

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards:

Index:

Standard Number PRC-001-1 System Protection Coordination.....	2
Standard Number PRC-004-1 Analysis and Mitigation of Transmission and Generation Protection System Misoperations.....	5
Standard Number PRC-005-1 Transmission and Generation Protection System Maintenance and Testing	6
Standard Number PRC-007-0 Assuring Consistency with Regional UFLS Program Requirements.....	8
Standard Number PRC-008-0 Underfrequency Load Shedding Equipment Maintenance Programs	10
Standard Number PRC-009-0 UFLS Performance Following an Underfrequency Event	12
Standard Number PRC-010-0 Assessment of the Design and Effectiveness of UVLS Program.....	13
Standard Number PRC-011-0 UVLS System Maintenance and Testing	14
Standard Number PRC-015-0 Special Protection System Data and Documentation	16
Standard Number PRC-016-0 Special Protection System Misoperations	18
Standard Number PRC-017-0 Special Protection System Maintenance and Testing.....	19
Standard Number PRC-018-1 Disturbance Monitoring Equipment Installation and Data Reporting.....	20
Standard Number PRC-021-1 Under-Voltage Load Shedding Program Data	22
Standard Number PRC-022-1 Under-Voltage Load Shedding Program Performance.....	23

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-001-1 System Protection Coordination				
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
R1.	N/A	N/A	<p>The responsible entity failed to be familiar with the purpose of protection system schemes applied in its area.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The responsible entity failed to be familiar with the limitations of protection system schemes applied in its area.</p>	The responsible entity failed to be familiar with the purpose and limitations of protection system schemes applied in its area.
R2.	N/A	Notification of relay or equipment failure that reduced system reliability was not made to the applicable entities, but corrective action was taken.	Notification of relay or equipment failure that reduced system reliability was made to the applicable entities, but corrective action was not taken.	Notification of relay or equipment failure that reduced system reliability was not made to the applicable entities, and corrective action was not taken.
R3.1.	The Generator Operator failed to coordinate one new protective system or one protective system change with either its Transmission Operator or its Host Balancing Authority, or both.	The Generator Operator failed to coordinate two new protective systems or two protective system changes with either its Transmission Operator or its Host Balancing Authority, or both.	The Generator Operator failed to coordinate three new protective systems or three protective system changes with either its Transmission Operator or its Host Balancing Authority, or both.	The Generator Operator failed to coordinate more than three new protective systems or more than three changes with its Transmission Operator and Host Balancing Authority.
R3.2.	The Transmission Operator failed to coordinate one new protective system or one protective system change with either its Transmission	The Transmission Operator failed to coordinate two new protective systems or two protective system changes with either its Transmission	The Transmission Operator failed to coordinate three new protective systems or three protective system changes with either its Transmission	The Transmission Operator failed to coordinate more than three new protective systems or more than three system changes with neighboring

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-001-1 System Protection Coordination				
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
	Operator or its Host Balancing Authority or both.	Operator or its Host Balancing Authority, or both.	Operator or its Host Balancing Authority, or both.	Transmission Operators and Balancing Authorities.
R4.	The Transmission Operator failed to coordinate protection systems on major transmission lines and interconnections with one of its neighboring Generator Operators, Transmission Operators, or Balancing Authorities.	The Transmission Operator failed to coordinate protection systems on major transmission lines and interconnections with two of its neighboring Generator Operators, Transmission Operators, or Balancing Authorities.	The Transmission Operator failed to coordinate protection systems on major transmission lines and interconnections with three of its neighboring Generator Operators, Transmission Operators, or Balancing Authorities.	The Transmission Operator failed to coordinate protection systems on major transmission lines and interconnections with three or more of its neighboring Generator Operators, Transmission Operators, and Balancing Authorities.
R5.	N/A	N/A	<p>The Generator Operator failed to notify its Transmission Operator at all of changes in generation or operating conditions that could require changes in the Transmission Operator’s protection systems. (R5.1)</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The Transmission Operator failed to notify neighboring Transmission Operators at all of changes in generation, transmission, load, or operating conditions that could require changes in the other</p>	<p>The Generator Operator failed to notify its Transmission Operator at all of changes in generation or operating conditions that could require changes in the Transmission Operator’s protection systems. (R5.1)</p> <p>AND</p> <p>The Transmission Operator failed to notify neighboring Transmission Operators at all of changes in generation, transmission, load, or operating conditions that could require changes in the other</p>

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-001-1 System Protection Coordination				
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
			Transmission Operators' protection systems. (R5.2)	Transmission Operators' protection systems. (R5.2)
R6.	N/A	N/A	The responsible entity monitored the status of each Special Protection System in its area but notification of a change in status of a Special Protection System was not made to the affected Transmission Operators and Balancing Authorities.	The responsible entity failed to monitor the status of each Special Protection System in its area, and did not notify affected Transmission Operators and Balancing Authorities of each change in status.

