



Compliance Audit Report Public Version

**Confidential Information (including
Privileged and Critical Energy Infrastructure
Information) – Has Been Removed**

**Magnolia Energy, LP (MELP)
NCR01268
May 19-21, 2009**

August 4, 2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	3
Audit Process	3
<i>Objectives</i>	3
<i>Scope</i>	4
<i>Confidentiality and Conflict of Interest</i>	4
<i>On-site Audit</i>	4
<i>Methodology</i>	5
<i>Audit Overview</i>	5
<i>Audit</i>	5
<i>Exit Briefing</i>	6
<i>Company Profile</i>	6
<i>Audit Specifics</i>	6
Audit Results	7
<i>Findings</i>	8
<i>Compliance Culture</i>	17

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This final compliance audit report is the public version. Confidential information (including privileged and critical energy infrastructure information) has been redacted from this report. The full final compliance audit report was submitted to the audited entity and NERC.

Magnolia Energy, LP (MELP) was audited on May 19-21, 2009 for compliance with the requirements contained in the currently mandatory and enforceable reliability standards in the 2008 NERC Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program (CMEP) that are applicable to MELP's registered functions. MELP is registered with SERC Reliability Corporation (SERC) as a Generator Owner (GO). Seven standards were selected and identified to MELP as subject to review during this audit. The audit focused on documents and other evidence provided to SERC by the staff of MELP, and did not include any evidence obtained through system observation or inspection. The findings of the audit are based on the state of compliance and current mitigation activity at the time of the audit, and do not reflect past compliance activities or activities that will be completed in the future.

MELP staff was requested to provide valid evidence of meeting each and every applicable requirement and sub-requirement contained in each standard that had been previously identified by SERC Compliance staff to MELP as subject to this audit. MELP staff responded by providing evidence in the form of reports, procedures, studies, and other documents. MELP staff then cited specific portions of the evidence that demonstrated compliance. This evidence and the citations were documented and evaluated by the audit team to assess the level of compliance. If all of the requirements and sub-requirements of an audited standard were met, then MELP was judged to be compliant. Likewise, if any of the requirements or sub-requirements were not fully met, then MELP was judged to have a possible violation of the standard. A score of 100% is required for compliance.

The audit team found MELP to be in compliance with all of the NERC Reliability Standards in the audit scope.

The audit team determined that MELP does not own or operate Special Protection Systems and therefore, one of the seven standards applicable to MELP was not applicable. This standard is PRC -017.

AUDIT PROCESS

The compliance audit process steps are detailed in the NERC CMEP. The NERC CMEP generally conforms to the United States Government Accountability Office Government Auditing Standards and other generally accepted audit practices.

Objectives

All registered entities are subject to audit for compliance with all reliability standards applicable to the functions for which the registered entity is registered.¹ The audit objectives are:

- Independently review MELP's compliance with the requirements of the reliability standards that are applicable to MELP based on the MELP registered functions.

¹ North American Electric Reliability Corporation CMEP, paragraph 3.1, Compliance Audits

- Validate compliance with applicable reliability standards from the NERC 2009 Implementation Plan list of actively monitored standards.
- Validate evidence of self-reported violations and previous self-certifications, confirm compliance with other requirements of the reliability standard, and review the status of associated mitigation plans.
- Document MELP's compliance culture.

Scope

The scope of the audit of MELP included all monitored standards that are in the NERC 2009 CMEP. Based on the confirmed registration of MELP, the seven reliability standards previously indentified were the focus of the compliance audit. Of these, one standard PRC-017-0 was not applicable. This is detailed in the Audit Results section.

Note: For the 2009 compliance program, the monitoring period for the compliance audit will generally be the lesser of: 1) Date of registration to current date; 2) Date of last audit or spot check to current date; or, 3) June 18, 2007 to current date. The monitoring period is not limited to the time period for which penalties and sanctions are assessed.

Confidentiality and Conflict of Interest

Code of conduct documentation for the NERC representative and regional entity staff were provided to MELP in advance of the audit. Work history and conflict of interest forms submitted by each audit team member were provided to MELP upon request. SERC has confirmed that confidentiality agreements have been executed by, and are on file for SERC Industry Subject Matter Experts (SME's) who participated in the audit. MELP was given an opportunity to object to an audit team member on the basis of a possible conflict of interest or the existence of other circumstances that could interfere with the audit team member's impartial performance of duties. MELP accepted the audit team member participants with no objections.

On-site Audit

MELP was contacted by letter on November 20, 2008 by SERC staff. The letter provided MELP with their initial notification of their upcoming audit in 2009, and the desire to schedule audit dates that would be acceptable to both parties. SERC staff then provided formal acknowledgement of the scheduled audit dates and requested that MELP both verify their currently registered functions and complete and return an attached Pre-Audit Survey within 30 days.

On February 29, 2009, SERC staff forwarded an Audit Detail Letter to MELP, again confirming the scheduled audit dates and confirming MELP's registered functions within SERC. The Audit Detail Letter also provided MELP with notice of the Standards in Audit Scope, Proposed Audit Schedule, Audit Team Roster (with industry affiliations), and requested that MELP Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) responsible for and knowledgeable of compliance submittals be available for interview during the audit. In addition to the Audit Detail Letter, MELP was provided with a Non-Disclosure Agreement Signature Verification for audit team members, a Pre-Audit Questionnaire, a list of Documentation and Evidence Requirements, and Questionnaire/Reliability Standard Auditor Worksheets (QRSAs) for each standard to be audited.

Interviews with SMEs were requested, in conjunction with documented evidence, to provide the audit team with additional information or clarification as a basis for professional judgment when validating compliance with reliability standards.

Methodology

A team of auditors and Industry SMEs were identified and conducted the audit of MELP. The standards were grouped and scheduled for review to make the most efficient use of MELP staff's time. The audit team moderator (ATL or designee) initiated dialogue on each standard requirement and requested compliance evidence. This evidence and MELP's staff response was documented. MELP staff was requested to show valid evidence of meeting each applicable requirement and sub-requirement contained in the seven standards that had been previously identified by SERC to MELP as subject to this audit. MELP staff responded by providing evidence in the form of reports, procedures, studies, and other documents. MELP staff would then cite specific portions of the evidence that demonstrated compliance.

This evidence and the citations were documented by the audit team scribe on the QRSAs and evaluated by the audit team for the level of compliance and agreement with the requirement. Discrepancies between the requirement and the evidence provided were the subject of dialogue among the team members and MELP staff members until it was determined that each requirement was met by the cited evidence or other evidence offered.

Once all the evidence was presented and discussed, if MELP did not provide sufficient evidence to support a finding of compliance, then a possible violation was identified by the team and MELP staff was informed.

Audit Overview

The audit team arrived at the MELP offices at 3:09 PM, May 19, 2009. At 4:24 PM on May 19, 2009 the Audit Team Lead (ATL) began the session with an opening presentation. He reviewed the NERC compliance plan for 2009 in general, and how it applied to MELP specifically. The ATL introduced and reviewed the standards to be covered in the audit, and addressed both the expectations of MELP staff and the quality of evidence to be presented. The ATL also covered the basic procedure for the audit, and the bounding rules of conduct. MELP staff made a brief presentation describing MELP's corporate structure and compliance program. The staff of MELP was introduced, and general housekeeping matters explained. The staff of MELP was excused and the audit team reviewed team assignments and a general overview for preparation of the audit activities. The audit team left the MELP office at 5:38 PM, May 19, 2009 to return the next day to start the review of the reliability standards in the audit scope.

Audit

The audit team arrived at the MELP office at 7:48 AM, May 20, 2009.

The audit team initially reviewed the registration status of MELP with entity staff to verify applicability of each standard. Each standard's audit began with a recitation of each requirement. MELP staff then presented evidence supporting requirement compliance, or cited evidence previously provided to the audit team. At that point, the evidence was reviewed and discussed until the team reached agreement on the evidence. By audit team consensus a determination of compliance was reached for each of the requirements and communicated to MELP staff before proceeding to the next requirement. At that point the team scribe would record the evidence presented to satisfy the requirement and the team's recommendation on that requirement using the QRSA.

The review of all applicable standards was completed at 8:48 AM, May 21, 2009 and the audit team met to review and discuss the findings. Following these discussions, the scribe collected all notes and evidence as needed and began to finalize the QRSAs.

Exit Briefing

The ATL presented an exit briefing to the assembled audit team and entity staff at 9:33 AM, May 21, 2009. This was followed by an informal response and questions from the MELP staff. The exit briefing summarized the team's preliminary conclusions, including any items of potential noncompliance or possible violation with supporting information, areas of concern, any added information required, and the expected timeline for review and issuance of the audit report.

The ATL solicited both informal comments from MELP staff, along with requesting that they fill out formal feedback forms for submission to NERC and SERC.

The ATL thanked MELP staff for their cooperation and support of the audit process. MELP staff expressed their appreciation of the professional manner in which the audit was conducted.

The audit team left the MELP meeting room at 9:58 AM on May 21, 2009.

Company Profile

Magnolia Energy L.P. ("Magnolia") is an existing 964-MW natural gas-fired combined-cycle electric generating facility located in the TVA region in northwest Mississippi near Ashland (the "Facility"). Magnolia is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Kelson Holdings headquartered in Columbia, MD. Each of Magnolia's three 321-MW units is highly reliable, efficient, and has an excellent track record of availability, safety, and environmental compliance. Construction of the Facility was performed by Bechtel and completed in 2003. Magnolia is an independent power producer serving wholesale markets throughout the southeast and midwest. The Facility is directly interconnected to the 500 kV TVA transmission system at the 500 kV Benton County substation, from which Magnolia's power generally flows north towards the Memphis area and south into the Mississippi grid. The Facility employs a staff of 26 people, including operators, maintenance staff, and plant management. North American Energy Services—a world-class power plant operator—operates the Facility for Magnolia on a contract basis. Magnolia operates in full compliance with its environmental and regulatory permits. Magnolia achieves ultra-low emissions of NOx and SO2 through the use of clean natural gas fuel and selective catalytic reduction ("SCR") control technology on each unit. Magnolia has received no notices of environmental noncompliance since it began commercial operations.

Audit Specifics

The compliance audit was conducted on May 19-21, 2009 at the MELP office in Ashland, MS.

Audit Team

Audit Team Role	Title	Company
Lead	Senior Compliance Auditor	SERC
Member	Senior Compliance Auditor	SERC
Member	Associate Compliance Auditor	SERC
Member	SERC Industry Subject Matter Expert	Covanta Energy

MELP Audit Participants Titles and Organization

Title	Organization
President	Magnolia Energy, LP
Plant Engineer	NAES - Magnolia
Maintenance Manager	NAES - Magnolia
Vice President	Kelson Energy
EHS/Compliance Coordinator	NAES - Magnolia
Operations Manager	NAES – Magnolia
Plant Manager	NAES - Magnolia
Division Director	NAES Corporate
Project Manager	NAES Corporate
Project Engineer	NAES Corporate

AUDIT RESULTS

The audit team reviewed documents provided by MELP prior to the audit, as requested in the Documentation and Evidence Requirements section of MELP's Compliance Audit Certification Letter. Review of these documents and of currently open or recently closed mitigation plans, pre-audit, helped to establish the audit team's focus during the audit.

The audit team reviewed the evidence provided by MELP to substantiate compliance with each standard requirement. The team requested clarification and/or additional supporting and corroborating evidence, as required, to obtain sufficient and appropriate evidence to support a determination of compliance.

In instances where the evidence provided by MELP represented multiple facilities and/or large quantities of equipment, the audit team haphazardly selected evidence samples, from the different facilities and/or equipment, to facilitate a consensus agreement of the team that MELP is, in the team's professional judgment, satisfactorily meeting the requirements of the standard or is in possible violation of the requirement.

The audit team reviewed MELP's status and progress of mitigation of all open and/or recently closed mitigation plans in conjunction with the review of each standard applicable to MELP's currently registered functions.

If the audit team determined that the evidence provided by MELP was insufficient or inappropriate to substantiate a determination of compliance, the team immediately informed MELP's Subject Matter Experts (SME) of this fact. Additionally, the Audit Team Lead, through coordination with MELP's audit coordinator, ensured that MELP's management was made aware of the potential for a finding of a possible violation in each instance, and of the basis for the team's determination.

The Audit Team Lead clearly identified the team's findings of compliance and basis for their findings, areas of concern, and available remedies in an exit presentation to MELP's management on completion of the audit.

The audit team documented their review and determination of compliance of each standard requirement on QRSAWs. MELP's policies, procedures, screenshots, operator logs, audio clips,

correspondence and other evidence presented, as well as auditor comments and determinations of compliance documented on the QRSAs were used in formulating this report.

The audit team found MELP to be in compliance with all of the NERC Reliability Standards in the audit scope. Please see Findings Table below.

Prior to being forwarded to SERC's Manager of Compliance Audits for review and approval as SERC's Final Confidential Non-Public Audit Report of MELP, the content and accuracy of this report:

- o Is reviewed and commented on by all audit team members
- o Is reviewed by MELP's management for correction and comment, and
- o Is reviewed and approved by the Audit Team Lead.

Upon final disposition of any possible violations determined by the audit team, if any, and redaction of appropriate information contained herein, this report will be reviewed and approved by SERC's Vice President and Director of Compliance before being issued as SERC's Final Public Audit Report of MELP.

Findings

Reliability Standard	Requirement	Finding
BAL-001-0a	R1.	N/A
BAL-001-0a	R2.	N/A
BAL-001-0a	R3.	N/A
BAL-001-0a	R4.	N/A
BAL-002-0	R1.	N/A
BAL-002-0	R2.	N/A
BAL-002-0	R3.	N/A
BAL-002-0	R4.	N/A
BAL-002-0	R5.	N/A
BAL-002-0	R6.	N/A
BAL-003-0a	R1.	N/A
BAL-003-0a	R2.	N/A
BAL-003-0a	R3.	N/A
BAL-003-0a	R4.	N/A
BAL-003-0a	R5.	N/A
BAL-003-0a	R6.	N/A
BAL-004-0	R1.	N/A
BAL-004-0	R2.	N/A
BAL-004-0	R3.	N/A
BAL-004-0	R4.	N/A
BAL-005-0b	R1.	N/A
BAL-005-0b	R2.	N/A
BAL-005-0b	R3.	N/A

Confidential Information (including Privileged and
Critical Energy Infrastructure Information) – Has Been Removed

Reliability Standard	Requirement	Finding
BAL-005-0b	R4.	N/A
BAL-005-0b	R5.	N/A
BAL-005-0b	R6.	N/A
BAL-005-0b	R7.	N/A
BAL-005-0b	R8.	N/A
BAL-005-0b	R9.	N/A
BAL-005-0b	R10.	N/A
BAL-005-0b	R11.	N/A
BAL-005-0b	R12.	N/A
BAL-005-0b	R13.	N/A
BAL-005-0b	R14.	N/A
BAL-005-0b	R15.	N/A
BAL-005-0b	R16.	N/A
BAL-005-0b	R17.	N/A
BAL-006-1	R1.	N/A
BAL-006-1	R2.	N/A
BAL-006-1	R3.	N/A
BAL-006-1	R4.	N/A
BAL-006-1	R5.	N/A
CIP-001-1	R1.	N/A
CIP-001-1	R2.	N/A
CIP-001-1	R3.	N/A
CIP-001-1	R4.	N/A
CIP-002-1 through CIP-009-1	.	N/A
COM-001-1	R1.	N/A
COM-001-1	R2.	N/A
COM-001-1	R3.	N/A
COM-001-1	R4.	N/A
COM-001-1	R5.	N/A
COM-001-1	R6.	N/A
COM-002-2	R1.	N/A
COM-002-2	R2.	N/A
EOP-001-0	R1.	N/A
EOP-001-0	R2.	N/A
EOP-001-0	R3.	N/A
EOP-001-0	R4.	N/A
EOP-001-0	R5.	N/A
EOP-001-0	R6.	N/A
EOP-001-0	R7.	N/A
EOP-002-2	R1.	N/A
EOP-002-2	R2.	N/A

Confidential Information (including Privileged and
Critical Energy Infrastructure Information) – Has Been Removed

Reliability Standard	Requirement	Finding
EOP-002-2	R3.	N/A
EOP-002-2	R4.	N/A
EOP-002-2	R5.	N/A
EOP-002-2	R6.	N/A
EOP-002-2	R7.	N/A
EOP-002-2	R8.	N/A
EOP-002-2	R9.	N/A
EOP-003-1	R1.	N/A
EOP-003-1	R2.	N/A
EOP-003-1	R3.	N/A
EOP-003-1	R4.	N/A
EOP-003-1	R5.	N/A
EOP-003-1	R6.	N/A
EOP-003-1	R7.	N/A
EOP-003-1	R8.	N/A
EOP-004-1	R1.	N/A
EOP-004-1	R2.	N/A
EOP-004-1	R3.	N/A
EOP-004-1	R4.	N/A
EOP-004-1	R5.	N/A
EOP-005-1	R1.	N/A
EOP-005-1	R2.	N/A
EOP-005-1	R3.	N/A
EOP-005-1	R4.	N/A
EOP-005-1	R5.	N/A
EOP-005-1	R6.	N/A
EOP-005-1	R7.	N/A
EOP-005-1	R8.	N/A
EOP-005-1	R9.	N/A
EOP-005-1	R10.	N/A
EOP-005-1	R11.	N/A
EOP-006-1	R1.	N/A
EOP-006-1	R2.	N/A
EOP-006-1	R3.	N/A
EOP-006-1	R4.	N/A
EOP-006-1	R5.	N/A
EOP-006-1	R6.	N/A
EOP-008-0	R1.	N/A
EOP-009-0	R1.	N/A
EOP-009-0	R2.	N/A
FAC-001-0	R1.	N/A

Confidential Information (including Privileged and
Critical Energy Infrastructure Information) – Has Been Removed

Reliability Standard	Requirement	Finding
FAC-001-0	R2.	N/A
FAC-001-0	R3.	N/A
FAC-002-0	R1.	N/A
FAC-002-0	R2.	N/A
FAC-003-1	R1.	N/A
FAC-003-1	R2.	N/A
FAC-003-1	R3.	N/A
FAC-003-1	R4.	N/A
FAC-008-1	R1.	Compliant
FAC-008-1	R2.	Compliant
FAC-008-1	R3.	Compliant
FAC-009-1	R1.	Compliant
FAC-009-1	R2.	Compliant
FAC-010-1	R1.	N/A
FAC-010-1	R2.	N/A
FAC-010-1	R3.	N/A
FAC-010-1	R4.	N/A
FAC-010-1	R5.	N/A
FAC-011-1	R1.	N/A
FAC-011-1	R2.	N/A
FAC-011-1	R3.	N/A
FAC-011-1	R4.	N/A
FAC-011-1	R5.	N/A
FAC-013-1	R1.	N/A
FAC-013-1	R2.	N/A
FAC-014-1	R1.	N/A
FAC-014-1	R2.	N/A
FAC-014-1	R3.	N/A
FAC-014-1	R4.	N/A
FAC-014-1	R5.	N/A
FAC-014-1	R6.	N/A
INT-001-3	R1.	N/A
INT-001-3	R2.	N/A
INT-003-2	R1.	N/A
INT-004-2	R1.	N/A
INT-004-2	R2.	N/A
INT-005-2	R1.	N/A
INT-006-2	R1.	N/A
INT-007-1	R1.	N/A
INT-008-2	R1.	N/A
INT-009-1	R1.	N/A

Confidential Information (including Privileged and
Critical Energy Infrastructure Information) – Has Been Removed

Reliability Standard	Requirement	Finding
INT-010-1	R1.	N/A
INT-010-1	R2.	N/A
INT-010-1	R3.	N/A
IRO-001-1	R1.	N/A
IRO-001-1	R2.	N/A
IRO-001-1	R3.	N/A
IRO-001-1	R4.	N/A
IRO-001-1	R5.	N/A
IRO-001-1	R6.	N/A
IRO-001-1	R7.	N/A
IRO-001-1	R8.	N/A
IRO-001-1	R9.	N/A
IRO-002-1	R1.	N/A
IRO-002-1	R2.	N/A
IRO-002-1	R3.	N/A
IRO-002-1	R4.	N/A
IRO-002-1	R5.	N/A
IRO-002-1	R6.	N/A
IRO-002-1	R7.	N/A
IRO-002-1	R8.	N/A
IRO-002-1	R9.	N/A
IRO-003-2	R1.	N/A
IRO-003-2	R2.	N/A
IRO-004-1	R1.	N/A
IRO-004-1	R2.	N/A
IRO-004-1	R3.	N/A
IRO-004-1	R4.	Compliant
IRO-004-1	R5.	N/A
IRO-004-1	R6.	N/A
IRO-004-1	R7.	N/A
IRO-005-2	R1.	N/A
IRO-005-2	R2.	N/A
IRO-005-2	R3.	N/A
IRO-005-2	R4.	N/A
IRO-005-2	R5.	N/A
IRO-005-2	R6.	N/A
IRO-005-2	R7.	N/A
IRO-005-2	R8.	N/A
IRO-005-2	R9.	N/A
IRO-005-2	R10.	N/A
IRO-005-2	R11.	N/A

Confidential Information (including Privileged and
Critical Energy Infrastructure Information) – Has Been Removed

Reliability Standard	Requirement	Finding
IRO-005-2	R12.	N/A
IRO-005-2	R13.	Compliant
IRO-005-2	R14.	N/A
IRO-005-2	R15.	N/A
IRO-005-2	R16.	N/A
IRO-005-2	R17.	N/A
IRO-006-3	R1.	N/A
IRO-006-3	R2.	N/A
IRO-006-3	R3.	N/A
IRO-006-3	R4.	N/A
IRO-006-3	R5.	N/A
IRO-006-3	R6.	N/A
IRO-014-1	R1.	N/A
IRO-014-1	R2.	N/A
IRO-014-1	R3.	N/A
IRO-014-1	R4.	N/A
IRO-015-1	R1.	N/A
IRO-015-1	R2.	N/A
IRO-015-1	R3.	N/A
IRO-016-1	R1.	N/A
IRO-016-1	R2.	N/A
MOD-006-0	R1.	N/A
MOD-006-0	R2.	N/A
MOD-007-0	R1.	N/A
MOD-007-0	R2.	N/A
MOD-010-0	R1.	N/A
MOD-010-0	R2.	N/A
MOD-012-0	R1.	N/A
MOD-012-0	R2.	N/A
MOD-016-1	R1.	N/A
MOD-016-1	R2.	N/A
MOD-016-1	R3.	N/A
MOD-017-0	R1.	N/A
MOD-018-0	R1.	N/A
MOD-018-0	R2.	N/A
MOD-019-0	R1.	N/A
MOD-020-0	R1.	N/A
MOD-021-0	R1.	N/A
MOD-021-0	R2.	N/A
MOD-021-0	R3.	N/A
NUC-001-1	R1.	N/A

Confidential Information (including Privileged and
Critical Energy Infrastructure Information) – Has Been Removed

Reliability Standard	Requirement	Finding
NUC-001-1	R2.	N/A
NUC-001-1	R3.	N/A
NUC-001-1	R4.	N/A
NUC-001-1	R5.	N/A
NUC-001-1	R6.	N/A
NUC-001-1	R7.	N/A
NUC-001-1	R8.	N/A
NUC-001-1	R9.	N/A
PER-001-0	R1.	N/A
PER-002-0	R1.	N/A
PER-002-0	R2.	N/A
PER-002-0	R3.	N/A
PER-002-0	R4.	N/A
PER-003-0	R1.	N/A
PER-004-1	R1.	N/A
PER-004-1	R2.	N/A
PER-004-1	R3.	N/A
PER-004-1	R4.	N/A
PER-004-1	R5.	N/A
PRC-001-1	R1.	N/A
PRC-001-1	R2.	N/A
PRC-001-1	R3.	N/A
PRC-001-1	R4.	N/A
PRC-001-1	R5.	N/A
PRC-001-1	R6.	N/A
PRC-004-1	R1.	N/A
PRC-004-1	R2.	Compliant
PRC-004-1	R3.	Compliant
PRC-005-1	R1.	Compliant
PRC-005-1	R2.	Compliant
PRC-007-0	R1.	N/A
PRC-007-0	R2.	N/A
PRC-007-0	R3.	N/A
PRC-008-0	R1.	N/A
PRC-008-0	R2.	N/A
PRC-009-0	R1.	N/A
PRC-009-0	R2.	N/A
PRC-010-0	R1.	N/A
PRC-010-0	R2.	N/A
PRC-011-0	R1.	N/A
PRC-011-0	R2.	N/A

Confidential Information (including Privileged and
Critical Energy Infrastructure Information) – Has Been Removed

Reliability Standard	Requirement	Finding
PRC-015-0	R1.	N/A
PRC-015-0	R2.	N/A
PRC-015-0	R3.	N/A
PRC-016-0	R1.	N/A
PRC-016-0	R2.	N/A
PRC-016-0	R3.	N/A
PRC-017-0	R1.	N/A
PRC-017-0	R2.	N/A
PRC-018-1	R1.	N/A
PRC-018-1	R2.	N/A
PRC-018-1	R3.	N/A
PRC-018-1	R4.	N/A
PRC-018-1	R5.	N/A
PRC-018-1	R6.	N/A
PRC-021-1	R1.	N/A
PRC-021-1	R2.	N/A
PRC-022-1	R1.	N/A
PRC-022-1	R2.	N/A
TOP-001-1	R1.	N/A
TOP-001-1	R2.	N/A
TOP-001-1	R3.	N/A
TOP-001-1	R4.	N/A
TOP-001-1	R5.	N/A
TOP-001-1	R6.	N/A
TOP-001-1	R7.	N/A
TOP-001-1	R8.	N/A
TOP-002-2	R1.	N/A
TOP-002-2	R2.	N/A
TOP-002-2	R3.	N/A
TOP-002-2	R4.	N/A
TOP-002-2	R5.	N/A
TOP-002-2	R6.	N/A
TOP-002-2	R7.	N/A
TOP-002-2	R8.	N/A
TOP-002-2	R9.	N/A
TOP-002-2	R10.	N/A
TOP-002-2	R11.	N/A
TOP-002-2	R12.	N/A
TOP-002-2	R13.	N/A
TOP-002-2	R14.	N/A
TOP-002-2	R15.	N/A

Confidential Information (including Privileged and
Critical Energy Infrastructure Information) – Has Been Removed

Reliability Standard	Requirement	Finding
TOP-002-2	R16.	N/A
TOP-002-2	R17.	N/A
TOP-002-2	R18.	N/A
TOP-002-2	R19.	N/A
TOP-003-0	R1.	N/A
TOP-003-0	R2.	N/A
TOP-003-0	R3.	N/A
TOP-003-0	R4.	N/A
TOP-004-2	R1.	N/A
TOP-004-2	R2.	N/A
TOP-004-2	R3.	N/A
TOP-004-2	R4.	N/A
TOP-004-2	R5.	N/A
TOP-004-2	R6.	N/A
TOP-005-1	R1.	N/A
TOP-005-1	R2.	N/A
TOP-005-1	R3.	N/A
TOP-005-1	R4.	N/A
TOP-006-1	R1.	N/A
TOP-006-1	R2.	N/A
TOP-006-1	R3.	N/A
TOP-006-1	R4.	N/A
TOP-006-1	R5.	N/A
TOP-006-1	R6.	N/A
TOP-006-1	R7.	N/A
TOP-007-0	R1.	N/A
TOP-007-0	R2.	N/A
TOP-007-0	R3.	N/A
TOP-007-0	R4.	N/A
TOP-008-1	R1.	N/A
TOP-008-1	R2.	N/A
TOP-008-1	R3.	N/A
TOP-008-1	R4.	N/A
TPL-001-0	R1.	N/A
TPL-001-0	R2.	N/A
TPL-001-0	R3.	N/A
TPL-002-0	R1.	N/A
TPL-002-0	R2.	N/A
TPL-002-0	R3.	N/A
TPL-003-0	R1.	N/A
TPL-003-0	R2.	N/A

Reliability Standard	Requirement	Finding
TPL-003-0	R3.	N/A
TPL-004-0	R1.	N/A
TPL-004-0	R2.	N/A
VAR-001-1	R1.	N/A
VAR-001-1	R2.	N/A
VAR-001-1	R3.	N/A
VAR-001-1	R4.	N/A
VAR-001-1	R5.	N/A
VAR-001-1	R6.	N/A
VAR-001-1	R7.	N/A
VAR-001-1	R8.	N/A
VAR-001-1	R9.	N/A
VAR-001-1	R10.	N/A
VAR-001-1	R11.	N/A
VAR-001-1	R12.	N/A
VAR-002-1	R1.	N/A
VAR-002-1	R2.	N/A
VAR-002-1	R3.	N/A
VAR-002-1	R4.	N/A
VAR-002-1	R5.	N/A

Compliance Culture

The audit team assessed MELP's Internal Compliance Program in conjunction with the audit. Evidence reviewed in assessing the program included: MELP's Compliance Pre-Audit Survey, NERC Reliability Compliance Policy for the Projects of Kelson Energy Inc. (program document), compliance staff organizational charts, interviews with MELP staff, and observation of staff responses in preparation for and during the audit.

Four factors that characterize a vigorous and effective compliance program are: active engagement and leadership by a company's senior management; preventive measures appropriate to the individual circumstances of the company; promptly detecting, stopping, and reporting a violation; and, ultimately fixing the problem and working to avoid future possible violations.

SERC recognizes that there isn't one standard formula for an effective compliance program, and that there will be variations in each company's program and culture based on countless factors, including the size and age of the company, as well as the nature and extent of its business. Ultimately what matters are the results, and whether the compliance program worked as it should.

The audit team determined that MELP's Internal Compliance Program documents and their staff's demonstrated compliance culture indicate an effective compliance program.