, the overly prescriptive
	Plan (CAP) for the identified	PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement R2
	Protection System	approach may conflict with IRO-017-1
	component(s), and an	Requirement R1 wherein the
	evaluation of the CAP's	

evaluation of the CAP's

Reliability Coordinator (RC) is

Retirement of Regional Reliability Standard		
PRC-004-WECC-1 Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation		
PRC-004-WECC-2	PRC-004-WECC-2	Description and Change Justification
Requirement in Approved Standard	Requirements covered elsewhere	
	applicability to the entity's	required to 'develop, implement, and
	other Protection Systems	maintain an outage coordination
	including other locations; or	process." If PRC-004-WECC-2
	Explain in a declaration why	Requirement R2 is retained it
	corrective actions are beyond	mandates a specific action that may
	the entity's control or would	conflict with the broader authority
	not improve BES reliability, and	and outage coordination process
	that no further corrective	established by the RC.
	actions will be taken.	
	PRC-016-1	
	R1. The Transmission Owner,	
	Generator Owner, and Distribution	
	Provider that owns an RAS shall	
	analyze its RAS operations and	
	maintain a record of all	
	misoperations in accordance with	
	the Regional RAS review procedure	
	specified in Reliability Standard	
	PRC-012-0_R1.	
	R2. The Transmission Owner,	
	Generator Owner, and Distribution	
	Provider that owns a RAS shall take	
	corrective actions to avoid future	
	misoperations.	
R2.2. If the Protection System or		
RAS has a Security-Based		
Misoperation and only one FEPS or		
FERAS remains in service to ensure		
BES reliability, the Transmission		
Owner or Generator Owner shall		
perform the following. [Violation		
Risk Factor: High] [Time Horizon:		
Same-day Operations]		
R2.2.1. Following identification of		
the Protection System or RAS		
Misoperation, Transmission Owners		

Retirement of Regional Reliability Standard		
PRC-004-WECC-1 Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation		
PRC-004-WECC-2	PRC-004-WECC-2	Description and Change Justification
Requirement in Approved Standard	Requirements covered elsewhere	
R2.3.2. When FERAS is not		
available, then		
2.3.2.1. The Generator Owners shall		
adjust generation to a reliable		
operating level, or		
2.3.2.2. Transmission Operators		
shall adjust the SOL and operate the		
facilities within established limits.		
R2.4. If the Protection System or		
RAS has a Dependability-Based		
Misoperation but has one or more		
FEPS or FERAS that operated		
correctly, the associated Element or		
transmission path may remain in		
service without removing from		
service the Protection System or		
RAS that failed, provided one of the		
following is performed.		
R2.4.1. Transmission Owners or		
Generator Owners shall repair or		
replace any Protection System or		
RAS that misoperated with FEPS and		
FERAS within 20 business days of		
the date of the Misoperation		
identification, or R2.4.2.		
Transmission Owners or		
Generator Owners shall remove		
from service the associated Element		
or RAS. [Violation Risk Factor:		
Lower] [Time Horizon: Operations		
Assessment]		
R.3. Transmission Owners and	As of July 1, 2016, Protection	Retirement of PRC-004-WECC-2
Generation Owners shall submit	System Operations and	Requirement R3 fits the retirement
Misoperation incident reports to	Misoperations are reported by TOs,	criteria established under FERC's
	GOs, and DPs, via the Misoperation	"P81" criteria. See Retirement of

Retirement of Regional Reliability Standard		
PRC-004-WECC-1 Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation		Scheme Misoperation
PRC-004-WECC-2	PRC-004-WECC-2	Description and Change Justification
Requirement in Approved Standard WECC within 10 business days for	Requirements covered elsewhere Information Data Analysis System	Requirement R3 analysis in the main
the following.	at NERC (MIDAS) in PRC-004 -5(i)	body of this filing.
	and the accompanying 1600 Data	
R3.1. Identification of a	Request.	The 10-day time window is a legacy
Misoperation of a Protection System and/or RAS,	This renders PRC-004-WECC-2	imported from the RMS, circa July 1999. A records search at WECC and
	administrative request redundant.	inquires via corporate memory did
R3.2. Completion of repairs or the	administrative request redundant.	not reveal why the original drafters
replacement of Protection System and/or RAS that misoperated.		believed the 10-days was essential.
and/or KAS that misoperated.		However, the 10-day reference was
		found in the 1999 WSCC Reliability
		Criteria Agreement (Section 5
		Determining Compliance, 5.2 Data
		Submission and Review) as part of
		the document's compliance section
		giving rise to the conclusion that it
		was required for accountability and
		not reliability.
		Considering the NERC 1600
		requirement, the 10-days has proven
		to be no longer essential.
		Currently, Midas will send out
		reminder notifications to entities
		who have not yet submitted for a
		specified quarter. They will also
		provide confirmation notifications
		upon submittal. Once the submittal is
		being by the regions or NERC, they
		may send additional notifications to the MIDAS contacts as questions
		arise.
		Currently, all WECC entities must comply under that request, but they
		have 60 days to do so while also
		complying with the administrative
		request under PRC-004-WECC-2.
		request under rive-004-VVLCC-2.

