Unofficial Comment Form

Project 2020-06 Verification of Models and Data for Generators

Inverter-based Resource Definitions

**Do not** use this form for submitting comments. Use the [Standards Balloting and Commenting System (SBS)](https://sbs.nerc.net/) to submit comments on **Inverter-based Resource (IBR) Glossary Terms** by **8 p.m. Eastern, Tuesday, January 9, 2024.   
m. Eastern, Thursday, August 20, 2015**

Additional information is available on the [project page](https://www.nerc.com/pa/Stand/Pages/Project-2020_06-Verifications-of-Models-and-Data-for-Generators.aspx). If you have questions, contact Senior Standards Developer, [Chris Larson](mailto:chris.larson@nerc.net?subject=Project%202020-06%20Comment%20Period) (via email), or at 404-446-9708.

## Background

## The NERC IBR Performance Task Force (IRPTF) performed a comprehensive review of all NERC Reliability Standards to identify any potential gaps and/or improvements. The IRPTF discovered several issues as part of this effort and documented its findings and recommendations in the IRPTF Review of NERC Reliability Standards White Paper, which was approved in March 2020 by the Operating Committee and the Planning Committee (now part of the Reliability and Security Technical Committee (RSTC)). Among the findings noted in the white paper, the IRPTF identified issues with MOD-026-1 and MOD-027-1 that should be addressed. The RSTC endorsed the standard authorization request (SAR) June 10, 2020.

## The Standards Committee accepted two revised SARs at its July 21, 2021 meeting. The scope of the SARs include the potential to add, modify, or retire a Glossary Terms for NERC Reliability Standards. The Project 2020-06 drafting team proposes two new terms as part of this formal comment and initial ballot period.

Please provide your responses to the questions listed below, along with any detailed comments.

**Questions**

1. Do you support the definition for IBR as proposed, or with non-substantive changes? If you do not support the definition as proposed, please explain the changes that, if made, would result in your support.

Yes

No

Comments:

1. Do you support the definition for IBR Unit as proposed, or with non-substantive changes? If you do not support the definition as proposed, please explain the changes that, if made, would result in your support.

Yes

No

Comments:

1. Provide any additional comments for the DT to consider, if desired.

Comments: