Individual Commenter Information			
(Comple	ete thi	s page for comments from one organization or individual.)	
Name:	Joe Spri	nghetti	
Organization: \	We Ene	rgies	
Telephone: 2	262-544	-7132	
E-mail: j	joseph.s	pringhetti@we-energies.com	
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment	
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners	
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs and ISOs	
	\boxtimes	3 — Load-serving Entities	
	\boxtimes	4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities	
⊠ RFC	\boxtimes	5 — Electric Generators	
SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers	
∐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users	
∐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users	
∐ NA – No Applicable	ot	9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities	
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities	

Group Comments (Complete this p	page if comments are from a group	o.)	
Group Name:			
Lead Contact:			
Contact Organization:			
Contact Segment:			
Contact Telephone:			
Contact E-mail:			
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*

^{*}If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

This project involves revising the requirements in the following standards:

PRC-006 — Development and Documentation of Regional Reliability Organizations' Underfrequency Load Shedding Programs

PRC-007 — Assuring Consistency with Regional UFLS Programs PRC-009 — UFLS Performance Following an Underfrequency Event

The standards in this set are all Version 0 standards. As the electric reliability organization begins enforcing compliance with reliability standards under Section 215 of the Federal Power Act in the United States and applicable statutes and regulations in Canada, the industry needs a set of clear, measurable, and enforceable reliability standards. The Version 0 standards, while a good foundation, were translated from historical operating and planning policies and guides that were appropriate in an era of voluntary compliance. The Version 0 standards and recent updates were put in place as a temporary starting point to start up the electric reliability organization and begin enforcement of mandatory standards. However, it is important to update the standards in a timely manner, incorporating improvements to make the standards more suitable for enforcement and to capture prior recommendations that were deferred during the Version 0 translation.

PRC-006 is one of the few reliability standards identified by the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group as a standard that has some requirements that need to be defined by each regional entity in a regional standard.

The standard drafting team (SDT) will work with stakeholders to review PRC-006 and each of the current regional programs developed in accordance with that standard, including any other associated programs and/or requirements related to and contained with the UFLS program documentation. The SDT shall determine which requirements should be continent-wide requirements and which requirements should be included in regional standards.

PRC-007 and PRC-009 have some "fill-in-the-blank" characteristics as identified in the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group work plan, which need to be removed. These standards shall be included with PRC-006 for consideration as one or more revised standards as necessary for consistency and clarity of overall program requirements and any other associated programs and/or requirements that affect or impact the UFLS program.

The standard drafting team may include other improvements to the standards deemed appropriate by the drafting team, with the consensus of stakeholders, consistent with establishing high-quality, enforceable, and technically sufficient bulk power system reliability standards.

- 1. PRC-008 was removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01. The SAR drafting team agreed with a number of commenters that suggested grouping all the relay maintenance and testing standards into a single project. The SAR drafting team has requested that NERC staff remove PRC-008 from Project 2007-01 and place it in a project with the following standards:
 - PRC-005 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-008 (currently in Project 2007-01)
 - PRC-011 (currently in Project 2008-02)
 - PRC-017 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-018 Requirement 6 (currently in Project 2007-011)
- 2. The SAR was revised to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A to the SAR. The scope of the SAR is designed to provide the standard drafting team with sufficient flexibility to address all necessary revisions. Work is not to be limited to the "To Do List" (renamed, "Issues to Consider"), nor are the items identified in the Issues to Consider mandatory revisions. A unique development aspect of the projects included in NERC's three-year reliability standards development plan is that the standard drafting teams will not be inhibited from addressing at one time all necessary improvements to the standards, or from even proposing new changes to the standard, as long as the changes are within the content area of the standard. The goal is for the drafting team to develop the best possible standard within the defined subject area, as supported by a consensus of stakeholders. The SAR drafting team encourages all commenters to read Volume I of NERC's Three-year Reliability Standards Development Plan which identifies a set of specific issues each standard drafting team is to consider when revising a standard.
- 3. The Applicability section of the SAR was expanded to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority/Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator. The "applicability" identified in the SAR is the starting point for consideration of redrafting of the standard. The standard drafting team will review the appropriate applicability of each of these standards.
- 4. The SAR drafting team noted a number of comments suggesting additional topics or issues to consider with the refinement of the standards. These comments have been noted and added to the SAR for resolution during standard drafting.
- 5. Other miscellaneous changes as requested and agreed to by the SAR drafting team and identified in the redlined version of Draft 2 of the SAR for Project 2007-01.

1.	Do you agree that PRC-008 should be removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01 and placed into a project with all the relay maintenance and testing standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. ☐ Yes ☐ No Comments:
2.	Do you agree with revising the SAR to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A and Appendix C to the SAR? If not, please explain in the comment area.
	∑ Yes
	□ No
	Comments:
3.	Do you agree with expanding the Applicability section of the SAR to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority or Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator so that the standard drafting team can consider these entities when reviewing the appropriate applicability of the standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
	□ No
	Comments:
4.	Do you have any other concerns with the revisions made to the SAR? If yes, please explain in the comment area.
	☐ Yes
	⊠ No
	Comments:

Individual Commenter Information			
(Comple	ete thi	s page for comments from one organization or individual.)	
Name:	Kathleer	n Goodman	
Organization: I	ISO Nev	v England	
Telephone:	(413) 53	5-4111	
E-mail: I	kgoodma	an@iso-ne.com	
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment	
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners	
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs and ISOs	
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities	
\boxtimes NPCC		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities	
RFC		5 — Electric Generators	
SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers	
		7 — Large Electricity End Users	
∐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users	
∐ NA – No Applicable	ot	9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities	
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities	

Group Comments (Complete this p	page if comments are from a group	D.)	
Group Name:			
Lead Contact:			
Contact Organization:			
Contact Segment:			
Contact Telephone:			
Contact E-mail:			
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*

^{*}If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

This project involves revising the requirements in the following standards:

PRC-006 — Development and Documentation of Regional Reliability Organizations' Underfrequency Load Shedding Programs

PRC-007 — Assuring Consistency with Regional UFLS Programs PRC-009 — UFLS Performance Following an Underfrequency Event

The standards in this set are all Version 0 standards. As the electric reliability organization begins enforcing compliance with reliability standards under Section 215 of the Federal Power Act in the United States and applicable statutes and regulations in Canada, the industry needs a set of clear, measurable, and enforceable reliability standards. The Version 0 standards, while a good foundation, were translated from historical operating and planning policies and guides that were appropriate in an era of voluntary compliance. The Version 0 standards and recent updates were put in place as a temporary starting point to start up the electric reliability organization and begin enforcement of mandatory standards. However, it is important to update the standards in a timely manner, incorporating improvements to make the standards more suitable for enforcement and to capture prior recommendations that were deferred during the Version 0 translation.

PRC-006 is one of the few reliability standards identified by the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group as a standard that has some requirements that need to be defined by each regional entity in a regional standard.

The standard drafting team (SDT) will work with stakeholders to review PRC-006 and each of the current regional programs developed in accordance with that standard, including any other associated programs and/or requirements related to and contained with the UFLS program documentation. The SDT shall determine which requirements should be continent-wide requirements and which requirements should be included in regional standards.

PRC-007 and PRC-009 have some "fill-in-the-blank" characteristics as identified in the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group work plan, which need to be removed. These standards shall be included with PRC-006 for consideration as one or more revised standards as necessary for consistency and clarity of overall program requirements and any other associated programs and/or requirements that affect or impact the UFLS program.

The standard drafting team may include other improvements to the standards deemed appropriate by the drafting team, with the consensus of stakeholders, consistent with establishing high-quality, enforceable, and technically sufficient bulk power system reliability standards.

- 1. PRC-008 was removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01. The SAR drafting team agreed with a number of commenters that suggested grouping all the relay maintenance and testing standards into a single project. The SAR drafting team has requested that NERC staff remove PRC-008 from Project 2007-01 and place it in a project with the following standards:
 - PRC-005 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-008 (currently in Project 2007-01)
 - PRC-011 (currently in Project 2008-02)
 - PRC-017 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-018 Requirement 6 (currently in Project 2007-011)
- 2. The SAR was revised to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A to the SAR. The scope of the SAR is designed to provide the standard drafting team with sufficient flexibility to address all necessary revisions. Work is not to be limited to the "To Do List" (renamed, "Issues to Consider"), nor are the items identified in the Issues to Consider mandatory revisions. A unique development aspect of the projects included in NERC's three-year reliability standards development plan is that the standard drafting teams will not be inhibited from addressing at one time all necessary improvements to the standards, or from even proposing new changes to the standard, as long as the changes are within the content area of the standard. The goal is for the drafting team to develop the best possible standard within the defined subject area, as supported by a consensus of stakeholders. The SAR drafting team encourages all commenters to read Volume I of NERC's Three-year Reliability Standards Development Plan which identifies a set of specific issues each standard drafting team is to consider when revising a standard.
- 3. The Applicability section of the SAR was expanded to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority/Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator. The "applicability" identified in the SAR is the starting point for consideration of redrafting of the standard. The standard drafting team will review the appropriate applicability of each of these standards.
- 4. The SAR drafting team noted a number of comments suggesting additional topics or issues to consider with the refinement of the standards. These comments have been noted and added to the SAR for resolution during standard drafting.
- 5. Other miscellaneous changes as requested and agreed to by the SAR drafting team and identified in the redlined version of Draft 2 of the SAR for Project 2007-01.

1.	Do you agree that PRC-008 should be removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01 and placed into a project with all the relay maintenance and testing standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. ☐ Yes ☐ No Comments:
2.	Do you agree with revising the SAR to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A and Appendix C to the SAR? If not, please explain in the comment area.
	∑ Yes
	□ No
	Comments:
3.	Do you agree with expanding the Applicability section of the SAR to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority or Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator so that the standard drafting team can consider these entities when reviewing the appropriate applicability of the standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
	□ No
	Comments:
4.	Do you have any other concerns with the revisions made to the SAR? If yes, please explain in the comment area.
	☐ Yes
	⊠ No
	Comments:

Individual Commenter Information			
(Comple	ete thi	s page for comments from one organization or individual.)	
Name:	lason Sl	haver	
Organization: A	America	n Transmission Co.	
Telephone: 2	262 506	6885	
E-mail: j:	shaver@	Datcllc.com	
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment	
☐ ERCOT	\boxtimes	1 — Transmission Owners	
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs and ISOs	
oxtimes MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities	
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities	
⊠ RFC		5 — Electric Generators	
SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers	
		7 — Large Electricity End Users	
☐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users	
∐ NA – No Applicable	t 🔲	9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities	
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities	

Group Comments (Complete this p	page if comments are from a group	o.)	
Group Name:			
Lead Contact:			
Contact Organization:			
Contact Segment:			
Contact Telephone:			
Contact E-mail:			
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*

^{*}If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

This project involves revising the requirements in the following standards:

PRC-006 — Development and Documentation of Regional Reliability Organizations' Underfrequency Load Shedding Programs

PRC-007 — Assuring Consistency with Regional UFLS Programs PRC-009 — UFLS Performance Following an Underfrequency Event

The standards in this set are all Version 0 standards. As the electric reliability organization begins enforcing compliance with reliability standards under Section 215 of the Federal Power Act in the United States and applicable statutes and regulations in Canada, the industry needs a set of clear, measurable, and enforceable reliability standards. The Version 0 standards, while a good foundation, were translated from historical operating and planning policies and guides that were appropriate in an era of voluntary compliance. The Version 0 standards and recent updates were put in place as a temporary starting point to start up the electric reliability organization and begin enforcement of mandatory standards. However, it is important to update the standards in a timely manner, incorporating improvements to make the standards more suitable for enforcement and to capture prior recommendations that were deferred during the Version 0 translation.

PRC-006 is one of the few reliability standards identified by the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group as a standard that has some requirements that need to be defined by each regional entity in a regional standard.

The standard drafting team (SDT) will work with stakeholders to review PRC-006 and each of the current regional programs developed in accordance with that standard, including any other associated programs and/or requirements related to and contained with the UFLS program documentation. The SDT shall determine which requirements should be continent-wide requirements and which requirements should be included in regional standards.

PRC-007 and PRC-009 have some "fill-in-the-blank" characteristics as identified in the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group work plan, which need to be removed. These standards shall be included with PRC-006 for consideration as one or more revised standards as necessary for consistency and clarity of overall program requirements and any other associated programs and/or requirements that affect or impact the UFLS program.

The standard drafting team may include other improvements to the standards deemed appropriate by the drafting team, with the consensus of stakeholders, consistent with establishing high-quality, enforceable, and technically sufficient bulk power system reliability standards.

- 1. PRC-008 was removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01. The SAR drafting team agreed with a number of commenters that suggested grouping all the relay maintenance and testing standards into a single project. The SAR drafting team has requested that NERC staff remove PRC-008 from Project 2007-01 and place it in a project with the following standards:
 - PRC-005 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-008 (currently in Project 2007-01)
 - PRC-011 (currently in Project 2008-02)
 - PRC-017 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-018 Requirement 6 (currently in Project 2007-011)
- 2. The SAR was revised to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A to the SAR. The scope of the SAR is designed to provide the standard drafting team with sufficient flexibility to address all necessary revisions. Work is not to be limited to the "To Do List" (renamed, "Issues to Consider"), nor are the items identified in the Issues to Consider mandatory revisions. A unique development aspect of the projects included in NERC's three-year reliability standards development plan is that the standard drafting teams will not be inhibited from addressing at one time all necessary improvements to the standards, or from even proposing new changes to the standard, as long as the changes are within the content area of the standard. The goal is for the drafting team to develop the best possible standard within the defined subject area, as supported by a consensus of stakeholders. The SAR drafting team encourages all commenters to read Volume I of NERC's Three-year Reliability Standards Development Plan which identifies a set of specific issues each standard drafting team is to consider when revising a standard.
- 3. The Applicability section of the SAR was expanded to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority/Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator. The "applicability" identified in the SAR is the starting point for consideration of redrafting of the standard. The standard drafting team will review the appropriate applicability of each of these standards.
- 4. The SAR drafting team noted a number of comments suggesting additional topics or issues to consider with the refinement of the standards. These comments have been noted and added to the SAR for resolution during standard drafting.
- 5. Other miscellaneous changes as requested and agreed to by the SAR drafting team and identified in the redlined version of Draft 2 of the SAR for Project 2007-01.

Insert a "check" mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.

1.	Do you agree that PRC-008 should be removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01 and placed into a project with all the relay maintenance and testing standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. Yes No Comments:
2.	Do you agree with revising the SAR to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A and Appendix C to the SAR? If not please explain in the comment area.
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Comments:
3.	Do you agree with expanding the Applicability section of the SAR to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority or Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator so that the standard drafting team can consider these entities when reviewing the appropriate applicability of the standards? If not, please explain in the comment area.
	∐ No
	Comments:
4.	Do you have any other concerns with the revisions made to the SAR? If yes, please explain in the comment area.
	□ No
	Comments: The standard should address both underfrequency and overfrequency, to avoid shedding too much load. The standard should also make it clear that generators must be well-protected, while still supporting the integrity of the system. Thus, Generators Owners must be part of the decision process when the regional entities establish the requirements for generators to remain on-line.
	Since it is possible that an island can be formed that envelopes more than one regional entitity, we recommend strong coordination between neighboring regions so that different and/or conflicting standards are not identified as resolution for a common

island.

Individual Commenter Information			
(Complete	e this	s page for comments from one organization or individual.)	
Name:			
Organization:			
Telephone:			
E-mail:			
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment	
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners	
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs and ISOs	
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities	
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities	
RFC		5 — Electric Generators	
☐ SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers	
☐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users	
∐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users	
∐ NA – Not Applicable		9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities	
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities	

Group Comments (Complete this page if comments are from a group.)

Group Name: Bonneville Power Administration, Transmission Services

Lead Contact: Mike Viles

Contact Organization: BPA

Contact Segment: 1

Contact Telephone: 360-418-2322

Contact E-mail: mrviles@bpa.gov

Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*
Gary Keenan	BPA	WECC	1

^{*}If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

This project involves revising the requirements in the following standards:

PRC-006 — Development and Documentation of Regional Reliability Organizations' Underfrequency Load Shedding Programs

PRC-007 — Assuring Consistency with Regional UFLS Programs PRC-009 — UFLS Performance Following an Underfrequency Event

The standards in this set are all Version 0 standards. As the electric reliability organization begins enforcing compliance with reliability standards under Section 215 of the Federal Power Act in the United States and applicable statutes and regulations in Canada, the industry needs a set of clear, measurable, and enforceable reliability standards. The Version 0 standards, while a good foundation, were translated from historical operating and planning policies and guides that were appropriate in an era of voluntary compliance. The Version 0 standards and recent updates were put in place as a temporary starting point to start up the electric reliability organization and begin enforcement of mandatory standards. However, it is important to update the standards in a timely manner, incorporating improvements to make the standards more suitable for enforcement and to capture prior recommendations that were deferred during the Version 0 translation.

PRC-006 is one of the few reliability standards identified by the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group as a standard that has some requirements that need to be defined by each regional entity in a regional standard.

The standard drafting team (SDT) will work with stakeholders to review PRC-006 and each of the current regional programs developed in accordance with that standard, including any other associated programs and/or requirements related to and contained with the UFLS program documentation. The SDT shall determine which requirements should be continent-wide requirements and which requirements should be included in regional standards.

PRC-007 and PRC-009 have some "fill-in-the-blank" characteristics as identified in the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group work plan, which need to be removed. These standards shall be included with PRC-006 for consideration as one or more revised standards as necessary for consistency and clarity of overall program requirements and any other associated programs and/or requirements that affect or impact the UFLS program.

The standard drafting team may include other improvements to the standards deemed appropriate by the drafting team, with the consensus of stakeholders, consistent with establishing high-quality, enforceable, and technically sufficient bulk power system reliability standards.

- 1. PRC-008 was removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01. The SAR drafting team agreed with a number of commenters that suggested grouping all the relay maintenance and testing standards into a single project. The SAR drafting team has requested that NERC staff remove PRC-008 from Project 2007-01 and place it in a project with the following standards:
 - PRC-005 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-008 (currently in Project 2007-01)
 - PRC-011 (currently in Project 2008-02)
 - PRC-017 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-018 Requirement 6 (currently in Project 2007-011)
- 2. The SAR was revised to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A to the SAR. The scope of the SAR is designed to provide the standard drafting team with sufficient flexibility to address all necessary revisions. Work is not to be limited to the "To Do List" (renamed, "Issues to Consider"), nor are the items identified in the Issues to Consider mandatory revisions. A unique development aspect of the projects included in NERC's three-year reliability standards development plan is that the standard drafting teams will not be inhibited from addressing at one time all necessary improvements to the standards, or from even proposing new changes to the standard, as long as the changes are within the content area of the standard. The goal is for the drafting team to develop the best possible standard within the defined subject area, as supported by a consensus of stakeholders. The SAR drafting team encourages all commenters to read Volume I of NERC's Three-year Reliability Standards Development Plan which identifies a set of specific issues each standard drafting team is to consider when revising a standard.
- 3. The Applicability section of the SAR was expanded to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority/Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator. The "applicability" identified in the SAR is the starting point for consideration of redrafting of the standard. The standard drafting team will review the appropriate applicability of each of these standards.
- 4. The SAR drafting team noted a number of comments suggesting additional topics or issues to consider with the refinement of the standards. These comments have been noted and added to the SAR for resolution during standard drafting.
- 5. Other miscellaneous changes as requested and agreed to by the SAR drafting team and identified in the redlined version of Draft 2 of the SAR for Project 2007-01.

1.	Do you agree that PRC-008 should be removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01 and placed into a project with all the relay maintenance and testing standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. Yes No Comments:
2.	Do you agree with revising the SAR to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A and Appendix C to the SAR? If not, please explain in the comment area.
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Comments:
3.	Do you agree with expanding the Applicability section of the SAR to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority or Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator so that the standard drafting team can consider these entities when reviewing the appropriate applicability of the standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. \square Yes
	□ No
	Comments:
4.	Do you have any other concerns with the revisions made to the SAR? If yes, please explain in the comment area.
	☐ Yes
	⊠ No
	Comments:

Individual Commenter Information				
(Comple	(Complete this page for comments from one organization or individual.)			
Name:	Name: Steve Myers			
Organization: ERCOT				
Telephone:	512-248	-3077		
E-mail:	smyers@	@ercot.com		
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment		
		1 — Transmission Owners		
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs and ISOs		
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities		
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities		
RFC		5 — Electric Generators		
SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers		
∐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users		
∐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users		
∐ NA – No Applicable	t 🗀	9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities		
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities		

Group Comments (Complete this p	page if comments are from a group	o.)	
Group Name:			
Lead Contact:			
Contact Organization:			
Contact Segment:			
Contact Telephone:			
Contact E-mail:			
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*

^{*}If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

This project involves revising the requirements in the following standards:

PRC-006 — Development and Documentation of Regional Reliability Organizations' Underfrequency Load Shedding Programs

PRC-007 — Assuring Consistency with Regional UFLS Programs PRC-009 — UFLS Performance Following an Underfrequency Event

The standards in this set are all Version 0 standards. As the electric reliability organization begins enforcing compliance with reliability standards under Section 215 of the Federal Power Act in the United States and applicable statutes and regulations in Canada, the industry needs a set of clear, measurable, and enforceable reliability standards. The Version 0 standards, while a good foundation, were translated from historical operating and planning policies and guides that were appropriate in an era of voluntary compliance. The Version 0 standards and recent updates were put in place as a temporary starting point to start up the electric reliability organization and begin enforcement of mandatory standards. However, it is important to update the standards in a timely manner, incorporating improvements to make the standards more suitable for enforcement and to capture prior recommendations that were deferred during the Version 0 translation.

PRC-006 is one of the few reliability standards identified by the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group as a standard that has some requirements that need to be defined by each regional entity in a regional standard.

The standard drafting team (SDT) will work with stakeholders to review PRC-006 and each of the current regional programs developed in accordance with that standard, including any other associated programs and/or requirements related to and contained with the UFLS program documentation. The SDT shall determine which requirements should be continent-wide requirements and which requirements should be included in regional standards.

PRC-007 and PRC-009 have some "fill-in-the-blank" characteristics as identified in the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group work plan, which need to be removed. These standards shall be included with PRC-006 for consideration as one or more revised standards as necessary for consistency and clarity of overall program requirements and any other associated programs and/or requirements that affect or impact the UFLS program.

The standard drafting team may include other improvements to the standards deemed appropriate by the drafting team, with the consensus of stakeholders, consistent with establishing high-quality, enforceable, and technically sufficient bulk power system reliability standards.

- 1. PRC-008 was removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01. The SAR drafting team agreed with a number of commenters that suggested grouping all the relay maintenance and testing standards into a single project. The SAR drafting team has requested that NERC staff remove PRC-008 from Project 2007-01 and place it in a project with the following standards:
 - PRC-005 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-008 (currently in Project 2007-01)
 - PRC-011 (currently in Project 2008-02)
 - PRC-017 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-018 Requirement 6 (currently in Project 2007-011)
- 2. The SAR was revised to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A to the SAR. The scope of the SAR is designed to provide the standard drafting team with sufficient flexibility to address all necessary revisions. Work is not to be limited to the "To Do List" (renamed, "Issues to Consider"), nor are the items identified in the Issues to Consider mandatory revisions. A unique development aspect of the projects included in NERC's three-year reliability standards development plan is that the standard drafting teams will not be inhibited from addressing at one time all necessary improvements to the standards, or from even proposing new changes to the standard, as long as the changes are within the content area of the standard. The goal is for the drafting team to develop the best possible standard within the defined subject area, as supported by a consensus of stakeholders. The SAR drafting team encourages all commenters to read Volume I of NERC's Three-year Reliability Standards Development Plan which identifies a set of specific issues each standard drafting team is to consider when revising a standard.
- 3. The Applicability section of the SAR was expanded to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority/Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator. The "applicability" identified in the SAR is the starting point for consideration of redrafting of the standard. The standard drafting team will review the appropriate applicability of each of these standards.
- 4. The SAR drafting team noted a number of comments suggesting additional topics or issues to consider with the refinement of the standards. These comments have been noted and added to the SAR for resolution during standard drafting.
- 5. Other miscellaneous changes as requested and agreed to by the SAR drafting team and identified in the redlined version of Draft 2 of the SAR for Project 2007-01.

1.	Do you agree that PRC-008 should be removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01 and placed into a project with all the relay maintenance and testing standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. Yes No Comments:
2.	Do you agree with revising the SAR to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A and Appendix C to the SAR? If not, please explain in the comment area.
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Comments: However, the drafting team should be encouraged to more clearly communicate that such Appendices are lists of topics and comments that are to be considered, but they are not lists of requirements that must be included in the standard to be developed.
3.	Do you agree with expanding the Applicability section of the SAR to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority or Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator so that the standard drafting team can consider these entities when reviewing the appropriate applicability of the standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. \square Yes
	□ No
	Comments:
4.	Do you have any other concerns with the revisions made to the SAR? If yes, please explain in the comment area.
	Yes
	⊠ No
	Comments:

Individual Commenter Information				
(Comple	(Complete this page for comments from one organization or individual.)			
Name: F	Name: Roger Champagne			
Organization: H	Organization: Hydro-Québec TransÉnergie			
Telephone: 514 289-2211, X2766				
E-mail: c	hampa	gne.roger.2@hydro.qc.ca		
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment		
☐ ERCOT	\boxtimes	1 — Transmission Owners		
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs and ISOs		
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities		
$oxed{oxed}$ NPCC		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities		
RFC		5 — Electric Generators		
SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers		
☐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users		
		8 — Small Electricity End Users		
∐ NA – No Applicable	t 🗆	9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities		
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities		

Group Comments (Complete this p	page if comments are from a group	D.)			
Group Name:					
Lead Contact:					
Contact Organization:					
Contact Segment:					
Contact Telephone:					
Contact E-mail:					
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*		

^{*}If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

This project involves revising the requirements in the following standards:

PRC-006 — Development and Documentation of Regional Reliability Organizations' Underfrequency Load Shedding Programs

PRC-007 — Assuring Consistency with Regional UFLS Programs PRC-009 — UFLS Performance Following an Underfrequency Event

The standards in this set are all Version 0 standards. As the electric reliability organization begins enforcing compliance with reliability standards under Section 215 of the Federal Power Act in the United States and applicable statutes and regulations in Canada, the industry needs a set of clear, measurable, and enforceable reliability standards. The Version 0 standards, while a good foundation, were translated from historical operating and planning policies and guides that were appropriate in an era of voluntary compliance. The Version 0 standards and recent updates were put in place as a temporary starting point to start up the electric reliability organization and begin enforcement of mandatory standards. However, it is important to update the standards in a timely manner, incorporating improvements to make the standards more suitable for enforcement and to capture prior recommendations that were deferred during the Version 0 translation.