Retirement of Regional Reliability Standard		
PRC-004-WECC-1 Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperatio PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirement in Approved Standard PRC-004-WECC-2 Requirements covered elsewhere Description and Cl		Description and Change Justification
		Duplicative administrative reporting is not needed. WECC will continue to be responsible for facilitating and monitoring these data submissions, and will continue to share the content with the WECC Relay Work Group (RWG) for further analysis and recommendations.



Unofficial Comment Form

Regional Reliability Standard – Retirement PRC-004-WECC-2

DO NOT use this form for submitting comments. Use the <u>electronic form</u> to submit comments on the proposed retirement of the Regional Reliability Standard **PRC-004-WECC-2 – Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation**. The electronic form must be submitted by **8 p.m. Eastern, Monday, December 18, 2017.**

Documents and information about this project are available on the <u>WECC's Standards Under</u>

<u>Development</u> page. If you have questions, contact Standards Developer, <u>Mat Bunch</u> (via email) or at (404) 446-9785.

Background Information

During its five-year update, the WECC standard drafting team concluded that retirement of the standard can be made without incurring a negative impact on reliability due to the following:

- The reliability concern is now specifically covered in FAC-003-4;
- The Applicability section is overly narrow and included in other existing NERC Standards;
- Requirement R1 is covered in other NERC Standards;
- Requirement R2 is covered in other NERC Standards, conflicts with existing NERC Standards, and its application can lessen reliability as opposed to enhancing it;
- Requirement R3 is entirely administrative in nature and should be retired under FERC P81 criteria;
 and
- The language of the standard does not meet the FERC Order 672 criteria in that it fails to assign the reliability task directly to an entity included in the NERC Functional Model.

NERC Criteria for Developing or Modifying a Regional Reliability Standard

Regional Reliability Standard shall be: (1) a regional reliability standard that is more stringent than the continent-wide reliability standard, including a regional standard that addresses matters that the continent-wide reliability standard does not; or (2) a regional reliability standard that is necessitated by a physical difference in the bulk power system. Regional reliability standards shall provide for as much uniformity as possible with reliability standards across the interconnected bulk power system of the North American continent. Regional reliability standards, when approved by FERC and applicable authorities in Mexico and Canada, shall be made part of the body of NERC reliability standards and shall be enforced upon all applicable bulk power system owners, operators, and users within the applicable area, regardless of membership in the region.

The approval process for a regional reliability standard requires NERC to publicly notice and request comment on the proposed standard. Comments shall be permitted only on the following criteria (technical aspects of the standard are vetted through the regional standards development process):



Open — Regional reliability standards shall provide that any person or entity that is directly and materially affected by the reliability of the bulk power system within the regional entity shall be able to participate in the development and approval of reliability standards. There shall be no undue financial barriers to participation. Participation shall not be conditional upon membership in the regional entity, a regional entity or any organization, and shall not be unreasonably restricted on the basis of technical qualifications or other such requirements.

Inclusive — Regional reliability standards shall provide that any person with a direct and material interest has a right to participate by expressing an opinion and its basis, having that position considered, and appealing through an established appeals process, if adversely affected.

Balanced — Regional reliability standards shall have a balance of interests and shall not be dominated by any two-interest categories and no single-interest category shall be able to defeat a matter.

Due Process — Regional reliability standards shall provide for reasonable notice and opportunity for public comment. At a minimum, the standard shall include public notice of the intent to develop a standard, a public comment period on the proposed standard, due consideration of those public comments, and a ballot of interested stakeholders.

Transparent — All actions material to the development of regional reliability standards shall be transparent. All standards development meetings shall be open and publicly noticed on the regional entity's Web site.

