

Standard Authorization Request Form

Title of Proposed Project: FAC-012, FAC-013 Revisions for Order 729
Request Date: March 3, 2010
Approved by Standards Committee: March 11, 2010

SAR Requester Information		SAR Type (Check a box for each one that applies.)	
Name: NERC Staff		New Standard	
Primary Contact Andrew Rodriquez NERC Staff:		Revision to existing Standards FAC-012-1 — Transfer Capability Methodology FAC-013-1 — Establish and Communicate Transfer Capabilities	
Telephone 609-452-8060 Fax		Withdrawal of existing Standard	
E-mail andy.rodriquez@nerc.net		Urgent Action	

Purpose

Address FERC directives from Order 729 Related to FAC-012-1 and FAC-013-1.

Industry Need

In Order 729, FERC ruled that the ATC standards developed in Project 2006-07 did not completely address the topics covered in FAC-012 and -013. Accordingly, the FERC denied the portions of the implementation plan that would have retied these standards, and instead directed changes to the FAC standards to be made and submitted back to the FERC no later than 60 days prior to the effective date of the standards. This SAR is being created in response to the FERC Order.

Brief Description

In Project 2006-07 ("Transfer Capabilities: ATC-TTC-CBM-TRM"), the ATC-TTC-CBM-TRM Drafting Team (ATCT DT) proposed the retirement of FAC-012 and -013, believing that these standards had been effectively superseded by four of the ATC standards developed in Project 2006-07 (MOD-001, MOD-028, MOD-029, and MOD-030). In Order 729, FERC ruled that the ATC standards did not completely address the topics covered in FAC-012 and -013, and directed changes to the FAC standards to eliminate redundancies while at the same time improving the other parts of the FAC standards that were not addressed by the ATC

standards. Specifically, the Commission directed:

"...the ERO to develop modifications to FAC-012-1 and FAC-013-1 to comply with the relevant directives of Order No. 693 and, as otherwise necessary, to make the requirements of those Reliability Standards consistent with those of the MOD Reliability Standards approved herein as well as this Final Rule. These modifications should also remove redundant provisions for the calculation of transfer capability addressed elsewhere in the MOD Reliability Standards. In making these revisions, the ERO should consider the development of a methodology for calculation of interregional and intra-regional transfer capabilities."

Based on the effective date of the Order, it is expected that these modifications will be due on or around January 31, 2011.

Detailed Description

This SAR proposes to retire FAC-012-1, and modify FAC-013-1. Below are excerpts from documents relevant to the SAR.

From Order 729:

- (In the NOPR) The Commission also proposed to not grant NERC's request to withdraw FAC-012-1, nor approve the retirement of FAC-013-1. With respect to these two Reliability Standards, the Commission disagreed with NERC that they are wholly superseded by the MOD Reliability Standards addressed in these proceeding. The Commission noted that, under FAC-012-1, reliability coordinators and planning authorities would be required to document the methodology used to establish inter-regional and intra-regional transfer capabilities and to state whether the methodology is applicable to the planning horizon or the operating horizon. The Commission also noted that, under FAC-013-1, reliability coordinators and planning authorities are required to establish a set of inter-regional and intra-regional transfer capabilities that are consistent with the methodology documented under FAC-012-1, which could require the calculation of transfer capabilities for both the planning horizon and the operating horizon. The Commission posited that these FAC Reliability Standards were necessary because the proposed MOD Reliability Standards provide only for the calculation of available transfer capability and its components, including total transfer capability, in the operating horizon. Thus, the Commission stated, the proposed MOD Reliability Standards do not govern the calculation of transfer capabilities in the planning horizon, i.e., beyond 13 months in the future.
- 279. In Order No. 693, the Commission approved FAC-013-1, but declined to approve or remand FAC-012-1. The Commission expressed concern that FAC-012-1 merely required the documentation of a transfer capability methodology without providing a framework for that methodology including data inputs and modeling assumptions. The Commission also expressed concern that the criteria used to calculate transfer capabilities for use in determining available transfer capability must be identical to those used in planning and operating the system. The Commission directed the ERO to modify FAC-012-1 to provide a framework for the transfer capability calculation methodology that takes account of the need for consistency in the criteria used to calculate transfer capabilities.
- 289. Consistent with its NOPR proposal, the Commission finds that NERC has not addressed the requirements of Order No. 693 with regard to the calculation of transfer capabilities in the planning horizon. In Order No. 693 the Commission expressed concern that the criteria used to calculate transfer capabilities for use in determining available transfer capability must be identical to those used in planning and operating the system. As EEI observes, in Order No. 890, the Commission offered, as an example, a possible

definition of the operating horizon as the day-ahead and pre-scheduling periods and the planning horizon as anything beyond the operating horizon. However, NERC has already defined the near-term planning horizon as years one through five in sub-requirement R1.2 of TPL-005. The Commission believes that this definition should be consistent throughout the Reliability Standards.