Standard Number PRC-004-1 Analysis and Mitigation of Transmission and Generation Protection System Misoperations				
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
R1.	N/A	The responsible entity provided evidence of analyzing a Misoperation but the documentation and implementation of the associated Corrective Action Plan was not provided.	N/A	The responsible entity did not perform an analysis of a Misoperation.
R2.	N/A	The Generator Owner provided evidence of analyzing a Misoperation but the documentation and implementation of the associated Corrective Action Plan was not provided.	N/A	The Generator Owner did not perform an analysis of a Misoperation.
R3.	The responsible entity provided its Regional Reliability Organization with documentation of its Misoperations analyses and its Corrective Action Plans, but did not provide these according to the Regional Reliability Organization's procedures.	N/A	The responsible entity provided its Regional Reliability Organization with documentation of its Misoperations analyses but did not provide its Corrective Action Plans.	The responsible entity did not provide its Regional Reliability Organization with documentation of its Misoperations analyses and did not provide its Corrective Action Plans.

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-005-1 Transmission and Generation Protection System Maintenance and Testing				
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
R1.	<p>The responsible entity failed to have a basis for the maintenance and testing intervals in their program for one of the applicable Protection Systems (protective relays, associated communication systems, current sensing devices, batteries and DC control circuitry per NERC Glossary of Terms) that affect the reliability of the BES.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Summary of maintenance and testing procedures were missing for one of the applicable Protection Systems.</p>	<p>The responsible entity failed to have a basis for the maintenance and testing intervals in their program for two of the applicable Protection Systems (protective relays, associated communication systems, current sensing devices, batteries and DC control circuitry per NERC Glossary of Terms) that affect the reliability of the BES.</p>	<p>The responsible entity failed to have a basis for the maintenance and testing intervals in their program for three of the applicable Protection Systems (protective relays, associated communication systems, current sensing devices, batteries and DC control circuitry per NERC Glossary of Terms) that affect the reliability of the BES.</p>	<p>The responsible entity-failed to have a Protection System maintenance and testing program.</p>
R2.	<p>The responsible entity provided documentation of its Protection System maintenance and testing program more than 30 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.</p>	<p>Evidence Protection System devices were maintained and tested within the defined intervals (R2.1 and R2.2) was missing more than 5% up to (and including) 10% of the applicable devices.</p>	<p>Evidence Protection System devices were maintained and tested within the defined intervals (R2.1 and R2.2) was missing more than 10% up to (and including) 15% of the applicable devices.</p>	<p>Evidence Protection System devices were maintained and tested within the defined intervals (R2.1 and R2.2) was missing more than 15% of the applicable devices.</p>

Standard Number PRC-005-1 Transmission and Generation Protection System Maintenance and Testing				
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
	OR Evidence Protection System devices were maintained and tested within the defined intervals (R2.1 and R2.2) was missing for 5% or less of the applicable devices.			

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-007-0 Assuring Consistency with Regional UFLS Program Requirements				
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
R1.	The evaluation of the entity’s UFLS program for consistency with its Regional Reliability Organization’s UFLS program is incomplete or inconsistent in one or more of the Regional Reliability Organization program requirements, but is consistent with the required amount of load shedding.	The amount of load shedding is less than 95 percent of the Regional requirement in any of the load steps.	The amount of load shedding is less than 90 percent of the Regional requirement in any of the load steps.	The amount of load shedding is less than 85 percent of the Regional requirement in any of the load steps.
R2.	The responsible entity that owns or operates a UFLS program (as required by its Regional Reliability Organization) provided its underfrequency data as necessary for its Regional Reliability Organization to maintain and update a UFLS program database but its annual update was late by 30 calendar days or less.	The responsible entity that owns or operates a UFLS program (as required by its Regional Reliability Organization) provided its underfrequency data as necessary for its Regional Reliability Organization to maintain and update a UFLS program database but its annual update was late by more than 30 calendar days but less than or equal to 40 calendar days.	The responsible entity that owns or operates a UFLS program (as required by its Regional Reliability Organization) provided its underfrequency data as necessary for its Regional Reliability Organization to maintain and update a UFLS program database but its annual update was late by more than 40 calendar days but less than or equal to 50 calendar days.	The responsible entity that owns or operates a UFLS program (as required by its Regional Reliability Organization) did not provided its underfrequency data as necessary for its Regional Reliability Organization to maintain and update a UFLS program database, OR The responsible entity’s annual update was late by more than 50 calendar days.
R3.	The responsible entity has provided the documentation in more than 30 calendar days but	The responsible entity has provided the documentation in more than 40 calendar days but	The responsible entity has provided the documentation in more than 50 calendar days but	The responsible entity has not provided the documentation for more than 60 calendar days.

