

S - SC Report 1

S	Purpose	Chair	Status	Explanation of Difference Between Plan and Estimate	Plan for Returning Project to Schedule or Expediting the Project	Top 3 Issues	Standards Involved
Priority: Urgent(15)							
Name: Project 2006-02: Assess Transmission Future Needs(1)							
Owner: Edward Dobrowolski(1)							
●	Requires assessments and plans to determine if the bulk power system meets specified performance requirements under varied theoretical operating conditions to meet present and future system needs.	John Odom, FRCC	TPL-001-2 has been posted for an informal comment period.	Project was on schedule until the initial ballot failed. It is currently on schedule for the revised project with a fifth posting period. However, the E-2 and E-9 FERC rulings of March 18, 2010 have a direct bearing on TPL-001-2 and resolution of those items may cause a project delay.	TPL-001-2 is out for a 5th posting and informal comment period. This posting attempts to resolve all comments from the failed ballot with the exception of footnote 'b' issues. On the footnote 'b' path, the SDT and NERC staff had a technical conference on the topic on August 10th in Charlotte. Another posting is anticipated shortly.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Non-Consequential Load Loss 2. P5 event description 3. Relay & Load Modeling 4. Spare Equipment Strategy 	TPL-001 through TPL-006
Name: Project 2006-06 Reliability Coordination(1)							
Owner: Stephen Crutchfield(1)							
●	To ensure that the reliability-related requirements applicable to the Reliability Coordinator are clear, measurable, unique and enforceable; and to ensure that this set of requirements is sufficient to maintain reliability of the Bulk Electric System.	Mike Hardy (SoCo)	The RCSDT is preparing documentation to request that the project proceed to ballot. We anticipate presenting this at the September SC meeting. IRO-003 was added to the project scope and a posting for comments ends September 3. The inclusion of IRO-003 under this project is not reflected in the project schedule. The team anticipates handling revisions to IRO-003 separately from the rest of the project.	The first draft of these standards was posted for industry comment in the third quarter of 2008 and the second draft was posted in the third quarter of 2009. This project began two months later than originally anticipated as NERC added staff coordinators and the drafting of the revised standards required more work and coordination with other projects than originally anticipated. The drafting team has also determined that a third comment period will be necessary for this set of standards.	The RCSDT plan request that the project be moved to ballot at the September 2010 SC meeting.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Definitions for Reliability Directive, Interpersonal Communications and the use of three part communications. 2. Coordination with OPCPSDT 	Com-001, COM-002, IRO-001, IRO-002, IRO-005, IRO-014, IRO-015, IRO-016; IRO-003 was recently added
Name: Project 2007-01 Underfrequency Load Shedding (1)							
Owner: Stephanie Monzon(1)							

S	Purpose	Chair	Status	Explanation of Difference Between Plan and Estimate	Plan for Returning Project to Schedule or Expediting the Project	Standard s	
					Top 3 Issues	Involved	
●	Project 2007-01 Underfrequency Load Shedding 3-2010 Rev 00 Imported from MS Project	Robert J. O'Keefe (AEP)	The SDT is considering comments from the second ballot and making conforming changes to the standards accordingly. The SDT will conduct an industry webinar Sept. 14, 2010 from 1-3pm eastern time prior to the third ballot.	No schedule difference		<p>- WECC variance is intended to be included in the next version of the standard. the SDT hopes that this will address the concern the western entities expressed in the previous ballots</p> <p>- GO applicability issue. Many commenters indicated that because there is a requirement for the PC to model any generation that trips in a non-conforming manner to the performance curves in PRC-023. Commenters think that this standard should include a requirement on the GO to provide this information to the PC; however, the SDT has deliberated on the issue and has responded to these comments indicating that this requirement exists in PRC-023 and including it in PRC-006 would lead to a potential double jeopardy issue. In addition, understanding the concern the team modified the implementation plan so that the PC is not obligated to comply with the modeling requirement until PRC-023 comes into effect.</p>	PRC-006-0, PRC-007-0, PRC-009-0 EOP-003
Name: Project 2007-02 Operating Personnel Communication Protocols(1)							
Owner: Harry Tom(1)							