- 290. The Commission recognizes that the calculation of transfer capabilities in the planning horizon (years one through five) may not be so accurate to support long-term scheduling of the transmission system but we do believe that such forecasts will be useful for long-term planning, in general, by measuring sufficient long-term capacity needed to ensure the reliable operation of the Bulk-Power System. Although regional planning authorities have developed similar efforts in response to Order No. 890, we believe that the requirements imposed by FAC-012 and FAC-013 need not be duplicative of those existing efforts and, by contrast, should be focused on improving the long-term reliability of the Bulk-Power System pursuant to the ERO's Reliability Standards. We believe that these responsibilities would be appropriately assigned to the planning coordinator and not the reliability coordinator.
- 291. The Commission hereby adopts its NOPR proposal to deny NERC's request to withdraw FAC-012-1 and retire FAC-013-1. Instead, pursuant to section 215(d)(5) of the FPA and section 39.5(f) of our regulations, the Commission directs the ERO to develop modifications to FAC-012-1 and FAC-013-1 to comply with the relevant directives of Order No. 693 and, as otherwise necessary, to make the requirements of those Reliability Standards consistent with those of the MOD Reliability Standards approved herein as well as this Final Rule. These modifications should also remove redundant provisions for the calculation of transfer capability addressed elsewhere in the MOD Reliability Standards. In making these revisions, the ERO should consider the development of a methodology for calculation of inter-regional and intra-regional transfer capabilities. The Commission accepts the ERO's request for additional time to prepare the modifications and so directs the ERO to submit the modifications to FAC-012-1 and FAC-013-1 no later than 60 days before the MOD Reliability Standards become effective.

From Order 693 (provided for reference)

782. Although we are not proposing to approve or remand this proposed Reliability Standard (FAC-012-1), the Commission believes that it can be improved. The Commission believes that the process used to determine transfer capabilities should be transparent to the stakeholders, and agrees with International Transmission and MidAmerican that the results of those calculations should not be available for public disclosure but only for qualified entities on a confidential basis. In addition, the process and criteria used to determine transfer capabilities must be consistent with the process and criteria used for other users of the Bulk-Power System. Simply stated, the criteria used to calculate transfer capabilities for use in determining ATC must be identical to those used in planning and operating the system. The Commission directs the ERO to take this into account in its Reliability Standards development process, and to modify the Reliability Standard consistent with Order No. 890 in Docket No. RM05-25-000.

SUBMITTER NOTE – These items were addressed in the ATC-related MOD standards.

790. The Commission does not believe that the regional reliability organization should be able to decide the type of entity to which this Reliability Standard applies. The Commission disagrees with APPA that regional committee processes are essential to determine which planning authorities and reliability coordinators are responsible for determining and distributing each of the specific transfer capability values. Reliability coordinators have a wider-area view of the transmission system than planning authorities, which is important in calculating inter- and intra-regional transfer capabilities. Therefore, the Commission agrees

with MidAmerican that reliability coordinators should calculate transfer capabilities in the operating horizon. The Commission will not address MidAmerican's proposal regarding calculating transfer capabilities in the planning horizon because those Reliability Standards are being considered in Docket No. RM07-3- 000 and are therefore beyond the scope of this proceeding.

794. Accordingly, the Commission approves Reliability Standard FAC-013-1 as mandatory and enforceable, and, pursuant to section 215(d)(5) of the FPA and § 39.5(f) of our regulations, the Commission directs the ERO to develop a modification to FAC- 013-1 through the Reliability Standards development process that makes it applicable to reliability coordinators.

SUBMITTER NOTE – Rules for calculating transfer capabilities in the Operating Horizon are addressed within the ATC-related MOD standards. As such, the directive to assign within FAC_013 the responsibilities for this task to the Reliability Coordinator are no longer valid.

From NERC's NOPR Response (provided for reference)

FERC proposes to "direct the ERO to submit a revised FAC-012-1 and a modification to FAC-013-1 to comply with the relevant directives of Order No. 693 and as otherwise necessary to make the requirements of those Reliability Standards consistent with those of the proposed MOD Reliability Standards and the final rule in this proceeding." In order to ensure an accurate understanding of the Commission's expectations, NERC requests clarification of the proposed Commission directive. NERC interprets the proposed directive to mean that these FAC standards: (1) must be changed to address the Planning Horizon to ensure continuity with the ATC-related MOD standards; (2) should not address the Operating Horizon, because the ATC-related MOD standards already address this area; (3) should not delegate oversight and responsibility for this standard to Regional Entities, but rather do so at the ERO level; (4) must not conflict with the ATC-related MOD standards; and (5) must include Violation Risk Factors ("VRF") and Violation Severity Levels ("VSL"). NERC seeks confirmation that this understanding is consistent with the expectations of the Commission regarding this topic.

SUBMITTER NOTE – No direct confirmation from FERC was received; however, this seems to be an appropriate point from which to being modification.

¹ *Id.* at P 138.

Reliability Functions

The Standard will Apply to the Following Functions (Check box for each one that apply the Reliability Coordinator Coordinator Area in coordination with its neighboring Reliability Coordinator's wide area view.	ility ty
Coordinator Coordinator Area in coordination with its neighboring Reliabili Coordinator's wide area view.	ty
Balancing Authority Integrates resource plans ahead of time, and maintains load- interchange-resource balance within a Balancing Authority Ar and supports Interconnection frequency in real time.	
Interchange Authority Ensures communication of interchange transactions for reliable evaluation purposes and coordinates implementation of valid balanced interchange schedules between Balancing Authority Areas.	
Planning Assesses the longer-term reliability of its Planning Coordinate Area.	r
Resource Planner Develops a >one year plan for the resource adequacy of its specific loads within a Planning Coordinator area.	
Transmission Planner Develops a >one year plan for the reliability of the interconnel Bulk Electric System within its portion of the Planning Coordinarea.	
Transmission Service Provider Administers the transmission tariff and provides transmission service agreements (et al., 2014).	
Transmission Owns and maintains transmission facilities. Owner	
Transmission Operator Ensures the real-time operating reliability of the transmission Operator Area.	
Distribution Provider Delivers electrical energy to the End-use customer.	
Generator Owns and maintains generation facilities. Owner	
Generator Operates generation unit(s) to provide real and reactive power operator	er.
Purchasing- Purchases or sells energy, capacity, and necessary reliability-selling Entity related services as required.	
Market Operator Interface point for reliability functions with commercial functions	ons.
Load- Serving Entity Secures energy and transmission service (and reliability-related services) to serve the End-use Customer.	ed

Reliability and Market Interface Principles

Appl	licab	ole Reliability Principles (Check box for all that apply.)
\boxtimes	1.	Interconnected bulk power systems shall be planned and operated in a coordinated manner to perform reliably under normal and abnormal conditions as defined in the NERC Standards.
	2.	The frequency and voltage of interconnected bulk power systems shall be controlled within defined limits through the balancing of real and reactive power supply and demand.
\boxtimes	3.	Information necessary for the planning and operation of interconnected bulk power systems shall be made available to those entities responsible for planning and operating the systems reliably.
	4.	Plans for emergency operation and system restoration of interconnected bulk power systems shall be developed, coordinated, maintained and implemented.
	5.	Facilities for communication, monitoring and control shall be provided, used and maintained for the reliability of interconnected bulk power systems.
	6.	Personnel responsible for planning and operating interconnected bulk power systems shall be trained, qualified, and have the responsibility and authority to implement actions.
	7.	The security of the interconnected bulk power systems shall be assessed, monitored and maintained on a wide area basis.
	8.	Bulk power systems shall be protected from malicious physical or cyber attacks.
		e proposed Standard comply with all of the following Market Interface es? (Select 'yes' or 'no' from the drop-down box.)
		ability standard shall not give any market participant an unfair competitive ntage. Yes
2. A	relia	ability standard shall neither mandate nor prohibit any specific market structure. Yes
		ability standard shall not preclude market solutions to achieving compliance with that ard. Yes
ir	nforn	ability standard shall not require the public disclosure of commercially sensitive nation. All market participants shall have equal opportunity to access commercially ensitive information that is required for compliance with reliability standards. Yes

Re	la	ted	Sta	nda	rds

Standard No.	Explanation

Related SARs

SAR ID	Explanation
Project 2006-07 ("Transfer Capabilities: ATC-TTC-CBM- TRM")	Completed project that led to the FERC Order and this SAR.

