



Compliance Audit Report Public Version

**City of Banning (CYBA)
NCR05070
March 03, 2009**

**Confidential Information (including Privileged
and Critical Energy Infrastructure Information)
Has Been Removed**

Audit Overview

The WECC Compliance Department conducted an off-site compliance audit of the City of Banning (CYBA) on March 03, 2009. The off-site audit was conducted at the WECC Compliance Department offices at 615 Arapeen Drive, Suite 210, Salt Lake City, Utah.

CYBA personnel were notified at the start of the off-site audit and kept informed as the audit progressed. CYBA had personnel available during the audit and at the closing presentation on the telephone.

At the time of the off-site audit, CYBA was registered for the following functions: Purchase-Selling Entity (PSE) and Resource Planner (RP).

The following functions were audited: Purchase-Selling Entity (PSE) and Resource Planner (RP).

CYBA is registered only as a PSE and RP.

The audit team used the Reliability Standard Audit Worksheets (RSAWs) during the compliance evaluation of each reliability standard.

Confidentiality and Conflict of Interest

Confidentiality agreements, executed by the WECC independent contractors (consultants) and code of conduct documentation for any NERC representatives and WECC compliance staff, were available to CYBA in advance of the off-site audit, if requested. Work history and conflict of interest forms submitted by each audit team member were also provided. CYBA was given an opportunity to object to an audit team member on the basis of a possible conflict of interest or the existence of other circumstances that could interfere with that audit team member's impartial performance of duties. CYBA accepted the audit team member participants with no objections.

Off-site Audit

CYBA was officially notified of the off-site audit with a 60-day Notice of Compliance Audit letter. Accompanying this notification were attachments relating to the audit as listed below:

- Notice of Off-site Audit
- Compliance Monitoring Authority Letter
- Audit team bios
- Pre-audit Survey
- Certification sheet
- 2009 QRSAWS

CYBA was notified in the Pre-Audit letter that personnel (subject matter experts representing all the registered functions) would need to be available to answer questions (interviews) the audit team might have regarding the documentation.

CYBA was also informed that the off-site compliance audit would be conducted consistent with the following WECC Regional and NERC documents:

- WECC Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program
- NERC 2009 Reliability Standard Audit Worksheets (QRSAWS)

CYBA was asked to submit the completed Pre-audit Survey and other requested documents back to the WECC Compliance Department.

A pre-audit conference call was held by WECC compliance staff with all the Registered Entities scheduled for an off-site audit.

Professional judgment was used by the audit team during the off-site audit. The audit team leader may have requested interviews with employees representing subject matter expertise regarding the registered functions being audited. These interviews in conjunction with the evidence, gave the audit team a factual basis for determining compliance with the NERC reliability standards.

Reference – Generally accepted government auditing standard 3.31 – Auditors must use professional judgment in planning and performing audits and attestation engagements and in reporting the results.

Reference – Generally accepted government auditing standard 3.39 – While this standard places responsibility on each auditor and audit organization to exercise professional judgment in planning and performing an audit or attestation engagement, it does not imply unlimited responsibility, nor does it imply infallibility on the part of either the individual auditor or the audit organization. Absolute assurance is not attainable because of the nature of evidence and the characteristics of fraud. Professional judgment does not mean eliminating all possible limitations or weaknesses associated with a specific audit, but rather identifying, considering, minimizing, mitigating, and explaining them.

Methodology

Methodology: the auditing of reliability standards and best practices followed by compliance auditors in carrying out their work. The methodology is objective, measurable, complete and relevant to the audit objectives. The auditor identifies potential sources of audit evidence and considers the amount and type of evidence needed given the risk and significance when defining the audit methodology.

Audit Specifics

Audit Team

Audit Team Role	Title	Company
Lead	Sr. Compliance Engineer	WECC
Member	Compliance Consultant	WECC

Registered Entity Audit Participants (Attending/Interviewed/Listening)

Title	Audited Organization
Power Resource & Revenue Administrator	CYBA

Preliminary Findings

The preliminary findings of the audit team at the off-site audit were presented to CYBA at the closing presentation. These findings are indicated in Table A below. The “Finding” column contains one of the following: Compliant, Possible Violation (PV), New Possible Violation (NPV), Not Applicable (NA), Not Audited, Outstanding Alleged Violation (OV), Retraction Recommended (Retract), Self-reported Violation (Self-Report), or other appropriate description.

Table A

Reliability Standard	Requirement	Finding
IRO-001-1	R1.	Not Audited
	R2.	N/A
	R3.	N/A
	R4.	Not Audited
	R5.	Not Audited
	R6.	Not Audited
	R7.	N/A
	R8.	Compliant
	R9.	N/A
IRO-005-1	R1.	N/A
	R2.	N/A
	R3.	N/A
	R4.	N/A
	R5.	N/A
	R6.	N/A
	R7.	N/A
	R8.	N/A
	R9.	N/A
	R10.	N/A

Confidential Information (including Privileged and
Critical Energy Infrastructure Information) Has Been Removed

Reliability Standard	Requirement	Finding
IRO-005-1	R11.	N/A
	R12.	N/A
	R13.	Compliant
	R14.	N/A
	R15.	N/A
	R16.	N/A
	R17.	N/A
VAR-001-1	R1.	N/A
	R2.	N/A
	R3.	N/A
	R4.	N/A
	R5.	Compliant
	R6.	N/A
	R7.	N/A
	R8.	N/A
	R9.	N/A
	R10.	N/A
	R11.	N/A
	R12.	N/A