PRC-006 is one of the few reliability standards identified by the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group as a standard that has some requirements that need to be defined by each regional entity in a regional standard.

The standard drafting team (SDT) will work with stakeholders to review PRC-006 and each of the current regional programs developed in accordance with that standard, including any other associated programs and/or requirements related to and contained with the UFLS program documentation. The SDT shall determine which requirements should be continent-wide requirements and which requirements should be included in regional standards.

PRC-007 and PRC-009 have some "fill-in-the-blank" characteristics as identified in the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group work plan, which need to be removed. These standards shall be included with PRC-006 for consideration as one or more revised standards as necessary for consistency and clarity of overall program requirements and any other associated programs and/or requirements that affect or impact the UFLS program.

The standard drafting team may include other improvements to the standards deemed appropriate by the drafting team, with the consensus of stakeholders, consistent with establishing high-quality, enforceable, and technically sufficient bulk power system reliability standards.

- 1. PRC-008 was removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01. The SAR drafting team agreed with a number of commenters that suggested grouping all the relay maintenance and testing standards into a single project. The SAR drafting team has requested that NERC staff remove PRC-008 from Project 2007-01 and place it in a project with the following standards:
 - PRC-005 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-008 (currently in Project 2007-01)
 - PRC-011 (currently in Project 2008-02)
 - PRC-017 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-018 Requirement 6 (currently in Project 2007-011)
- 2. The SAR was revised to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A to the SAR. The scope of the SAR is designed to provide the standard drafting team with sufficient flexibility to address all necessary revisions. Work is not to be limited to the "To Do List" (renamed, "Issues to Consider"), nor are the items identified in the Issues to Consider mandatory revisions. A unique development aspect of the projects included in NERC's three-year reliability standards development plan is that the standard drafting teams will not be inhibited from addressing at one time all necessary improvements to the standards, or from even proposing new changes to the standard, as long as the changes are within the content area of the standard. The goal is for the drafting team to develop the best possible standard within the defined subject area, as supported by a consensus of stakeholders. The SAR drafting team encourages all commenters to read Volume I of NERC's Three-year Reliability Standards Development Plan which identifies a set of specific issues each standard drafting team is to consider when revising a standard.
- 3. The Applicability section of the SAR was expanded to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority/Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator. The "applicability" identified in the SAR is the starting point for consideration of redrafting of the standard. The standard drafting team will review the appropriate applicability of each of these standards.
- 4. The SAR drafting team noted a number of comments suggesting additional topics or issues to consider with the refinement of the standards. These comments have been noted and added to the SAR for resolution during standard drafting.
- 5. Other miscellaneous changes as requested and agreed to by the SAR drafting team and identified in the redlined version of Draft 2 of the SAR for Project 2007-01.

1.	Do you agree that PRC-008 should be removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01 and placed into a project with all the relay maintenance and testing standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. Yes No Comments:
2.	Do you agree with revising the SAR to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A and Appendix C to the SAR? If not, please explain in the comment area.
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Comments:
3.	Do you agree with expanding the Applicability section of the SAR to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority or Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator so that the standard drafting team can consider these entities when reviewing the appropriate applicability of the standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. \square Yes
	□ No
	Comments:
4.	Do you have any other concerns with the revisions made to the SAR? If yes, please explain in the comment area.
	☐ Yes
	⊠ No
	Comments:

Individual Commenter Information				
(Comple	(Complete this page for comments from one organization or individual.)			
Name: F	Name: Ron Falsetti			
Organization: I	ESO			
Telephone: 9	905-855	-6187		
E-mail: r	on.false	etti@ieso.ca		
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment		
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners		
☐ FRCC	\boxtimes	2 — RTOs and ISOs		
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities		
\boxtimes NPCC		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities		
☐ RFC		5 — Electric Generators		
☐ SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers		
☐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users		
☐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users		
∐ NA – No Applicable	t 🗆	9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities		
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities		

Group Comments (Complete this p	page if comments are from a group	o.)	
Group Name:			
Lead Contact:			
Contact Organization:			
Contact Segment:			
Contact Telephone:			
Contact E-mail:			
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*

^{*}If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

This project involves revising the requirements in the following standards:

PRC-006 — Development and Documentation of Regional Reliability Organizations' Underfrequency Load Shedding Programs

PRC-007 — Assuring Consistency with Regional UFLS Programs PRC-009 — UFLS Performance Following an Underfrequency Event

The standards in this set are all Version 0 standards. As the electric reliability organization begins enforcing compliance with reliability standards under Section 215 of the Federal Power Act in the United States and applicable statutes and regulations in Canada, the industry needs a set of clear, measurable, and enforceable reliability standards. The Version 0 standards, while a good foundation, were translated from historical operating and planning policies and guides that were appropriate in an era of voluntary compliance. The Version 0 standards and recent updates were put in place as a temporary starting point to start up the electric reliability organization and begin enforcement of mandatory standards. However, it is important to update the standards in a timely manner, incorporating improvements to make the standards more suitable for enforcement and to capture prior recommendations that were deferred during the Version 0 translation.

PRC-006 is one of the few reliability standards identified by the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group as a standard that has some requirements that need to be defined by each regional entity in a regional standard.

The standard drafting team (SDT) will work with stakeholders to review PRC-006 and each of the current regional programs developed in accordance with that standard, including any other associated programs and/or requirements related to and contained with the UFLS program documentation. The SDT shall determine which requirements should be continent-wide requirements and which requirements should be included in regional standards.

PRC-007 and PRC-009 have some "fill-in-the-blank" characteristics as identified in the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group work plan, which need to be removed. These standards shall be included with PRC-006 for consideration as one or more revised standards as necessary for consistency and clarity of overall program requirements and any other associated programs and/or requirements that affect or impact the UFLS program.

The standard drafting team may include other improvements to the standards deemed appropriate by the drafting team, with the consensus of stakeholders, consistent with establishing high-quality, enforceable, and technically sufficient bulk power system reliability standards.

- 1. PRC-008 was removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01. The SAR drafting team agreed with a number of commenters that suggested grouping all the relay maintenance and testing standards into a single project. The SAR drafting team has requested that NERC staff remove PRC-008 from Project 2007-01 and place it in a project with the following standards:
 - PRC-005 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-008 (currently in Project 2007-01)
 - PRC-011 (currently in Project 2008-02)
 - PRC-017 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-018 Requirement 6 (currently in Project 2007-011)
- 2. The SAR was revised to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A to the SAR. The scope of the SAR is designed to provide the standard drafting team with sufficient flexibility to address all necessary revisions. Work is not to be limited to the "To Do List" (renamed, "Issues to Consider"), nor are the items identified in the Issues to Consider mandatory revisions. A unique development aspect of the projects included in NERC's three-year reliability standards development plan is that the standard drafting teams will not be inhibited from addressing at one time all necessary improvements to the standards, or from even proposing new changes to the standard, as long as the changes are within the content area of the standard. The goal is for the drafting team to develop the best possible standard within the defined subject area, as supported by a consensus of stakeholders. The SAR drafting team encourages all commenters to read Volume I of NERC's Three-year Reliability Standards Development Plan which identifies a set of specific issues each standard drafting team is to consider when revising a standard.
- 3. The Applicability section of the SAR was expanded to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority/Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator. The "applicability" identified in the SAR is the starting point for consideration of redrafting of the standard. The standard drafting team will review the appropriate applicability of each of these standards.
- 4. The SAR drafting team noted a number of comments suggesting additional topics or issues to consider with the refinement of the standards. These comments have been noted and added to the SAR for resolution during standard drafting.
- 5. Other miscellaneous changes as requested and agreed to by the SAR drafting team and identified in the redlined version of Draft 2 of the SAR for Project 2007-01.

1.	Do you agree that PRC-008 should be removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01 and placed into a project with all the relay maintenance and testing standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. Yes No Comments:
2.	Do you agree with revising the SAR to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A and Appendix C to the SAR? If not, please explain in the comment area.
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Comments:
3.	Do you agree with expanding the Applicability section of the SAR to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority or Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator so that the standard drafting team can consider these entities when reviewing the appropriate applicability of the standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. \square Yes
	□ No
	Comments:
4.	Do you have any other concerns with the revisions made to the SAR? If yes, please explain in the comment area.
	☐ Yes
	⊠ No
	Comments:

Individual Commenter Information				
(Complete this page for comments from one organization or individual.)				
Name:	Name:			
Organization:				
Telephone:				
E-mail:				
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment		
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners		
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs and ISOs		
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities		
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities		
RFC		5 — Electric Generators		
☐ SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers		
∐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users		
☐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users		
∐ NA – Not Applicable		9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities		
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities		

Group Comments (Complete this page if comments are from a group.)

Group Name: Standards Review Comittee

Lead Contact: Charles Yeung

Contact Organization: SPP

Contact Segment: 2

Contact Telephone: 832-724-6142

Contact E-mail: cyeung@spp.org

Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*
Alicia Daugherty	PJM	RFC	2
Mike Calimano	NYISO	NPCC	2
Ron Falsetti	IESO	NPCC	2
Matt Goldberg	ISO-NE	NPCC	2
Brent Kingsford	CAISO	WECC	2
Anita Lee	AESO	WECC	2
Steve Myers	ERCOT	ERCOT	2
Bill Phillips	MISO	RFC+	2
		+MRO	
		+SERC	
*If we are the second Device and County			

^{*}If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

Background Information

This project involves revising the requirements in the following standards:

PRC-006 — Development and Documentation of Regional Reliability Organizations' Underfrequency Load Shedding Programs

PRC-007 — Assuring Consistency with Regional UFLS Programs PRC-009 — UFLS Performance Following an Underfrequency Event

The standards in this set are all Version 0 standards. As the electric reliability organization begins enforcing compliance with reliability standards under Section 215 of the Federal Power Act in the United States and applicable statutes and regulations in Canada, the industry needs a set of clear, measurable, and enforceable reliability standards. The Version 0 standards, while a good foundation, were translated from historical operating and planning policies and guides that were appropriate in an era of voluntary compliance. The Version 0 standards and recent updates were put in place as a temporary starting point to start up the electric reliability organization and begin enforcement of mandatory standards. However, it is important to update the standards in a timely manner, incorporating improvements to make the standards more suitable for enforcement and to capture prior recommendations that were deferred during the Version 0 translation.

PRC-006 is one of the few reliability standards identified by the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group as a standard that has some requirements that need to be defined by each regional entity in a regional standard.

The standard drafting team (SDT) will work with stakeholders to review PRC-006 and each of the current regional programs developed in accordance with that standard, including any other associated programs and/or requirements related to and contained with the UFLS program documentation. The SDT shall determine which requirements should be continent-wide requirements and which requirements should be included in regional standards.

PRC-007 and PRC-009 have some "fill-in-the-blank" characteristics as identified in the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group work plan, which need to be removed. These standards shall be included with PRC-006 for consideration as one or more revised standards as necessary for consistency and clarity of overall program requirements and any other associated programs and/or requirements that affect or impact the UFLS program.

The standard drafting team may include other improvements to the standards deemed appropriate by the drafting team, with the consensus of stakeholders, consistent with establishing high-quality, enforceable, and technically sufficient bulk power system reliability standards.

- 1. PRC-008 was removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01. The SAR drafting team agreed with a number of commenters that suggested grouping all the relay maintenance and testing standards into a single project. The SAR drafting team has requested that NERC staff remove PRC-008 from Project 2007-01 and place it in a project with the following standards:
 - PRC-005 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-008 (currently in Project 2007-01)
 - PRC-011 (currently in Project 2008-02)
 - PRC-017 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-018 Requirement 6 (currently in Project 2007-011)
- 2. The SAR was revised to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A to the SAR. The scope of the SAR is designed to provide the standard drafting team with sufficient flexibility to address all necessary revisions. Work is not to be limited to the "To Do List" (renamed, "Issues to Consider"), nor are the items identified in the Issues to Consider mandatory revisions. A unique development aspect of the projects included in NERC's three-year reliability standards development plan is that the standard drafting teams will not be inhibited from addressing at one time all necessary improvements to the standards, or from even proposing new changes to the standard, as long as the changes are within the content area of the standard. The goal is for the drafting team to develop the best possible standard within the defined subject area, as supported by a consensus of stakeholders. The SAR drafting team encourages all commenters to read Volume I of NERC's Three-year Reliability Standards Development Plan which identifies a set of specific issues each standard drafting team is to consider when revising a standard.
- 3. The Applicability section of the SAR was expanded to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority/Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator. The "applicability" identified in the SAR is the starting point for consideration of redrafting of the standard. The standard drafting team will review the appropriate applicability of each of these standards.
- 4. The SAR drafting team noted a number of comments suggesting additional topics or issues to consider with the refinement of the standards. These comments have been noted and added to the SAR for resolution during standard drafting.
- 5. Other miscellaneous changes as requested and agreed to by the SAR drafting team and identified in the redlined version of Draft 2 of the SAR for Project 2007-01.