Regional Variances

Region	Explanation
ERCOT	
FRCC	
MRO	
NPCC	
SERC	
RFC	
SPP	
WECC	

Attachment 1 — FERC Order 729 Directives and Stakeholder Issues

Source	Language
FERC Order 729	From Order 729:
	278. (In the NOPR) The Commission also proposed to not grant NERC's request to withdraw FAC-012-1, nor approve the retirement of FAC-013-1. With respect to these two Reliability Standards, the Commission disagreed with NERC that they are wholly superseded by the MOD Reliability Standards addressed in these proceeding. The Commission noted that, under FAC-012-1, reliability coordinators and planning authorities would be required to document the methodology used to establish inter-regional and intra-regional transfer capabilities and to state whether the methodology is applicable to the planning horizon or the operating horizon. The Commission also noted that, under FAC-013-1, reliability coordinators and planning authorities are required to establish a set of inter-regional and intra-regional transfer capabilities that are consistent with the methodology documented under FAC-012-1, which could require the calculation of transfer capabilities for both the planning horizon and the operating horizon. The Commission posited that these FAC Reliability Standards were necessary because the proposed MOD Reliability Standards provide only for the calculation of available transfer capability and its components, including total transfer capability, in the operating horizon. Thus, the Commission stated, the proposed MOD Reliability Standards do not govern the calculation of transfer capabilities in the planning horizon, i.e., beyond 13 months in the future.
	279. In Order No. 693, the Commission approved FAC-013-1, but declined to approve or remand FAC-012-1. The Commission expressed concern that FAC-012-1 merely required the documentation of a transfer capability methodology without providing a framework for that methodology including data inputs and modeling assumptions. The Commission also expressed concern that the criteria used to calculate transfer capabilities for use in determining available transfer capability must be identical to those used in planning and operating the system. The Commission directed the ERO to modify FAC-012-1 to provide a framework for the transfer capability calculation methodology that takes account of the need for consistency in the criteria used to calculate transfer capabilities.
	289. Consistent with its NOPR proposal, the Commission finds that NERC has not addressed the requirements of Order No. 693 with regard to the calculation of transfer capabilities in the planning horizon. In Order No. 693 the Commission expressed concern that the criteria used to calculate transfer capabilities for use in determining available transfer capability must be identical to those used in planning and operating the system. As EEI observes, in Order No. 890, the Commission offered, as an example, a possible definition of the operating horizon as the day-ahead and pre-scheduling periods and the planning horizon as anything beyond the operating horizon. However, NERC has already defined the near-term planning horizon as years one through five in sub-requirement R1.2 of TPL-005. The Commission believes that this definition should be consistent throughout the Reliability Standards.
	290. The Commission recognizes that the calculation of transfer capabilities in the planning horizon (years one through five) may not be so accurate to support long-term scheduling of the transmission system but we do believe that such forecasts will be useful for long-term planning, in general, by measuring sufficient long-term capacity needed to ensure the reliable operation of the Bulk-Power System. Although regional planning authorities have developed similar efforts in response to Order No. 890, we believe that the requirements imposed by FAC-012 and FAC-013 need not be duplicative of those existing efforts and, by contrast, should be focused on improving the long-term reliability of the Bulk-Power System pursuant to the ERO's Reliability Standards. We believe that these responsibilities would be appropriately assigned to the planning coordinator and not the reliability coordinator.
	291. The Commission hereby adopts its NOPR proposal to deny NERC's request to withdraw FAC-012-1 and retire FAC-013-1. Instead, pursuant to section 215(d)(5) of the FPA and section

Source	Language
	39.5(f) of our regulations, the Commission directs the ERO to develop modifications to FAC-012-1 and FAC-013-1 to comply with the relevant directives of Order No. 693 and, as otherwise necessary, to make the requirements of those Reliability Standards consistent with those of the MOD Reliability Standards approved herein as well as this Final Rule. These modifications should also remove redundant provisions for the calculation of transfer capability addressed elsewhere in the MOD Reliability Standards. In making these revisions, the ERO should consider the development of a methodology for calculation of inter-regional and intra-regional transfer capabilities. The Commission accepts the ERO's request for additional time to prepare the modifications and so directs the ERO to submit the modifications to FAC-012-1 and FAC-013-1 no later than 60 days before the MOD Reliability Standards become effective.