Review the revised the Regional Reliability Standard regional standard and answer the following questions.

outlined above? If "No", please explain in the comment area below:

1.	Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Open" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the comment area below:
	Yes No Comments:
2.	Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Inclusive" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the comment area below:
	Yes No Comments:
3.	Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Balanced" criteria as



	☐ Yes ☐ No Comments:
4.	Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Due Process" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the comment area below:
	Yes No Comments:
5.	Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Transparent" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the comment area below:
	☐ Yes ☐ No Comments:

Comment Report

Project Name: Regional Reliability Standard (WECC) | PRC-004-WECC-2 Retirement

Comment Period Start Date: 11/3/2017

Comment Period End Date: 12/18/2017

Associated Ballots:

There were 4 sets of responses, including comments from approximately 4 different people from approximately 4 companies representing 4 of the Industry Segments as shown in the table on the following pages.

Questions

- 1. Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Open" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the comment area below:
- 2. Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Inclusive" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the comment area below:
- 3. Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Balanced" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the comment area below:
- 4. Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Due Process" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the comment area below:
- 5. Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Transparent" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the comment area below:

Organization	Name	Segment(s)	Region	Group Name	Group Member	Group	Group	Group Member
Name					Name	Member	Member	Region
						Organization	Segment(s)	

1. Do you agree the developmen comment area below:	t of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Open" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the
John Tolo - Unisource - Tucson	Electric Power Co 1
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Laurie Williams - PNM Resource	s - Public Service Company of New Mexico - 1,3
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Glen Farmer - Avista - Avista Co	rporation - 1,3,5
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Aaron Cavanaugh - Bonneville P	Power Administration - 1,3,5,6 - WECC
Answer	Yes

Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	

2. Do you agree the development area below:	nent of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Inclusive" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain i
Aaron Cavanaugh - Bonnevil	le Power Administration - 1,3,5,6 - WECC
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Glen Farmer - Avista - Avista	Corporation - 1,3,5
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Laurie Williams - PNM Resou	rces - Public Service Company of New Mexico - 1,3
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
John Tolo - Unisource - Tucs	on Electric Power Co 1
Answer	Yes

Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	

3. Do you agree the development of the in the comment area below:	e Regional Reliability Standard met the "Balanced" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain
John Tolo - Unisource - Tucson Electri	c Power Co 1
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Laurie Williams - PNM Resources - Pub	olic Service Company of New Mexico - 1,3
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Glen Farmer - Avista - Avista Corporat	on - 1,3,5
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Aaron Cavanaugh - Bonneville Power	Administration - 1,3,5,6 - WECC
Answer	Yes

Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	

4. Do you agree the development of explain in the comment area below:	the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Due Process" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please
Aaron Cavanaugh - Bonneville Powe	er Administration - 1,3,5,6 - WECC
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Glen Farmer - Avista - Avista Corpor	ration - 1,3,5
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Laurie Williams - PNM Resources - F	Public Service Company of New Mexico - 1,3
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
John Tolo - Unisource - Tucson Elec	ctric Power Co 1
Answer	Yes

Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	

5. Do you agree the developm explain in the comment area be	nent of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Transparent" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please below:
John Tolo - Unisource - Tucso	on Electric Power Co 1
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Laurie Williams - PNM Resour	rces - Public Service Company of New Mexico - 1,3
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Glen Farmer - Avista - Avista	Corporation - 1,3,5
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Aaron Cavanaugh - Bonneville	e Power Administration - 1,3,5,6 - WECC
Answer	Yes

Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	

Attachment R2

WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2

Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation
NERC Response to Comments / Posting 1
November 3 through December 18, 2017

Posting 1

The WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2, Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation (DT) thanks everyone who submitted comments on the proposed project.

Posting

This document was posted for a 45-day public comment period at the North American Electricity Reliability Corporation (NERC) from November 3 through December 18, 2017.

On November 3, 2017, NERC distributed notice of the posting via the NERC Standards Announcements email exploder.

NERC received comments from four entities as shown in the following table.

Location of Comments

All comments received on the project can be viewed in their original format on the WECC-0126 project page under the "Submit and Review Comments" accordion. Additionally, the raw data provided to WECC by NERC in support of this filing is appended to this response form.

Changes in Response to Comment

No changes were made to the project based on the comments received during this posting.

Minority View

There were no minority concerns.

Effective Date and Implementation Plan

The Reliability Standards Development Procedures (Procedures) require that an implementation plan be posted with at least one posting of the project. After completing its review, the DT recommends that the substance of PRC-004-WECC-2 should be retired immediately and in its entirety because the reliability-related substance is addressed in peripheral NERC Standards. The DT does not believe any further actions are necessary to implement the proposed changes.

Action Plan

As of January 10, 2018, this project is awaiting filing at NERC.

Contacts and Appeals

If you feel your comment has been omitted or overlooked, please contact W. Shannon Black, WECC Consultant. In addition, the WECC Reliability Standards Appeals Process can be found in the Reliability Standards Development Procedures.

WECC Standards Comment Table

Con	nmenter	Organization
1	Aaron Cavanaugh	Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)
2	John Tolo	Tucson Electric Power Company (TEP)
3	Laurie Williams	PNM Resources - Public Service Company of New Mexico (PNM)
4	Glen Farmer	Avista

Index to Questions, Comments, and Responses

Questions

- 1. Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Open" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the comment area below:
- 2. Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Inclusive" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the comment area below:
- 3. Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Balanced" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the comment area below:
- 4. Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Due Process" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the comment area below:
- 5. Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Transparent" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the comment area below:

1. Response Summary

Summary Consideration:	See su	ımmar	y in the preamble of this document.
Commenter / Comment			Response

The WECC-0126 PRC-004-WECC-2, Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme Misoperation Drafting Team thanks each party for their continued support and dedication to the standards development process.

All respondents answered in the affirmative on all questions.

There were no minority opinions nor were there requests for modification.

No changes were made to the project.

Raw Data provided by NERC

Comment Report

Project Name: Regional Reliability Standard (WECC) | PRC-004-WECC-2

Retirement

Comment Period

11/3/2017

Start Date:

Comment Period End 12/18/2017

Date:

Associated Ballots:

There were 4 sets of responses, including comments from approximately 4 different people from approximately 4 companies representing 4 of the Industry Segments as shown in the table on the following pages.

Questions

- 1. Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Open" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the comment area below:
- 2. Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Inclusive" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the comment area below:

- 3. Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Balanced" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the comment area below:
- 4. Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Due Process" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the comment area below:
- 5. Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Transparent" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the comment area below:

Organization Name	Name	Segment(s)	Region	Group Member	Group Member	Group Member	Group Member	
				Name	Organization	Segment(s)	Region	

1. Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Open" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the comment area below:		
John Tolo - Unisource - T	ucson Electric Power Co 1	
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Laurie Williams - PNM Re 1,3	sources - Public Service Company of New Mexico -	
Answer	Yes	

Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Glen Farmer - Avista - Av	ista Corporation - 1,3,5
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Aaron Cavanaugh - Bonn	eville Power Administration - 1,3,5,6 - WECC
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	

2. Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Inclusive" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the comment area below:			
Aaron Cavanaugh - Bonn	eville Power Administration - 1,3,5,6 - WECC		
Answer	Yes		
Document Name			
Comment			
Likes 0			
Dislikes 0			
Response			
Glen Farmer - Avista - Av	ista Corporation - 1,3,5		
Answer	Yes		
Document Name			
Comment			
Likes 0			
Dislikes 0			
Response			
Laurie Williams - PNM Re 1,3	sources - Public Service Company of New Mexico -		
Answer	Yes		
Document Name			
Comment			
Likes 0			

Dislikes 0	
Response	
John Tolo - Unisource - T	ucson Electric Power Co 1
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
the "Balanced" criteria as comment area below:	opment of the Regional Reliability Standard met soutlined above? If "No", please explain in the fucson Electric Power Co 1
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Laurie Williams - PNM Re 1,3	sources - Public Service Company of New Mexico -

Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Glen Farmer - Avista - Av	ista Corporation - 1,3,5
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Aaron Cavanaugh - Bonn	eville Power Administration - 1,3,5,6 - WECC
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	

4. Do you agree the development of the Regional Reliability Standard met the "Due Process" criteria as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the comment area below:			
Aaron Cavanaugh - Bonn	eville Power Administration - 1,3,5,6 - WECC		
Answer	Yes		
Document Name			
Comment			
Likes 0			
Dislikes 0			
Response			
Glen Farmer - Avista - Av	ista Corporation - 1,3,5		
Answer	Yes		
Document Name			
Comment			
Likes 0			
Dislikes 0			
Response			
Laurie Williams - PNM Re 1,3	sources - Public Service Company of New Mexico -		
Answer	Yes		
Document Name			
Comment			
Likes 0			

Dislikes 0	
Response	
Response	
Into Tale Hoteron 7	Constant Florida Bosson On 1
John Tolo - Unisource - 1	ucson Electric Power Co 1
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
the "Transparent" criteria comment area below:	lopment of the Regional Reliability Standard met a as outlined above? If "No", please explain in the current of
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Likes 0 Dislikes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Dislikes 0 Response	esources - Public Service Company of New Mexico -

Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Glen Farmer - Avista - Avista Corporation - 1,3,5	
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Aaron Cavanaugh - Bonneville Power Administration - 1,3,5,6 - WECC	
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	