

Meeting Notes Project 2023-07 Transmission System Planning Performance Requirements for Extreme Weather

January 18, 2024 | 12:30 p.m.-2:00 p.m. Eastern

Review NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and Public Announcement

Jordan Mallory, NERC staff, called attention to the NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and the public meeting notice.

Roll Call

J. Mallory completed the team roll call and quorum was determined. The member attendance sheet is attached as Attachment 1.

Terminology Discussion

The drafting team discussed terminology within TPL-008 and the importance of being consistent. The terms currently being used are listed below.

- Extreme Temperature Assessment this is a proposed term for TPL-008 and to be added to the NERC Glossary of Definitions.
- Benchmark planning cases
- Sensitivity analysis
- Initial benchmark case

Review Redline Edits to TPL-008

The drafting team reviewed the title of TPL-008. Originally, the team lined up the title with TPL-007 as it was the most recent approved standard. However, Planning Coordinators like the version of TPL-001 title better. The title was updated to line up with TPL-001 title for TPL-008.

The team reviewed Requirement R3 with regards to Planning Coordinators being able to submit their own benchmark event to the ERO for addition to the NERC library. While anyone can submit a benchmark event for approval to the library, a requirement is not needed for this and will be added to the process of how to submit, when to submit, etc. The requirement language around this was removed and will be added to the attachment 1 and/or NERC process once developed.

Requirement R4 was updated for clarity that an entity will develop and implement a process for coordinating benchmark planning cases.

The team moved to Requirement R8 and initiated discussion around the additional subparts added for the sensitivity analysis to be completed. A question was asked regarding if "one or more of the following



conditions to be assess," addressed the FERC Order. It was at the conclusion of the meeting and the team would pick back up at this point during their next drafting team meeting.

Adjourn

The drafting team adjourned at 1:05 p.m. eastern.

Questions for the team:

- 1. Do any of the below mean the same as another term?
- 2. Is there a process flow to these terms? (i.e., extreme heat and extreme cold benchmark event, initial benchmark power flow base cases, benchmark planning cases)
- 3. Are there any terms missing that are important to our project?
- 4. Do we need to add any of the terms below to the NERC glossary of terms to ensure we are clear? Only term below proposed is the Extreme Temperature Assessments.
- 5. We use the term "contingency" in our attachment. Do we want to capitalize it and use the definition that is housed in the NERC glossary of terms? See def. below in table.

Term	Definition	Image	Notes
Extreme	Documented evaluation		Defined term in
Temperature	of future Transmission		standard
Assessments	System performance for		
	extreme heat and		
	extreme cold		
	temperature benchmark		
	events and Corrective		
	Action Plans to remedy		
	identified deficiencies.		
extreme heat and	An extreme cold or		
extreme cold	extreme heat event. (e.g.,		
benchmark events	winter storm Elliott,		
	winter storm Uri, June 26-		
	30, 2021 Pacific NW		
	event, etc.)		
Potential	available data sets of		
benchmark events	projecte <mark>d</mark> future weather.		



Geographical boundaries	separation of regions (MRO, RF, SERC, TRE, NPCC, WECC)	Trace of	
Electrical	impacts to inter-tie across		
boundaries	a region.		
Initial benchmark power flow base cases			
Benchmark			
planning cases Initial benchmark	initial power flow		Is this the same
study case Sensitivity	condition that captures extreme temperature impacts on load and seasonal outages of generation determined by the benchmark event. Generator derates and outages due to temperature not accounted for in the foundational case.		as Initial benchmark power flow base cases?
benchmark study cases			
long-term planning cases			
Scenario Cases (P0 Case)			Will need to update Attachment 1 from scenario cases to sensitivity study cases
Contingency	The unexpected failure or outage of a system component, such as a		This is a NERC Glossary of term. WE do not have



1	,	,	
	generator, transmission		it capitalized in
	line, circuit breaker,		our standard. Do
	switch or other electrical		we want to
	element.		capitalize it?
Corrective Action	A list of actions and an		Used in our
Plan (CAP)	associated timetable for		standard.
	implementation to		
	remedy a specific		
	problem.		
Interconnection	A geographic area in		We do not use
	which the operation of		this in the
	Bulk Power System		standard, but
	components is		adding in case it
	synchronized such that		would be useful.
	the failure of one or more		
	of such components may		
	adversely affect the		
	ability of the operators of		
	other components within		
	the system to maintain		
	Reliable Operation of the		
	Facilities within their		
	contr <mark>ol. When capit</mark> alized,		
	any one of the four major		
	electric system networks		
	in North America:		
	Eastern, Western, ERCOT		
	and Quebec.		
Planning	Documented evaluation		Used in our
Assessment	of future Transmission		standard.
	System performa <mark>nc</mark> e and		
	Corrective Action Plans to		
	remedy identified		
	deficiencies.		
Load			



NERC Antitrust Guidelines

It is NERC's policy and practice to obey the antitrust laws and to avoid all conduct that unreasonably restrains competition. This policy requires the avoidance of any conduct that violates, or that might appear to violate, the antitrust laws. Among other things, the antitrust laws forbid any agreement between or among competitors regarding prices, availability of service, product design, terms of sale, division of markets, allocation of customers or any other activity that unreasonably restrains competition. It is the responsibility of every NERC participant and employee who may in any way affect NERC's compliance with the antitrust laws to carry out this commitment.

Disclaimer

Participants are reminded that this meeting is public. Notice of the meeting was posted on the NERC website and widely distributed. The notice included the number for dial-in participation. Participants should keep in mind that the audience may include members of the press and representatives of various governmental authorities, in addition to the expected participation by industry stakeholders.

NERC Standards Development Process-Participant Conduct Policy

https://www.nerc.com/pa/Stand/Resources/Documents/NERC_Participant_Conduct_Policy.pdf





	Name	Entity	Attendance
Chair	Evan Wilcox	American Electric Power	х
Vice Chair	Jared Shaw	Entergy Services	Υ
Members	Josie Daggett	Western Area Power Administration	Υ
	David Duhart	Southwest Power Pool	N
	Michael Herman	PJM Interconnection	Υ
	Tracy Judson	Florida Power & Light	Υ
	Sun Wook Kang	ERCOT	Υ
	Andrew Kniska	ISO New England	N
	Dmitry Kosterev	Bonneville Power Administration	Υ
	David Le	California ISO	Υ
	Karl Perman	CIP CORPS	N
	Meenakshi Saravanan	ISO New England	N
	Kurtis Toews	Manitoba Hydro	N
	Hayk Zargaryan	Southern California Edison	Υ
PMOS Liaison	Jason Chandler	Con Edison	Υ
	Donovan Crane	WECC	N
NERC Staff	Jordan Mallory – Standards Developer	North American Electric Reliability Corporation	Υ



Name	Entity	Attendance
Lauren Perotti – Assistant General Counsel	North American Electric Reliability Corporation	N

