

Back-up Facilities Standard Drafting Team

December 17, 2007

Conference Call Notes

1. Administrative Items

a. Introductions and Quorum

Sam Brattini, Chair, brought the call to order at 1 p.m. EST. Call participants were:

Tom Bowe	Sam Brattini, Chair	Glenn Kaht
Sara McCoy	Melinda Montgomery	Keith Porterfield
John Procyk	Ross Guttromson, PNNL, Guest	Ed Dobrowolski, NERC

b. NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines — Ed Dobrowolski

There were no questions raised on the NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines.

c. Review Meeting Agenda & Objectives — Sam Brattini

The objectives of this call were to finalize the draft wording of R5 and R6 as well as to finish the development of the GOP applicability memo.

2. Review and Approve Wording for R5 (mitigation vs. waiver)

All members were in agreement that the waiver wording needed to be changed. After some debate, 'plan' was used instead of 'mitigation plan' due to the baggage associated with the latter terminology.

There is still some debate about the exact wording of R5 but it was decided to move forward at this time and see what comes back from the industry prior to attempting to make any further changes to the text.

3. Review and Approve Wording for R6 (compliance issue)

It was decided to move this wording out of the requirements all together. It was placed in a text box beside R1.5 where the transition plan is mentioned. A question was drafted to see what the industry felt about this concept.

4. Finalize GOP Applicability Memo

The draft memo was adjusted on the fly utilizing the WebEx capabilities and distributed via the mail server following the call.

5. Next Steps – Sam Brattini

a. GOP Applicability Issue with FERC

There is a conference call with FERC staff on Friday, December 21, 2007 to discuss the GOP applicability issue. Sam and Mike have been invited to participate. The goal of the call is to address this issue up front before anyone draws a line in the sand. Ed will send out a note following the call to let team members know what happened.

b. Thirty day posting

We will use a thirty day comment period for the first posting leaving the required 45 day period for the next posting when more elements will be present.

c. Submittal schedule

The submittal schedule could be impacted by the outcome of the phone call with FERC staff.

6. Schedule Next Meeting

a. Atlanta, GA following the TOOF Meeting at GA Power on February 27, 2008 from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. EST and February 28, 2008 from 8 a.m. to noon EST (tentative – based on posting date). The arrangements for this meeting have been made but this meeting is entirely dependent on the posting date.

b. Phoenix, AZ on April 2, 2008 and April 3, 2008 from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. MDT each day. This meeting would be hosted at a Scottsdale hotel by SRP and may be impacted by the posting schedule. Ed will contact Sara after the FERC conference call so that arrangements can be made if needed.

7. Review Action Items & Project Schedule — Ed Dobrowolski

Other than notifying team members of what happened with the FERC staff conference call, there were no action items developed during this call.

The project remains on schedule at this time.

8. Adjourn

The chair adjourned the call at 3:30 p.m. EST.