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-007-0 Assuring Consistency with Regional UFLS Program Requirements				
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
	less than or equal to 40 calendar days.	less than or equal to 50 calendar days.	less than or equal to 60 calendar days.	

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-008-0 Underfrequency Load Shedding Equipment Maintenance Programs				
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
R1.	The UFLS equipment identification, testing schedule or maintenance schedule for the responsible entity's UFLS equipment maintenance and testing program was missing 5% or less of the applicable equipment.	The UFLS equipment identification, testing schedule, or maintenance schedule for the responsible entity's UFLS equipment maintenance and testing program was missing more than 5% up to (and including) 10% of the applicable equipment.	The UFLS equipment identification, testing schedule, or maintenance schedule for the responsible entity's UFLS equipment maintenance and testing program was missing more than 10% up to (and including) 15% of the applicable equipment.	The responsible entity failed to implement a UFLS equipment maintenance and testing program. OR The UFLS equipment identification, testing schedule, or maintenance schedule for the responsible entity's UFLS equipment maintenance and testing program was missing more than 15% of the applicable equipment.
R2.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its UFLS equipment maintenance and testing program more than 30 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC. OR Evidence UFLS equipment was maintained and tested within the defined intervals	Evidence UFLS equipment was maintained and tested within the defined intervals was missing more for than 5% up to (and including) 10% of the applicable devices.	Evidence UFLS equipment was maintained and tested within the defined intervals was missing for more than 10% up to (and including) 15% of the applicable devices.	Evidence UFLS equipment was maintained and tested within the defined intervals was missing for more than 15% of the applicable devices.

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-008-0 Underfrequency Load Shedding Equipment Maintenance Programs				
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
	was missing for 5% or less of the applicable devices.			

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-009-0 UFLS Performance Following an Underfrequency Event				
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
R1.	The responsible entity that owns or operates a UFLS program failed to include one of the elements listed in PRC-009-0 R1.1 through R1.4 in the analysis of the performance of UFLS equipment and Program effectiveness, as described in PRC-009-0 R1, following system events resulting in system frequency excursions below the initializing set points of the UFLS program.	The responsible entity that owns or operates a UFLS program failed to include two of the elements listed in PRC-009-0 R1.1 through R1.4 in the analysis of the performance of UFLS equipment and Program effectiveness, as described in PRC-009-0 R1, following system events resulting in system frequency excursions below the initializing set points of the UFLS program.	The responsible entity that owns or operates a UFLS program failed to include three of the elements listed in PRC-009-0 R1.1 through R1.4 in the analysis of the performance of UFLS equipment and Program effectiveness, as described in PRC-009-0 R1, following system events resulting in system frequency excursions below the initializing set points of the UFLS program.	The responsible entity that owns or operates a UFLS program failed to conduct an analysis of the performance of UFLS equipment and Program effectiveness, as described in PRC-009-0 R1, following system events resulting in system frequency excursions below the initializing set points of the UFLS program.
R2.	The responsible entity has provided the documentation in more than 90 calendar days but less than or equal to 120 calendar days after the system event.	The responsible entity has provided the documentation in more than 120 calendar days but less than or equal to 130 calendar days after the system event.	The responsible entity has provided the documentation in more than 130 calendar days but less than or equal to 140 calendar days after the system event.	The responsible entity has provided the documentation more than 140 calendar days after the system event. OR The responsible entity has not provided the documentation.