Attachment 2 — Global Improvements

Global Improvements

The standard drafting team for each of the projects identified in this plan is expected to review the assigned standards and modify the standards to conform to the latest version of NERC's Reliability Standards Development Procedure, the NERC Standard Drafting Team Guidelines, and the ERO Rules of Procedure as described in this "Global Improvements" section.

Statutory Criteria

In accordance with Section 215 of the Federal Power Act, FERC may approve, by rule or order, a proposed reliability standard or modification to a reliability standard if it determines that "the standard is just, reasonable, not unduly discriminatory or preferential, and in the public interest."

The first three of these criteria can be addressed in large part by the diligent adherence to NERC's *Reliability Standards Development Procedure*, which has been certified by the ANSI as being open, inclusive, balanced, and fair. Users, owners, and operators of the bulk power system that must comply with the standards, as well as the end-users who benefit from a reliable supply of electricity and the public in general, gain some assurance that standards are just, reasonable, and not unduly discriminatory or preferential because the standards are developed through an ANSI-accredited procedure.

The remaining portion of the statutory test is whether the standard is "in the public interest." Implicit in the public-interest test is that a standard is technically sound and ensures a level of reliability that should be reasonably expected by end-users of electricity. Additionally, each standard must be clearly written, so that bulk power system users, owners, and operators are put on notice of the expected behavior. Ultimately, the standards should be defensible in the event of a governmental authority review or court action that may result from enforcing the standard and applying a financial penalty.

The standards must collectively provide a comprehensive and complete set of technically sound requirements that establish an acceptable threshold of performance necessary to ensure the reliability of the bulk power system. "An adequate level of reliability" would argue for both a complete set of standards addressing all aspects of bulk power system design, planning, and operation that materially affect reliability, and for the technical efficacy of each standard. The Commission directed NERC to define the term, "adequate level of reliability" as part of its January 18, 2007 Order on Compliance Filing. Accordingly, NERC's Operating and Planning Committees prepared the definition and the NERC Board approved it at its February 2008 meeting for filing with regulatory authorities. The NERC Standards Committee was then tasked to integrate the definition into the development of future reliability standards.

Quality Objectives

To achieve the goals outlined above, NERC has developed 10 quality objectives for the development of reliability standards. Drafting teams working on assigned projects are charged to ensure their work adheres to the following quality objectives:

- 1. Applicability Each reliability standard shall clearly identify the functional classes of entities responsible for complying with the reliability standard, with any specific additions or exceptions noted. Such functional classes² include: ERO, Regional Entities, reliability coordinators, balancing authorities, transmission operators, transmission owners, generator operators, generator owners, interchange authorities, transmission service providers, market operators, planning coordinators, transmission planners, resource planners, load-serving entities, purchasing-selling entities, and distribution providers. Each reliability standard that does not apply to the entire North American bulk power system shall also identify the geographic applicability of the standard, such as an interconnection, or within a regional entity area. The applicability section of the standard should also include any limitations on the applicability of the standard based on electric facility characteristics, such as a requirement that applies only to the subset of distribution providers that own or operate underfrequency load shedding systems.
- **2. Purpose** Each reliability standard shall have a clear statement of purpose that shall describe how the standard contributes to the reliability of the bulk power system.
- 3. Performance Requirements Each reliability standard shall state one or more performance requirements, which if achieved by the applicable entities, will provide for a reliable bulk power system, consistent with good utility practices and the public interest. Each requirement is not a "lowest common denominator" compromise, but instead achieves an objective that is the best approach for bulk power system reliability, taking account of the costs and benefits of implementing the proposal.
- **4. Measurability** Each performance requirement shall be stated so as to be objectively measurable by a third party with knowledge or expertise in the area addressed by that requirement. Each performance requirement shall have one or more associated measures used to objectively evaluate compliance with the requirement. If performance results can be practically measured quantitatively, metrics shall be provided within the requirement to indicate satisfactory performance.
- **5. Technical Basis in Engineering and Operations** Each reliability standard shall be based upon sound engineering and operating judgment, analysis, or experience, as determined by expert practitioners in that particular field.
- **6.** Completeness Each reliability standard shall be complete and self-contained. The standards shall not depend on external information to determine the required level of performance.
- 7. Consequences for Noncompliance Each reliability standard shall make clearly known to the responsible entities the consequences of violating a standard, in combination with guidelines for penalties and sanctions, as well as other ERO and Regional Entity compliance documents.
- **8.** Clear Language Each reliability standard shall be stated using clear and unambiguous language. Responsible entities, using reasonable judgment and in keeping with good utility practices, are able to arrive at a consistent interpretation of the required performance.

