PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION HAS BEEN REMOVED FROM THIS PUBLIC VERSION RELIABILITY CORPORATION

July 28, 2011

Ms. Kimberly D. Bose Secretary Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 888 First Street, N.E. Washington, DC 20426

Re: NERC Abbreviated Notice of Penalty regarding Unidentified Registered Entity, FERC Docket No. NP11-__-000

Dear Ms. Bose:

The North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) hereby provides this Abbreviated Notice of Penalty (NOP) regarding Unidentified Registered Entity (URE), with information and details regarding the nature and resolution of the violation discussed in detail in the Disposition Document attached hereto (Attachment a), in accordance with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission or FERC) rules, regulations and orders, as well as NERC Rules of Procedure including Appendix 4C (NERC Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program (CMEP)).²

This NOP is being filed with the Commission because URE does not dispute the violation of CIP-007-1 Requirement (R) 3.1 and the assessed five thousand dollar (\$5,000) penalty. Accordingly, the violation identified as NERC Violation Tracking Identification Number WECC200902055 is a Confirmed Violation, as that term is defined in the NERC Rules of Procedure and the CMEP.

Statement of Findings Underlying the Violation

This NOP incorporates the findings and justifications reported in the Notice of Confirmed Violation and Proposed Penalty or Sanction (NOCV) issued on October 5, 2010, by Western Electricity Coordinating Council (WECC), as described in the Disposition Document. The details of the findings and the basis for the penalty are set forth in the Disposition Document.

¹ For purposes of this document, each violation at issue is described as a "violation," regardless of its procedural posture and whether it was a possible, alleged or confirmed violation.

116-390 Village Blvd. Princeton, NJ 08540 609.452.8060 | www.nerc.com

² Rules Concerning Certification of the Electric Reliability Organization; and Procedures for the Establishment, Approval, and Enforcement of Electric Reliability Standards (Order No. 672), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,204 (2006); Notice of New Docket Prefix "NP" for Notices of Penalty Filed by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation, Docket No. RM05-30-000 (February 7, 2008). See also 18 C.F.R. Part 39 (2011). Mandatory Reliability Standards for the Bulk-Power System, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,242 (2007) (Order No. 693), reh'g denied, 120 FERC ¶ 61,053 (2007) (Order No. 693-A). See 18 C.F.R § 39.7(c)(2).

NERC Notice of Penalty Unidentified Registered Entity July 28, 2011

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION HAS BEEN REMOVED FROM THIS PUBLIC VERSION

Page 2

This NOP filing contains the basis for approval of this NOP by the NERC Board of Trustees Compliance Committee (BOTCC). In accordance with Section 39.7 of the Commission's Regulations, 18 C.F.R. § 39.7, NERC provides the following summary table identifying each violation of a Reliability Standard at issue in this NOP.

NOC ID	NERC Violation ID	Reliability Std.	Req. (R)	VRF	Duration	Total Penalty (\$)
NOC-694	WECC200902055	CIP-007-1	3.1	Lower	7/1/09- 11/25/09	5,000

The text of the Reliability Standard at issue and further information on the subject violations are set forth in the Disposition Document.

CIP-007-1 R3.1 - OVERVIEW

URE submitted a Self-Report to WECC. WECC determined that URE did not document its assessments of security patches for six of its routers within thirty calendar days of security patch availability.

Statement Describing the Assessed Penalty, Sanction or Enforcement Action Imposed³

Basis for Determination

Taking into consideration the Commission's direction in Order No. 693, the NERC Sanction Guidelines and the Commission's July 3, 2008, October 26, 2009 and August 27, 2010 Guidance Orders, the NERC BOTCC reviewed the NOCV and supporting documentation on June 10, 2011. The NERC BOTCC approved the NOCV and the assessment of a five thousand dollar (\$5,000) financial penalty against URE based upon WECC's findings and determinations, the NERC BOTCC's review of the applicable requirements of the Commission-approved Reliability Standards and the underlying facts and circumstances of the violation at issue.

