

Meeting Agenda Project 2023-07 Transmission System Planning Performance Requirements for Extreme Weather

February 5, 2024 | 2:00-4:00 p.m. Eastern

Administrative

- 1. Review NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and Public Announcement¹
- 2. Review Meeting Agenda and Objectives
 - a. Requirements

Agenda Items

- 1. Review requirement comments and make necessary edits
- 2. Review and edit Attachment 1
- 3. Adjourn

RELIABILITY | RESILIENCE | SECURITY

¹ See page 5.

Parking Lot Items:

Date Submitted	Action Item	Submitter
1/22/2024	TPL-008-1 Attachment 1 Updates	Sun Wook
1/23/2024	ETA and Evil Three	Meena
1/23/2024	Comments received on R8 and R9	Chris Postma

Questions for the team:

- 1. Do any of the below mean the same as another term?
- 2. Is there a process flow to these terms? (i.e., extreme heat and extreme cold benchmark event, initial benchmark power flow base cases, benchmark planning cases)
- 3. Are there any terms missing that are important to our project?
- 4. Do we need to add any of the terms below to the NERC glossary of terms to ensure we are clear? Only term below proposed is the Extreme Temperature Assessments.
- 5. We use the term "contingency" in our attachment, Do we want to capitalize it and use the definition that is housed in the NERC glossary of terms? See def. below in table.

Term	Definition	Image	Notes
Extreme	Documented evaluation		Defined term in
Temperature	of future Transmission		standard
Assessments	System performance for		
	extreme heat and		
	extreme cold		
	temperature benchmark		
	events and Corrective		
	Action Plans to remedy		
	identified deficiencies.		
extreme heat and	An extreme cold or		
extreme cold	extreme heat event. (e.g.,		
benchmark events winter storm Elliott,			
	winter storm Uri, June 26-		
	30, 2021 Pacific NW		
	event, etc.)		
Potential	available data sets of		
benchmark events	projected future weather.		



Geographical boundaries	separation of regions (MRO, RF, SERC, TRE, NPCC, WECC)	Total St.	
Electrical	impacts to inter-tie across	,	
boundaries	a region.		
Initial benchmark power flow base cases	<u> </u>		
Benchmark			
planning cases			
Initial benchmark study case	initial power flow condition that captures extreme temperature impacts on load and seasonal outages of generation determined by the benchmark event. Generator derates and outages due to temperature <i>not</i> accounted for in the foundational case.		Is this the same as Initial benchmark power flow base cases?
Sensitivity benchmark study			
cases			
long-term planning cases			
Scenario Cases (P0 Case)			Will need to update Attachment 1 from scenario cases to sensitivity study cases
Contingency	The unexpected failure or outage of a system component, such as a		This is a NERC Glossary of term. WE do not have

	generator, transmission	it capitalized in
	line, circuit breaker,	our standard. Do
	switch or other electrical	we want to
	element.	capitalize it?
Corrective Action	A list of actions and an	Used in our
Plan (CAP)	associated timetable for	standard.
	implementation to	
	remedy a specific	
	problem.	
Interconnection	A geographic area in	We do not use
	which the operation of	this in the
	Bulk Power System	standard, but
	components is	adding in case it
	synchronized such that	would be useful.
	the failure of one or more	
	of such components may	
	adversely affect the	
	ability of the operators of	
	other components within	
	the system to maintain	
	Reliable Operation of the	
	Facilities within their	
	control. When capitalized,	
	any one of the four major	
	electric system networks	
	in North America:	
	Eastern, Western, ERCOT	
D	and Quebec.	
Planning	Documented evaluation	Used in our
Assessment	of future Transmission	standard.
	System performance and	
	Corrective Action Plans to	
	remedy identified	
Load	deficiencies.	Handin a
Load	An end-use device or	Used in our
	customer that receives	standard.
	power from the electric	
	system.	



NERC Antitrust Guidelines

It is NERC's policy and practice to obey the antitrust laws and to avoid all conduct that unreasonably restrains competition. This policy requires the avoidance of any conduct that violates, or that might appear to violate, the antitrust laws. Among other things, the antitrust laws forbid any agreement between or among competitors regarding prices, availability of service, product design, terms of sale, division of markets, allocation of customers or any other activity that unreasonably restrains competition. It is the responsibility of every NERC participant and employee who may in any way affect NERC's compliance with the antitrust laws to carry out this commitment.

Disclaimer

Participants are reminded that this meeting is public. Notice of the meeting was posted on the NERC website and widely distributed. The notice included the number for dial-in participation. Participants should keep in mind that the audience may include members of the press and representatives of various governmental authorities, in addition to the expected participation by industry stakeholders.

NERC Standards Development Process-Participant Conduct Policy

https://www.nerc.com/pa/Stand/Resources/Documents/NERC_Participant_Conduct_Policy.pdf



	Name	Entity	Attendance
Chair	Evan Wilcox	American Electric Power	
Vice Chair	Jared Shaw	Entergy Services	
Members	Josie Daggett	Western Area Power Administration	
	David Duhart	Southwest Power Pool	
	Michael Herman	PJM Interconnection	
	Tracy Judson	Florida Power & Light	
	Sun Wook Kang	ERCOT	
	Andrew Kniska	ISO New England	
	Dmitry Kosterev	Bonneville Power Administration	
	David Le	California ISO	
	Karl Perman	CIP CORPS	
	Meenakshi Saravanan	ISO New England	
	Kurtis Toews	Manitoba Hydro	
	Hayk Zargaryan	Southern California Edison	
PMOS Liaison	Jason Chandler	Con Edison	
	Donovan Crane	WECC	
NERC Staff	Jordan Mallory – Standards Developer	North American Electric Reliability Corporation	



Name	Entity	Attendance
Lauren Perotti – Assistant General Counsel	North American Electric Reliability Corporation	
Scott Barfield-McGinnis	North American Electric Reliability Corporation	