

# Meeting Notes

## Project 2010-13.2 Phase 2 of Relay Loadability: Generation Standard Drafting Team

March 15, 2013

Conference Call with ReadyTalk Web Access

### Administrative

#### 1. Introductions

The meeting was brought to order by Charles Rogers, chair, at 11:03 a.m. ET, Friday, March 15, 2013. Mr. Rogers noted that the goal of the meeting was to review four sets of meeting notes from previous meetings so that valuable time in-person time in Fresno would be used wisely. Also, he remarked that the initial ballot of draft 2 of the PRC-025-1 standard ending on March 11, 2013 received 54.65% industry approval which is considered good for an initial ballot. Those in attendance were:

Name	Company	Member/ Observer
Charles Rogers (Chair)	Consumers Electric	Member
Jeff Billo	ERCOT	Member
S. Bryan Burch	Southern Company	Member
Steven Hataway	Florida Power and Light Company	Member
Jonathan Hayes	Southwest Power Pool, Inc.	Member
Xiaodong Sun	Ontario Power Generation, Inc.	Member
Sudhir Thakur	Exelon Generation	Member
Benson Vuong	Salt River Project	Member
David Youngblood	Luminant Energy	Member
Syed Ahmad	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	Observer

Name	Company	Member/ Observer
Ken Hubona	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	Observer
Scott Barfield-McGinnis (Standard Developer)	North American Electric Reliability Corporation	Observer
Phil Tatro (Technical Advisor)	North American Electric Reliability Corporation	Observer
Cesar Rincon	Entergy	Observer
Kelly Simmons	Xcel Energy	Observer

## 2. Determination of Quorum

The rule for NERC Standard Drafting Team (SDT or team) states that a quorum requires two-thirds of the voting members of the SDT. Quorum was achieved as nine of the eleven members were present.

## 3. NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and Public Announcement

NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and public disclaimer were reviewed by Mr. Barfield. There were no questions.

## 4. Review Roster

Mr. Barfield noted there have been no changes to the roster. Mr. Thakur requested his entity name be updated from Exelon Nuclear to Exelon Generation.

## Agenda

### 1. Review of meeting notes

[December 11, 2012](#)

[December 27, 2012](#)

[January 9, 2013](#)

[January 17, 2013](#)

The all meeting notes received only minor editorial changes and corrections to typographical errors.

## 2. Open business from last meeting (Reviewed)

- a. Mr. Barfield:
  - i. Issue a Doodle to determine availability for an interim call to review meeting notes. (Complete – conference call and ReadyTalk scheduled for Friday, March 15, 2013 at 11:00 a.m. ET for one hour)
  - ii. Secure the detail and announce the next SDT meeting for the week of March 25-28, 2013 with Monday being a half-day afternoon start due to travel to the west coast. Friday, March 29, 2013 would be allocated as a return travel day due to the time differential. (Complete)

## 3. Review of the schedule

Mr. Rogers suggested the team table a review of the schedule for the Fresno meeting in the interest of everyone's time since this meeting was running long. There were no objections and this was consistent with the team's earlier expectations that the schedule would be revisited once they had a better understanding of what the standard's next steps would be.

## 4. Action items or assignments

- a. Mr. Barfield:
  - i. Issue a Doodle to determine availability for a follow up meeting the second week of April to address quality review or potentially a call to finalize any outstanding issues from the Fresno meeting. Mr. Rogers suggested scheduling the call for four to six hours to be allow sufficient time to discuss all matters.
  - ii. Be prepared to cover the schedule in detail to show opportunities where the team can shorten durations and potentially deliver an industry approved PRC-025-1 standard to the NERC Board of Trustees in August 2013 which will facilitate an on-time regulatory filing of September 30, 2013.
- b. Mr. Rogers requested volunteers for assignments to respond to the Consideration of Comments questions. Mr. Rogers also recommended that question #6 (all other issues) be left open for discussion at the Fresno meeting. There were no objections and the following assignments were taken.
  - i. Mr. Youngblood – Questions 1 and 2
  - ii. Mr. Vuong – Question 3
  - iii. Mr. Burch – Question 4
  - iv. Mr. Rogers – Question 5

## 5. Next steps

- a. Form responses to comments from the 45-day comment period and initial ballot.
- b. Consider comments from the Phase 2 of the Cost Effective Analysis Process (CEAP) questions.
- c. Revise draft 2 of PRC-025-1 in response to comments.
- d. Revised all other project documents in response to comments and other changes to the standard.
- e. Post draft 3 of PRC-025-1 for successive comment and ballot and post other project documents.

## 6. Future meeting(s)

Conference call second week of April 2013; availability will be polled to set the meeting date and time.

## 7. Adjourn

The meeting adjourned at 12:30 p.m. ET on March 15, 2013.