S	Purpose	Chair	Status	Explanation of Difference Between Plan and Estimate	Plan for Returning Project to Schedule or Expediting the Project	Standard s	
	<p>Project 2007-02 Operating Personnel Communication Protocols</p> <p>Requires developing new requirements in support of blackout recommendation #26 to ensure that real-time system operators use standard communication protocols during normal and emergency operations.</p>	Lloyd Snyder	The recent set of VSLs assigned by the SDT were not acceptable and the SDT is working to reach agreement on a revised set.	The project is late for the following reasons: 1) Standards Committee pulled the draft standard from its Formal Comment period due to SC objections to the content of a Requirement for three part communication. 2) Differences of opinion over the inclusion of Alert Level Guidelines in this standard	The SDT may request deviation from RSDP to permit concurrent Comment and Ballot period.	<p>Top 3 Issues</p> <p>1. Recent NERC Advisory on the use of three-part communications for ""directives"" has sparked industry interest and controversy. COM-003 will need to address these issues as a result. 2. Specifying Central Standard Time as ""official"" time for communications on a continent-wide basis. 3. Use of NATO alphabet may prove difficult to gain industry acceptance.</p>	COM-003 -1
Name: Project 2007-03: Real-time Operations(1)							
Owner: Edward Dobrowolski(1)							
	<p>Requires upgrading and expanding existing requirements that address balancing authority responsibilities to ensure a balance between load, interchange and generation within its balancing authority area in support of interconnection frequency. Requires upgrading and expanding existing requirements that address transmission operator responsibilities to ensure the real-time operating reliability of the transmission assets within the transmission operator's area.</p>	James S. Case (Entergy)	The project is posted for the 4th time.	This project was on schedule until the December 2009 meetings with FERC staff. As a result of those meetings, a 4th posting was deemed necessary and the original schedule was revised to reflect same. The project is currently on schedule against that revision.		<p>1. Elimination of how type, good utility practice, & certification requirements. 2. Dependency on IRO & RC Projects. 3. Controversy over SOL/IROL approach. 4. Validity of data specification approach.</p>	PER-001 & TOP-001 through -008
Name: Project 2007-07 Vegetation Managment(1)							
Owner: Harry Tom(1)							

S	Purpose	Chair	Status	Explanation of Difference Between Plan and Estimate	Plan for Returning Project to Schedule or Expediting the Project	Top 3 Issues	Standards Involved
	Requires upgrading the existing requirements for entities to implement a vegetation management program to prevent transmission outages that adversely impact the reliability of the bulk electric system.	Richard Dearman (TVA)	NERC staff provided a list of key issues to the SDT to address during August 17-19, 2010 meeting. Legal and Compliance staff members attended to assist. A Draft 5b is currently under review by the SDT and NERC staff. Pending concurrence the next posting for a 30 day Formal Comment and Ballot is expected in September 2010.	Delay due to disagreement over VSL assignments for R1/R2 and technical content in the draft that could be viewed as weakening the standard. The issues of Active Transmission Line Right of Way, Critical Clearance Zone, Gallet and compliance elements are undergoing debate and resolution.	A proposed Draft 5b of FAC-003-2 is currently under review by the SDT and NERC staff. Coordinator will persuade the SDT to move forward with Draft 5b during conference call planned on Sept 2, 2010 then work on conforming the Consideration of Comments, Initial Ballot Comments documents in preparation for another ballot attempt in mid September.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Active Transmission Line ROW may be viewed as weakening of standard Critical clearance zone needs to be emphasized in version 2 VSLs for R1/R2 may be viewed as weakening of standard 	FAC-003-1 Transmission Vegetation Management
Name: Project 2007-09 Generator Verification(1)							
Owner: Harry Tom(1)							
	Project 2007-09 Generator Verification Requires upgrading existing requirements for generators to verify their capabilities to ensure that accurate data is used in model to asses the bulk electric system.	Lee Taylor Ken Stenroos Dave Kral	MOD-24-1 and MOD-025-1 are being combined into a single standard. PRC-019 is expected to be ready for NERC staff review following August 29-Sep 1, 2010 meeting at WECC. PRC-024 is under review to address FERC opinion that the standard must be more performance oriented. MOD-025 and MOD-026 are expected to be ready for NERC staff review following August 29-Sep 1, 2010 meeting at WECC.	The primary reason for the schedule difference for PRC-024-1 is commentary from FERC staff that the standard be more performance oriented. The SDT completely overhauled the initial draft to accommodate the feedback. The MOD-024, Mod-025, MOD-026 and MOD-027 standards have undergone major restructuring based upon NERC comments received during the initial comment period. MOD-024 will be combined with MOD-025 and the requirements have been revised to be simpler to understand and to enforce. This effort took many months to complete.	The SDT may request a deviation from RSDP to utilize informal comment periods. The SDT is not convinced that requesting a concurrent Comment and Ballot Period is desirable per comments made by the 2 SDT members who are also on the SC.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicability issue regarding sub 100kV connected generators and whether to include synchronous condensers among the facilities that must comply with MOD-025. Based on prompting from FERC staff, PRC-024 is being modified to be more generator performance oriented. Alleged duplication of requirements between MOD-010/MOD-012 and MOD-025. 	MOD-024-1 MOD-025-1 MOD-026-1 MOD-027-1 PRC-019-1 PRC-024-1
Name: Project 2007-12 Frequency Response(1)							
Owner: Darrel Richardson(1)							

S	Purpose	Chair	Status	Explanation of Difference Between Plan and Estimate	Plan for Returning Project to Schedule or Expediting the Project	Top 3 Issues	Standards Involved
	Project 2007-12 Frequency Response - 02Jun2010 Imported from MS Project	Bill Herbsleb (PJM)	The SDT is reviewing the following documents prior to an informal posting. 1) BAL-003-2 draft Standard (excluding VRFs and VSLs); 2) BAL-003-2 background document; 3) Bal-003-2 Field Test request; 4) BAL-003-2 criteria used to select events. The SDT plans on sending these documents for NERC Staff review and posting for an informal comment period by September 8, 2010.	The BAL-003-2 standard was initially planned on being a "data collection" standard. This was changed by NERC management in July 2009. The standard development was put on hold when the NERC Frequency Initiative was announced due to the anticipated development and issuance of a data collection request being developed by NERCs Frequency Initiative Group. The project was revitalized when the FERC issued an Order on March 18, 2010 setting a completion date. This date has been modified and is presently up in the air.	The FERC has set a date of September 23, 2010 to hold a Technical Conference on Frequency Response. The SDT is preparing a new project schedule (which includes a Field Test) to forward to NERC Staff for review and ultimately to FERC (within 30 days of the conference).	1) The development of a methodology for calculating a Balancing Authorities Frequency Response Obligation. 2) Modifying the 1% Frequency Bias floor (lessening) to bring Frequency Response and Frequency Bias closer together,	BAL-003-1 Frequency Response and Frequency Bias
Name: Project 2007-17 Protection System Maintenance and Testing(1)							
Owner: Al McMeekin(1)							

S	Purpose	Chair	Status	Explanation of Difference Between Plan and Estimate	Plan for Returning Project to Schedule or Expediting the Project	Standard	
					Top 3 Issues	s Involved	
●	Transmission and Generation Protection System Maintenance and Testing, to consolidate PRC-005-1, PRC-008-0 — Underfrequency Load Shedding Equipment Maintenance Programs; PRC-011-0 — UVLS System Maintenance and Testing; and PRC-017-0 — Special Protection System Maintenance and Testing into a single maintenance and testing standard. Standards PRC-008-0, PRC-011-0, and PRC-017-0 would then be withdrawn.	Charles W. Rogers, Consumers Energy	The Team met August 24 -26, 2010 in Novi, MI @ ITC. The team responded to industry comments from the concurrent formal comment and ballot period (June 11, 2010–July 17, 2010), and from the recirculation ballot of the revised definition of Protection System (July 23, 2010–August 2, 2010). The Chair will work with the NERC Coordinator to finish development of the VRFs and their justifications. Documents for the definition of Protection System will be prepared and presented to NERC staff the week of August 30, 2010 for a 15 day ballot. Documents for the standard will be prepared and presented to NERC staff the week of September 6, 2010 for 30 day concurrent comment and ballot posting.	The Standards Committee approved expediting the timeline of this project in hopes of presenting the industry approved standard to the BOT for board action at its August meeting. To accomplish this, the SC set the following schedule: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •SC approves motion to expedite completion of projects (June 10, 2010) •Conduct 36-day formal comment period concurrent with ballot •Formal Comment period (June 11, 2010–July 16, 2010) •Assemble Ballot Pool (June 11, 2010–July 2, 2010) •Conduct 10-day Ballot (July 7–16, 2010) •Teams Respond to Comments (July 16–23, 2010) •Permit modifications to requirements to improve consensus and address comments. •Conduct 10-day final ballot (July 23, 2010–August 2, 2010) •Present to board for action (August 5, 2010) 	The Team met August 24 -26, 2010 in Novi, MI @ ITC. The team responded to industry comments from the concurrent formal comment and ballot period (June 11, 2010–July 17, 2010), and from the recirculation ballot of the revised definition of Protection System (July 23, 2010–August 2, 2010). The Chair will work with the NERC Coordinator to finish development of the VRFs and their justifications. Documents for the definition of Protection System will be prepared and presented to NERC staff the week of August 30, 2010 for a 15 day ballot. Documents for the standard will be prepared and presented to NERC staff the week of September 6, 2010 for 30 day concurrent comment and ballot posting.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. FERC Order 693 directive to establish maximum allowable intervals. 2. NERC staff positions on issues emerging from Project 2009-10. 3. Being charged with a violation if late on maintenance due to a natural disaster. 	PRC-005-1 — Transmission and Generation Protection System Maintenance and Testing PRC-008-0 — Underfrequency Load Shedding Equipment Maintenance Programs PRC-011-0 — UVLS System Maintenance and Testing PRC-017-0 — Special Protection System Maintenance and Testing

Name: Project 2008-01 Voltage and Reactive Planning and Control(1)

Owner: Stephen Crutchfield(1)

●	Revise the VAR Standards to require that appropriate functional entities develop and coordinate voltage and reactive planning and operating criteria to ensure that there are sufficient reactive resources, and voltage and reactive margins, to manage the risk of voltage instability.	John Simpson - Chair Bill Harm - Vice Chair	The team chair and vice chair met with the NERC coordinator August 19-20 to develop a project work plan. Revised requirements will be developed during the first drafting team meeting which is scheduled for September 21-23. this will be done as part of the results based standards training.	This project has completed the SAR development phase. Based on the complexities of the whitepaper, a third standard comment period was added to the project schedule as well as longer development times for the first and second drafts of the standards.	TBD	VAR-001, VAR-002
---	---	--	---	--	-----	------------------

Name: Project 2008-06 Cyber Security Order 706 (1)

Owner: Howard Gugel(1)

S	Purpose	Chair	Status	Explanation of Difference Between Plan and Estimate	Plan for Returning Project to Schedule or Expediting the Project	Standard s	Top 3 Issues	Involved
●	The second phase (Phase 2) of Project 2008-06 Cyber Security Order 706 will require the SDT to propose modifications not included in Phase 1 of the project to bring the following standards into conformance with the ERO Rules of Procedure and to address the directives from FERC Order 706: CIP-002-2 Critical Cyber Asset Identification CIP-003-2 Security Management Controls CIP-004-2 Personnel & Training CIP-005-2 Electronic Security Perimeter(s) CIP-006-2 Physical Security of Critical Cyber Assets CIP-007-2 Systems Security Management CIP-008-2 Incident Reporting and Response Planning CIP-009-2 Recovery Plans for Critical Cyber Assets	John Lim (Consolidated Edison Co. of New York) Philip Huff (Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corporation)	SDT continues to review and evaluate its schedule for completing modifications to CIP-002 by year's end and the project by the end of 2011. The Phase 2 work represents a significant shift in industry approach and strategy for cyber security. Review of industry informal comments on the initial draft of the new CIP-002-4 has been completed, and the SDT prepared new, revised standards based on the inputs received. The new, revised standards have been renumbered to indicate the significance of the departure from the previously approved version (CIP-002-3 through CIP-009-3). The numbering for the new standards is CIP-010-1 and CIP-011-1. The new standards were posted for informal industry comment as a package in early May 2010. Technical Workshop were held May 19-20, 2010 to provide the SDT with an opportunity to explain the drafts of the new standards and to gain initial industry input on the drafts. Based on informal comments and workshop feedback, the team needs to continue working on CIP-011. In order to address concerns about gaps in coverage, the team is developing CIP-002-4 to replace risk-based methodology with bright-line criteria. The plan is to have industry approval and filed with FERC by end of 2010. In order to	N/A	N/A		1. The team is large (24 members) and observer participation is significant (10-20 at any given meeting). New members with planning and operations experience are being added to the team to provide electric system expertise. 2. Finalizing a process to categorize the BES Cyber Systems to provide appropriate and effective levels of cyber security controls and protection. 3. Developing a CIP-002-4 that industry will accept and meets external expectations. Also some members feel that CIP-002-4 is not enough, even as a preliminary measure.	CIP-002-2 Critical Cyber Asset Identification CIP-003-2 Security Management Controls CIP-004-2 Personnel & Training CIP-005-2 Electronic Security Perimeter(s) CIP-006-2 Physical Security of Critical Cyber Assets CIP-007-2 Systems Security Management CIP-008-2 Incident Reporting and Response Planning CIP-009-2 Recovery Plans for Critical Cyber Assets

S	Purpose	Chair	Status	Explanation of Difference Between Plan and Estimate	Plan for Returning Project to Schedule or Expediting the Project	Top 3 Issues	Standards Involved
			accomplish this, a data request has been initiated by NERC to assist the SDT in determining the levels for "bright line" criteria. The new CIP-002-4 will be finalized at the September meeting and posted for industry comment and ballot in September.				
Name: Project 2009-01 Disturbance and Sabotage Reporting(1)							
Owner: Stephen Crutchfield(1)							
●	Project 2009-01 Disturbance and Sabotage Reporting Imported from MS Project	Robert D. Canada (SoCo) Brian Evans-Morgain (Util. Svcs.)	The team met August 4-5 and continued to develop requirements and develop details around impact events. The team continued to address interactions between our project and the NERC Events Analysis Working Group. Draft documents were submitted for quality review and the team is addressing comments received. The draft standard will be posted for 30 days in September.	Comments received on the SAR indicate a potential need to develop technology tools to facilitate reporting to all appropriate entities. A third standard comment period was added to the project schedule as well as longer development times for the first and second drafts of the standards.		1. Funding for technology solutions for reporting. 2. Coordination with NERC EAWG	EOP-004, CIP-001 and CIP-008
Name: Project 2009-02: Real-time Reliability Monitoring and Analysis Capabilities(1)							
Owner: Edward Dobrowolski(1)							
●	The new standard or standards will establish requirements for the functionality, performance, and management of Real-time tools for Reliability Coordinators, Transmission Operators, and Balancing Authorities for use by their System Operators in support of reliable System operations.	Sam Brattini, KEMA Charles Abell, Ameren	The SC has removed the hold on this project and it is in the process of re-starting. .	The SC has turned this project back on but it is waiting on the scheduling of a Leadership Meeting to get it officially started.	N/A	1. Balancing between specific tools and generic capabilities. 2. Coming up with realistic and acceptable performance metrics. 3. Avoiding conflict with certification process.	New
Name: Project 2010-10 FAC Order 729(1)							
Owner: No Value(1)							

S	Purpose	Chair	Status	Explanation of Difference Between Plan and Estimate	Plan for Returning Project to Schedule or Expediting the Project	Top 3 Issues	Standards Involved
●	Project 2010-10 FAC Order 729 - Modify FAC-013-1 to address Order 729 directives	Robert Peirce (Duke)	NERC Staff has reviewed the documents for posting and initial ballot, provided comments and returned them to the Team for their evaluation.				FAC-012-1 Transfer Capability Methodology FAC-013-1 Establish and Communicate Transfer Capabilities
Name: Project 2010-12 693 Directives(1)							
Owner: Andy Rodriguez(1)							
●	This project is intended to develop rapid responses to non-controversial directives using an expedited development process.	Ben Li	Balloting concluded. 6 standards related to 11 directives approved.				Several
Priority: Normal(9)							
Name: Project 2006-04: Backup Facilities(1)							
Owner: Edward Dobrowolski(1)							
●	Requires upgrading and expanding existing requirements to ensure entities have sufficient back-up capabilities to support continued reliable operations.	Sam Brattini, KEMA	The project received BOT approval and is now ready for filing. .	The project was on its original schedule until it was remanded back to the SDT by the Standards Committee despite a successful initial ballot. It is now on schedule for the revised schedule submitted following that remand.	The project is ready to be filed by Legal.	1. Length of time to get backup up and running. 2. Length of time to re-establish backup capability. 3. Need for GOP to have backup facility.	EOP-001 & EOP-008
Name: Project 2006-08 Transmission Loading Relief(1)							
Owner: Andy Rodriguez(1)							

S	Purpose	Chair	Status	Explanation of Difference Between Plan and Estimate	Plan for Returning Project to Schedule or Expediting the Project	Top 3 Issues	Standards Involved
	<p>Ensures that critical transmission system limits are relieved within 30 minutes. The TLR project has been divided into three phases:</p> <p>Phase 1 — A coordinated effort with NAESB to clarify and refine the steps in the Transmission Loading Relief Procedure for the Eastern Interconnection to reaffirm the steps needed to support reliability and the steps needed to support the business practice.</p> <p>Phase 2 — A second set of modifications to the standard involves further consideration of a change to the market flow calculation specified in PJM/MISO and SPP regional differences E.1 and E.2 in Standard IRO-006-03 to address a reliability issue when MISO, PJM and SPP are unable to meet their relief obligations during TLR.</p> <p>Phase 3 — A third set of modifications to include the changes needed to elevate the overall quality of the standard and to address the additional technical issues that have been posed with this standard by stakeholders and FERC.</p>	Ben Li (Ben Li Assoc.) Jim Busbin (SoCo)	<p>Phase I has been accepted by the Commission and a Rulemaking issued.</p> <p>Phase II has been completed. As the only changes being developed in response to the Field Trial are modifications to NAESB standards, no further action is required.</p> <p>Phase III is posted for recirculation ballot.</p>	This project has been delayed due to resource constraints.	Project is in final balloting.		IRO-006
Name: Project 2007-05 Balancing Authority Controls(1)							
Owner: Edward Dobrowolski(1)							

S	Purpose	Chair	Status	Explanation of Difference Between Plan and Estimate	Plan for Returning Project to Schedule or Expediting the Project	Top 3 Issues	Standards Involved
●	Project merged with RBCSDT.	Larry Akens (TVA)	The Balancing Authority Controls project has been combined with Project 2007-18 Reliability Based Controls project. A new project schedule for the combined teams will be developed by the new coordinator as part of Project 2010-14 Balancing Authority Reliability-based Control.				BAL-002 BAL-004 BAL-005 BAL-006
Name: Project 2007-06 System Protection Coordination(1)							
Owner: Al McMeekin(1)							

S	Purpose	Chair	Status	Explanation of Difference Between Plan and Estimate	Plan for Returning Project to Schedule or Expediting the Project	Standard s
					Top 3 Issues	Involved
	Requires upgrading and expanding the existing requirements to identify criteria for determining where to install protection system devices and for requiring the installation of those devices to protect the reliability of the bulk electric system. Study to identify criteria for where to install protection systems has not been done. The standard is being revised minus this criteria	Philip Winston, Georgia Power Company	The team is in the process of revising the documents per NERC staff's review and recommendations for improvement. Phil Winston and Al McMeekin had a conference call with FERC staff on Friday, August 20, 2010 to discuss the team's progress and direction and obtain FERC staff's opinions on both. The meeting was productive and Phil and I will follow up with the team.	Work on this project is being done as time permits. It is currently not a high priority project.		PRC-001-1
<p>Name: Project 2007-11 Disturbance Monitoring (1)</p> <p>Owner: Stephanie Monzon(1)</p>						

S	Purpose	Chair	Status	Explanation of Difference Between Plan and Estimate	Plan for Returning Project to Schedule or Expediting the Project	Standard s	
					Top 3 Issues	Involved	
●	Project 2007-11 DME 2010-03 Rev 00 Imported from MS Project	Mr. Jeff Pond	Drafting second version of the standard Responding to comments from first posting Conducting a technical analysis to determine correct technical thresholds for the next posting	The team was challenged to come up with technical justification for the requirements in the standard.	The team assembled a task team that is collecting data (voluntary) and performing a correlation analysis.	PRC-018, PRC-002	
Name: Project 2007-18 Reliability Based Control Project Schedule(1)							
Owner: Edward Dobrowolski(1)							
●	This project includes expanding on the work already done in developing the draft BAL-007 through BAL-011 by adding requirements to address the SAR.	Doug Hils - Chair	The Reliability-based Control project (2007-18) has been combined with the Balancing Authority Controls project (2007-05) into the Balancing Authority Reliability-based Control Project (2010-14).	This project began three months later than anticipated. The drafting team posted a "Proposed Metrics" document for an industry comment period in the third quarter of 2008. The comment period was to inform and gain industry comments on proposed metrics for the purpose statements of the SAR. The drafting team also needed additional statistical analysis performed relating to frequency excursions. The Field Trial in the WECC began March 1, 2010. This will be in progress for one year, which pushed the project completion date to July 2012.	The team is working towards a transmission based ACE limit requirement which will address purpose statements B and D of the SAR. If the concept is agreed to by stakeholders, the team will develop requirements and post for formal comment and possible inclusion in the field trial. The RBC SDT posted a whitepaper for comment in June and will address comments at the July meeting as well as begin to draft requirements.	1. Building stakeholder consensus. 2. Revising the field trial to accommodate the transmission based ACE limit methodology requirements 3. Determining course of action with respect to short duration frequency excursions (purpose statement C).	Draft BAL-007 through BAL-011
Name: Project 2007-23 Violation Severity Levels(1)							
Owner: Howard Gugel(1)							

S	Purpose	Chair	Status	Explanation of Difference Between Plan and Estimate	Plan for Returning Project to Schedule or Expediting the Project	Top 3 Issues	Standards Involved
●	The project team has been called back to modify the VSLs based on the June 19 FERC Order on the VSLs. There is substantial work to be done in order to apply the Guidelines the FERC added for assigning VSLs. Also there is an issue on the VSL for so called binary requirements (ones that are pass or fail) that should be consistently classified as Severe and if not provide good support as to why. The team is proposing a change to the penalty table for binary requirements.		NERC staff proposed VSLs and a supplemental SAR have been posted for comment through Sept 16. The project coordinator will be meeting with some VSL volunteers for a few days after the close of the comment period to respond to comments and make conforming changes based on the comments. They then plan to bring this to the Standards Committee Executive Committee around Sept 20 with a request to post for pre-ballot review.				Multiple standards that have not have VSLs filed with the commission
Name: Project 2008-12 Coordinate Interchange Standards(1)							
Owner: Andy Rodriquez(1)							
■	Revise the set of Coordinate Interchange standards to ensure that each requirement is assigned to an owner, operator or user of the bulk power system, and not to a tool used to coordinate interchange; to address the Interchange Subcommittee's concerns related to the Dynamic Transfers and Pseudo-ties, to address previously identified stakeholder comments and applicable directives from Order 693; and to bring the set of Coordinate Interchange standards into conformance with the latest versions of the Reliability Standards Development Procedure, ERO Sanctions Guidelines and Uniform Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program.	Joe Gardner (MISO)	The team is ready to post for 45-day comment.	Posting 1 took longer than anticipated, and preparation of Posting 2 took longer than anticipated. Resource constraints have delayed posting of documents due to SPM loading. Resource conflicts with other projects of higher priority have impacted schedule.	Project is not urgent/high priority, so it will continue to use current approved process without deviation. No deviations requested at this time.	The SDT plans to move 693 directives related to TOP/RC approval from INT-006 (where FERC suggested them) to the IRO and TOP standards. SARs have been drafted to reassign work, but SPM has concerns to be resolved before allowing SAR to be submitted. The SDT has created a new standard in response to the FERC directive related to tagging of internal PTP transmission. It is uncertain how the industry will respond.	INT-001 through INT-010 New INT-011 and -012
Name: Project 2009-03 Emergency Operations Planning(1)							

S	Purpose	Chair	Status	Explanation of Difference Between Plan and Estimate	Plan for Returning Project to Schedule or Expediting the Project	Top 3 Issues	Standards Involved
Owner: Al McMeekin(1)							
●	EOP-001-0, EOP-002-2, and EOP-003-1 were Version 0 standards with minimal updates. They each have requirements with applicabilities that are inconsistent with the functional model, as well as various words or elements that need clarification. IRO-001-1 has requirements with applicability and clarity issues that must be addressed and some requirements they may be moved to the new EOP standard(s).	Robert Staton, Xcel Energy	<p>Scheduling the SAR DT Leadership meeting to be held in Princeton this summer. Meeting is scheduled for August 11, 2010.</p> <p>The SAR DT Leadership meeting was held in Princeton on August 11, 2010. The Chair, Vice chair, and members of NERC and FERC staff participated. A ReadyTalk web/conference call is scheduled for August 31 for the entire SDT.</p>				EOP-001-0 — Emergency Operations Planning EOP-002-2 — Capacity and Energy Emergencies EOP-003-1 — Load Shedding Plans IRO-001-1 — Reliability Coordination — Responsibilities and Authorities