1.	Do you agree that PRC-008 should be removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01 and placed into a project with all the relay maintenance and testing standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. Yes No Comments:
2.	Do you agree with revising the SAR to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A and Appendix C to the SAR? If not, please explain in the comment area.
	No Comments: The addition of Appendix A and Appendix C does not seem to improve clarity on the scope of work, but rather just add a list of "things to consider" for the standards drafting team. As it stands the scope of work is fairly wide open. However, we do not disagree that the standards drafting team should consider those comments.
	we do not disagree that the standards drafting team should consider those comments.
3.	Do you agree with expanding the Applicability section of the SAR to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority or Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator so that the standard drafting team can consider these entities when reviewing the appropriate applicability of the standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. \square Yes
	□ No Comments:
4.	Do you have any other concerns with the revisions made to the SAR? If yes, please explain in the comment area.
	Yes
	□ No Comments:

Comment Form — 2nd Draft of SAR for Project 2007-11 Underfrequency Load Shedding

Please use this form to submit comments on Draft 2 of the SAR for Project 2007-01, Underfrequency Load Shedding (UFLS). Comments must be submitted by **March 9, 2007**. You may submit the completed form by e-mail to sarcomm@nerc.com with the words "UFLS SAR" in the subject line. If you have questions please contact David Taylor at dave.taylor@nerc.net or by telephone at 609-452-8060.

Individual Commenter Information			
(Comple	ete thi	s page for comments from one organization or individual.)	
Name: E	Brian Th	umm	
Organization: I	TC Hold	dings	
Telephone: 2	248.374	7846	
E-mail: b	othumm	@itctransco.com	
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment	
☐ ERCOT	\boxtimes	1 — Transmission Owners	
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs and ISOs	
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities	
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities	
⊠ RFC		5 — Electric Generators	
SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers	
		7 — Large Electricity End Users	
☐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users	
∐ NA – No Applicable	t 🔲	9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities	
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities	

Group Comments (Complete this p	page if comments are from a group	o.)		
Group Name:				
Lead Contact:	Lead Contact:			
Contact Organization:				
Contact Segment:				
Contact Telephone:				
Contact E-mail:				
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*	

^{*}If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

Background Information

This project involves revising the requirements in the following standards:

PRC-006 — Development and Documentation of Regional Reliability Organizations' Underfrequency Load Shedding Programs

PRC-007 — Assuring Consistency with Regional UFLS Programs PRC-009 — UFLS Performance Following an Underfrequency Event

The standards in this set are all Version 0 standards. As the electric reliability organization begins enforcing compliance with reliability standards under Section 215 of the Federal Power Act in the United States and applicable statutes and regulations in Canada, the industry needs a set of clear, measurable, and enforceable reliability standards. The Version 0 standards, while a good foundation, were translated from historical operating and planning policies and guides that were appropriate in an era of voluntary compliance. The Version 0 standards and recent updates were put in place as a temporary starting point to start up the electric reliability organization and begin enforcement of mandatory standards. However, it is important to update the standards in a timely manner, incorporating improvements to make the standards more suitable for enforcement and to capture prior recommendations that were deferred during the Version 0 translation.

PRC-006 is one of the few reliability standards identified by the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group as a standard that has some requirements that need to be defined by each regional entity in a regional standard.

The standard drafting team (SDT) will work with stakeholders to review PRC-006 and each of the current regional programs developed in accordance with that standard, including any other associated programs and/or requirements related to and contained with the UFLS program documentation. The SDT shall determine which requirements should be continent-wide requirements and which requirements should be included in regional standards.

PRC-007 and PRC-009 have some "fill-in-the-blank" characteristics as identified in the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group work plan, which need to be removed. These standards shall be included with PRC-006 for consideration as one or more revised standards as necessary for consistency and clarity of overall program requirements and any other associated programs and/or requirements that affect or impact the UFLS program.

The standard drafting team may include other improvements to the standards deemed appropriate by the drafting team, with the consensus of stakeholders, consistent with establishing high-quality, enforceable, and technically sufficient bulk power system reliability standards.

- 1. PRC-008 was removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01. The SAR drafting team agreed with a number of commenters that suggested grouping all the relay maintenance and testing standards into a single project. The SAR drafting team has requested that NERC staff remove PRC-008 from Project 2007-01 and place it in a project with the following standards:
 - PRC-005 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-008 (currently in Project 2007-01)
 - PRC-011 (currently in Project 2008-02)
 - PRC-017 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-018 Requirement 6 (currently in Project 2007-011)
- 2. The SAR was revised to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A to the SAR. The scope of the SAR is designed to provide the standard drafting team with sufficient flexibility to address all necessary revisions. Work is not to be limited to the "To Do List" (renamed, "Issues to Consider"), nor are the items identified in the Issues to Consider mandatory revisions. A unique development aspect of the projects included in NERC's three-year reliability standards development plan is that the standard drafting teams will not be inhibited from addressing at one time all necessary improvements to the standards, or from even proposing new changes to the standard, as long as the changes are within the content area of the standard. The goal is for the drafting team to develop the best possible standard within the defined subject area, as supported by a consensus of stakeholders. The SAR drafting team encourages all commenters to read Volume I of NERC's Three-year Reliability Standards Development Plan which identifies a set of specific issues each standard drafting team is to consider when revising a standard.
- 3. The Applicability section of the SAR was expanded to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority/Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator. The "applicability" identified in the SAR is the starting point for consideration of redrafting of the standard. The standard drafting team will review the appropriate applicability of each of these standards.
- 4. The SAR drafting team noted a number of comments suggesting additional topics or issues to consider with the refinement of the standards. These comments have been noted and added to the SAR for resolution during standard drafting.
- 5. Other miscellaneous changes as requested and agreed to by the SAR drafting team and identified in the redlined version of Draft 2 of the SAR for Project 2007-01.

1.	Do you agree that PRC-008 should be removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01 and placed into a project with all the relay maintenance and testing standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. Yes No Comments:
2.	Do you agree with revising the SAR to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A and Appendix C to the SAR? If not, please explain in the comment area.
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Comments:
3.	Do you agree with expanding the Applicability section of the SAR to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority or Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Congretor Operator on that the standard drafting team can consider these
	Owner, and Generator Operator so that the standard drafting team can consider these entities when reviewing the appropriate applicability of the standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. Yes
	No No
	Comments: None of the UFLS standards currently apply to either Planning function, and the SAR does not contemplate adding any requirements that do. The Planning Coordinator and the Transmission Planner should be removed from the scope of the SAR.
4.	Do you have any other concerns with the revisions made to the SAR? If yes, please explain in the comment area.
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Comments: Independent transmission companies do not have direct access to load (location, nature, etc.) in order to fully implement a UFLS program. The applicability of the Standard should be further modified to reflect the need for the DP/LSE to own/operate/develop/maintain a UFLS program in cooperation with its TO/TOP/RC. The standard is currently written to allow the Regional Entity to require a Transmission Operator or Operator to own/operate a UFLS program, and, in general, an independent transmission company does not have the means to implement load shedding programs.

Comment Form — 2nd Draft of SAR for Project 2007-11 Underfrequency Load Shedding

Please use this form to submit comments on Draft 2 of the SAR for Project 2007-01, Underfrequency Load Shedding (UFLS). Comments must be submitted by **March 9, 2007**. You may submit the completed form by e-mail to sarcomm@nerc.com with the words "UFLS SAR" in the subject line. If you have questions please contact David Taylor at dave.taylor@nerc.net or by telephone at 609-452-8060.

Individual Commenter Information			
(Comple	ete thi	s page for comments from one organization or individual.)	
Name: N	/lichael	Gammon	
Organization: k	(ansas	City Power & Light	
Telephone: 8	16-654	-1242	
E-mail: n	nike.gar	mmon@kcpl.com	
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment	
☐ ERCOT	\boxtimes	1 — Transmission Owners	
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs and ISOs	
		3 — Load-serving Entities	
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities	
RFC		5 — Electric Generators	
☐ SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers	
$oxed{oxed}$ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users	
☐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users	
∐ NA – Not Applicable	t 🔲	9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities	
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities	

Group Comments (Complete this p	page if comments are from a group	o.)		
Group Name:				
Lead Contact:	Lead Contact:			
Contact Organization:				
Contact Segment:				
Contact Telephone:				
Contact E-mail:				
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*	

^{*}If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

Background Information

This project involves revising the requirements in the following standards:

PRC-006 — Development and Documentation of Regional Reliability Organizations' Underfrequency Load Shedding Programs

PRC-007 — Assuring Consistency with Regional UFLS Programs PRC-009 — UFLS Performance Following an Underfrequency Event

The standards in this set are all Version 0 standards. As the electric reliability organization begins enforcing compliance with reliability standards under Section 215 of the Federal Power Act in the United States and applicable statutes and regulations in Canada, the industry needs a set of clear, measurable, and enforceable reliability standards. The Version 0 standards, while a good foundation, were translated from historical operating and planning policies and guides that were appropriate in an era of voluntary compliance. The Version 0 standards and recent updates were put in place as a temporary starting point to start up the electric reliability organization and begin enforcement of mandatory standards. However, it is important to update the standards in a timely manner, incorporating improvements to make the standards more suitable for enforcement and to capture prior recommendations that were deferred during the Version 0 translation.

PRC-006 is one of the few reliability standards identified by the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group as a standard that has some requirements that need to be defined by each regional entity in a regional standard.

The standard drafting team (SDT) will work with stakeholders to review PRC-006 and each of the current regional programs developed in accordance with that standard, including any other associated programs and/or requirements related to and contained with the UFLS program documentation. The SDT shall determine which requirements should be continent-wide requirements and which requirements should be included in regional standards.

PRC-007 and PRC-009 have some "fill-in-the-blank" characteristics as identified in the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group work plan, which need to be removed. These standards shall be included with PRC-006 for consideration as one or more revised standards as necessary for consistency and clarity of overall program requirements and any other associated programs and/or requirements that affect or impact the UFLS program.

The standard drafting team may include other improvements to the standards deemed appropriate by the drafting team, with the consensus of stakeholders, consistent with establishing high-quality, enforceable, and technically sufficient bulk power system reliability standards.

- 1. PRC-008 was removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01. The SAR drafting team agreed with a number of commenters that suggested grouping all the relay maintenance and testing standards into a single project. The SAR drafting team has requested that NERC staff remove PRC-008 from Project 2007-01 and place it in a project with the following standards:
 - PRC-005 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-008 (currently in Project 2007-01)
 - PRC-011 (currently in Project 2008-02)
 - PRC-017 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-018 Requirement 6 (currently in Project 2007-011)
- 2. The SAR was revised to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A to the SAR. The scope of the SAR is designed to provide the standard drafting team with sufficient flexibility to address all necessary revisions. Work is not to be limited to the "To Do List" (renamed, "Issues to Consider"), nor are the items identified in the Issues to Consider mandatory revisions. A unique development aspect of the projects included in NERC's three-year reliability standards development plan is that the standard drafting teams will not be inhibited from addressing at one time all necessary improvements to the standards, or from even proposing new changes to the standard, as long as the changes are within the content area of the standard. The goal is for the drafting team to develop the best possible standard within the defined subject area, as supported by a consensus of stakeholders. The SAR drafting team encourages all commenters to read Volume I of NERC's Three-year Reliability Standards Development Plan which identifies a set of specific issues each standard drafting team is to consider when revising a standard.
- 3. The Applicability section of the SAR was expanded to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority/Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator. The "applicability" identified in the SAR is the starting point for consideration of redrafting of the standard. The standard drafting team will review the appropriate applicability of each of these standards.
- 4. The SAR drafting team noted a number of comments suggesting additional topics or issues to consider with the refinement of the standards. These comments have been noted and added to the SAR for resolution during standard drafting.
- 5. Other miscellaneous changes as requested and agreed to by the SAR drafting team and identified in the redlined version of Draft 2 of the SAR for Project 2007-01.

1.	Do you agree that PRC-008 should be removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01 and placed into a project with all the relay maintenance and testing standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. Yes No Comments:
2.	Do you agree with revising the SAR to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A and Appendix C to the SAR? If not, please explain in the comment area.
	□ No
	Comments:
3.	Do you agree with expanding the Applicability section of the SAR to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority or Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator so that the standard drafting team can consider these entities when reviewing the appropriate applicability of the standards? If not, please explain in the comment area.
	⊠ No
	Comments: Even though it is not mentioned in the question, the Reliability Coordinator should be included as one of the Applicable Entities. On the SAR the Reliability Authority is not checked in "The Standard will Apply to the Following Functions" table.
4.	Do you have any other concerns with the revisions made to the SAR? If yes, please explain in the comment area.
	Yes
	⊠ No
	Comments:

Comment Form — 2nd Draft of SAR for Project 2007-11 Underfrequency Load Shedding

Please use this form to submit comments on Draft 2 of the SAR for Project 2007-01, Underfrequency Load Shedding (UFLS). Comments must be submitted by **March 9, 2007**. You may submit the completed form by e-mail to sarcomm@nerc.com with the words "UFLS SAR" in the subject line. If you have questions please contact David Taylor at dave.taylor@nerc.net or by telephone at 609-452-8060.