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-010-0 Assessment of the Design and Effectiveness of UVLS Program				
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
R1.	The responsible entity conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of its UVLS system within 5 years or as required by changes in system conditions but did not include the associated Transmission Planner(s) and Planning Authority(ies).	<p>The responsible entity did not conduct an assessment of the effectiveness of its UVLS system for more than 5 years but did in less than or equal to 7 years.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The assessment of the effectiveness of the responsible entity's UVLS system did not address one of the elements in R1 (R1.1.1 through R1.1.3.).</p>	<p>The responsible entity did not conduct an assessment of the effectiveness of its UVLS system for more than 7 years but did in less than or equal to 10 years.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The assessment of the effectiveness of the responsible entity's UVLS system did not address two of the elements in R1 (R1.1.1 through R1.1.3.).</p>	<p>The responsible entity did not conduct an assessment of the effectiveness of its UVLS system for more than 10 years.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The assessment of the effectiveness of the responsible entity's UVLS system did not address any of the elements in R1 (R1.1.1 through R1.1.3.).</p>
R2.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its current UVLS program assessment more than 30 calendar but less than or equal to 40 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization or NERC.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its current UVLS program assessment more than 40 calendar days but less than or equal to 50 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization or NERC.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its current UVLS program assessment more than 50 calendar days but less than or equal to 60 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization or NERC.	The responsible entity did not provide documentation of its current UVLS program assessment for more than 60 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization or NERC.

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-011-0 UVLS System Maintenance and Testing				
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
R1.	<p>The responsible entity's UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program did not address one of the subrequirements in R1.2 through R1.6.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The responsible entity's UVLS program did not address one of the equipment classes as specified in R1.1.1 through R1.1.4.</p>	<p>The responsible entity's UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program did not address two of the subrequirements in R1.2 through R1.6.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The responsible entity's UVLS program did not address two of the equipment classes as specified in R1.1.1 through R1.1.4.</p>	<p>The responsible entity's UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program did not address three of the subrequirements in R1.1 through R1.6.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The responsible entity's UVLS program did not address three of the equipment classes as specified in R1.1.1 through R1.1.4.</p>	<p>The responsible entity's UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program did not address four or more of the subrequirements in R1.2 through R1.6.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The responsible entity's UVLS program did not address any of the equipment classes as specified in R1.1.1 through R1.1.4.</p>
R2.	<p>The responsible entity provided documentation of its UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program more than 30 but less than or equal to 40 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Evidence UVLS equipment was maintained and tested within the defined intervals was missing for 5% or less of the applicable devices.</p>	<p>The responsible entity provided documentation of its UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program more than 40 but less than or equal to 50 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Evidence UVLS equipment was maintained and tested within the defined intervals was missing for more than 5% up to (and including) 10% of the</p>	<p>The responsible entity provided documentation of its UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program more than 50 but less than or equal to 60 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Evidence UVLS equipment was maintained and tested within the defined intervals was missing for more than 10% up to (and including) 15% of the</p>	<p>The responsible entity did not provide documentation of its UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program for more than 60 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Evidence UVLS equipment was maintained and tested within the defined intervals was missing for more than 15% of the applicable devices.</p>

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-011-0 UVLS System Maintenance and Testing				
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
		applicable devices.	applicable devices.	

Standard Number PRC-015-0 Special Protection System Data and Documentation				
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
R1.	N/A	The responsible entity's list of existing or proposed SPSs did not address one of the subrequirements in R1.1 through R1.3 as specified in Reliability Standard PRC-013-0_R1.	The responsible entity's list of existing or proposed SPSs did not address two of the subrequirements in R1.1 through R1.3 as specified in Reliability Standard PRC-013-0_R1.	The responsible entity's list of existing or proposed SPSs did not address any of the subrequirements in R1.1 through R1.3 as specified in Reliability Standard PRC-013-0_R1.
R2.	The responsible entity was not compliant in that evidence that it reviewed new or functionally modified SPSs in accordance with the Regional Reliability Organization's procedures did not address one of the subrequirements in R1.1 through R1.9 as specified in Reliability Standard PRC-012-0_R1 prior to being placed in service.	The responsible entity was not compliant in that evidence that it reviewed new or functionally modified SPSs in accordance with the Regional Reliability Organization's procedures did not address two of the subrequirements in R1.1 through R1.9 as specified in Reliability Standard PRC-012-0_R1 prior to being placed in service.	The responsible entity was not compliant in that evidence that it reviewed new or functionally modified SPSs in accordance with the Regional Reliability Organization's procedures did not address three of the subrequirements in R1.1 through R1.9 as specified in Reliability Standard PRC-012-0_R1 prior to being placed in service.	The responsible entity was not compliant in that evidence that it reviewed new or functionally modified SPSs in accordance with the Regional Reliability Organization's procedures did not address four or more of the subrequirements in R1.1 through R1.9 as specified in Reliability Standard PRC-012-0_R1 prior to being placed in service.
R3.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its SPS data and the results of the studies that show compliance of new or functionally modified SPSs more than 30 calendar days but less than or equal to 40 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization or	The responsible entity provided documentation of its SPS data and the results of the studies that show compliance of new or functionally modified SPSs more than 40 calendar days but less than or equal to 50 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization or	The responsible entity provided documentation of its SPS data and the results of the studies that show compliance of new or functionally modified SPSs more than 50 calendar days but less than or equal to 60 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization or	The responsible entity provided documentation of its SPS data and the results of the studies that show compliance of new or functionally modified SPSs for more than 60 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization or NERC.

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-015-0 Special Protection System Data and Documentation				
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
	NERC.	NERC.	NERC.	

Standard Number PRC-016-0 Special Protection System Misoperations

R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
R1.	N/A	N/A	N/A	The responsible entity that owns an SPS did not analyze its SPS operations and maintain a record of all Misoperations in accordance with the Regional SPS review procedure specified in Reliability Standard PRC-012-0_R 1.
R2.	For each Misoperation, the responsible entity that owns an SPS did not take 5% or less of the corrective actions designed to avoid future SPS Misoperations.	For each Misoperation, the responsible entity that owns an SPS did not take more than 5% up to (and including) 10% of the corrective actions designed to avoid future SPS Misoperations.	For each Misoperation, the responsible entity that owns an SPS did not take more than 10% up to (and including) 15% of the corrective actions designed to avoid future SPS Misoperations.	For each Misoperation, the responsible entity that owns an SPS did not take more than 15% of the corrective actions designed to avoid future SPS Misoperations.
R3.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its SPS Misoperation analyses and the corrective action plans more than 90 calendar days but less than or equal to 120 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization or NERC.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its SPS Misoperation analyses and the corrective action plans more than 120 calendar days but less than or equal to 130 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization or NERC.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its SPS Misoperation analyses and the corrective action plans more than 130 calendar days but less than or equal to 140 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization or NERC.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its SPS Misoperation analyses and the corrective action plans more than 140 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization or NERC.

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-017-0 Special Protection System Maintenance and Testing				
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
R1.	<p>The responsible entity's SPS equipment maintenance and testing program did not address one of the subrequirements in R1.2 through R1.6.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The responsible entity's SPS program did not address one of the equipment classes as specified in R1.1.1 through R1.1.4.</p>	<p>The responsible entity's SPS equipment maintenance and testing program did not address two of the subrequirements in R1.2 through R1.6.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The responsible entity's SPS program did not address two of the equipment classes as specified in R1.1.1 through R1.1.4.</p>	<p>The responsible entity's SPS equipment maintenance and testing program did not address three of the subrequirements in R1.2 through R1.6.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The responsible entity's SPS program did not address three of the equipment classes as specified in R1.1.1 through R1.1.4.</p>	<p>The responsible entity's SPS equipment maintenance and testing program did not address four or more of the subrequirements in R1.2 through R1.6.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The responsible entity's SPS program did not address any of the equipment classes as specified in R1.1.1 through R1.1.4.</p>
R2.	<p>The responsible entity provided documentation of its SPS maintenance and testing program more than 30 calendar days but less than or equal to 40 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.</p>	<p>The responsible entity provided documentation of its SPS maintenance and testing program more than 40 calendar days but less than or equal to 50 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.</p>	<p>The responsible entity provided documentation of its SPS maintenance and testing program more than 50 calendar days but less than or equal to 60 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.</p>	<p>The responsible entity did not provide documentation of its SPS maintenance and testing program for more than 60 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.</p>

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-018-1 Disturbance Monitoring Equipment Installation and Data Reporting				
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
R1.	N/A	N/A	The installation of DMEs does not include one of the subrequirements in R1.1 and R1.2.	The installation of DMEs does not include any of the subrequirements in R1.1 and R1.2.
R2.	The responsible entity failed to install 5% or less of the DME devices in accordance with its Regional Reliability Organization's installation requirements as defined in PRC-002 R1 through R3.	The responsible entity failed to install more than 5% up to (and including) 10% of the DME devices in accordance with its Regional Reliability Organization's installation requirements as defined in PRC-002 R1 through R3.	The responsible entity failed to install more than 10% up to (and including) 15% of the DME devices in accordance with its Regional Reliability Organization's installation requirements as defined in PRC-002 R1 through R3.	The responsible entity failed to install more than 15% of the DME devices in accordance with its Regional Reliability Organization's installation requirements as defined in PRC-002 R1 through R3.
R3.	Evidence that the responsible entity maintained data on the DMEs installed to meet that region's installation requirements was missing or not reported for one of the subrequirements in R3.1 through R3.8.	Evidence that the responsible entity maintained data on the DMEs installed to meet that region's installation requirements was missing or not reported for two of the subrequirements in R3.1 through R3.8.	Evidence that the responsible entity maintained data on the DMEs installed to meet that region's installation requirements was missing or not reported for three of the subrequirements in R3.1 through R3.8.	Evidence that the responsible entity maintained data on the DMEs installed to meet that region's installation requirements was missing or not reported for four or more of the subrequirements in R3.1 through R3.8.
R4.	The responsible entity did not provide 5% or less of the disturbance data (recorded by DMEs) in accordance with its Regional Reliability Organization's requirements.	The responsible entity did not provide more than 5% up to (and including) 10% of the disturbance data (recorded by DMEs) in accordance with its Regional Reliability Organization's requirements.	The responsible entity did not provide more than 10% up to (and including) 15% of the disturbance data (recorded by DMEs) in accordance with its Regional Reliability Organization's requirements.	The responsible entity did not provide more than 15% of the disturbance data (recorded by DMEs) in accordance with its Regional Reliability Organization's requirements.

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-018-1 Disturbance Monitoring Equipment Installation and Data Reporting				
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
R5.	5% or less of the responsible entity's data recorded by DMEs for Regional Reliability Organization-identified events was not archived for at least three years.	More than 5% up to (and including) 10% of the responsible entity's data recorded by DMEs for Regional Reliability Organization-identified events was not archived for at least three years.	More than 10% up to (and including) 15% of the responsible entity's data recorded by DMEs for Regional Reliability Organization-identified events was not archived for at least three years.	More than 15% of the responsible entity's data recorded by DMEs for Regional Reliability Organization-identified events was not archived for at least three years.
R6.	N/A	N/A	The responsible entity's maintenance and testing program for DMEs does not include one of the subrequirements in R6.1 and 6.2.	The responsible entity's maintenance and testing program for DMEs does not include any of the subrequirements in R6.1 and 6.2.

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-021-1 Under-Voltage Load Shedding Program Data				
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
R1.	UVLS data was provided but did not address one of the subrequirements in R1.1 through R1.5.	UVLS data was provided but did not address two of the subrequirements in R1.1 through R1.5.	UVLS data was provided but did not address three of the subrequirements in R1.1 through R1.5.	No annual UVLS data was provided. OR UVLS data was provided but did not address four or more of the subrequirements in R1.1 through R1.5.
R2.	The responsible entity updated its UVLS data more than 30 calendar days but less than or equal to 40 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization.	The responsible entity updated its UVLS data more than 40 calendar days but less than or equal to 50 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization.	The responsible entity updated its UVLS data more than 50 calendar days but less than or equal to 60 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization.	The responsible entity did not update its UVLS data for more than 60 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization.

Standard Number PRC-022-1 Under-Voltage Load Shedding Program Performance				
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
R1.	The overall analysis program did not address one of the subrequirements in R1.1 through R1.5.	The overall analysis program did not address two of the subrequirements in R1.1 through R1.5.	The overall analysis program did not address three of the subrequirements in R1.1 through R1.5.	The responsible entity failed to analyze and document a UVLS operation and Misoperation. OR The overall analysis program did not address four or more of the subrequirements in R1.1 through R1.5.
R2.	The responsible entity provided documentation of the analysis of UVLS program performance more than 90 calendar days but less than or equal to 120 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization.	The responsible entity provided documentation of the analysis of UVLS program performance more than 120 calendar days but less than or equal to 130 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization.	The responsible entity provided documentation of the analysis of UVLS program performance more than 130 calendar days but less than or equal to 140 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization.	The responsible entity did not provide documentation of the analysis of UVLS program performance for more than 140 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization.