

**Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards:**

**Index:**

Standard Number PRC-001-1 System Protection Coordination.....	2
Standard Number PRC-004-1 Analysis and Mitigation of Transmission and Generation Protection System Misoperations.....	9
Standard Number PRC-005-1 Transmission and Generation Protection System Maintenance and Testing .....	12
Standard Number PRC-007-0 Assuring Consistency with Regional UFLS Program Requirements.....	16
Standard Number PRC-008-0 Underfrequency Load Shedding Equipment Maintenance Programs .....	19
Standard Number PRD-009-0 UFLS Performance Following an Underfrequency Event.....	22
Standard Number PRC-010-0 Assessment of the Design and Effectiveness of UVLS Program.....	27
Standard Number PRC-011-0 UVLS System Maintenance and Testing .....	31
Standard Number PRC-015-0 Special Protection System Data and Documentation .....	37
Standard Number PRC-016-0 Special Protection System Misoperations .....	40
Standard Number PRC-017-0 Special Protection System Maintenance and Testing.....	43
Standard Number PRC-018-1 Disturbance Monitoring Equipment Installation and Data Reporting.....	49
Standard Number PRC-021-1 Under-Voltage Load Shedding Program Data .....	57
Standard Number PRC-022-1 Under-Voltage Load Shedding Program Performance .....	61

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-001-1 System Protection Coordination					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
Original R1	N/A	N/A	The responsible entity was familiar with the purpose of protection system schemes applied in its area but failed to be familiar with the limitations of protection system schemes applied in its area.	The responsible entity failed to be familiar with the purpose and limitations of protection system schemes applied in its area.	
Revised R1	N/A	N/A	The responsible entity failed to be familiar with the purpose of protection system schemes applied in its area.  OR  The responsible entity failed to be familiar with the limitations of protection system schemes applied in its area.	The responsible entity failed to be familiar with the purpose and limitations of protection system schemes applied in its area.	Clarified the High VSL.
Original R2	N/A	N/A	N/A	The responsible entity failed to notify any reliability entity of relay or equipment failures.	
Revised R2	N/A	Notification of relay or equipment failure that reduced system reliability was not made to the	Notification of relay or equipment failure that reduced system reliability was made to the applicable	Notification of relay or equipment failure that reduced system reliability was not made to the	Rolled up subrequirements into the primary requirement to remedy potential double jeopardy.

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-001-1 System Protection Coordination					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
		applicable entities, but corrective action was taken.	entities, but corrective action was not taken.	applicable entities, and corrective action was not taken.	Changes in wording made to fully link back to Standard.
Original R2.1.	N/A	Notification of relay or equipment failure was not made to the Transmission Operator and Host Balancing Authority, but corrective action was taken.	Notification of relay or equipment failure was made to the Transmission Operator and Host Balancing Authority, but corrective action was not taken.	Notification of relay or equipment failure was not made to the Transmission Operator and Host Balancing Authority, and corrective action was not taken.	
Revised R2.1.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R2.
Original R2.2.	N/A	Notification of relay or equipment failure was not made to the Reliability Coordinator and affected Transmission Operators and Balancing Authorities, but corrective action was taken.	Notification of relay or equipment failure was made to the Reliability Coordinator and affected Transmission Operators and Balancing Authorities, but corrective action was not taken.	Notification of relay or equipment failure was not made to the Reliability Coordinator and affected Transmission Operators and Balancing Authorities, and corrective action was not taken.	
Revised R2.2.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R2.
Original R3.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No Risk Factor Assigned
Revised R3.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No changes.

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-001-1 System Protection Coordination					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
Original R3.1.	The Generator Operator failed to coordinate one new protective system or one protective system change with either its Transmission Operator or its Host Balancing Authority or both.	The Generator Operator failed to coordinate two new protective systems or two protective system changes with either its Transmission Operator or its Host Balancing Authority, or both.	The Generator Operator failed to coordinate three new protective systems or three protective system changes with either its Transmission Operator or its Host Balancing Authority, or both.	The Generator Operator failed to coordinate more than three new protective systems or more than three changes with its Transmission Operator and Host Balancing Authority.	
Revised R3.1.	The Generator Operator failed to coordinate one new protective system or one protective system change with either its Transmission Operator or its Host Balancing Authority or both.	The Generator Operator failed to coordinate two new protective systems or two protective system changes with either its Transmission Operator or its Host Balancing Authority, or both.	The Generator Operator failed to coordinate three new protective systems or three protective system changes with either its Transmission Operator or its Host Balancing Authority, or both.	The Generator Operator failed to coordinate more than three new protective systems or more than three changes with its Transmission Operator and Host Balancing Authority.	No changes.
Original R3.2.	The Transmission Operator failed to coordinate one new protective system or one protective system change with either its Transmission Operator or its Host Balancing Authority or both.	The Transmission Operator failed to coordinate two new protective systems or two protective system changes with either its Transmission Operator or its Host Balancing Authority, or both.	The Transmission Operator failed to coordinate three new protective systems or three protective system changes with either its Transmission Operator or its Host Balancing Authority, or both.	The Transmission Operator failed to coordinate more than three new protective systems or more than three system changes with neighboring Transmission Operators and Balancing Authorities.	
Revised R3.2.	The Transmission Operator failed to coordinate one new protective system or one protective system change	The Transmission Operator failed to coordinate two new protective systems or two protective system changes with either its	The Transmission Operator failed to coordinate three new protective systems or three protective system changes with either its	The Transmission Operator failed to coordinate more than three new protective systems or more than three system changes with	No changes.

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-001-1 System Protection Coordination					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
	with either its Transmission Operator or its Host Balancing Authority or both.	Transmission Operator or its Host Balancing Authority, or both.	Transmission Operator or its Host Balancing Authority, or both.	neighboring Transmission Operators and Balancing Authorities.	
Original R4.	The Transmission Operator failed to coordinate protection systems on major transmission lines and interconnections with one of its neighboring Generator Operators, Transmission Operators, or Balancing Authorities.	The Transmission Operator failed to coordinate protection systems on major transmission lines and interconnections with two of its neighboring Generator Operators, Transmission Operators, or Balancing Authorities.	The Transmission Operator failed to coordinate protection systems on major transmission lines and interconnections with three of its neighboring Generator Operators, Transmission Operators, or Balancing Authorities.	The Transmission Operator failed to coordinate protection systems on major transmission lines and interconnections with three or more of its neighboring Generator Operators, Transmission Operators, and Balancing Authorities.	
Revised R4.	The Transmission Operator failed to coordinate protection systems on major transmission lines and interconnections with one of its neighboring Generator Operators, Transmission Operators, or Balancing Authorities.	The Transmission Operator failed to coordinate protection systems on major transmission lines and interconnections with two of its neighboring Generator Operators, Transmission Operators, or Balancing Authorities.	The Transmission Operator failed to coordinate protection systems on major transmission lines and interconnections with three of its neighboring Generator Operators, Transmission Operators, or Balancing Authorities.	The Transmission Operator failed to coordinate protection systems on major transmission lines and interconnections with three or more of its neighboring Generator Operators, Transmission Operators, and Balancing Authorities.	No changes.
Original R5.	N/A	N/A	N/A	The responsible entity failed to coordinate changes in generation, transmission, load or operating conditions that could require changes in	

Standard Number PRC-001-1 System Protection Coordination					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
				the protection systems of others:	
Revised R5.	N/A	N/A	<p><u>The Generator Operator failed to notify its Transmission Operator at all of changes in generation or operating conditions that could require changes in the Transmission Operator’s protection systems. (R5.1)</u></p> <p><u>OR</u></p> <p><u>The Transmission Operator failed to notify neighboring Transmission Operators at all of changes in generation, transmission, load, or operating conditions that could require changes in the other Transmission Operators’ protection systems. (R5.2)</u><del>N/A</del></p>	<p>The Generator Operator failed to notify its Transmission Operator at all of changes in generation or operating conditions that could require changes in the Transmission Operator’s protection systems. <u>(R5.1)</u></p> <p><del>OR</del><u>AND</u></p> <p>The Transmission Operator failed to notify neighboring Transmission Operators at all of changes in generation, transmission, load, or operating conditions that could require changes in the other Transmission Operators’ protection systems. <u>(R5.2)</u></p>	<p>Rolled up subrequirements into primary requirement</p> <p><u>Created a more graded VSL.</u></p>
Original R5.1.	N/A	N/A	The Generator Operator failed to notify its Transmission Operator in advance of changes in	N/A	

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-001-1 System Protection Coordination					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
			generation or operating conditions that could require changes in the Transmission Operator's protection systems.		
Revised R5.1.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	<del>High deleted and covered by primary Severe.</del> <a href="#">Rolled up into primary requirement.</a>
Original R5.2.	N/A	N/A	The Transmission Operator failed to notify neighboring Transmission Operators in advance of changes in generation, transmission, load, or operating conditions that could require changes in the other Transmission Operators' protection systems.	N/A	
Revised R5.2.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	<del>High deleted and covered by primary Severe.</del> <a href="#">Rolled up into primary requirement.</a>
Original R6.	N/A	N/A	Notification of a change in status of a Special Protection System was not made to the affected Transmission Operators and Balancing Authorities.	The responsible entity failed to monitor the status of each Special Protection System in its area, and did not notify affected Transmission Operators	

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-001-1 System Protection Coordination					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
				and Balancing Authorities of each change in status.	
Revised R6.	N/A	N/A	The responsible entity monitored the status of each Special Protection System in its area but notification of a change in status of a Special Protection System was not made to the affected Transmission Operators and Balancing Authorities.	The responsible entity failed to monitor the status of each Special Protection System in its area, and did not notify affected Transmission Operators and Balancing Authorities of each change in status.	Clarified the High.

