

Meeting Notes

Project 2021-06 Modifications to IRO-010 and TOP-003 Drafting Team

February 1, 2023

Review NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and Public Announcement

Josh Blume reviewed the NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and noted that the meeting was public.

Roll Call

J. Blume called roll. See page two for attendance.

Chair's Remarks

J. Blume thanked everyone for joining the call. Dennis Sauriol advised the group that they would be reviewing comments received and redlining standard authorization request. He reminded observers their input is important and asked them to provide comments or ask questions as desired. J. Blume took secondary notes for the day.

Review/Discuss Q4-Q10 Comments Received

The team continued to review the comments received. High level themes/notes are provided below.

Review of responses:

- Question 4 responses discussed the TOP-003 with regards to fuel supply and limitations of providing information and data to BA regarding this. Some entities stated how this information is proprietary or just hard to retrieve and provide. This relates to EOP-012 Cold Weather standard which asks for providing the amount fuel data one time or any issues with the system for that day. The conclusion from the comments is that the team needs to coordinate with EOP-012 and keep data more general and not specific. Also, comment questions need to be clearer next round.
- Question 5 responses addressed the inconsistency between R1 and R3 in VRFs and VSL levels. The comments also referred to TOP-003 R2.1 and R1.4 be reviewed. The take away from the comments was to revisit after reviewing standards the VRFs and VSLs.
- Question 6 responses spoke of duplications that should be removed. Many of the “no” answers had no comments associated with them. Responses also mentioned adding TOP-010 for review. The solution discussed was to create a white paper that explains cross over. Also, see if TOP-010 should be included in affected standards.
- Question 7 responses mostly supported EEI, who stated the implementation plan cannot be determined until the standards are approved. Four comments stated a longer implementation plan of 24 months is needed. After the standards are drafted, the team will revisit the guidelines and consider adjusting the implementation time.

- Questions 8 and 9: The responses addressed the reduction of burden the standards are working to reduce. The letter of attestation was discussed and the burden around those. This topic needs to be revisited, since it is a “double edge sword.”
- After the review of the question 10 responses, the team decided to draft a white paper to provide a better explanation of what the team is doing.

Review of the standards

Future Meeting

February 7, 2023

The meeting adjourned at 3:00 p.m. Eastern.

Attendance				
Name	Company	Member/ Observer	Questions assignment	Conference Call/Web (Y/N)
Matthew Harward	Southwest Power Pool, Inc.	Member	3 2	N
Dennis Sauriol	American Electric Power	Member	4 9 10	Y
Jennifer Richards	SCPSA (Santee Cooper)	Member	5 3 6	Y
Barry Jones	Western Area Power Administration (WAPA)	Member	2 5	Y
Bob Cielen	BC Hydro	Member	6 1	Y
Stephen Solis	Electric Reliability Council of Texas, Inc.	Member	1, 10 8	Y
Nick Messner	California ISO	Member		Y
Rachel Melton	California ISO	Observer	8,9 4	Y
Lauren Perotti	NERC	Observer		Y
Jordan Mallory	NERC	Observer		Y
Michael Gandolfo	FERC	Observer		Y
Michael Brytowski	PMOS	Observer		N
Phillip Shafeei	Seminole Electric	Observer		Y