SAR-11

² These functional classes of entities are derived from NERC's Reliability Functional Model. When a standard identifies a class of entities to which it applies, that class must be defined in the Glossary of Terms Used in Reliability Standards.

- **9. Practicality** Each reliability standard shall establish requirements that can be practically implemented by the assigned responsible entities within the specified effective date and thereafter.
- **10. Consistent Terminology** Each reliability standard, to the extent possible, shall use a set of standard terms and definitions that are approved through the NERC Reliability Standards Development Process.

In addition to these factors, standard drafting teams also contemplate the following factors the Commission uses to approve a proposed reliability standard as outlined in Order No. 672. A standard proposed to be approved:

1. Must be designed to achieve a specified reliability goal

"321. The proposed Reliability Standard must address a reliability concern that falls within the requirements of section 215 of the FPA. That is, it must provide for the reliable operation of bulk power system facilities. It may not extend beyond reliable operation of such facilities or apply to other facilities. Such facilities include all those necessary for operating an interconnected electric energy transmission network, or any portion of that network, including control systems. The proposed Reliability Standard may apply to any design of planned additions or modifications of such facilities that is necessary to provide for reliable operation. It may also apply to cyber security protection."

"324. The proposed Reliability Standard must be designed to achieve a specified reliability goal and must contain a technically sound means to achieve this goal. Although any person may propose a topic for a Reliability Standard to the ERO, in the ERO's process, the specific proposed Reliability Standard should be developed initially by persons within the electric power industry and community with a high level of technical expertise and be based on sound technical and engineering criteria. It should be based on actual data and lessons learned from past operating incidents, where appropriate. The process for ERO approval of a proposed Reliability Standard should be fair and open to all interested persons."

2. Must contain a technically sound method to achieve the goal

"324. The proposed Reliability Standard must be designed to achieve a specified reliability goal and must contain a technically sound means to achieve this goal.

Although any person may propose a topic for a Reliability Standard to the ERO, in the ERO's process, the specific proposed Reliability Standard should be developed initially by persons within the electric power industry and community with a high level of technical expertise and be based on sound technical and engineering criteria. It should be based on actual data and lessons learned from past operating incidents, where appropriate. The process for ERO approval of a proposed Reliability Standard should be fair and open to all interested persons."

3. Must be applicable to users, owners, and operators of the bulk power system, and not others

"322. The proposed Reliability Standard may impose a requirement on any user, owner, or operator of such facilities, but not on others."

4. Must be clear and unambiguous as to what is required and who is required to comply

"325. The proposed Reliability Standard should be clear and unambiguous regarding what is required and who is required to comply. Users, owners, and operators of the Bulk-Power System must know what they are required to do to maintain reliability."

5. Must include clear and understandable consequences and a range of penalties (monetary and/or non-monetary) for a violation

"326. The possible consequences, including range of possible penalties, for violating a proposed Reliability Standard should be clear and understandable by those who must comply."

6. Must identify clear and objective criterion or measure for compliance, so that it can be enforced in a consistent and non-preferential manner

"327. There should be a clear criterion or measure of whether an entity is in compliance with a proposed Reliability Standard. It should contain or be accompanied by an objective measure of compliance so that it can be enforced and so that enforcement can be applied in a consistent and non-preferential manner."

7. Should achieve a reliability goal effectively and efficiently - but does not necessarily have to reflect "best practices" without regard to implementation cost

"328. The proposed Reliability Standard does not necessarily have to reflect the optimal method, or "best practice," for achieving its reliability goal without regard to implementation cost or historical regional infrastructure design. It should however achieve its reliability goal effectively and efficiently."

8. Cannot be "lowest common denominator," i.e., cannot reflect a compromise that does not adequately protect bulk power system reliability

"329. The proposed Reliability Standard must not simply reflect a compromise in the ERO's Reliability Standard development process based on the least effective North American practice — the so-called "lowest common denominator"—if such practice does not adequately protect Bulk-Power System reliability. Although the Commission will give due weight to the technical expertise of the ERO, we will not hesitate to remand a proposed Reliability Standard if we are convinced it is not adequate to protect reliability."

9. Costs to be considered for smaller entities but not at consequence of less than excellence in operating system reliability

"330. A proposed Reliability Standard may take into account the size of the entity that must comply with the Reliability Standard and the cost to those entities of implementing the proposed Reliability Standard. However, the ERO should not propose a "lowest common denominator" Reliability Standard that would achieve less than excellence in operating system reliability solely to protect against reasonable expenses for supporting this vital national infrastructure. For example, a small owner or operator of the Bulk-

Power System must bear the cost of complying with each Reliability Standard that applies to it."

10. Must be designed to apply throughout North American to the maximum extent achievable with a single reliability standard while not favoring one area or approach "331. A proposed Reliability Standard should be designed to apply throughout the interconnected North American Bulk-Power System, to the maximum extent this is achievable with a single Reliability Standard. The proposed Reliability Standard should not be based on a single geographic or regional model but should take into account geographic variations in grid characteristics, terrain, weather, and other such factors; it should also take into account regional variations in the organizational and corporate structures of transmission owners and operators, variations in generation fuel type and ownership patterns, and regional variations in market design if these affect the proposed Reliability Standard."

11. No undue negative effect on competition or restriction of the grid

"332. As directed by section 215 of the FPA, the Commission itself will give special attention to the effect of a proposed Reliability Standard on competition. The ERO should attempt to develop a proposed Reliability Standard that has no undue negative effect on competition. Among other possible considerations, a proposed Reliability Standard should not unreasonably restrict available transmission capability on the Bulk-Power System beyond any restriction necessary for reliability and should not limit use of the Bulk-Power System in an unduly preferential manner. It should not create an undue advantage for one competitor over another."

12. Implementation time

"333. In considering whether a proposed Reliability Standard is just and reasonable, the Commission will consider also the timetable for implementation of the new requirements, including how the proposal balances any urgency in the need to implement it against the reasonableness of the time allowed for those who must comply to develop the necessary procedures, software, facilities, staffing or other relevant capability."

13. Whether the reliability standard process was open and fair

"334. Further, in considering whether a proposed Reliability Standard meets the legal standard of review, we will entertain comments about whether the ERO implemented its Commission-approved Reliability Standard development process for the development of the particular proposed Reliability Standard in a proper manner, especially whether the process was open and fair. However, we caution that we will not be sympathetic to arguments by interested parties that choose, for whatever reason, not to participate in the ERO's Reliability Standard development process if it is conducted in good faith in accordance with the procedures approved by the Commission."

14. Balance with other vital public interests

"335. Finally, we understand that at times development of a proposed Reliability Standard may require that a particular reliability goal must be balanced against other vital public interests, such as environmental, social and other goals. We expect the ERO to

explain any such balancing in its application for approval of a proposed Reliability Standard."

15. Any other relevant factors

"323. In considering whether a proposed Reliability Standard is just and reasonable, we will consider the following general factors, as well as other factors that are appropriate for the particular Reliability Standard proposed."

"337. In applying the legal standard to review of a proposed Reliability Standard, the Commission will consider the general factors above. The ERO should explain in its application for approval of a proposed Reliability Standard how well the proposal meets these factors and explain how the Reliability Standard balances conflicting factors, if any. The Commission may consider any other factors it deems appropriate for determining if the proposed Reliability Standard is just and reasonable, not unduly discriminatory or preferential, and in the public interest. The ERO applicant may, if it chooses, propose other such general factors in its ERO application and may propose additional specific factors for consideration with a particular proposed reliability standard."

Issues Related to the Applicability of a Standard

In Order No. 672, the Commission states that a proposed reliability standard should be clear and unambiguous regarding what is required and who is required to comply. Users, owners, and operators of the bulk power system must know what they are required to do to maintain reliability. Section 215(b) of the FPA requires all "users, owners and operators of the bulk power system" to comply with Commission-approved reliability standards.

The term "users, owners, and operators of the bulk power system" defines the statutory applicability of the reliability standards. NERC's Reliability Functional Model (Functional Model) further refines the set of users, owners, and operators by identifying categories of functions that entities perform so the applicability of each standard can be more clearly defined. Applicability is clear if a standard precisely states the applicability using the functions an entity performs. For example, "Each Generator Operator shall verify the reactive power output capability of each of its generating units" states clear applicability compared with a standard that states "a bulk power system user shall verify the reactive power output capability of each generating unit." The use of the Functional Model in the standards narrows the applicability of the standard to a particular class or classes of bulk power system users, owners, and operators. A standard is more clearly enforceable when it narrows the applicability to a specific class of entities than if the standard simply references a wide range of entities, e.g., all bulk power system users, owners, and operators.

In determining the applicability of each standard and the requirements within a standard, the drafting team should follow the definitions provided in the NERC Glossary of Terms Used in Reliability Standards and should also be guided by the Functional Model.

In addition to applying definitions from the Functional Model, the revised standards must address more specific applicability criteria that identify only those entities and facilities that are material to bulk power system reliability with regard to the particular standard.

The drafting team should review the registration criteria provided in the NERC Statement of Compliance Registry Criteria, which is the criteria for applicability. The registration criteria identify the criteria NERC uses to identify those entities responsible for compliance to the reliability standards. Any deviations from the criteria used in the Statement of Compliance Registry Criteria must be identified in the applicability section of the. It is also important to note that standard drafting teams cannot set the applicability of reliability standards to extend to entities beyond the scope established by the criteria for inclusion on NERC's Compliance Registry. This is expressly prohibited by Commission Order No. 693-A.

The goal is to place obligations on the entities whose performance will impact the reliability of the bulk power system, but to avoid painting the applicability with such a broad brush that entities are obligated even when meeting a requirement will make no material contribution to bulk power system reliability.

Every entity class described in the Functional Model performs functions that are essential to the reliability of the bulk power system. This point is best highlighted with the example that might be the most difficult to understand, the inclusion of distribution providers. Section 215 of the FPA specifically excludes facilities used in the local distribution of electric energy. Nonetheless, some of the NERC standards apply to a class of entities called Distribution Providers. Distribution Providers are covered because, although they own and operate facilities in the local distribution of electric energy, they also perform functions affecting and essential to the reliability of the bulk power system. With regard to these facilities and functions that are material to the reliability of the bulk power system, a distribution provider is a bulk power system user. For example, requirements for distribution providers in the reliability standards apply to the underfrequency load shedding relays that are maintained and operated within the distribution system to protect the reliability of the bulk power system. There are also requirements for distribution providers to provide demand forecast information for the planning of reliable operations of the bulk power system.

A similar line of thinking can apply to every other entity in the Functional Model, including Load-serving Entities and Purchasing-selling Entities, which are users of the bulk power system to the extent they transact business for the use of transmission service or to transfer power across the bulk power system. NERC has specific requirements for these entities based on how these uses may impact the reliability of the bulk power systems. Other functional entities are more obviously bulk power system owners and operators, such as Reliability Coordinators, Transmission Owners and Operators, Generator Owners and Operators, Planning Coordinators, Transmission Planners, and Resource Planners. It is the extent to which these entities provide for a reliable bulk power system or perform functions that materially affect the reliability of the bulk power system that these entities fall under the jurisdiction of Section 215 of the FPA and the reliability standards. The use of the Functional Model simply groups these entities into logical functional areas to enable the standards to more clearly define the applicability.

Issues Related to Regional Entities and Reliability Organizations

Because of the transition from voluntary reliability standards to mandatory reliability standards, confusion has occurred over the distinction between Regional Entities and Regional Reliability Organizations. The regional councils have traditionally been the owners and members of NERC. They have been referred to as Regional Reliability Organizations in the Functional Model and in

the reliability standards. In an era of voluntary standards and guides, it was acceptable that a number of the standards included requirements for Regional Reliability Organizations to develop regional criteria, procedures, and plans, and included requirements for entities within the region to follow those requirements. Section 215 of the FPA introduced a new term, called "Regional Entity." Regional Entities have specific delegated authorities, under agreements with NERC, to propose and enforce reliability standards within the region, and to perform other functions in support of the electric reliability organization. The former Regional Reliability Organizations have entered into delegation agreements with NERC to become Regional Entities for this purpose.