Individual Commenter Information			
(Comple	ete thi	s page for comments from one organization or individual.)	
Name:	Robert C	Coish	
Organization: I	Manitob	a Hydro	
Telephone: 2	204-487	-5479	
E-mail: r	rgcoish@	hydro.mb.ca	
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment	
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners	
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs and ISOs	
		3 — Load-serving Entities	
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities	
RFC		5 — Electric Generators	
SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers	
∐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users	
∐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users	
∐ NA – No Applicable	ot	9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities	
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities	

Group Comments (Complete this p	page if comments are from a group	o.)		
Group Name:				
Lead Contact:	Lead Contact:			
Contact Organization:				
Contact Segment:				
Contact Telephone:				
Contact E-mail:				
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*	

^{*}If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

Background Information

This project involves revising the requirements in the following standards:

PRC-006 — Development and Documentation of Regional Reliability Organizations' Underfrequency Load Shedding Programs

PRC-007 — Assuring Consistency with Regional UFLS Programs PRC-009 — UFLS Performance Following an Underfrequency Event

The standards in this set are all Version 0 standards. As the electric reliability organization begins enforcing compliance with reliability standards under Section 215 of the Federal Power Act in the United States and applicable statutes and regulations in Canada, the industry needs a set of clear, measurable, and enforceable reliability standards. The Version 0 standards, while a good foundation, were translated from historical operating and planning policies and guides that were appropriate in an era of voluntary compliance. The Version 0 standards and recent updates were put in place as a temporary starting point to start up the electric reliability organization and begin enforcement of mandatory standards. However, it is important to update the standards in a timely manner, incorporating improvements to make the standards more suitable for enforcement and to capture prior recommendations that were deferred during the Version 0 translation.

PRC-006 is one of the few reliability standards identified by the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group as a standard that has some requirements that need to be defined by each regional entity in a regional standard.

The standard drafting team (SDT) will work with stakeholders to review PRC-006 and each of the current regional programs developed in accordance with that standard, including any other associated programs and/or requirements related to and contained with the UFLS program documentation. The SDT shall determine which requirements should be continent-wide requirements and which requirements should be included in regional standards.

PRC-007 and PRC-009 have some "fill-in-the-blank" characteristics as identified in the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group work plan, which need to be removed. These standards shall be included with PRC-006 for consideration as one or more revised standards as necessary for consistency and clarity of overall program requirements and any other associated programs and/or requirements that affect or impact the UFLS program.

The standard drafting team may include other improvements to the standards deemed appropriate by the drafting team, with the consensus of stakeholders, consistent with establishing high-quality, enforceable, and technically sufficient bulk power system reliability standards.

- 1. PRC-008 was removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01. The SAR drafting team agreed with a number of commenters that suggested grouping all the relay maintenance and testing standards into a single project. The SAR drafting team has requested that NERC staff remove PRC-008 from Project 2007-01 and place it in a project with the following standards:
 - PRC-005 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-008 (currently in Project 2007-01)
 - PRC-011 (currently in Project 2008-02)
 - PRC-017 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-018 Requirement 6 (currently in Project 2007-011)
- 2. The SAR was revised to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A to the SAR. The scope of the SAR is designed to provide the standard drafting team with sufficient flexibility to address all necessary revisions. Work is not to be limited to the "To Do List" (renamed, "Issues to Consider"), nor are the items identified in the Issues to Consider mandatory revisions. A unique development aspect of the projects included in NERC's three-year reliability standards development plan is that the standard drafting teams will not be inhibited from addressing at one time all necessary improvements to the standards, or from even proposing new changes to the standard, as long as the changes are within the content area of the standard. The goal is for the drafting team to develop the best possible standard within the defined subject area, as supported by a consensus of stakeholders. The SAR drafting team encourages all commenters to read Volume I of NERC's Three-year Reliability Standards Development Plan which identifies a set of specific issues each standard drafting team is to consider when revising a standard.
- 3. The Applicability section of the SAR was expanded to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority/Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator. The "applicability" identified in the SAR is the starting point for consideration of redrafting of the standard. The standard drafting team will review the appropriate applicability of each of these standards.
- 4. The SAR drafting team noted a number of comments suggesting additional topics or issues to consider with the refinement of the standards. These comments have been noted and added to the SAR for resolution during standard drafting.
- 5. Other miscellaneous changes as requested and agreed to by the SAR drafting team and identified in the redlined version of Draft 2 of the SAR for Project 2007-01.

1.	Do you agree that PRC-008 should be removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01 and placed into a project with all the relay maintenance and testing standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. Yes No Comments:
2.	Do you agree with revising the SAR to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A and Appendix C to the SAR? If not, please explain in the comment area.
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Comments: MH believes a lot of good effort has been put into the drafting of this SAR to identify all the significant issues that need to be considered in drafting the UFLS standards. The standard drafting team has its work cut out for it! - but at least, hopefully, all the significant issues are identified.
3.	Do you agree with expanding the Applicability section of the SAR to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority or Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator so that the standard drafting team can consider these entities when reviewing the appropriate applicability of the standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. Yes No Comments:
4.	Do you have any other concerns with the revisions made to the SAR? If yes, please explain in the comment area.
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Comments: Re-iterating significant comments made in 1st draft of SAR, but not included in MH comment section of Appendix C in 2nd draft:
	PRC - 007 - 0
	Measures.

M1 - If "consistency" is to be clarified here, it must also be clarified for R1 as well. If R1 does not require this clarification, neither does M1. Also, does "consistency" really require further clarification?

NEW COMMENTS FOR 2ND DRAFT.

Appendix C -

PJM Comments.

I believe RRO's should stand between regional UFLS owner/control areas and NERC. Various RRO's may have some different methodologies and procedures which are appropriate to their specific RRO regions and not to others. There should not be a single UFLS criteria from NERC that covers ALL UFLS conditions and concerns for the entire grid.

NCMPA Comments.

I agree with non-compulsory compliance for utilities with very low peak loads if they are surrounded by utilities with load levels sizable enough to require compliance to UFLS programs. However, if there are a lot of small load utilities in an RRO region whose total peak load is sizeable enough to require UFLS, these small utilities will have to coordinate as if they were one large utility in order to conform with their RRO's UFLS program in the same fashion a single large load utility would, to ensure proper total RRO region low frequency UFLS mitgation.

Comment Form — 2nd Draft of SAR for Project 2007-11 Underfrequency Load Shedding

Please use this form to submit comments on Draft 2 of the SAR for Project 2007-01, Underfrequency Load Shedding (UFLS). Comments must be submitted by **March 9, 2007**. You may submit the completed form by e-mail to sarcomm@nerc.com with the words "UFLS SAR" in the subject line. If you have questions please contact David Taylor at dave.taylor@nerc.net or by telephone at 609-452-8060.

Individual Commenter Information		
(Complete	e this	s page for comments from one organization or individual.)
Name:		
Organization:		
Telephone:		
E-mail:		
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs and ISOs
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities
RFC		5 — Electric Generators
☐ SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers
☐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users
∐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users
∐ NA – Not Applicable		9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities

Group Comments (Complete this page if comments are from a group.)

Group Name: Midwest ISO and individual stakeholders

Lead Contact: Jason Marshall

Contact Organization: Midwest ISO

Contact Segment: 2

Contact Telephone: (317) 249-5494

Contact E-mail: jmarshall@midwestiso.org

Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*
ITC	RFC	1
JDRJC Associates	RFC	8
	Organization ITC JDRJC Associates	Organization ITC RFC JDRJC Associates RFC

^{*}If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

Background Information

This project involves revising the requirements in the following standards:

PRC-006 — Development and Documentation of Regional Reliability Organizations' Underfrequency Load Shedding Programs

PRC-007 — Assuring Consistency with Regional UFLS Programs PRC-009 — UFLS Performance Following an Underfrequency Event

The standards in this set are all Version 0 standards. As the electric reliability organization begins enforcing compliance with reliability standards under Section 215 of the Federal Power Act in the United States and applicable statutes and regulations in Canada, the industry needs a set of clear, measurable, and enforceable reliability standards. The Version 0 standards, while a good foundation, were translated from historical operating and planning policies and guides that were appropriate in an era of voluntary compliance. The Version 0 standards and recent updates were put in place as a temporary starting point to start up the electric reliability organization and begin enforcement of mandatory standards. However, it is important to update the standards in a timely manner, incorporating improvements to make the standards more suitable for enforcement and to capture prior recommendations that were deferred during the Version 0 translation.

PRC-006 is one of the few reliability standards identified by the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group as a standard that has some requirements that need to be defined by each regional entity in a regional standard.

The standard drafting team (SDT) will work with stakeholders to review PRC-006 and each of the current regional programs developed in accordance with that standard, including any other associated programs and/or requirements related to and contained with the UFLS program documentation. The SDT shall determine which requirements should be continent-wide requirements and which requirements should be included in regional standards.

PRC-007 and PRC-009 have some "fill-in-the-blank" characteristics as identified in the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group work plan, which need to be removed. These standards shall be included with PRC-006 for consideration as one or more revised standards as necessary for consistency and clarity of overall program requirements and any other associated programs and/or requirements that affect or impact the UFLS program.

The standard drafting team may include other improvements to the standards deemed appropriate by the drafting team, with the consensus of stakeholders, consistent with establishing high-quality, enforceable, and technically sufficient bulk power system reliability standards.

- 1. PRC-008 was removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01. The SAR drafting team agreed with a number of commenters that suggested grouping all the relay maintenance and testing standards into a single project. The SAR drafting team has requested that NERC staff remove PRC-008 from Project 2007-01 and place it in a project with the following standards:
 - PRC-005 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-008 (currently in Project 2007-01)
 - PRC-011 (currently in Project 2008-02)
 - PRC-017 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-018 Requirement 6 (currently in Project 2007-011)
- 2. The SAR was revised to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A to the SAR. The scope of the SAR is designed to provide the standard drafting team with sufficient flexibility to address all necessary revisions. Work is not to be limited to the "To Do List" (renamed, "Issues to Consider"), nor are the items identified in the Issues to Consider mandatory revisions. A unique development aspect of the projects included in NERC's three-year reliability standards development plan is that the standard drafting teams will not be inhibited from addressing at one time all necessary improvements to the standards, or from even proposing new changes to the standard, as long as the changes are within the content area of the standard. The goal is for the drafting team to develop the best possible standard within the defined subject area, as supported by a consensus of stakeholders. The SAR drafting team encourages all commenters to read Volume I of NERC's Three-year Reliability Standards Development Plan which identifies a set of specific issues each standard drafting team is to consider when revising a standard.
- 3. The Applicability section of the SAR was expanded to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority/Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator. The "applicability" identified in the SAR is the starting point for consideration of redrafting of the standard. The standard drafting team will review the appropriate applicability of each of these standards.
- 4. The SAR drafting team noted a number of comments suggesting additional topics or issues to consider with the refinement of the standards. These comments have been noted and added to the SAR for resolution during standard drafting.
- 5. Other miscellaneous changes as requested and agreed to by the SAR drafting team and identified in the redlined version of Draft 2 of the SAR for Project 2007-01.

1.	Do you agree that PRC-008 should be removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01 and placed into a project with all the relay maintenance and testing standards? If not, please explain in the comment area.
	☐ No Comments:
	Confinents.
2.	Do you agree with revising the SAR to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A and Appendix C to the SAR? If not, please explain in the comment area.
	☐ Yes
	⊠ No
	Comments: In general, we agree with the inclusion of Appendix A and the relevant comments that are included in Appendix C. However, we have the following specific issues with regard to the comments in Appendix C. On Page C-2, we do not agree with KCP&L's assertion that all compliance programs are administered by Reliability Coordinators. Reliability Coordinators do not administer compliance programs. Additionally, we are concerned with the meaning of Manitoba Hydro's general comment on Page C-3 that the RA needs to be included. We are assuming they mean Reliability Coordinator. We do not oppose the Reliability Coordinator being included to the extent they are made aware and have the settings of the UFLS relays available to them; however, we clearly do not believe the Reliability Coordinator should have any coordination role or should replace the role of the RRO.
3.	Do you agree with expanding the Applicability section of the SAR to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority or Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator so that the standard drafting team can consider these entities when reviewing the appropriate applicability of the standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. Yes No Comments: Is Planning Authority still in the functional model? We believe this function has been replaced.
4.	Do you have any other concerns with the revisions made to the SAR? If yes, please explain in the comment area.
	⊠ Yes
	□ No

Comments: In general, this SAR is much improved. We do support ATC's assertion on Page C-4 of Appendix C that the SDT should consider generation frequency response. We ask that they coordinate with the Frequency Response SAR drafting team.

Comment Form — 2nd Draft of SAR for Project 2007-11 Underfrequency Load Shedding

Please use this form to submit comments on Draft 2 of the SAR for Project 2007-01, Underfrequency Load Shedding (UFLS). Comments must be submitted by **March 9, 2007**. You may submit the completed form by e-mail to sarcomm@nerc.com with the words "UFLS SAR" in the subject line. If you have questions please contact David Taylor at dave.taylor@nerc.net or by telephone at 609-452-8060.

Individual Commenter Information				
(Complete	(Complete this page for comments from one organization or individual.)			
Name:				
Organization:				
Telephone:				
E-mail:				
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment		
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners		
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs and ISOs		
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities		
extstyle ext		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities		
RFC		5 — Electric Generators		
☐ SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers		
∐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users		
∐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users		
∐ NA – Not Applicable		9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities		
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities		

Group Comments (Complete this page if comments are from a group.)

Group Name: NPCC CP9, Reliability Standards Working Group

Lead Contact: Guy V. Zito

Contact Organization: Northeast Power Coordinating Council

Contact Segment: 10

Contact Telephone: 212-840-1070

Contact E-mail: gzito@npcc.org

Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*
Kathleen Goodman	ISO- New England	NPCC	2
Bill Shemley	ISO- New England	NPCC	2
Greg Campoli	New York ISO	NPCC	2
Al Adamson	New York State Rel. Council	NPCC	10
Randy McDonald	New Brunswick System Operator	NPCC	2
Roger Champagne	TransEnergie HydroQuebec	NPCC	1
Bruno Jesus	Hydro One Networks	NPCC	1
Ron Falsetti	The IESO, Ontario	NPCC	2
Herb Schrayshuen	National Grid US	NPCC	1
Donald Nelson	MA Dept. of Tele. and Energy	NPCC	9
Ralph Rufrano	New York Power Authority	NPCC	1
Murale Gopinathan	Northeast Utilities	NPCC	1
Jerad Barnhart	NStar	NPCC	1
Guy V. Zito	NPCC	NPCC	10
Ed Tompson	ConEd	NPCC	1

*If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

Background Information

This project involves revising the requirements in the following standards:

PRC-006 — Development and Documentation of Regional Reliability Organizations' Underfrequency Load Shedding Programs

PRC-007 — Assuring Consistency with Regional UFLS Programs PRC-009 — UFLS Performance Following an Underfrequency Event

The standards in this set are all Version 0 standards. As the electric reliability organization begins enforcing compliance with reliability standards under Section 215 of the Federal Power Act in the United States and applicable statutes and regulations in Canada, the industry needs a set of clear, measurable, and enforceable reliability standards. The Version 0 standards, while a good foundation, were translated from historical operating and planning policies and guides that were appropriate in an era of voluntary compliance. The Version 0 standards and recent updates were put in place as a temporary starting point to start up the electric reliability organization and begin enforcement of mandatory standards. However, it is important to update the standards in a timely manner, incorporating improvements to make the standards more suitable for enforcement and to capture prior recommendations that were deferred during the Version 0 translation.

PRC-006 is one of the few reliability standards identified by the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group as a standard that has some requirements that need to be defined by each regional entity in a regional standard.

The standard drafting team (SDT) will work with stakeholders to review PRC-006 and each of the current regional programs developed in accordance with that standard, including any other associated programs and/or requirements related to and contained with the UFLS program documentation. The SDT shall determine which requirements should be continent-wide requirements and which requirements should be included in regional standards.

PRC-007 and PRC-009 have some "fill-in-the-blank" characteristics as identified in the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group work plan, which need to be removed. These standards shall be included with PRC-006 for consideration as one or more revised standards as necessary for consistency and clarity of overall program requirements and any other associated programs and/or requirements that affect or impact the UFLS program.

The standard drafting team may include other improvements to the standards deemed appropriate by the drafting team, with the consensus of stakeholders, consistent with establishing high-quality, enforceable, and technically sufficient bulk power system reliability standards.

- 1. PRC-008 was removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01. The SAR drafting team agreed with a number of commenters that suggested grouping all the relay maintenance and testing standards into a single project. The SAR drafting team has requested that NERC staff remove PRC-008 from Project 2007-01 and place it in a project with the following standards:
 - PRC-005 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-008 (currently in Project 2007-01)
 - PRC-011 (currently in Project 2008-02)
 - PRC-017 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-018 Requirement 6 (currently in Project 2007-011)
- 2. The SAR was revised to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A to the SAR. The scope of the SAR is designed to provide the standard drafting team with sufficient flexibility to address all necessary revisions. Work is not to be limited to the "To Do List" (renamed, "Issues to Consider"), nor are the items identified in the Issues to Consider mandatory revisions. A unique development aspect of the projects included in NERC's three-year reliability standards development plan is that the standard drafting teams will not be inhibited from addressing at one time all necessary improvements to the standards, or from even proposing new changes to the standard, as long as the changes are within the content area of the standard. The goal is for the drafting team to develop the best possible standard within the defined subject area, as supported by a consensus of stakeholders. The SAR drafting team encourages all commenters to read Volume I of NERC's Three-year Reliability Standards Development Plan which identifies a set of specific issues each standard drafting team is to consider when revising a standard.
- 3. The Applicability section of the SAR was expanded to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority/Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator. The "applicability" identified in the SAR is the starting point for consideration of redrafting of the standard. The standard drafting team will review the appropriate applicability of each of these standards.
- 4. The SAR drafting team noted a number of comments suggesting additional topics or issues to consider with the refinement of the standards. These comments have been noted and added to the SAR for resolution during standard drafting.
- 5. Other miscellaneous changes as requested and agreed to by the SAR drafting team and identified in the redlined version of Draft 2 of the SAR for Project 2007-01.

1.	Do you agree that PRC-008 should be removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01 and placed into a project with all the relay maintenance and testing standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. Yes No Comments:
2.	Do you agree with revising the SAR to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A and Appendix C to the SAR? If not, please explain in the comment area.
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Comments:
3.	Do you agree with expanding the Applicability section of the SAR to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority or Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator so that the standard drafting team can consider these entities when reviewing the appropriate applicability of the standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. \square Yes
	□ No
	Comments: We agree with the additional functions proposed in the Applicability section to allow the drafting team the ability to fully consider any entities that may have a role in the standard, also the entities need to be updated to match the latest version of the Functional Model.
4.	Do you have any other concerns with the revisions made to the SAR? If yes, please explain in the comment area.
	☐ Yes
	⊠ No
	Comments:

Comment Form — 2nd Draft of SAR for Project 2007-11 Underfrequency Load Shedding

Please use this form to submit comments on Draft 2 of the SAR for Project 2007-01, Underfrequency Load Shedding (UFLS). Comments must be submitted by **March 9, 2007**. You may submit the completed form by e-mail to sarcomm@nerc.com with the words "UFLS SAR" in the subject line. If you have questions please contact David Taylor at dave.taylor@nerc.net or by telephone at 609-452-8060.

Individual Commenter Information			
(Complete this page for comments from one organization or individual.)			
Name: M	Name: Michael Calimano		
Organization: New York Independent System Operator			
Telephone: (518) 356 - 6129			
E-mail: n	ncalima	no@nyiso.com	
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment	
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners	
☐ FRCC	\boxtimes	2 — RTOs and ISOs	
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities	
$oxed{oxed}$ NPCC		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities	
RFC		5 — Electric Generators	
SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers	
∐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users	
☐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users	
∐ NA – Not Applicable		9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities	
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities	

Group Comments (Complete this p	page if comments are from a group	o.)	
Group Name:			
Lead Contact:			
Contact Organization:			
Contact Segment:			
Contact Telephone:			
Contact E-mail:			
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*

^{*}If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

Background Information

This project involves revising the requirements in the following standards:

PRC-006 — Development and Documentation of Regional Reliability Organizations' Underfrequency Load Shedding Programs

PRC-007 — Assuring Consistency with Regional UFLS Programs PRC-009 — UFLS Performance Following an Underfrequency Event

The standards in this set are all Version 0 standards. As the electric reliability organization begins enforcing compliance with reliability standards under Section 215 of the Federal Power Act in the United States and applicable statutes and regulations in Canada, the industry needs a set of clear, measurable, and enforceable reliability standards. The Version 0 standards, while a good foundation, were translated from historical operating and planning policies and guides that were appropriate in an era of voluntary compliance. The Version 0 standards and recent updates were put in place as a temporary starting point to start up the electric reliability organization and begin enforcement of mandatory standards. However, it is important to update the standards in a timely manner, incorporating improvements to make the standards more suitable for enforcement and to capture prior recommendations that were deferred during the Version 0 translation.

PRC-006 is one of the few reliability standards identified by the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group as a standard that has some requirements that need to be defined by each regional entity in a regional standard.

The standard drafting team (SDT) will work with stakeholders to review PRC-006 and each of the current regional programs developed in accordance with that standard, including any other associated programs and/or requirements related to and contained with the UFLS program documentation. The SDT shall determine which requirements should be continent-wide requirements and which requirements should be included in regional standards.

PRC-007 and PRC-009 have some "fill-in-the-blank" characteristics as identified in the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group work plan, which need to be removed. These standards shall be included with PRC-006 for consideration as one or more revised standards as necessary for consistency and clarity of overall program requirements and any other associated programs and/or requirements that affect or impact the UFLS program.

The standard drafting team may include other improvements to the standards deemed appropriate by the drafting team, with the consensus of stakeholders, consistent with establishing high-quality, enforceable, and technically sufficient bulk power system reliability standards.

- 1. PRC-008 was removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01. The SAR drafting team agreed with a number of commenters that suggested grouping all the relay maintenance and testing standards into a single project. The SAR drafting team has requested that NERC staff remove PRC-008 from Project 2007-01 and place it in a project with the following standards:
 - PRC-005 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-008 (currently in Project 2007-01)
 - PRC-011 (currently in Project 2008-02)
 - PRC-017 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-018 Requirement 6 (currently in Project 2007-011)
- 2. The SAR was revised to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A to the SAR. The scope of the SAR is designed to provide the standard drafting team with sufficient flexibility to address all necessary revisions. Work is not to be limited to the "To Do List" (renamed, "Issues to Consider"), nor are the items identified in the Issues to Consider mandatory revisions. A unique development aspect of the projects included in NERC's three-year reliability standards development plan is that the standard drafting teams will not be inhibited from addressing at one time all necessary improvements to the standards, or from even proposing new changes to the standard, as long as the changes are within the content area of the standard. The goal is for the drafting team to develop the best possible standard within the defined subject area, as supported by a consensus of stakeholders. The SAR drafting team encourages all commenters to read Volume I of NERC's Three-year Reliability Standards Development Plan which identifies a set of specific issues each standard drafting team is to consider when revising a standard.
- 3. The Applicability section of the SAR was expanded to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority/Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator. The "applicability" identified in the SAR is the starting point for consideration of redrafting of the standard. The standard drafting team will review the appropriate applicability of each of these standards.
- 4. The SAR drafting team noted a number of comments suggesting additional topics or issues to consider with the refinement of the standards. These comments have been noted and added to the SAR for resolution during standard drafting.
- 5. Other miscellaneous changes as requested and agreed to by the SAR drafting team and identified in the redlined version of Draft 2 of the SAR for Project 2007-01.

1.	Do you agree that PRC-008 should be removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01 and placed into a project with all the relay maintenance and testing standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. Yes No Comments:
2.	Do you agree with revising the SAR to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A and Appendix C to the SAR? If not, please explain in the comment area.
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Comments: The addition of Appendix A and Appendix C does not seem to improve clarity on the scope of work, but rather just add a list of "things to consider" for the standards drafting team. As it stands the scope of work is fairly wide open. However, we do not disagree that the standards drafting team should consider those comments.
3.	Do you agree with expanding the Applicability section of the SAR to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority or Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator so that the standard drafting team can consider these entities when reviewing the appropriate applicability of the standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. \square Yes
	□ No
	Comments:
4.	Do you have any other concerns with the revisions made to the SAR? If yes, please explain in the comment area.
	☐ Yes
	⊠ No
	Comments:

Individual Commenter Information				
(Complete this page for comments from one organization or individual.)				
Name:				
Organization:				
Telephone:				
E-mail:				
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment		
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners		
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs and ISOs		
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities		
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities		
☐ RFC		5 — Electric Generators		
☐ SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers		
☐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users		
☐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users		
∐ NA – Not Applicable		9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities		
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities		

Group Comments (Complete this page if comments are from a group.)

Group Name: Pepco Holdings, Inc. - Affiliates

Lead Contact: Richard Kafka

Contact Organization: Pepco Holdings, Inc.

Contact Segment: 1

Contact Telephone: 301-469-5274

Contact E-mail: rjkafka@pepcoholdings.com

,	a opopositionium goroom		
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*
Alvin Depew	Potomac Electric Power Co	RFC	1
Carl Kinsley	Delmarva Power & Light	RFC	1
Evan Sage	Potomac Electric Power Co	RFC	1

^{*}If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

This project involves revising the requirements in the following standards:

PRC-006 — Development and Documentation of Regional Reliability Organizations' Underfrequency Load Shedding Programs

PRC-007 — Assuring Consistency with Regional UFLS Programs PRC-009 — UFLS Performance Following an Underfrequency Event

The standards in this set are all Version 0 standards. As the electric reliability organization begins enforcing compliance with reliability standards under Section 215 of the Federal Power Act in the United States and applicable statutes and regulations in Canada, the industry needs a set of clear, measurable, and enforceable reliability standards. The Version 0 standards, while a good foundation, were translated from historical operating and planning policies and guides that were appropriate in an era of voluntary compliance. The Version 0 standards and recent updates were put in place as a temporary starting point to start up the electric reliability organization and begin enforcement of mandatory standards. However, it is important to update the standards in a timely manner, incorporating improvements to make the standards more suitable for enforcement and to capture prior recommendations that were deferred during the Version 0 translation.

PRC-006 is one of the few reliability standards identified by the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group as a standard that has some requirements that need to be defined by each regional entity in a regional standard.

The standard drafting team (SDT) will work with stakeholders to review PRC-006 and each of the current regional programs developed in accordance with that standard, including any other associated programs and/or requirements related to and contained with the UFLS program documentation. The SDT shall determine which requirements should be continent-wide requirements and which requirements should be included in regional standards.

PRC-007 and PRC-009 have some "fill-in-the-blank" characteristics as identified in the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group work plan, which need to be removed. These standards shall be included with PRC-006 for consideration as one or more revised standards as necessary for consistency and clarity of overall program requirements and any other associated programs and/or requirements that affect or impact the UFLS program.

The standard drafting team may include other improvements to the standards deemed appropriate by the drafting team, with the consensus of stakeholders, consistent with establishing high-quality, enforceable, and technically sufficient bulk power system reliability standards.

- 1. PRC-008 was removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01. The SAR drafting team agreed with a number of commenters that suggested grouping all the relay maintenance and testing standards into a single project. The SAR drafting team has requested that NERC staff remove PRC-008 from Project 2007-01 and place it in a project with the following standards:
 - PRC-005 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-008 (currently in Project 2007-01)
 - PRC-011 (currently in Project 2008-02)
 - PRC-017 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-018 Requirement 6 (currently in Project 2007-011)
- 2. The SAR was revised to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A to the SAR. The scope of the SAR is designed to provide the standard drafting team with sufficient flexibility to address all necessary revisions. Work is not to be limited to the "To Do List" (renamed, "Issues to Consider"), nor are the items identified in the Issues to Consider mandatory revisions. A unique development aspect of the projects included in NERC's three-year reliability standards development plan is that the standard drafting teams will not be inhibited from addressing at one time all necessary improvements to the standards, or from even proposing new changes to the standard, as long as the changes are within the content area of the standard. The goal is for the drafting team to develop the best possible standard within the defined subject area, as supported by a consensus of stakeholders. The SAR drafting team encourages all commenters to read Volume I of NERC's Three-year Reliability Standards Development Plan which identifies a set of specific issues each standard drafting team is to consider when revising a standard.
- 3. The Applicability section of the SAR was expanded to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority/Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator. The "applicability" identified in the SAR is the starting point for consideration of redrafting of the standard. The standard drafting team will review the appropriate applicability of each of these standards.
- 4. The SAR drafting team noted a number of comments suggesting additional topics or issues to consider with the refinement of the standards. These comments have been noted and added to the SAR for resolution during standard drafting.
- 5. Other miscellaneous changes as requested and agreed to by the SAR drafting team and identified in the redlined version of Draft 2 of the SAR for Project 2007-01.

Insert a "check" mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.

1.	in association with Project 2007-01 and placed into a project with all the relay maintenance and testing standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. Yes
	☐ No Comments: PHI concurs that relay maintenance standards should be consolidated.
2.	Do you agree with revising the SAR to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A and Appendix C to the SAR? If not, please explain in the comment area.
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Comments:
3.	Do you agree with expanding the Applicability section of the SAR to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority or Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator so that the standard drafting team can consider these entities when reviewing the appropriate applicability of the standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. Yes
	□ No
	Comments:
4.	Do you have any other concerns with the revisions made to the SAR? If yes, please explain in the comment area.
	☐ Yes
	⊠ No
	Comments:

Individual Commenter Information				
(Complete this page for comments from one organization or individual.)				
Name:				
Organization:				
Telephone:				
E-mail:				
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment		
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners		
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs and ISOs		
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities		
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities		
☐ RFC		5 — Electric Generators		
☐ SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers		
☐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users		
☐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users		
∐ NA – Not Applicable		9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities		
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities		

Group Comments (Complete this page if comments are from a group.)

Group Name: SERC EC Planning Standards Subcommittee

Lead Contact: Travis Sykes

Contact Organization: Tennessee Valley Authority

Contact Segment: 1

Contact Telephone: 423-751-4162

Contact E-mail: tssykes@tva.gov

Additional Member	Region*	Segment*
Organization		
Alabama Electric Coooperative	SERC	1
Ameren	SERC	1
Ameren	SERC	1
Entergy	SERC	1
MEAG Power	SERC	1
SERC Reliability Corp	SERC	10
SC Electric and Gas	SERC	3
Southern Company Services	SERC	1
Duke Energy Carolinas	SERC	1
	Organization Alabama Electric Coooperative Ameren Entergy MEAG Power SERC Reliability Corp SC Electric and Gas Southern Company Services	Alabama Electric Coooperative SERC Ameren SERC Ameren SERC Entergy SERC MEAG Power SERC SERC Reliability Corp SERC SC Electric and Gas SERC Southern Company Services SERC

^{*}If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

This project involves revising the requirements in the following standards:

PRC-006 — Development and Documentation of Regional Reliability Organizations' Underfrequency Load Shedding Programs

PRC-007 — Assuring Consistency with Regional UFLS Programs PRC-009 — UFLS Performance Following an Underfrequency Event

The standards in this set are all Version 0 standards. As the electric reliability organization begins enforcing compliance with reliability standards under Section 215 of the Federal Power Act in the United States and applicable statutes and regulations in Canada, the industry needs a set of clear, measurable, and enforceable reliability standards. The Version 0 standards, while a good foundation, were translated from historical operating and planning policies and guides that were appropriate in an era of voluntary compliance. The Version 0 standards and recent updates were put in place as a temporary starting point to start up the electric reliability organization and begin enforcement of mandatory standards. However, it is important to update the standards in a timely manner, incorporating improvements to make the standards more suitable for enforcement and to capture prior recommendations that were deferred during the Version 0 translation.

PRC-006 is one of the few reliability standards identified by the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group as a standard that has some requirements that need to be defined by each regional entity in a regional standard.

The standard drafting team (SDT) will work with stakeholders to review PRC-006 and each of the current regional programs developed in accordance with that standard, including any other associated programs and/or requirements related to and contained with the UFLS program documentation. The SDT shall determine which requirements should be continent-wide requirements and which requirements should be included in regional standards.

PRC-007 and PRC-009 have some "fill-in-the-blank" characteristics as identified in the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group work plan, which need to be removed. These standards shall be included with PRC-006 for consideration as one or more revised standards as necessary for consistency and clarity of overall program requirements and any other associated programs and/or requirements that affect or impact the UFLS program.

The standard drafting team may include other improvements to the standards deemed appropriate by the drafting team, with the consensus of stakeholders, consistent with establishing high-quality, enforceable, and technically sufficient bulk power system reliability standards.

- 1. PRC-008 was removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01. The SAR drafting team agreed with a number of commenters that suggested grouping all the relay maintenance and testing standards into a single project. The SAR drafting team has requested that NERC staff remove PRC-008 from Project 2007-01 and place it in a project with the following standards:
 - PRC-005 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-008 (currently in Project 2007-01)
 - PRC-011 (currently in Project 2008-02)
 - PRC-017 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-018 Requirement 6 (currently in Project 2007-011)
- 2. The SAR was revised to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A to the SAR. The scope of the SAR is designed to provide the standard drafting team with sufficient flexibility to address all necessary revisions. Work is not to be limited to the "To Do List" (renamed, "Issues to Consider"), nor are the items identified in the Issues to Consider mandatory revisions. A unique development aspect of the projects included in NERC's three-year reliability standards development plan is that the standard drafting teams will not be inhibited from addressing at one time all necessary improvements to the standards, or from even proposing new changes to the standard, as long as the changes are within the content area of the standard. The goal is for the drafting team to develop the best possible standard within the defined subject area, as supported by a consensus of stakeholders. The SAR drafting team encourages all commenters to read Volume I of NERC's Three-year Reliability Standards Development Plan which identifies a set of specific issues each standard drafting team is to consider when revising a standard.
- 3. The Applicability section of the SAR was expanded to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority/Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator. The "applicability" identified in the SAR is the starting point for consideration of redrafting of the standard. The standard drafting team will review the appropriate applicability of each of these standards.
- 4. The SAR drafting team noted a number of comments suggesting additional topics or issues to consider with the refinement of the standards. These comments have been noted and added to the SAR for resolution during standard drafting.
- 5. Other miscellaneous changes as requested and agreed to by the SAR drafting team and identified in the redlined version of Draft 2 of the SAR for Project 2007-01.

Insert a "check" mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.

1.	Do you agree that PRC-008 should be removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01 and placed into a project with all the relay maintenance and testing standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. Yes No Comments:
2.	Do you agree with revising the SAR to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A and Appendix C to the SAR? If not, please explain in the comment area.
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Comments:
3.	Do you agree with expanding the Applicability section of the SAR to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority or Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator so that the standard drafting team can consider these entities when reviewing the appropriate applicability of the standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. Yes
	□ No
	Comments: The PSS does not see a reason for including the BA, GO, and GOP, but has no objections to allowing the SDT to consider these entities.
4.	Do you have any other concerns with the revisions made to the SAR? If yes, please explain in the comment area.
	☐ Yes
	⊠ No
	Comments:

Individual Commenter Information					
(Comple	(Complete this page for comments from one organization or individual.)				
Name: F	Fred J. F	Frederick			
Organization: \	/ectren	Energy Delivery			
Telephone: 8	312-491	-4570			
E-mail: f	frederic	k@vectren.com			
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment			
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners			
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs and ISOs			
☐ MRO	\boxtimes	3 — Load-serving Entities			
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities			
⊠ RFC		5 — Electric Generators			
SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers			
∐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users			
∐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users			
∐ NA – No Applicable	t 🗀	9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities			
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities			

Group Comments (Complete this p	page if comments are from a group	o.)	
Group Name:			
Lead Contact:			
Contact Organization:			
Contact Segment:			
Contact Telephone:			
Contact E-mail:			
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*

^{*}If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

This project involves revising the requirements in the following standards:

PRC-006 — Development and Documentation of Regional Reliability Organizations' Underfrequency Load Shedding Programs

PRC-007 — Assuring Consistency with Regional UFLS Programs PRC-009 — UFLS Performance Following an Underfrequency Event

The standards in this set are all Version 0 standards. As the electric reliability organization begins enforcing compliance with reliability standards under Section 215 of the Federal Power Act in the United States and applicable statutes and regulations in Canada, the industry needs a set of clear, measurable, and enforceable reliability standards. The Version 0 standards, while a good foundation, were translated from historical operating and planning policies and guides that were appropriate in an era of voluntary compliance. The Version 0 standards and recent updates were put in place as a temporary starting point to start up the electric reliability organization and begin enforcement of mandatory standards. However, it is important to update the standards in a timely manner, incorporating improvements to make the standards more suitable for enforcement and to capture prior recommendations that were deferred during the Version 0 translation.

PRC-006 is one of the few reliability standards identified by the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group as a standard that has some requirements that need to be defined by each regional entity in a regional standard.

The standard drafting team (SDT) will work with stakeholders to review PRC-006 and each of the current regional programs developed in accordance with that standard, including any other associated programs and/or requirements related to and contained with the UFLS program documentation. The SDT shall determine which requirements should be continent-wide requirements and which requirements should be included in regional standards.

PRC-007 and PRC-009 have some "fill-in-the-blank" characteristics as identified in the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group work plan, which need to be removed. These standards shall be included with PRC-006 for consideration as one or more revised standards as necessary for consistency and clarity of overall program requirements and any other associated programs and/or requirements that affect or impact the UFLS program.

The standard drafting team may include other improvements to the standards deemed appropriate by the drafting team, with the consensus of stakeholders, consistent with establishing high-quality, enforceable, and technically sufficient bulk power system reliability standards.

- 1. PRC-008 was removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01. The SAR drafting team agreed with a number of commenters that suggested grouping all the relay maintenance and testing standards into a single project. The SAR drafting team has requested that NERC staff remove PRC-008 from Project 2007-01 and place it in a project with the following standards:
 - PRC-005 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-008 (currently in Project 2007-01)
 - PRC-011 (currently in Project 2008-02)
 - PRC-017 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-018 Requirement 6 (currently in Project 2007-011)
- 2. The SAR was revised to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A to the SAR. The scope of the SAR is designed to provide the standard drafting team with sufficient flexibility to address all necessary revisions. Work is not to be limited to the "To Do List" (renamed, "Issues to Consider"), nor are the items identified in the Issues to Consider mandatory revisions. A unique development aspect of the projects included in NERC's three-year reliability standards development plan is that the standard drafting teams will not be inhibited from addressing at one time all necessary improvements to the standards, or from even proposing new changes to the standard, as long as the changes are within the content area of the standard. The goal is for the drafting team to develop the best possible standard within the defined subject area, as supported by a consensus of stakeholders. The SAR drafting team encourages all commenters to read Volume I of NERC's Three-year Reliability Standards Development Plan which identifies a set of specific issues each standard drafting team is to consider when revising a standard.
- 3. The Applicability section of the SAR was expanded to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority/Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator. The "applicability" identified in the SAR is the starting point for consideration of redrafting of the standard. The standard drafting team will review the appropriate applicability of each of these standards.
- 4. The SAR drafting team noted a number of comments suggesting additional topics or issues to consider with the refinement of the standards. These comments have been noted and added to the SAR for resolution during standard drafting.
- 5. Other miscellaneous changes as requested and agreed to by the SAR drafting team and identified in the redlined version of Draft 2 of the SAR for Project 2007-01.

Insert a "check" mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.

☐ No Comments:
Do you agree with revising the SAR to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A and Appendix C to the SAR? If not, please explain in the comment area.
Yes
□ No
Comments:
Do you agree with expanding the Applicability section of the SAR to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority or Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator so that the standard drafting team can consider these entities when reviewing the appropriate applicability of the standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. Yes No
Comments:
Do you have any other concerns with the revisions made to the SAR? If yes, please explain in the comment area.
⊠ Yes
□ No
Comments: UFLS steps should be set with a considerable amount of bandwidth. That is if there are 5 steps of 5% required, an enitiy could drop as much as say 10% in the first step and possibly drop as little as 1% in the second step. As long as the cumulative amount is within the requirements of that level of steps (5-10-15-20-25%). Trying to meet an exact amount of load drop is very difficult and would not provide enough benefit to justify the cost.

Individual Commenter Information				
(Complete this page for comments from one organization or individual.)				
Name:				
Organization:				
Telephone:				
E-mail:				
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment		
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners		
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs and ISOs		
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities		
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities		
RFC		5 — Electric Generators		
☐ SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers		
☐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users		
∐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users		
∐ NA – Not Applicable		9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities		
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities		

Group Comments (Complete this page if comments are from a group.)

Group Name: NERC System Protection and Control Task Force

Lead Contact: Charles Rogers

Contact Organization: Consumers Energy

Contact Segment:

Contact Telephone: 517-788-0027

Contact E-mail: cwrogers@cmsenergy.com

Additional Member Name Additional Member Region* Segment*				
Organization	Region	Segment		
TXU Energy Delivery	ERCOT			
Idaho Power	WECC			
WAPA	MRO			
PJM	RFC			
Hydro One	NPCC			
NYISO	NPCC			
Ameren	SERC			
Exelon	RFC			
Florida Power and Light	FRCC			
TVA	SERC			
PEPCO	RFC			
Salt River Project	WECC			
National Grid	NPCC			
US Bureau of Reclamation	WECC			
KEMA				
Wiedman Consulting				
Georgia Power	SERC			
Arizona Public Service	WECC			
AEP	RFC			
NERC Staff				
CLECO	SPP			
Elequant	WECC			
	TXU Energy Delivery Idaho Power WAPA PJM Hydro One NYISO Ameren Exelon Florida Power and Light TVA PEPCO Salt River Project National Grid US Bureau of Reclamation KEMA Wiedman Consulting Georgia Power Arizona Public Service AEP NERC Staff CLECO	TXU Energy Delivery ERCOT Idaho Power WECC WAPA MRO PJM RFC Hydro One NPCC NYISO NPCC Ameren SERC Exelon RFC Florida Power and Light FRCC TVA SERC PEPCO RFC Salt River Project WECC National Grid NPCC US Bureau of Reclamation WECC KEMA Wiedman Consulting Georgia Power SERC Arizona Public Service WECC AEP RFC NERC Staff CLECO SPP		

^{*}If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

This project involves revising the requirements in the following standards:

PRC-006 — Development and Documentation of Regional Reliability Organizations' Underfrequency Load Shedding Programs

PRC-007 — Assuring Consistency with Regional UFLS Programs PRC-009 — UFLS Performance Following an Underfrequency Event

The standards in this set are all Version 0 standards. As the electric reliability organization begins enforcing compliance with reliability standards under Section 215 of the Federal Power Act in the United States and applicable statutes and regulations in Canada, the industry needs a set of clear, measurable, and enforceable reliability standards. The Version 0 standards, while a good foundation, were translated from historical operating and planning policies and guides that were appropriate in an era of voluntary compliance. The Version 0 standards and recent updates were put in place as a temporary starting point to start up the electric reliability organization and begin enforcement of mandatory standards. However, it is important to update the standards in a timely manner, incorporating improvements to make the standards more suitable for enforcement and to capture prior recommendations that were deferred during the Version 0 translation.

PRC-006 is one of the few reliability standards identified by the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group as a standard that has some requirements that need to be defined by each regional entity in a regional standard.

The standard drafting team (SDT) will work with stakeholders to review PRC-006 and each of the current regional programs developed in accordance with that standard, including any other associated programs and/or requirements related to and contained with the UFLS program documentation. The SDT shall determine which requirements should be continent-wide requirements and which requirements should be included in regional standards.

PRC-007 and PRC-009 have some "fill-in-the-blank" characteristics as identified in the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group work plan, which need to be removed. These standards shall be included with PRC-006 for consideration as one or more revised standards as necessary for consistency and clarity of overall program requirements and any other associated programs and/or requirements that affect or impact the UFLS program.

The standard drafting team may include other improvements to the standards deemed appropriate by the drafting team, with the consensus of stakeholders, consistent with establishing high-quality, enforceable, and technically sufficient bulk power system reliability standards.

- 1. PRC-008 was removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01. The SAR drafting team agreed with a number of commenters that suggested grouping all the relay maintenance and testing standards into a single project. The SAR drafting team has requested that NERC staff remove PRC-008 from Project 2007-01 and place it in a project with the following standards:
 - PRC-005 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-008 (currently in Project 2007-01)
 - PRC-011 (currently in Project 2008-02)
 - PRC-017 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-018 Requirement 6 (currently in Project 2007-011)
- 2. The SAR was revised to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A to the SAR. The scope of the SAR is designed to provide the standard drafting team with sufficient flexibility to address all necessary revisions. Work is not to be limited to the "To Do List" (renamed, "Issues to Consider"), nor are the items identified in the Issues to Consider mandatory revisions. A unique development aspect of the projects included in NERC's three-year reliability standards development plan is that the standard drafting teams will not be inhibited from addressing at one time all necessary improvements to the standards, or from even proposing new changes to the standard, as long as the changes are within the content area of the standard. The goal is for the drafting team to develop the best possible standard within the defined subject area, as supported by a consensus of stakeholders. The SAR drafting team encourages all commenters to read Volume I of NERC's Three-year Reliability Standards Development Plan which identifies a set of specific issues each standard drafting team is to consider when revising a standard.
- 3. The Applicability section of the SAR was expanded to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority/Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator. The "applicability" identified in the SAR is the starting point for consideration of redrafting of the standard. The standard drafting team will review the appropriate applicability of each of these standards.
- 4. The SAR drafting team noted a number of comments suggesting additional topics or issues to consider with the refinement of the standards. These comments have been noted and added to the SAR for resolution during standard drafting.
- 5. Other miscellaneous changes as requested and agreed to by the SAR drafting team and identified in the redlined version of Draft 2 of the SAR for Project 2007-01.

Insert a "check" mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.

1.	Do you agree that PRC-008 should be removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01 and placed into a project with all the relay maintenance and testing standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. Yes No Comments:
2.	Do you agree with revising the SAR to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A and Appendix C to the SAR? If not, please explain in the comment area.
	☐ Yes
	⊠ No
	Comments: The SPCTF has developed a report which provides a technical assessment of all three of these standards, which is attached. Please include the observations from this report in the scope of work on these standards.
3.	Do you agree with expanding the Applicability section of the SAR to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority or Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator so that the standard drafting team can consider these entities when reviewing the appropriate applicability of the standards? If not, please explain in the comment area.
	⊠ No
	Comments: Please see the comments in the attached SPCTF report for the SPCTFs position on the applicable entities.
4.	Do you have any other concerns with the revisions made to the SAR? If yes, please explain in the comment area.
	☐ Yes
	⊠ No
	Comments:

Individual Commenter Information						
(Complete this page for comments from one organization or individual.)						
Name:						
Organization:						
Telephone:						
E-mail:						
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment				
☐ ERCOT	\boxtimes	1 — Transmission Owners				
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs and ISOs				
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities				
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities				
RFC		5 — Electric Generators				
⊠ SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers				
∐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users				
☐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users				
∐ NA – Not Applicable		9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities				
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities				

Group Comments (Complete this page if comments are from a group.)

Group Name: Southern Company Transmission

Lead Contact: Roman Carter

Contact Organization: Southern Company Transmission

Contact Segment: Transmission Owner

Contact Telephone: 205.257.6027

Contact E-mail: jrcarter@southernco.com

Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*
Jonathan Glidewell	Southern Co. Transmission	SERC	1
Marc Butts	Southern Co. Transmission	SERC	1
JT Wood	Southern Co. Transmission	SERC	1
Jim Busbin	Southern Co. Transmission	SERC	1
Barry Dyer	Alabama Power Company	SERC	3
Phil Winston	Georgia Power Company	SERC	3

^{*}If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

This project involves revising the requirements in the following standards:

PRC-006 — Development and Documentation of Regional Reliability Organizations' Underfrequency Load Shedding Programs

PRC-007 — Assuring Consistency with Regional UFLS Programs PRC-009 — UFLS Performance Following an Underfrequency Event

The standards in this set are all Version 0 standards. As the electric reliability organization begins enforcing compliance with reliability standards under Section 215 of the Federal Power Act in the United States and applicable statutes and regulations in Canada, the industry needs a set of clear, measurable, and enforceable reliability standards. The Version 0 standards, while a good foundation, were translated from historical operating and planning policies and guides that were appropriate in an era of voluntary compliance. The Version 0 standards and recent updates were put in place as a temporary starting point to start up the electric reliability organization and begin enforcement of mandatory standards. However, it is important to update the standards in a timely manner, incorporating improvements to make the standards more suitable for enforcement and to capture prior recommendations that were deferred during the Version 0 translation.

PRC-006 is one of the few reliability standards identified by the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group as a standard that has some requirements that need to be defined by each regional entity in a regional standard.

The standard drafting team (SDT) will work with stakeholders to review PRC-006 and each of the current regional programs developed in accordance with that standard, including any other associated programs and/or requirements related to and contained with the UFLS program documentation. The SDT shall determine which requirements should be continent-wide requirements and which requirements should be included in regional standards.

PRC-007 and PRC-009 have some "fill-in-the-blank" characteristics as identified in the Regional Reliability Standards Working Group work plan, which need to be removed. These standards shall be included with PRC-006 for consideration as one or more revised standards as necessary for consistency and clarity of overall program requirements and any other associated programs and/or requirements that affect or impact the UFLS program.

The standard drafting team may include other improvements to the standards deemed appropriate by the drafting team, with the consensus of stakeholders, consistent with establishing high-quality, enforceable, and technically sufficient bulk power system reliability standards.

- 1. PRC-008 was removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01. The SAR drafting team agreed with a number of commenters that suggested grouping all the relay maintenance and testing standards into a single project. The SAR drafting team has requested that NERC staff remove PRC-008 from Project 2007-01 and place it in a project with the following standards:
 - PRC-005 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-008 (currently in Project 2007-01)
 - PRC-011 (currently in Project 2008-02)
 - PRC-017 (currently in Project 2008-04)
 - PRC-018 Requirement 6 (currently in Project 2007-011)
- 2. The SAR was revised to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A to the SAR. The scope of the SAR is designed to provide the standard drafting team with sufficient flexibility to address all necessary revisions. Work is not to be limited to the "To Do List" (renamed, "Issues to Consider"), nor are the items identified in the Issues to Consider mandatory revisions. A unique development aspect of the projects included in NERC's three-year reliability standards development plan is that the standard drafting teams will not be inhibited from addressing at one time all necessary improvements to the standards, or from even proposing new changes to the standard, as long as the changes are within the content area of the standard. The goal is for the drafting team to develop the best possible standard within the defined subject area, as supported by a consensus of stakeholders. The SAR drafting team encourages all commenters to read Volume I of NERC's Three-year Reliability Standards Development Plan which identifies a set of specific issues each standard drafting team is to consider when revising a standard.
- 3. The Applicability section of the SAR was expanded to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority/Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator. The "applicability" identified in the SAR is the starting point for consideration of redrafting of the standard. The standard drafting team will review the appropriate applicability of each of these standards.
- 4. The SAR drafting team noted a number of comments suggesting additional topics or issues to consider with the refinement of the standards. These comments have been noted and added to the SAR for resolution during standard drafting.
- 5. Other miscellaneous changes as requested and agreed to by the SAR drafting team and identified in the redlined version of Draft 2 of the SAR for Project 2007-01.

Insert a "check" mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.

1.	Do you agree that PRC-008 should be removed from the list of standards to be revised in association with Project 2007-01 and placed into a project with all the relay maintenance and testing standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. Yes No Comments:
2.	Do you agree with revising the SAR to clarify the scope of work to be performed on each standard including the addition of Appendix A and Appendix C to the SAR? If not, please explain in the comment area.
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Comments:
3.	Do you agree with expanding the Applicability section of the SAR to include Balancing Authority, Planning Authority or Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Generator Owner, and Generator Operator so that the standard drafting team can consider these entities when reviewing the appropriate applicability of the standards? If not, please explain in the comment area. Yes
	Comments: Southern does not object to the Standard Drafting team considering the
	BA, GO, and GOP in the applicability section. However, only after the requirements of the future standard are developed should a final determination be made on the applicability.
4.	Do you have any other concerns with the revisions made to the SAR? If yes, please explain in the comment area.
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Comments: We have a general concern with the ambiguity associated with the violation severity levels. For example, Moderate and High severity levels both state that an entity is deficient in one or more significant elements. It would seem reasonable that High severity would mean you were deficient in multiple (at least greater than one) significant elements and not just in one element as moderate states.

Are we to interpret a significant element is to mean a standard requirement? What are examples of a significant element other than a requirement contained in the standard?

Finally, we have a general comment about the SAR development process as a whole. FERC is concerned with the amount of time it takes NERC (through the ANSI accredited process) to develop a standard. Since the SAR development process only outlines the scope of the future standard development (in other words, there are no requirements to a SAR), it is recommended that the NERC standards development process accelerate through the SAR phase in order to initiate the more complex task of developing the requirements of a particular Standard. In other words, there should only be, at most, two rounds of comments for a SAR prior to it shifting to the standards drafting team.