

# Status Report

## Transmission Availability Data System Task Force

---

### Agenda Item 6.c

#### Planning Committee's Dec 6-7, 2006 Meeting

Jean-Marie Gagnon, Chairman, TADSTF

# Task Force Background

---

- Oct 24, 2006: TF was created by the PC Executive Committee
- Purpose:

In order to quantify or measure system performance and reliability, the TADS Task Force will recommend:

  - a. The type of transmission availability data that transmission owners will report to NERC;
  - b. A single process for collecting such data that avoids duplication of effort;
  - c. The transmission availability statistics that would be calculated from the reported availability data; and
  - d. Guidelines for release of such data and statistics
- Goal: to submit recommendations to the PC for approval at its meeting on Mar 21-22, 2007

# Members and Schedule

- Membership is diverse:

Name	Company	Region
Jean-Marie Gagnon	Hydro-Quebec TransEnergie	NPCC
Jim Robinson	PPL Electric Utilities	RFC
Brian Keel	Salt River Project	WECC
Jeff Mitchell	ReliabilityFirst Corporation	RFC
Mike Pakeltis	CenterPoint Energy	ERCOT
Ram Adapa	EPRI	NA
Peter Gelineau	Canadian Electricity Association	NA
Gary Brinkworth	City of Tallahassee - Electric Utility	FRCC
John Odom	FRCC	FRCC
Ed Pfeiffer	Ameren	SERC
Peter Harris	ISO-NE	NPCC
Jason Shaver	American Transmission Co.	MRO & RFC
John Seelke	NERC	NA

- Schedule is tight
  - Conference call: Nov 2
  - Meeting: Nov 20-21 in Chicago
  - Future meetings planned for Jan and Feb 2007

# Chicago Meeting Results (1 of 3)

---

- TF will use some of the work of others
  - Seven presentations by TF members
- Members agreed that the TF recommendations should require data and define metrics that are:
  - Comparable
  - Attainable
  - Verifiable
  - Simple
  - Relevant to various “users”
    - Transmission Owners, Transmission Operators, Transmission Planners, etc.
    - ERO
    - Government agencies (FERC, EIA, etc.)

# Chicago Meeting Results (2 of 3)

---

- Areas of consensus
  - Forced outage data should be collected for individual circuits (lines and cables)  $\geq 200$  kV and transformers with low-side voltages  $\geq 200$  kV
  - Classify each forced outage:
    - By duration: momentary or sustained
    - By mode: independent, dependent, or common
  - Record load impacts of each forced outage (“yes” or “no”)
    - Was load lost?
    - Did the outage create a reportable disturbance to a governmental entity? (e.g., is an OE-417 report required?)
- “Consensus” is only preliminary at this stage

# Chicago Meeting Results (3 of 3)

---

- Remaining main areas for discussion
  - Whether to collect planned outage data
  - Whether to include other components (e.g., circuit breakers)
  - The specific metrics that are desirable
    - Is additional data required for those metrics?
  - Definitions
    - Forced and planned outage subcategories
    - Cause codes
  - Data reporting protocols
    - Circuit level vs. rolled-up data by circuit class
    - Data confidentiality
    - Who should report? To whom should data be reported?
      - Transmission Operators report data to NERC; NERC reports data to EIA?
  - A survey for Transmission Operators
    - To determine any implementation difficulties after the TF has reached consensus, but before its final report to the PC