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-004-1 Analysis and Mitigation of Transmission and Generation Protection System Misoperations					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
Original R1.	Documentation of Misoperations is complete, but documentation of Corrective Action Plans is incomplete.	Documentation of Misoperations is incomplete, and documentation of Corrective Action Plans is incomplete.	Documentation of Misoperations is incomplete, and there are no associated Corrective Action Plans.	Misoperations have not been analyzed	
Revised R1.	<del>Documentation of a Misoperation is complete, but documentation and implementation of the associated Corrective Action Plan is incomplete.</del> N/A	<u>The responsible entity provided evidence of analyzing a Misoperation but the documentation and implementation of the associated Corrective Action Plan was not provided. Documentation of a Misoperation is incomplete, and documentation and implementation of the associated Corrective Action Plan is incomplete.</u>	<del>Documentation of a Misoperation is incomplete, and there is no associated Corrective Action Plan.</del> N/A	<u>The responsible entity did not perform an A analysis of a Misoperation, has not been analyzed.</u>	Changed to link VSLs to a single misoperation. (FERC Guideline 4) <u>Removed vague language references of “incomplete” and “complete.”</u>
Original R2.	Documentation of Misoperations is complete, but documentation of Corrective Action Plans is incomplete.	Documentation of Misoperations is incomplete, and documentation of Corrective Action Plans is incomplete.	Documentation of Misoperations is incomplete, and there are no associated Corrective Action Plans.	Misoperations have not been analyzed	
Revised R2.	<del>Documentation of a Misoperation is complete, but documentation and</del>	<u>The Generator Owner provided evidence of analyzing a Misoperation but the documentation and</u>	<del>Documentation of Misoperation is incomplete, and there is no associated</del>	<u>The Generator Owner did not perform an analysis of a Misoperation..A Misoperation has not been</u>	Changed to link VSLs to a single misoperation. (FERC Guideline 4) <u>Removed vague language</u>

Standard Number PRC-004-1 Analysis and Mitigation of Transmission and Generation Protection System Misoperations					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
	<del>implementation of its Corrective Action Plan is incomplete. N/A</del>	<del>implementation of the associated Corrective Action Plan was not provided. Documentation of a Misoperation is incomplete, and documentation and implementation of its Corrective Action Plan is incomplete.</del>	<del>Corrective Action Plan. N/A</del>	<del>analyzed</del>	<del>references of “incomplete” and “complete”</del>
Original R3.	The responsible entity provided its Regional Reliability Organization with documentation of its Misoperations analyses and its Corrective Action Plans, but did not provide these according to the Regional Reliability Organization’s procedures.	N/A	The responsible entity provided its Regional Reliability Organization with documentation of its Misoperations analyses but did not provide its Corrective Action Plans.	The responsible entity did not provide its Regional Reliability Organization with documentation of its Misoperations analyses and did not provide its Corrective Action Plans.	
Revised R3.	The responsible entity provided its Regional Reliability Organization with documentation of its Misoperations analyses and its Corrective Action Plans, but did not provide these according to the Regional Reliability Organization’s	N/A	The responsible entity provided its Regional Reliability Organization with documentation of its Misoperations analyses but did not provide its Corrective Action Plans.	The responsible entity did not provide its Regional Reliability Organization with documentation of its Misoperations analyses and did not provide its Corrective Action Plans.	No changes.

Standard Number PRC-004-1 Analysis and Mitigation of Transmission and Generation Protection System Misoperations					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
	procedures.				

Standard Number PRC-005-1 Transmission and Generation Protection System Maintenance and Testing					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
Original R1.	N/A	N/A	The responsible entity that owned a transmission Protection System or Generator Owner that owned a generation Protection System failed to have either a Protection System maintenance program or a Protection System testing program for Protection Systems that affect the reliability of the BES.	The responsible entity that owned a transmission Protection System or Generator Owner that owned a generation Protection System failed to have a Protection System maintenance program and a Protection System testing program for Protection Systems that affect the reliability of the BES.	
Revised R1.	The responsible entity failed to have a basis for the maintenance and testing intervals in their program for one of the applicable Protection Systems (protective relays, associated communication systems, current sensing devices, batteries and DC control circuitry per NERC Glossary of Terms) <u>that affect the reliability of the BES.</u>  OR	The responsible entity failed to have a basis for the maintenance and testing intervals in their program for <del>no more than</del> two of the applicable Protection Systems (protective relays, associated communication systems, current sensing devices, batteries and DC control circuitry per NERC Glossary of Terms) <u>that affect the reliability of the BES.</u>	The responsible entity failed to have a basis for the maintenance and testing intervals in their program for <del>no more than</del> three of the applicable Protection Systems (protective relays, associated communication systems, current sensing devices, batteries and DC control circuitry per NERC Glossary of Terms) <u>that affect the reliability of the BES.</u>	The responsible entity failed to have a Protection System maintenance and testing program.	Changed Lower, Moderate and High VSLs to tie in definition of Protection Systems from NERC Glossary of Terms. Also rolled up sub-requirements since these are supporting text for primary requirement.  <u>Minor word changes for clarity and consistency with the requirement.</u>

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-005-1 Transmission and Generation Protection System Maintenance and Testing					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
	Summary of maintenance and testing procedures were missing for one of the applicable Protection Systems.				
Original R1.1.	Maintenance and testing intervals and their basis was missing for no more than 25% of the applicable devices.	Maintenance and testing intervals and their basis was missing for more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the applicable devices.	Maintenance and testing intervals and their basis was missing for more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the applicable devices.	Maintenance and testing intervals and their basis was missing for more than 75% but of the applicable devices.	
Revised R1.1.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1.
Original R1.2.	Summary of maintenance and testing procedures was missing for no more than 25% of the applicable devices.	Summary of maintenance and testing procedures was missing for more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the applicable devices.	Summary of maintenance and testing procedures was missing for more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the applicable devices.	Summary of maintenance and testing procedures was missing for more than 75% but of the applicable devices.	
Revised R1.2.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1.
Original R2.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its Protection System maintenance and testing program for more than 30 but less than or equal to	The responsible entity provided documentation of its Protection System maintenance and testing program for more than 40 but less than or equal to 50	The responsible entity provided documentation of its Protection System maintenance and testing program for more than 50 but less than or equal to 60	The responsible entity did not provide documentation of its Protection System maintenance and testing program for more than 60 days following a request	

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-005-1 Transmission and Generation Protection System Maintenance and Testing					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
	40 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.	days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.	days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.	from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.	
Revised R2.	<p>The responsible entity provided documentation of its Protection System maintenance and testing program more than 30 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Evidence Protection System devices were maintained and tested within the defined intervals (R2.1 and R2.2) was missing <u>5% or less</u> for <del>no more than 5%</del> of the applicable devices.</p>	Evidence Protection System devices were maintained and tested within the defined intervals (R2.1 and R2.2) was missing <u>more than 5% up to (and including) 10%</u> <del>more than 5% but less than or equal to 10%</del> of the applicable devices.	Evidence Protection System devices were maintained and tested within the defined intervals (R2.1 and R2.2) was missing <u>more than 10% up to (and including) 15%</u> <del>more than 10% but less than or equal to 15%</del> of the applicable devices.	Evidence Protection System devices were maintained and tested within the defined intervals (R2.1 and R2.2) was missing more than 15% of the applicable devices.	<p>Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy and roll up subrequirements into the primary requirement.</p> <p>Changed noncompliance intervals to 5%, 10%, 15%.</p> <p><u>Modified % language for consistency between standards.</u></p>
Original R2.1.	Evidence Protection System devices were maintained and tested within the defined intervals was missing for no more than 25% of the	Evidence Protection System devices were maintained and tested within the defined intervals was missing more than 25% but less than or equal	Evidence Protection System devices were maintained and tested within the defined intervals was missing more than 50% but less than or equal	Evidence Protection System devices were maintained and tested within the defined intervals was missing more than 75% of the applicable	

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-005-1 Transmission and Generation Protection System Maintenance and Testing					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
	applicable devices.	to 50% of the applicable devices.	to 75% of the applicable devices.	devices.	
Revised R2.1.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R2.
Original R2.2.	Date each Protection System device was last tested/maintained was missing no more than 25% of the applicable devices.	Date each Protection System device was last tested/maintained was missing for more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the applicable devices.	Date each Protection System device was last tested/maintained was missing for more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the applicable devices.	Date each Protection System device was last tested/maintained was missing for more than 75% of the applicable devices.	
Revised R2.2.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R2.

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-007-0 Assuring Consistency with Regional UFLS Program Requirements					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
Original R1.	The evaluation of the entity's UFLS program for consistency with its Regional Reliability Organization's UFLS program is incomplete or inconsistent in one or more of the Regional Reliability Organization program requirements, but is consistent with the required amount of load shedding.	The amount of load shedding is less than 95 percent of the Regional requirement in any of the load steps.	The amount of load shedding is less than 90 percent of the Regional requirement in any of the load steps.	The amount of load shedding is less than 85 percent of the Regional requirement in any of the load steps.	
Revised R1.	The evaluation of the entity's UFLS program for consistency with its Regional Reliability Organization's UFLS program is incomplete or inconsistent in one or more of the Regional Reliability Organization program requirements, but is consistent with the required amount of load shedding.	The amount of load shedding is less than 95 percent of the Regional requirement in any of the load steps.	The amount of load shedding is less than 90 percent of the Regional requirement in any of the load steps.	The amount of load shedding is less than 85 percent of the Regional requirement in any of the load steps.	No changes.
Original R2.	The responsible entity has demonstrated the reporting of information but failed to satisfy one	The responsible entity has demonstrated the reporting of information but failed to satisfy two database	The responsible entity has demonstrated the reporting of information but failed to satisfy at three database	The responsible entity has demonstrated the reporting of information but failed to satisfy four or more	

Standard Number PRC-007-0 Assuring Consistency with Regional UFLS Program Requirements					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
	database reporting requirements.	reporting requirements.	reporting requirements.	database reporting requirements or has not provided the information.	
Revised R2.	<u>The responsible entity that owns or operates a UFLS program (as required by its Regional Reliability Organization) provided its underfrequency data as necessary for its Regional Reliability Organization to maintain and update a UFLS program database but its annual update was late by 30 calendar days or less.</u> N/A	<u>The responsible entity that owns or operates a UFLS program (as required by its Regional Reliability Organization) provided its underfrequency data as necessary for its Regional Reliability Organization to maintain and update a UFLS program database but its annual update was late by more than 30 calendar days but less than or equal to 40 calendar days.</u> N/A	<u>The responsible entity that owns or operates a UFLS program (as required by its Regional Reliability Organization) provided its underfrequency data as necessary for its Regional Reliability Organization to maintain and update a UFLS program database but its annual update was late by more than 40 calendar days but less than or equal to 50 calendar days.</u> N/A	<u>The responsible entity that owns or operates a UFLS program (as required by its Regional Reliability Organization) did not provide its underfrequency data as necessary for its Regional Reliability Organization to maintain and update a UFLS program database.</u>  OR  <u>The responsible entity's annual update was late by more than 50 calendar days.</u> <del>The responsible entity that owns or operates a UFLS program (as required by its Regional Reliability Organization) did not provide, and annually update, its underfrequency data as necessary for its Regional Reliability Organization to maintain</del>	<del>Binary</del> —changed to <del>Severe</del> Change per guideline 3, consistency with the requirement and for consistency between standards.

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-007-0 Assuring Consistency with Regional UFLS Program Requirements					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
				<del>and update a UFLS program database.</del>	
Original R3.	The responsible entity has provided the documentation in more than 30 calendar days but less than 40 calendar days.	The responsible entity has provided the documentation in more than 39 calendar days but less than 50 calendar days.	The responsible entity has provided the documentation in more than 49 calendar days but less than 60 calendar days.	The responsible entity has not provided the documentation within 60 calendar days.	
Revised R3.	The responsible entity has provided the documentation in more than 30 calendar days but less than or equal to 40 calendar days.	The responsible entity has provided the documentation in more than 40 calendar days but less than or equal to 50 calendar days.	The responsible entity has provided the documentation in more than 50 calendar days but less than or equal to 60 calendar days.	The responsible entity has not provided the documentation for more than 60 calendar days.	Clarified intervals.

Standard Number PRC-008-0 Underfrequency Load Shedding Equipment Maintenance Programs					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
Original R1.	The UFLS equipment identification, schedule for UFLS equipment testing or the schedule for UFLS equipment testing in the responsible entitie's UFLS equipment maintenance and testing program was missing for no more than 25% of the applicable relays.	The UFLS equipment identification, schedule for UFLS equipment testing or the schedule for UFLS equipment testing in the responsible entitie's UFLS equipment maintenance and testing program was missing for more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the applicable relays.	The UFLS equipment identification, schedule for UFLS equipment testing or the schedule for UFLS equipment testing in the responsible entitie's UFLS equipment maintenance and testing program was missing for more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the applicable relays.	The UFLS equipment identification, schedule for UFLS equipment testing or the schedule for UFLS equipment testing in the responsible entitie's UFLS equipment maintenance and testing program was missing for more than 75% of the applicable relays.	
Revised R1.	<del>The UFLS equipment identification, schedule for UFLS equipment testing or the schedule for UFLS equipment testing in the responsible entity's UFLS equipment maintenance and testing program was missing for no more than 25% of the applicable relays.</del> The UFLS equipment identification, <u>testing schedule for UFLS equipment testing or the schedule or maintenance schedule for the UFLS equipment testing in the responsible entity's UFLS equipment maintenance and testing program was missing 5% or less for no more than 5% of the applicable relays</u> <u>equipment.</u>	<del>The UFLS equipment identification, schedule for UFLS equipment testing or the schedule for UFLS equipment testing in the responsible entity's UFLS equipment maintenance and testing program was missing for more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the applicable relays.</del> The UFLS equipment identification, <u>testing schedule, or maintenance schedule for t-for UFLS equipment testing or the schedule for UFLS equipment testing in the responsible entity's UFLS equipment maintenance and testing program was missing for more than 5% up to (and including) 10% more than 5% but less than or equal to 10% of the applicable relays</u> <u>equipment.</u>	<del>The UFLS equipment identification, schedule for UFLS equipment testing or the schedule for UFLS equipment testing in the responsible entity's UFLS equipment maintenance and testing program was missing for more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the applicable relays.</del> <u>The UFLS equipment identification, testing schedule, or maintenance schedule for the responsible entity's UFLS equipment maintenance and testing program was missing more than 10% up to (and including) 15% of the applicable equipment.</u> <del>The UFLS equipment identification, schedule for UFLS equipment testing or the schedule for UFLS equipment testing in the responsible entity's UFLS equipment maintenance and testing program was</del>	<del>The UFLS equipment identification, schedule for UFLS equipment testing or the schedule for UFLS equipment testing in the responsible entitie's UFLS equipment maintenance and testing program was missing for more than 75% of the applicable relays.</del> <u>The responsible entity failed to implement a UFLS equipment maintenance and testing program.</u>  <u>OR</u>  <u>The UFLS equipment identification, testing schedule, or maintenance schedule for the responsible entity's UFLS equipment maintenance and testing program was missing more than 15% of the applicable equipment.</u> <del>The UFLS equipment identification,</del>	<del></del> Changed noncompliance intervals to 5%, 10%, and 15%. <u>Wording changes in the VSLs for clarity and readability.</u> <u>Modified % language for consistency between standards.</u>

Standard Number PRC-008-0 Underfrequency Load Shedding Equipment Maintenance Programs					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
			<del>missing for more than 10% but less than or equal to 15% of the applicable relays.</del>	<del>schedule for UFLS equipment testing or the schedule for UFLS equipment testing in the responsible entity's UFLS equipment maintenance and testing program was missing for more than 15% of the applicable relays.</del>	
Original R2.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its UFLS equipment maintenance and testing program for more than 30 but less than or equal to 40 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its UFLS equipment maintenance and testing program for more than 40 but less than or equal to 50 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its UFLS equipment maintenance and testing program for more than 50 but less than or equal to 60 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.	The responsible entity did not provide documentation of its UFLS equipment maintenance and testing program for more than 60 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.	
Revised R2.	<del>The responsible entity provided documentation of its UFLS equipment maintenance and testing program for more than 30 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.</del>  OR	Evidence UFLS equipment was maintained and tested within the defined intervals was missing for <u>more than 5% up to (and including) 10%</u> <del>more than 5% but less than or equal to 10%</del> of the applicable devices.	Evidence UFLS equipment was maintained and tested within the defined intervals was missing for <u>more than 10% up to (and including) 15%</u> <del>more than 10% but less than or equal to 15%</del> of the applicable devices.	Evidence UFLS equipment was maintained and tested within the defined intervals was missing for more than 15% of the applicable devices.	Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy language. Changed noncompliance intervals to 5%, 10%, 15%. <u>Minor wording change for clarity.</u> <u>Modified % language for consistency between standards.</u>

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-008-0 Underfrequency Load Shedding Equipment Maintenance Programs					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
	Evidence UFLS equipment was maintained and tested within the defined intervals was missing for <u>5% or less</u> <del>no more than 5%</del> of the applicable devices.				

Standard Number PRC-009-0 UFLS Performance Following an Underfrequency Event					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
Original R1.	The responsible entity that owns or operates a UFLS program failed to include one of the elements listed in PRC-009-0 R1.1 through R1.4 in the analysis of the performance of UFLS equipment and Program effectiveness, as described in PRC-009-0 R1, following system events resulting in system frequency excursions below the initializing set points of the UFLS program.	The responsible entity that owns or operates a UFLS program failed to include two of the elements listed in PRC-009-0 R1.1 through R1.4 in the analysis of the performance of UFLS equipment and Program effectiveness, as described in PRC-009-0 R1, following system events resulting in system frequency excursions below the initializing set points of the UFLS program.	The responsible entity that owns or operates a UFLS program failed to include three of the elements listed in PRC-009-0 R1.1 through R1.4 in the analysis of the performance of UFLS equipment and Program effectiveness, as described in PRC-009-0 R1, following system events resulting in system frequency excursions below the initializing set points of the UFLS program.	The responsible entity that owns or operates a UFLS program failed to conduct an analysis of the performance of UFLS equipment and Program effectiveness, as described in PRC-009-0 R1, following system events resulting in system frequency excursions below the initializing set points of the UFLS program.	
Revised R1.	The responsible entity that owns or operates a UFLS program failed to include one of the elements listed in PRC-009-0 R1.1 through R1.4 in the analysis of the performance of UFLS equipment and Program effectiveness, as described in PRC-009-0 R1, following system events resulting in system frequency excursions	The responsible entity that owns or operates a UFLS program failed to include two of the elements listed in PRC-009-0 R1.1 through R1.4 in the analysis of the performance of UFLS equipment and Program effectiveness, as described in PRC-009-0 R1, following system events resulting in system frequency excursions below the initializing set points of	The responsible entity that owns or operates a UFLS program failed to include three of the elements listed in PRC-009-0 R1.1 through R1.4 in the analysis of the performance of UFLS equipment and Program effectiveness, as described in PRC-009-0 R1, following system events resulting in system frequency excursions below the initializing set points of	The responsible entity that owns or operates a UFLS program failed to conduct an analysis of the performance of UFLS equipment and Program effectiveness, as described in PRC-009-0 R1, following system events resulting in system frequency excursions below the initializing set points of the UFLS program.	No changes.

Standard Number PRC-009-0 UFLS Performance Following an Underfrequency Event					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
	below the initializing set points of the UFLS program.	the UFLS program.	the UFLS program.		
Original R1.1.	The responsible entity failed to include a description of the event, including initiating conditions, that triggered an analysis of the performance of UFLS equipment and Program effectiveness, as described in PRC-009-0 R1, following system events resulting in system frequency excursions below the initializing set points of the UFLS program.	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Revised R1.1.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy. Sub-requirements are supporting conditions of primary requirement. Rolled up into R1
Original R1.2.	The responsible entity failed to include a review of the UFLS set points and tripping times in the analysis of the	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Standard Number PRC-009-0 UFLS Performance Following an Underfrequency Event					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
	performance of UFLS equipment and Program effectiveness, as described in PRC-009-0 R1, following system events resulting in system frequency excursions below the initializing set points of the UFLS program.				
Revised R1.2.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy. Sub-requirements are supporting conditions of primary requirement. Rolled up into R1
Original R1.3.	The responsible entity failed to conduct a simulation of the event that triggered an analysis of the performance of UFLS equipment and Program effectiveness, as described in PRC-009-0 R1, following system events resulting in system frequency excursions below the initializing set points of the UFLS program.	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Standard Number PRC-009-0 UFLS Performance Following an Underfrequency Event					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
Revised R1.3.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy. Sub-requirements are supporting conditions of primary requirement. Rolled up into R1
Original R1.4.	The responsible entity failed to include a summary of the findings in the analysis of the performance of UFLS equipment and Program effectiveness, as described in PRC-009-0 R1, following system events resulting in system frequency excursions below the initializing set points of the UFLS program.	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Revised R1.4.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy. Sub-requirements are supporting conditions of primary requirement. Rolled up into R1
Original R2.	The responsible entity has provided the documentation in more than 90 calendar days but	The responsible entity has provided the documentation in more than 105 calendar days but less than 129	The responsible entity has provided the documentation in more than 129 calendar days but less than 145	The responsible entity has provided the documentation in 145 calendar days or more.	

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-009-0 UFLS Performance Following an Underfrequency Event					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
	less than 105 calendar days.	calendar days.	calendar days.		
Revised R2.	The responsible entity has provided the documentation in more than 90 calendar days but less than or equal to 120 calendar days after the system event.	The responsible entity has provided the documentation in more than 120 calendar days but less than or equal to <del>150</del> <u>130</u> calendar days after the system event.	The responsible entity has provided the documentation in more than <del>150</del> <u>130</u> calendar days but less than or equal to <del>180</del> <u>140</u> calendar days after the system event.	The responsible entity has provided the documentation <del>in more than 180</del> <u>140</u> calendar days after the system event.  OR  The responsible entity has not provided the documentation.	Changes made to clarify time requirements. Additionally, time requirements were extended due to the complicated nature of the analysis involved.  An “OR” condition was added to the Severe to account for the situation where no documentation is provided.  <u>Modified # of days late for consistency between standards.</u>

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-010-0 Assessment of the Design and Effectiveness of UVLS Program					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
Original R1.	The responsible entity conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of its UVLS system within 5 years or as required by changes in system conditions but did not include the associated Transmission Planner(s) and Planning Authority(ies).	The responsible entity did not conduct an assessment of the effectiveness of its UVLS system for more than 5 years but did in less than or equal to 7 years.	The responsible entity did not conduct an assessment of the effectiveness of its UVLS system for more than 7 years but did in less than or equal to 10 years.	The responsible entity did not conduct an assessment of the effectiveness of its UVLS system for more than 10 years.	
Revised R1.	The responsible entity conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of its UVLS system within 5 years or as required by changes in system conditions but did not include the associated Transmission Planner(s) and Planning Authority(ies).	The responsible entity did not conduct an assessment of the effectiveness of its UVLS system for more than 5 years but did in less than or equal to 7 years.  <u>OR</u>  <u><a href="#">The assessment of the effectiveness of the responsible entity's UVLS system did not address one of the elements in R1 (R1.1.1 through R1.1.3.).</a></u>	The responsible entity did not conduct an assessment of the effectiveness of its UVLS system for more than 7 years but did in less than or equal to 10 years.  <u>OR</u>  <u><a href="#">The assessment of the effectiveness of the responsible entity's UVLS system did not address two of the elements in R1 (R1.1.1 through R1.1.3.).</a></u>	The responsible entity did not conduct an assessment of the effectiveness of its UVLS system for more than 10 years.  <u>OR</u>  <u><a href="#">The assessment of the effectiveness of the responsible entity's UVLS system did not address any of the elements in R1 (R1.1.1 through R1.1.3.).</a></u>	<del>No changes.</del>  <u><a href="#">Roll-up approach was used. Sub-requirement VSLs now reflected in the R1 VSLs.</a></u>
Original R1.1.	N/A	The assessment of the effectiveness of the responsible entity's UVLS	The assessment of the effectiveness of the responsible entity's UVLS	The assessment of the effectiveness of the responsible entity's UVLS	

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-010-0 Assessment of the Design and Effectiveness of UVLS Program					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
		system did not address one of the elements in R1.1.1 through R1.1.3.	system did not address two of the elements in R1.1.1 through R1.1.3.	system did not address any of the elements in R1.1.1 through R1.1.3.	
Revised R1.1.	N/A	<del>The assessment of the effectiveness of the responsible entity's UVLS system did not address one of the elements in R1.1.1 through R1.1.3.</del> N/A	<del>The assessment of the effectiveness of the responsible entity's UVLS system did not address two of the elements in R1.1.1 through R1.1.3.</del> N/A	<del>The assessment of the effectiveness of the responsible entity's UVLS system did not address any of the elements in R1.1.1 through R1.1.3.</del> N/A	No changes. <a href="#">Rolled up to R1</a>
Original R1.1.1.	The responsible entity is non-compliant in the coordination of the UVLS programs with no more than 25% of the appropriate protection and control systems in the Region and with other Regional Reliability Organizations.	The responsible entity is non-compliant in the coordination of the UVLS programs with more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the appropriate protection and control systems in the Region and with other Regional Reliability Organizations.	The responsible entity is non-compliant in the coordination of the UVLS programs with more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the appropriate protection and control systems in the Region and with other Regional Reliability Organizations.	The responsible entity is non-compliant in the coordination of the UVLS programs with more than 75% of the appropriate protection and control systems in the Region and with other Regional Reliability Organizations.	
Revised R1.1.1.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into <del>R1.1.</del> <a href="#">R1</a> . Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R1.1.2.	The responsible entity's analysis was non-compliant in that no more than 25% of the simulations needed to demonstrate consistency with Reliability Standards	The responsible entity's analysis was non-compliant in that more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the simulations needed to demonstrate consistency with Reliability Standards	The responsible entity's analysis was non-compliant in that more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the simulations needed to demonstrate consistency with Reliability Standards	The responsible entity's analysis was non-compliant in that more than 75% of the simulations needed to demonstrate consistency with Reliability Standards TPL-001-0, TPL-002-0,	

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-010-0 Assessment of the Design and Effectiveness of UVLS Program					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
	TPL-001-0, TPL-002-0, TPL-003-0 and TPL-004-0 were not performed.	TPL-001-0, TPL-002-0, TPL-003-0 and TPL-004-0 were not performed.	TPL-001-0, TPL-002-0, TPL-003-0 and TPL-004-0 were not performed.	TPL-003-0 and TPL-004-0 were not performed.	
Revised R1.1.2.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1- <del>+</del> . Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R1.1.3.	The responsible entity's analysis is non-compliant in that a review of no more than 25% of the corresponding voltage set points and timing was not performed.	The responsible entity's analysis is non-compliant in that a review of more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the corresponding voltage set points and timing was not performed.	The responsible entity's analysis is non-compliant in that a review of more than 50% but less than 75% of the corresponding voltage set points and timing was not performed.	The responsible entity's analysis is non-compliant in that a review of more than 75% of the corresponding voltage set points and timing was not performed.	
Revised R1.1.3.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1- <del>+</del> . Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R2.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its current UVLS program assessment more than 30 but less than or equal to 40 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its current UVLS program assessment more than 40 but less than or equal to 50 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its current UVLS program assessment more than 50 but less than or equal to 60 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.	The responsible entity did not provide documentation of its current UVLS program assessment for more than 60 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.	

Standard Number PRC-010-0 Assessment of the Design and Effectiveness of UVLS Program					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
Revised R2.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its current UVLS program assessment more than 30 <del>calendar</del> but less than or equal to 40 <del>calendar</del> days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization or NERC.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its current UVLS program assessment more than 40 <del>calendar days</del> but less than or equal to 50 <del>calendar</del> days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization or NERC.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its current UVLS program assessment more than 50 <del>calendar days</del> but less than or equal to 60 <del>calendar</del> days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization or NERC.	The responsible entity did not provide documentation of its current UVLS program assessment for more than 60 <del>calendar</del> days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization or NERC.	Change "and/or" to "or" <a href="#">Modified for consistency between standards.</a>

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-011-0 UVLS System Maintenance and Testing					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
Original R1.	The responsible entity's UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program did not address one of the elements in R1.1 through R1.6.	The responsible entity's UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program did not address two or three of the elements in R1.1 through R1.6.	The responsible entity's UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program did not address four or five of the elements in R1.1 through R1.6.	The responsible entity's UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program did not address any of the elements in R1.1 through R1.6.	
Revised R1.	<p>The responsible entity's UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program did not address one of the subrequirements in R1.2 through R1.6.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The responsible entity's UVLS program did not address one of the equipment classes as specified in R1.1.1 through R1.1.4.</p>	<p>The responsible entity's UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program did not address two of the subrequirements in R1.2 through R1.6.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The responsible entity's UVLS program did not address two of the equipment classes as specified in R1.1.1 through R1.1.4.</p>	<p>The responsible entity's UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program did not address three of the subrequirements in R1.1 through R1.6.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The responsible entity's UVLS program did not address three of the equipment classes as specified in R1.1.1 through R1.1.4.</p>	<p>The responsible entity's UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program did not address four or more of the subrequirements in R1.2 through R1.6.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The responsible entity's UVLS program did not address any of the equipment classes as specified in R1.1.1 through R1.1.4.</p>	<p>Change levels to one, two, three, four for R1.2 through R1.6.</p> <p>Included an “OR” statement for R1.1 with levels of one, two, three or four classes.</p> <p>Changed “elements” to “subrequirements.”</p> <p>Rolled up subrequirements.</p>
Original R1.1.	The responsible entity's UVLS program system identification did not address one of the elements in R1.1.1 through R1.1.4.	The responsible entity's UVLS program system identification did not address two of the elements in R1.1.1 through R1.1.4.	The responsible entity's UVLS program system identification did not address three of the elements in R1.1.1 through R1.1.4.	The responsible entity's UVLS program system identification did not address any of the elements in R1.1.1 through R1.1.4.	

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-011-0 UVLS System Maintenance and Testing					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
Revised R1.1.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R1.1.1.	The responsible entity's UVLS program system identification was missing no more than 25% of the applicable relays.	The responsible entity's UVLS program system identification was missing more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the applicable relays.	The responsible entity's UVLS program system identification was missing more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the applicable relays.	The responsible entity's UVLS program system identification was missing more than 75% of the applicable relays.	
Revised R1.1.1.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R1.1.2.	The responsible entity's UVLS program system identification was missing no more than 25% of the applicable instrument transformers.	The responsible entity's UVLS program system identification was missing more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the applicable instrument transformers.	The responsible entity's UVLS program system identification was missing more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the applicable instrument transformers.	The responsible entity's UVLS program system identification was missing more than 75% of the applicable instrument transformers.	
Revised R1.1.2.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R1.1.3.	The responsible entity's UVLS program system identification was missing no more than 25% of the appropriate communication systems.	The responsible entity's UVLS program system identification was missing more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the appropriate communication	The responsible entity's UVLS program system identification was missing more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the appropriate communication	The responsible entity's UVLS program system identification was missing more than 75% of the appropriate communication systems.	

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-011-0 UVLS System Maintenance and Testing					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
		systems.	systems.		
Revised R1.1.3.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R1.1.4.	The responsible entity's UVLS program system identification was missing no more than 25% of the applicable batteries.	The responsible entity's UVLS program system identification was missing more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the applicable batteries.	The responsible entity's UVLS program system identification was missing more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the applicable batteries.	The responsible entity's UVLS program system identification was missing more than 75% of the applicable batteries.	
Revised R1.1.4.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R1.2.	The responsible entity's UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that documentation of maintenance and testing intervals and their basis was missing for no more than 25% of the UVLS equipment.	The responsible entity's UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that documentation of maintenance and testing intervals and their basis was missing for more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the UVLS equipment.	The responsible entity's UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that documentation of maintenance and testing intervals and their basis was missing for more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the UVLS equipment.	The responsible entity's UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that documentation of maintenance and testing intervals and their basis was missing for more than 75% of the UVLS equipment.	
Revised R1.2.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1. Changes made to eliminate potential

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-011-0 UVLS System Maintenance and Testing					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
					double jeopardy.
Original R1.3.	The responsible entity's UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that a summary of the testing procedure was missing for no more than 25% of the UVLS equipment.	The responsible entity's UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that a summary of the testing procedure was missing for more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the UVLS equipment.	The responsible entity's UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that a summary of the testing procedure was missing for more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the UVLS equipment.	The responsible entity's UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that a summary of the testing procedure was missing for more than 75% of the UVLS equipment.	
Revised R1.3.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R1.4.	The responsible entity's UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that a schedule for system testing was missing for no more than 25% of the UVLS equipment.	The responsible entity's UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that a schedule for system testing was missing for more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the UVLS equipment.	The responsible entity's UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that a schedule for system testing was missing for more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the UVLS equipment.	The responsible entity's UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that a schedule for system testing was missing for more than 75% of the UVLS equipment.	
Revised R1.4.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-011-0 UVLS System Maintenance and Testing					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
Original R1.5.	The responsible entity's UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that a schedule for system maintenance was missing for no more than 25% of the UVLS equipment.	The responsible entity's UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that a schedule for system maintenance was missing for more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the UVLS equipment.	The responsible entity's UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that a schedule for system maintenance was missing for more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the UVLS equipment.	The responsible entity's UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that a schedule for system maintenance was missing for more than 75% of the UVLS equipment.	
Revised R1.5.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R1.6.	The responsible entity's UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that the date last tested/maintained was missing for no more than 25% of the UVLS equipment.	The responsible entity's UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that the date last tested/maintained was missing for more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the UVLS equipment.	The responsible entity's UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that the date last tested/maintained was missing for more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the UVLS equipment.	The responsible entity's UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that the date last tested/maintained was missing for more than 75% of the UVLS equipment.	
Revised R1.6.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R2.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its UVLS equipment	The responsible entity provided documentation of its UVLS equipment	The responsible entity provided documentation of its UVLS equipment	The responsible entity did not provide documentation of its UVLS equipment	

Standard Number PRC-011-0 UVLS System Maintenance and Testing					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
	maintenance and testing program more than 30 but less than or equal to 40 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.	maintenance and testing program more than 40 but less than or equal to 50 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.	maintenance and testing program more than 50 but less than or equal to 60 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.	maintenance and testing program for more than 60 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.	
Revised R2.	<p>The responsible entity provided documentation of its UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program more than 30 but less than or equal to 40 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Evidence UVLS equipment was maintained and tested within the defined intervals was missing for <u>5% or less</u> <del>no more than 5%</del> of the applicable devices.</p>	<p>The responsible entity provided documentation of its UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program more than 40 but less than or equal to 50 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Evidence UVLS equipment was maintained and tested within the defined intervals was missing for <u>more than 5% up to (and including) 10%</u> <del>more than 5% but less than or equal to 10%</del> of the applicable devices.</p>	<p>The responsible entity provided documentation of its UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program more than 50 but less than or equal to 60 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Evidence UVLS equipment was maintained and tested within the defined intervals was missing for <u>more than 10% up to (and including) 15%</u> <del>more than 10% but less than or equal to 15%</del> of the applicable devices.</p>	<p>The responsible entity did not provide documentation of its UVLS equipment maintenance and testing program for more than 60 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Evidence UVLS equipment was maintained and tested within the defined intervals was missing for more than 15% of the applicable devices.</p>	<p>Changed noncompliance intervals to 5%, 10%, 15%. <a href="#">Minor wording change for clarity.</a> <a href="#">Modified % language for consistency between standards.</a></p>

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-015-0 Special Protection System Data and Documentation					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
Original R1.	N/A	The responsible entity's list of existing or proposed SPSs did not address one of the elements in R1.1 through R1.3 as specified in Reliability Standard PRC-013-0_R1.	The responsible entity's list of existing or proposed SPSs did not address two of the elements in R1.1 through R1.3 as specified in Reliability Standard PRC-013-0_R1.	The responsible entity's list of existing or proposed SPSs did not address any of the elements in R1.1 through R1.3 as specified in Reliability Standard PRC-013-0_R1.	
Revised R1.	N/A	The responsible entity's list of existing or proposed SPSs did not address one of the subrequirements in R1.1 through R1.3 as specified in Reliability Standard PRC-013-0_R1.	The responsible entity's list of existing or proposed SPSs did not address two of the subrequirements in R1.1 through R1.3 as specified in Reliability Standard PRC-013-0_R1.	The responsible entity's list of existing or proposed SPSs did not address any of the subrequirements in R1.1 through R1.3 as specified in Reliability Standard PRC-013-0_R1.	Changed "elements" to "subrequirements."
Original R2.	The responsible entity was not compliant in that evidence that it reviewed new or functionally modified SPSs in accordance with the Regional Reliability Organization's procedures did not address one of the elements in R1.1 through R1.9 as specified in Reliability Standard PRC-012-0_R1 prior to being placed in service.	The responsible entity was not compliant in that evidence that it reviewed new or functionally modified SPSs in accordance with the Regional Reliability Organization's procedures did not address two to four of the elements in R1.1 through R1.9 as specified in Reliability Standard PRC-012-0_R1 prior to being placed in service.	The responsible entity was not compliant in that evidence that it reviewed new or functionally modified SPSs in accordance with the Regional Reliability Organization's procedures did not address five to seven of the elements in R1.1 through R1.9 as specified in Reliability Standard PRC-012-0_R1 prior to being placed in service.	The responsible entity was not compliant in that evidence that it reviewed new or functionally modified SPSs in accordance with the Regional Reliability Organization's procedures did not address eight or more of the elements in R1.1 through R1.9 as specified in Reliability Standard PRC-012-0_R1 prior to being placed in service.	

Standard Number PRC-015-0 Special Protection System Data and Documentation					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
Revised R2.	The responsible entity was not compliant in that evidence that it reviewed new or functionally modified SPSs in accordance with the Regional Reliability Organization's procedures did not address one of the subrequirements in R1.1 through R1.9 as specified in Reliability Standard PRC-012-0_R1 prior to being placed in service.	The responsible entity was not compliant in that evidence that it reviewed new or functionally modified SPSs in accordance with the Regional Reliability Organization's procedures did not address two of the subrequirements in R1.1 through R1.9 as specified in Reliability Standard PRC-012-0_R1 prior to being placed in service.	The responsible entity was not compliant in that evidence that it reviewed new or functionally modified SPSs in accordance with the Regional Reliability Organization's procedures did not address three of the subrequirements in R1.1 through R1.9 as specified in Reliability Standard PRC-012-0_R1 prior to being placed in service.	The responsible entity was not compliant in that evidence that it reviewed new or functionally modified SPSs in accordance with the Regional Reliability Organization's procedures did not address four or more of the subrequirements in R1.1 through R1.9 as specified in Reliability Standard PRC-012-0_R1 prior to being placed in service.	Changed "elements" to "subrequirements."
Original R3.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its SPS data and the results of the studies that show compliance of new or functionally modified SPSs more than 30 but less than or equal to 40 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its SPS data and the results of the studies that show compliance of new or functionally modified SPSs more than 40 but less than or equal to 50 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its SPS data and the results of the studies that show compliance of new or functionally modified SPSs more than 50 but less than or equal to 60 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its SPS data and the results of the studies that show compliance of new or functionally modified SPSs for more than 60 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.	
Revised R3.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its SPS data and the	The responsible entity provided documentation of its SPS data and the results	The responsible entity provided documentation of its SPS data and the results	The responsible entity provided documentation of its SPS data and the results	Changed "and/or" to "or." <a href="#">Modified for consistency between standards.</a>

Standard Number PRC-015-0 Special Protection System Data and Documentation					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
	results of the studies that show compliance of new or functionally modified SPSs more than 30 <u>calendar days</u> but less than or equal to 40 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization or NERC.	of the studies that show compliance of new or functionally modified SPSs more than 40 <u>calendar days</u> but less than or equal to 50 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization or NERC.	of the studies that show compliance of new or functionally modified SPSs more than 50 <u>calendar days</u> but less than or equal to 60 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization or NERC.	of the studies that show compliance of new or functionally modified SPSs for more than 60 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization or NERC.	

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-016-0 Special Protection System Misoperations					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
Original R1.	The responsible entity was not compliant in that evidence that it analyzed its SPS operations and maintained a record of all misoperations in accordance with the Regional SPS review procedure did not address one of the elements in R1.1 through R1.9 as specified in Reliability Standard PRC-012-0_R1.	The responsible entity was not compliant in that evidence that it analyzed its SPS operations and maintained a record of all misoperations in accordance with the Regional SPS review procedure did not address two to four of the elements in R1.1 through R1.9 as specified in Reliability Standard PRC-012-0_R1.	The responsible entity was not compliant in that evidence that it analyzed its SPS operations and maintained a record of all misoperations in accordance with the Regional SPS review procedure did not address five to seven of the elements in R1.1 through R1.9 as specified in Reliability Standard PRC-012-0_R1.	The responsible entity was not compliant in that evidence that it analyzed its SPS operations and maintained a record of all misoperations in accordance with the Regional SPS review procedure did not address eight or more of the elements in R1.1 through R1.9 as specified in Reliability Standard PRC-012-0_R1.	
Revised R1.	N/A	N/A	N/A	The responsible entity that owns an SPS did not analyze its SPS operations and maintain a record of all <del>misoperation</del> Misoperations in accordance with the Regional SPS review procedure specified in Reliability Standard PRC-012-0_R 1.	Changed to a binary – Severe. <a href="#">Corrected capitalization of defined term.</a>
Original R2.	The responsible entity did not take corrective actions to avoid future SPS misoperations for no more than 25% of the events.	The responsible entity did not take corrective actions to avoid future SPS misoperations for more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the events.	The responsible entity did not take corrective actions to avoid future SPS misoperations for more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the events.	The responsible entity did not take corrective actions to avoid future SPS misoperations for more than 75% of the events.	

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-016-0 Special Protection System Misoperations					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
Revised R2.	For each <del>misoperation</del> <u>Misoperation</u> , the responsible entity that owns an SPS did not take <del>5% or less up to 5%</del> of the corrective actions designed to avoid future SPS <del>misoperation</del> <u>Misoperations</u> s.	For each <del>misoperation</del> <u>Misoperation</u> , the responsible entity that owns an SPS did not take <del>more than 5% up to (and including) 10% more than 5% but less than or equal to 10%</del> of the corrective actions designed to avoid future SPS <del>misoperation</del> <u>Misoperations</u> s.	For each <del>misoperation</del> <u>Misoperation</u> , the responsible entity that owns an SPS did not take <del>more than 10% up to (and including) 15% more than 10% but less than or equal to 15%</del> of the corrective actions designed to avoid future SPS <del>misoperation</del> <u>Misoperations</u> s.	For each <del>misoperation</del> <u>Misoperation</u> , the responsible entity that owns an SPS did not take more than 15% of the corrective actions designed to avoid future SPS <del>misoperation</del> <u>Misoperations</u> s.	Added "For each misoperation" to start of each VSL. Changed noncompliance intervals to 5%, 10%, 15%. per FERC recommendation. <u>Corrected capitalization of defined term.</u> <u>Modified % language for consistency between standards.</u>
Original R3.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its SPS misoperation analyses and the corrective action plans more than 90 but less than or equal to 120 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its SPS misoperation analyses and the corrective action plans more than 120 but less than or equal to 150 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its SPS misoperation analyses and the corrective action plans more than 150 but less than or equal to 180 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its SPS misoperation analyses and the corrective action plans more than 180 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.	
Revised R3.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its SPS <del>misoperation</del> <u>Misoperation</u> analyses and the corrective action plans more than 90 <del>calendar days</del> <u>calendar days</u> but less than or equal	The responsible entity provided documentation of its SPS <del>misoperation</del> <u>Misoperation</u> analyses and the corrective action plans more than <del>105 120 calendar days</del> <u>120 calendar days</u> but less than or equal to <del>129 130</del>	The responsible entity provided documentation of its SPS <del>misoperation</del> <u>Misoperation</u> analyses and the corrective action plans more than <del>129 130</del> <u>130 calendar days</u> but less than or equal to <del>145 140</del>	The responsible entity provided documentation of its SPS <del>misoperation</del> <u>Misoperation</u> analyses and the corrective action plans more than <del>145 140</del> <u>140</u> calendar days following a request from its	Change the max range to 145 calendar days. Changed "and/or" to "or" <u>Corrected capitalization of defined term.</u> <u>Modified for consistency between standards.</u>

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-016-0 Special Protection System Misoperations					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
	to <del>405</del> <u>120</u> calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization or NERC.	calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization or NERC.	calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization or NERC.	Regional Reliability Organization or NERC.	

Standard Number PRC-017-0 Special Protection System Maintenance and Testing					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
Original R1.	The responsible entity's SPS system maintenance and testing program did not address one of the elements in R1.1 through R1.6.	The responsible entity's SPS system maintenance and testing program did not address two or three of the elements in R1.1 through R1.6.	The responsible entity's SPS system maintenance and testing program did not address four or five of the elements in R1.1 through R1.6.	The responsible entity's SPS system maintenance and testing program did not address any of the elements in R1.1 through R1.6.	
Revised R1.	<p>The responsible entity's SPS equipment maintenance and testing program did not address one of the subrequirements in R1.2 through R1.6.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The responsible entity's SPS program did not address one of the equipment classes as specified in R1.1.1 through R1.1.4.</p>	<p>The responsible entity's SPS equipment maintenance and testing program did not address two of the subrequirements in R1.2 through R1.6.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The responsible entity's SPS program did not address two of the equipment classes as specified in R1.1.1 through R1.1.4.</p>	<p>The responsible entity's SPS equipment maintenance and testing program did not address three of the subrequirements in R1.2 through R1.6.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The responsible entity's SPS program did not address three of the equipment classes as specified in R1.1.1 through R1.1.4.</p>	<p>The responsible entity's SPS equipment maintenance and testing program did not address four or more of the subrequirements in R1.2 through R1.6.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The responsible entity's SPS program did not address any of the equipment classes as specified in R1.1.1 through R1.1.4.</p>	<p>Changed to conform to PRC-011.</p> <p>Changed “elements” to “subrequirements.”</p>
Original R1.1.	The responsible entity's SPS program identification did not address one of the elements in R1.1.1 through R1.1.4.	The responsible entity's SPS program identification did not address two of the elements in R1.1.1 through R1.1.4.	The responsible entity's SPS program identification did not address three of the elements in R1.1.1 through R1.1.4.	The responsible entity's SPS program identification did not address any of the elements in R1.1.1 through R1.1.4.	

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-017-0 Special Protection System Maintenance and Testing					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
Revised R1.1.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R1.1.1.	The responsible entity's SPS program identification was missing no more than 25% of the applicable relays.	The responsible entity's SPS program identification was missing more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the applicable relays.	The responsible entity's SPS program identification was missing more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the applicable relays.	The responsible entity's SPS program identification was missing more than 75% of the applicable relays.	
Revised R1.1.1.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R1.1.2.	The responsible entity's SPS program identification was missing no more than 25% of the applicable instrument transformers.	The responsible entity's SPS program identification was missing more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the applicable instrument transformers.	The responsible entity's SPS program identification was missing more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the applicable instrument transformers.	The responsible entity's SPS program identification was missing more than 75% of the applicable instrument transformers.	
Revised R1.1.2.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R1.1.3.	The responsible entity's SPS program identification was missing no more than 25% of the appropriate communication systems.	The responsible entity's SPS program identification was missing more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the appropriate communication systems.	The responsible entity's SPS program identification was missing more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the appropriate communication systems.	The responsible entity's SPS program identification was missing more than 75% of the appropriate communication systems.	

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-017-0 Special Protection System Maintenance and Testing					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
Revised R1.1.3.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R1.1.4.	The responsible entity's SPS program identification was missing no more than 25% of the applicable batteries.	The responsible entity's UVLS program system identification was missing more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the applicable batteries.	The responsible entity's UVLS program system identification was missing more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the applicable batteries.	The responsible entity's UVLS program system identification was missing more than 75% of the applicable batteries.	
Revised R1.1.4.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R1.2.	The responsible entity's SPS maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that documentation of maintenance and testing intervals and their basis was missing for no more than 25% of the SPS equipment.	The responsible entity's SPS maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that documentation of maintenance and testing intervals and their basis was missing for more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the SPS equipment.	The responsible entity's SPS maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that documentation of maintenance and testing intervals and their basis was missing for more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the SPS equipment.	The responsible entity's SPS maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that documentation of maintenance and testing intervals and their basis was missing for more than 75% of the SPS equipment.	
Revised R1.2.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R1.3.	The responsible entity's SPS maintenance and testing program was non-	The responsible entity's SPS maintenance and testing program was non-	The responsible entity's SPS maintenance and testing program was non-	The responsible entity's SPS maintenance and testing program was non-	

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-017-0 Special Protection System Maintenance and Testing					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
	compliant in that a summary of the testing procedure was missing for no more than 25% of the SPS equipment.	compliant in that a summary of the testing procedure was missing for more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the SPS equipment.	compliant in that a summary of the testing procedure was missing for more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the SPS equipment.	compliant in that a summary of the testing procedure was missing for more than 75% of the SPS equipment.	
Revised R1.3.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R1.4.	The responsible entity's SPS maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that a schedule for system testing was missing for no more than 25% of the SPS equipment.	The responsible entity's SPS equipment maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that a schedule for system testing was missing for more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the SPS equipment.	The responsible entity's SPS maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that a schedule for system testing was missing for more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the SPS equipment.	The responsible entity's SPS maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that a schedule for system testing was missing for more than 75% of the SPS equipment.	
Revised R1.4.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R1.5.	The responsible entity's SPS maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that a schedule for system maintenance was missing for no more than 25% of the SPS equipment.	The responsible entity's SPS maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that a schedule for system maintenance was missing for more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the SPS	The responsible entity's SPS maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that a schedule for system maintenance was missing for more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the SPS	The responsible entity's SPS maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that a schedule for system maintenance was missing for more than 75% of the SPS equipment.	

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-017-0 Special Protection System Maintenance and Testing					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
		equipment.	equipment.		
Revised R1.5.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R1.6.	The responsible entity's SPS maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that the date last tested/maintained was missing for no more than 25% of the SPS equipment.	The responsible entity's SPS maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that the date last tested/maintained was missing for more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the SPS equipment.	The responsible entity's SPS maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that the date last tested/maintained was missing for more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the SPS equipment.	The responsible entity's SPS maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that the date last tested/maintained was missing for more than 75% of the SPS equipment.	
Revised R1.6.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R2.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its SPS maintenance and testing program more than 30 but less than or equal to 40 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its SPS maintenance and testing program more than 40 but less than or equal to 50 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.	The responsible entity provided documentation of its SPS maintenance and testing program more than 50 but less than or equal to 60 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.	The responsible entity did not provide documentation of its SPS maintenance and testing program for more than 60 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.	
Revised R2.	<a href="#">The responsible entity provided documentation</a>	<a href="#">The responsible entity provided documentation of</a>	<a href="#">The responsible entity provided documentation of</a>	<a href="#">The responsible entity did not provide documentation</a>	<del>Changed noncompliance intervals to 5%, 10%, 15%.</del>

Standard Number PRC-017-0 Special Protection System Maintenance and Testing					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
	<p><u>of its SPS maintenance and testing program more than 30 calendar days but less than or equal to 40 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.</u><del>The responsible entity provided documentation of its SPS equipment maintenance and testing program for more than 30 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.</del></p> <p>OR</p> <p><del>Evidence SPS equipment was maintained and tested within the defined intervals was missing for no more than 5% of the applicable devices.</del></p>	<p><u>its SPS maintenance and testing program more than 40 calendar days but less than or equal to 50 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.</u><del>Evidence SPS equipment was maintained and tested within the defined intervals was missing for more than 5% but less than or equal to 10% of the applicable devices.</del></p>	<p><u>its SPS maintenance and testing program more than 50 calendar days but less than or equal to 60 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.</u><del>Evidence SPS equipment was maintained and tested within the defined intervals was missing for more than 10% but less than or equal to 15% of the applicable devices.</del></p>	<p><u>of its SPS maintenance and testing program for more than 60 calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization and/or NERC.</u><del>Evidence SPS equipment was maintained and tested within the defined intervals was missing for more than 15% of the applicable devices.</del></p>	<p><u>Modified language for consistency between standards.</u></p>

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-018-1 Disturbance Monitoring Equipment Installation and Data Reporting					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
Original R1.	N/A	N/A	The responsible entity is not compliant in that the installation of DMEs does not include one of the elements in R1.1 and R1.2.	The responsible entity is not compliant in that the installation of DMEs does not include any of the elements in R1.1 and R1.2.	
Revised R1.	N/A	N/A	The installation of DMEs does not include one of the subrequirements in R1.1 and R1.2.	The installation of DMEs does not include any of the subrequirements in R1.1 and R1.2.	Changed “elements” to “subrequirements.” Rolled up subrequirements.
Original R1.1.	Less than or equal to 25% of DME devices did not comply with R1.1	Less than or equal to 37.5% but greater than 25% of DME devices did not comply with R1.1	Less than or equal to 50% but greater than 37.5% of DME devices did not comply with R1.1	Greater than 50% of DME devices did not did not comply with R1.1	
Revised R1.1.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R1.2.	Less than or equal to 12% of installed DME devices did not comply with R1.2	Less than or equal to 18% but greater than 12% of installed DME devices did not comply with R1.2	Less than or equal to 24% but greater than 18% of installed DME devices did not comply with R1.2	Greater than 24% of installed DME devices did not did not comply with R1.2	
Revised R1.2.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R2.	The responsible entity is non-compliant in that no more than 10% of the DME devices were not installed in accordance	The responsible entity is non-compliant in that more than 10% but less than or equal to 20% of the DME devices were not installed	The responsible entity is non-compliant in that more than 20% but less than or equal to 30% of the DME devices were not installed	The responsible entity is non-compliant in that more than 30% of the DME devices were not installed in accordance with its	

Standard Number PRC-018-1 Disturbance Monitoring Equipment Installation and Data Reporting					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
	with its Regional Reliability Organization's installation requirements as defined in PRC-002 Requirements 1 through 3.	in accordance with its Regional Reliability Organization's installation requirements as defined in PRC-002 Requirements 1 through 3.	in accordance with its Regional Reliability Organization's installation requirements as defined in PRC-002 Requirements 1 through 3.	Regional Reliability Organization's installation requirements as defined in PRC-002 Requirements 1 through 3.	
Revised R2.	The responsible entity failed to install <u>5% or less</u> <del>less than 5%</del> of the DME devices in accordance with its Regional Reliability Organization's installation requirements as defined in PRC-002 R1 through R3.	The responsible entity failed to install <u>more than 5% up to (and including) 10%</u> <del>more than or equal to 5% but less than 10%</del> of the DME devices in accordance with its Regional Reliability Organization's installation requirements as defined in PRC-002 R1 through R3.	The responsible entity failed to install <u>more than 10% up to (and including) 15%</u> <del>more than or equal to 10% but less than 15%</del> of the DME devices in accordance with its Regional Reliability Organization's installation requirements as defined in PRC-002 R1 through R3.	The responsible entity failed to install <u>more than 15%</u> <del>15% or more</del> of the DME devices in accordance with its Regional Reliability Organization's installation requirements as defined in PRC-002 R1 through R3.	Changed noncompliance intervals to 5%, 10%, 15%. Clarified language. <a href="#">Modified % language for consistency between standards.</a>
Original R3.	The responsible entity was not compliant in that evidence that it maintained data on the DMEs installed to meet that region's installation requirements was missing or not reported for one of the elements in Requirements 3.1 through 3.8.	The responsible entity was not compliant in that evidence that it maintained data on the DMEs installed to meet that region's installation requirements was missing or not reported for two or three of the elements in Requirements 3.1 through 3.8.	The responsible entity was not compliant in that evidence that it maintained data on the DMEs installed to meet that region's installation requirements was missing or not reported for four or five of the elements in Requirements 3.1 through 3.8.	The responsible entity was not compliant in that evidence that it maintained data on the DMEs installed to meet that region's installation requirements was missing or not reported for more than five of the elements in Requirements 3.1 through 3.8.	

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-018-1 Disturbance Monitoring Equipment Installation and Data Reporting					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
Revised R3.	Evidence that the responsible entity maintained data on the DMEs installed to meet that region's installation requirements was missing or not reported for one of the subrequirements in R3.1 through R3.8.	Evidence that the responsible entity maintained data on the DMEs installed to meet that region's installation requirements was missing or not reported for two of the subrequirements in R3.1 through R3.8.	Evidence that the responsible entity maintained data on the DMEs installed to meet that region's installation requirements was missing or not reported for three of the subrequirements in R3.1 through R3.8.	Evidence that the responsible entity maintained data on the DMEs installed to meet that region's installation requirements was missing or not reported for four or more of the subrequirements in R3.1 through R3.8.	Changed to one, two, three, or four of the subrequirements in R3.1 through R3.8. Changed "elements" to "subrequirements."
Original R3.1.	Less than or equal to 25% of the required data per R3.1 was not maintained or reported.	Less than or equal to 37.5% but greater than 25% of the required data per R3.1 was not maintained or reported.	Less than or equal to 50% but greater than 37.5% of the required data per R3.1 was not maintained or reported.	Greater than 50% of the required data per R3.1 was not maintained or reported.	
Revised R3.1.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R3. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R3.2.	Less than or equal to 25% of the required data per R3.2 was not maintained or reported.	Less than or equal to 37.5% but greater than 25% of the required data per R3.2 was not maintained or reported.	Less than or equal to 50% but greater than 37.5% of the required data per R3.2 was not maintained or reported.	Greater than 50% of the required data per R3.2 was not maintained or reported.	
Revised R3.2.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R3. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R3.3.	Less than or equal to 25% of the required data per	Less than or equal to 37.5% but greater than 25% of the	Less than or equal to 50% but greater than 37.5% of	Greater than 50% of the required data per R3.3 was	

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-018-1 Disturbance Monitoring Equipment Installation and Data Reporting					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
	R3.3 was not maintained or reported.	required data per R3.3 was not maintained or reported.	the required data per R3.3 was not maintained or reported.	not maintained or reported.	
Revised R3.3.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R3. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R3.4.	Less than or equal to 25% of the required data per R3.4 was not maintained or reported.	Less than or equal to 37.5% but greater than 25% of the required data per R3.4 was not maintained or reported.	Less than or equal to 50% but greater than 37.5% of the required data per R3.4 was not maintained or reported.	Greater than 50% of the required data per R3.4 was not maintained or reported.	
Revised R3.4.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R3. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R3.5.	Less than or equal to 25% of the required data per R3.5 was not maintained or reported.	Less than or equal to 37.5% but greater than 25% of the required data per R3.5 was not maintained or reported.	Less than or equal to 50% but greater than 37.5% of the required data per R3.5 was not maintained or reported.	Greater than 50% of the required data per R3.5 was not maintained or reported.	
Revised R3.5.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R3. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R3.6.	Less than or equal to 25% of the required data per R3.6 was not maintained or reported.	Less than or equal to 37.5% but greater than 25% of the required data per R3.6 was not maintained or reported.	Less than or equal to 50% but greater than 37.5% of the required data per R3.6 was not maintained or reported.	Greater than 50% of the required data per R3.6 was not maintained or reported.	

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-018-1 Disturbance Monitoring Equipment Installation and Data Reporting					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
Revised R3.6.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R3. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R3.7.	Less than or equal to 25% of the required data per R3.7 was not maintained or reported.	Less than or equal to 37.5% but greater than 25% of the required data per R3.7 was not maintained or reported.	Less than or equal to 50% but greater than 37.5% of the required data per R3.7 was not maintained or reported.	Greater than 50% of the required data per R3.7 was not maintained or reported.	
Revised R3.7.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R3. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R3.8.	Less than or equal to 25% of the required data per R3.8 was not maintained or reported.	Less than or equal to 37.5% but greater than 25% of the required data per R3.8 was not maintained or reported.	Less than or equal to 50% but greater than 37.5% of the required data per R3.8 was not maintained or reported.	Greater than 50% of the required data per R3.8 was not maintained or reported.	
Revised R3.8.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R3. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R4.	The responsible entity is not compliant in that it did not provide less than or equal to 10% of the disturbance data (recorded by DMEs) in accordance with its Regional Reliability Organization's requirements.	The responsible entity is not compliant in that it did not provide less than or equal to 20% but greater than 10% of the disturbance data (recorded by DMEs) in accordance with its Regional Reliability Organization's	The responsible entity is not compliant in that it did not provide less than or equal to 30% but greater than 20% of the disturbance data (recorded by DMEs) in accordance with its Regional Reliability Organization's	The responsible entity is not compliant in that it did not provide greater than 30% of the disturbance data (recorded by DMEs) in accordance with its Regional Reliability Organization's requirements.	

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-018-1 Disturbance Monitoring Equipment Installation and Data Reporting					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
		requirements.	requirements.		
Revised R4.	The responsible entity did not provide <del>5% or less</del> <del>less than or equal to 5%</del> of the disturbance data (recorded by DMEs) in accordance with its Regional Reliability Organization's requirements.	The responsible entity did not provide <del>more than 5%</del> <u>up to (and including) 10%</u> <del>less than or equal to 10%</del> but greater than 5% of the disturbance data (recorded by DMEs) in accordance with its Regional Reliability Organization's requirements.	The responsible entity did not provide <del>more than 10%</del> <u>up to (and including) 15%</u> <del>less than or equal to 15%</del> but greater than 10% of the disturbance data (recorded by DMEs) in accordance with its Regional Reliability Organization's requirements.	The responsible entity did not provide <del>greater</del> <del>more</del> than 15% of the disturbance data (recorded by DMEs) in accordance with its Regional Reliability Organization's requirements.	Changed noncompliance intervals to 5%, 10%, 15% per FERC. <a href="#">Modified % language for consistency between standards.</a>
Original R5.	The responsible entity is not compliant in that no more than 25% of the data recorded by DMEs for Regional Reliability Organization-identified events was not archived for at least three years.	The responsible entity is not compliant in that more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the data recorded by DMEs for Regional Reliability Organization-identified events was not archived for at least three years.	The responsible entity is not compliant in that more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the data recorded by DMEs for Regional Reliability Organization-identified events was not archived for at least three years.	The responsible entity is not compliant in that more than 75% of the data recorded by DMEs for Regional Reliability Organization-identified events was not archived for at least three years.	
Revised R5.	<del>5% or less</del> <u>5% or less</u> <del>No more than 5%</del> of the responsible entity's data recorded by DMEs for Regional Reliability Organization-identified events was not archived for at least three	<del>More than 5% up to (and including) 10%</del> <u>More than 5% up to (and including) 10%</u> <del>More than 5% but less than or equal to 10%</del> of the responsible entity's data recorded by DMEs for Regional Reliability Organization-	<del>More than 10% up to (and including) 15%</del> <u>More than 10% up to (and including) 15%</u> <del>More than 10% but less than or equal to 15%</del> of the responsible entity's data recorded by DMEs for Regional Reliability Organization-	More than 15% of the responsible entity's data recorded by DMEs for Regional Reliability Organization-identified events was not archived for at least three years.	Changed noncompliance intervals to 5%, 10%, 15%. <a href="#">Modified % language for consistency between standards.</a>

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-018-1 Disturbance Monitoring Equipment Installation and Data Reporting					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
	years.	identified events was not archived for at least three years.	identified events was not archived for at least three years.		
Original R6.	N/A	N/A	The responsible entity is not compliant in that the maintenance and testing program for DMEs does not include one of the elements in R6.1 and 6.2.	The responsible entity is not compliant in that the maintenance and testing program for DMEs does not include any of the elements in R6.1 and 6.2.	
Revised R6.	N/A	N/A	The responsible entity's maintenance and testing program for DMEs does not include one of the subrequirements in R6.1 and 6.2.	The responsible entity's maintenance and testing program for DMEs does not include any of the subrequirements in R6.1 and 6.2.	Changed "elements" to "subrequirements."
Original R6.1.	The responsible entity's DME maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that documentation of maintenance and testing intervals and their basis was missing for no more than 25% of the DME equipment.	The responsible entity's DME maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that documentation of maintenance and testing intervals and their basis was missing for more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the DME equipment.	The responsible entity's DME maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that documentation of maintenance and testing intervals and their basis was missing for more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the DME equipment.	The responsible entity's DME maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that documentation of maintenance and testing intervals and their basis was missing for more than 75% of the DME equipment.	
Revised R6.1.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R6. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-018-1 Disturbance Monitoring Equipment Installation and Data Reporting					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
Original R6.2.	The responsible entity's DME maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that the summary of maintenance and testing procedures documentation was missing for no more than 25% of the DME equipment.	The responsible entity's DME maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that the summary of maintenance and testing procedures documentation was missing for more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the DME equipment.	The responsible entity's DME maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that the summary of maintenance and testing procedures documentation was missing for more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the DME equipment.	The responsible entity's DME maintenance and testing program was non-compliant in that the summary of maintenance and testing procedures documentation was missing for more than 75% of the DME equipment.	
Revised R6.2.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R6. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-021-1 Under-Voltage Load Shedding Program Data					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
Original R1.	UVLS data was provided but did not address one of the elements in R1.1 through R1.5.	UVLS data was provided but did not address two of the elements in R1.1 through R1.5.	UVLS data was provided but did not address three of the elements in R1.1 through R1.5.	No annual UVLS data was provided OR UVLS data was provided but did not address four or more of the elements in R1.1 through R1.5.	
Revised R1.	UVLS data was provided but did not address one of the subrequirements in R1.1 through R1.5.	UVLS data was provided but did not address two of the subrequirements in R1.1 through R1.5.	UVLS data was provided but did not address three of the subrequirements in R1.1 through R1.5.	No annual UVLS data was provided.  OR  UVLS data was provided but did not address four or more of the subrequirements in R1.1 through R1.5.	Changes “elements” to “subrequirements.”  Revised Severe by adding an “OR” condition.
Original R1.1.	The responsible entity is non-compliant in the reporting of no more than 25% of the size or location of customer load, or percent of customer load to be interrupted.	The responsible entity is non-compliant in the reporting of more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the size or location of customer load, or percent of customer load to be interrupted.	The responsible entity is non-compliant in the reporting of more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the size or location of customer load, or percent of customer load to be interrupted.	The responsible entity is non-compliant in the reporting of more than 75% of the size or location of customer load, or percent of customer load to be interrupted.	
Revised R1.1.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1.  Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-021-1 Under-Voltage Load Shedding Program Data					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
Original R1.2.	The responsible entity is non-compliant in the reporting of no more than 25% of the corresponding voltage set points and overall scheme clearing times.	The responsible entity is non-compliant in the reporting of more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the corresponding voltage set points and overall scheme clearing times.	The responsible entity is non-compliant in the reporting of more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the corresponding voltage set points and overall scheme clearing times.	The responsible entity is non-compliant in the reporting of more than 75% of the corresponding voltage set points and overall scheme clearing times.	
Revised R1.2.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R1.3.	The responsible entity is non-compliant in the reporting of no more than 25% of the time delay from initiation to trip signal data.	The responsible entity is non-compliant in the reporting of more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the time delay from initiation to trip signal data.	The responsible entity is non-compliant in the reporting of more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the time delay from initiation to trip signal data.	The responsible entity is non-compliant in the reporting of more than 75% of the time delay from initiation to trip signal data.	
Revised R1.3.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R1.4.	The responsible entity is non-compliant in the reporting of no more than 25% of the breaker operating times.	The responsible entity is non-compliant in the reporting of more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of the breaker operating times.	The responsible entity is non-compliant in the reporting of more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of the breaker operating times.	The responsible entity is non-compliant in the reporting of more than 75% of the breaker operating times.	
Revised R1.4.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1. Changes made to eliminate

Standard Number PRC-021-1 Under-Voltage Load Shedding Program Data					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
					potential double jeopardy.
Original R1.5.	The responsible entity is non-compliant in the reporting of no more than 25% of any other schemes that are part of or impact the UVLS programs such as related generation protection, islanding schemes, automatic load restoration schemes, UFLS and Special Protection Systems.	The responsible entity is non-compliant in the reporting of more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of any other schemes that are part of or impact the UVLS programs such as related generation protection, islanding schemes, automatic load restoration schemes, UFLS and Special Protection Systems.	The responsible entity is non-compliant in the reporting of more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of any other schemes that are part of or impact the UVLS programs such as related generation protection, islanding schemes, automatic load restoration schemes, UFLS and Special Protection Systems.	The responsible entity is non-compliant in the reporting of more than 75% of any other schemes that are part of or impact the UVLS programs such as related generation protection, islanding schemes, automatic load restoration schemes, UFLS and Special Protection Systems.	
Revised R1.5.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R2.	The responsible entity updated its UVLS data more than 30 but less than or equal to 40 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization.	The responsible entity updated its UVLS data more than 40 but less than or equal to 50 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization.	The responsible entity updated its UVLS data more than 50 but less than or equal to 60 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization.	The responsible entity did not update its UVLS data for more than 60 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization.	
Revised R2.	The responsible entity updated its UVLS data more than 30 <u>calendar days</u> but less than or equal to 40 <u>calendar</u> days	The responsible entity updated its UVLS data more than 40 <u>calendar days</u> but less than or equal to 50 <u>calendar</u> days following a	The responsible entity updated its UVLS data more than 50 <u>calendar days</u> but less than or equal to 60 <u>calendar</u> days following a	The responsible entity did not update its UVLS data for more than 60 <u>calendar</u> days following a request from its Regional	No changes. <u>Modified language for consistency between standards.</u>

**Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards**

---

<b>Standard Number PRC-021-1 Under-Voltage Load Shedding Program Data</b>					
<b>R#</b>	<b>Lower VSL</b>	<b>Moderate VSL</b>	<b>High VSL</b>	<b>Severe VSL</b>	<b>Explanation of Change</b>
	following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization.	request from its Regional Reliability Organization.	request from its Regional Reliability Organization.	Reliability Organization.	

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-022-1 Under-Voltage Load Shedding Program Performance					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
Original R1.	The responsible entity failed to analyze and document no more than 25% of all UVLS operations and misoperations.	The responsible entity failed to analyze and document more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of all UVLS operations and misoperations or the overall analysis program did not address one of the elements in R1.1 through R1.5.	The responsible entity failed to analyze and document more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of all UVLS operations and misoperations or the overall analysis program did not address two or three of the elements in R1.1 through R1.5.	The responsible entity failed to analyze and document more than 75% of all UVLS operations and misoperations or the overall analysis program did not address four or more of the elements in R1.1 through R1.5.	
Revised R1.	The overall analysis program did not address one of the subrequirements in R1.1 through R1.5.	The overall analysis program did not address two of the subrequirements in R1.1 through R1.5.	The overall analysis program did not address three of the subrequirements in R1.1 through R1.5.	The responsible entity failed to analyze and document a UVLS operation and <del>misoperation</del> <u>Misoperation</u> .  OR  The overall analysis program did not address four or more of the subrequirements in R1.1 through R1.5.	Change to Severe binary for failing to analyze. Changed “Or” statements to one, two, three or four of the subrequirements. Changed “elements” to “subrequirements.” <u>Corrected capitalization of defined term.</u>
Original R1.1.	The responsible entity's analysis is missing a description of the event including initiating	The responsible entity's analysis is missing a description of the event including initiating	The responsible entity's analysis is missing a description of the event including initiating	The responsible entity's analysis is missing a description of the event including initiating	

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-022-1 Under-Voltage Load Shedding Program Performance					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
	conditions for no more than 25% of all UVLS operations and misoperations.	conditions for more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of all UVLS operations and misoperations.	conditions for more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of all UVLS operations and misoperations.	conditions for more than 75% of all UVLS operations and misoperations.	
Revised R1.1.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R1.2.	The responsible entity's analysis is missing a review of the UVLS set points and tripping times for no more than 25% of all UVLS operations and misoperations.	The responsible entity's analysis is missing a review of the UVLS set points and tripping times for more than 25% but less than 50% of all UVLS operations and misoperations.	The responsible entity's analysis is missing a review of the UVLS set points and tripping times for more than 50% but less than 75% of all UVLS operations and misoperations.	The responsible entity's analysis is missing a review of the UVLS set points and tripping times for more than 75% of all UVLS operations and misoperations.	
Revised R1.2.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R1.3.	The responsible entity's analysis is missing a simulation of the event, if deemed appropriate by the Regional Reliability Organization for no more than 25% of all UVLS operations and misoperations.	The responsible entity's analysis is missing a simulation of the event, if deemed appropriate by the Regional Reliability Organization for more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of all UVLS operations and misoperations.	The responsible entity's analysis is missing a simulation of the event, if deemed appropriate by the Regional Reliability Organization for more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of all UVLS operations and misoperations.	The responsible entity's analysis is missing a simulation of the event, if deemed appropriate by the Regional Reliability Organization for more than 75% of all UVLS operations and misoperations.	

Proposed Violation Severity Levels for the PRC Series of Standards

Standard Number PRC-022-1 Under-Voltage Load Shedding Program Performance					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
Revised R1.3.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R1.4.	The responsible entity's analysis is missing a summary of the findings for no more than 25% of all UVLS operations and misoperations.	The responsible entity's analysis is missing a summary of the findings for more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of all UVLS operations and misoperations.	The responsible entity's analysis is missing a summary of the findings for more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of all UVLS operations and misoperations.	The responsible entity's analysis is missing a summary of the findings for more than 75% of all UVLS operations and misoperations.	
Revised R1.4.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R1.5.	The responsible entity's analysis is missing a Corrective Action Plan to avoid future Misoperations of a similar nature for no more than 25% of all UVLS operations and misoperations.	The responsible entity's analysis is missing a Corrective Action Plan to avoid future Misoperations of a similar nature for more than 25% but less than or equal to 50% of all UVLS operations and misoperations.	The responsible entity's analysis is missing a Corrective Action Plan to avoid future Misoperations of a similar nature for more than 50% but less than or equal to 75% of all UVLS operations and misoperations.	The responsible entity's analysis is missing a Corrective Action Plan to avoid future Misoperations of a similar nature for more than 75% of all UVLS operations and misoperations.	
Revised R1.5.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolled up into R1. Changes made to eliminate potential double jeopardy.
Original R2.	The responsible entity provided documentation of the analysis of UVLS program performance	The responsible entity provided documentation of the analysis of UVLS program performance more	The responsible entity provided documentation of the analysis of UVLS program performance more	The responsible entity did not provide documentation of the analysis of UVLS program performance for	

Standard Number PRC-022-1 Under-Voltage Load Shedding Program Performance					
R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	Explanation of Change
	more than 90 but less than or equal to 120 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization.	than 120 but less than or equal to 150 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization.	than 150 but less than or equal to 180 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization.	more than 180 days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization.	
Revised R2.	The responsible entity provided documentation of the analysis of UVLS program performance more than <del>90</del> <u>120</u> calendar days but less than or equal to <del>105</del> <u>120</u> calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization.	The responsible entity provided documentation of the analysis of UVLS program performance more than <del>105</del> <u>120</u> calendar days but less than or equal to <del>129</del> <u>130</u> calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization.	The responsible entity provided documentation of the analysis of UVLS program performance more than <del>129</del> <u>130</u> calendar days but less than or equal to <del>145</del> <u>140</u> calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization.	The responsible entity did not provide documentation of the analysis of UVLS program performance for more than <del>145</del> <u>140</u> calendar days following a request from its Regional Reliability Organization.	Change the max range to <del>145</del> <u>140</u> calendar days. <a href="#">Modified language for consistency between standards.</a>