In reaching this determination, the NERC BOTCC considered the following factors:

- the violation constituted URE's first occurrence of violations of the subject NERC Reliability Standards;
- 2. URE self-reported the violation;
- 3. WECC reported that URE was cooperative throughout the compliance enforcement process;
- 4. URE had a compliance program at the time of the violation which WECC considered a mitigating factor, as discussed in the Disposition Document;

.

³ See 18 C.F.R § 39.7(d)(4).

⁴ North American Electric Reliability Corporation, "Guidance Order on Reliability Notices of Penalty," 124 FERC ¶ 61,015 (2008); North American Electric Reliability Corporation, "Further Guidance Order on Reliability Notices of Penalty," 129 FERC ¶ 61,069 (2009); North American Electric Reliability Corporation, "Notice of No Further Review and Guidance Order," 132 FERC ¶ 61,182 (2010).

NERC Notice of Penalty Unidentified Registered Entity July 28, 2011 PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION HAS BEEN REMOVED FROM THIS PUBLIC VERSION

Page 3

- 5. there was no evidence of any attempt to conceal a violation nor evidence of intent to do so;
- 6. WECC determined that the violation posed a minimal risk and did not pose a serious or substantial risk to the reliability of the bulk power system (BPS), as discussed in the Disposition Document; and
- 7. WECC reported that there were no other mitigating or aggravating factors or extenuating circumstances that would affect the assessed penalty.

For the foregoing reasons, the NERC BOTCC believes that the assessed penalty of five thousand dollars (\$5,000) is appropriate for the violation and circumstances at issue, and is consistent with NERC's goal to promote and ensure reliability of the BPS.

Pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 39.7(e), the penalty will be effective upon expiration of the 30 day period following the filing of this NOP with the Commission, or, if the Commission decides to review the penalty, upon final determination by the Commission.

Request for Confidential Treatment

Information in and certain attachments to the instant NOP include confidential information as defined by the Commission's regulations at 18 C.F.R. Part 388 and orders, as well as NERC Rules of Procedure including the NERC CMEP Appendix 4C to the Rules of Procedure. This includes non-public information related to certain Reliability Standard violations, certain Regional Entity investigative files, Registered Entity sensitive business information and confidential information regarding critical energy infrastructure.

In accordance with the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 C.F.R. § 388.112, a non-public version of the information redacted from the public filing is being provided under separate cover.

Because certain of the attached documents are deemed confidential by NERC, Registered Entities and Regional Entities, NERC requests that the confidential, non-public information be provided special treatment in accordance with the above regulation.

NERC Notice of Penalty Unidentified Registered Entity July 28, 2011 PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION HAS BEEN REMOVED FROM THIS PUBLIC VERSION

Page 4

Attachments to be included as Part of this Notice of Penalty

The attachments to be included as parts of this NOP are the following documents:

- a) Disposition of Violation, included as Attachment a;
- b) URE's Response to the Notice of Alleged Violation and Proposed Penalty or Sanction, included as Attachment b;
- c) URE's Self-Report, included as Attachment c;
- d) URE's Mitigation Plan MIT-09-2823, included as Attachment d;
- e) URE's Certification of Mitigation Plan Completion, included as Attachment e; and
- f) WECC's Verification of Mitigation Plan Completion, included as Attachment f.

A Form of Notice Suitable for Publication⁵

A copy of a notice suitable for publication is included in Attachment g.

.

⁵ See 18 C.F.R. § 39.7(d)(6).

NERC Notice of Penalty Unidentified Registered Entity July 28, 2011 Page 5

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION HAS BEEN REMOVED FROM THIS PUBLIC VERSION

Notices and Communications

Notices and communications with respect to this filing may be addressed to the following:

Gerald W. Cauley

President and Chief Executive Officer

David N. Cook*

Sr. Vice President and General Counsel

North American Electric Reliability Corporation

116-390 Village Boulevard

Princeton, NJ 08540-5721

(609) 452-8060

(609) 452-9550 – facsimile

david.cook@nerc.net

Mark Maher*

Chief Executive Officer

Western Electricity Coordinating Council

155 North 400 West, Suite 200

Salt Lake City, UT 84103

(360) 213-2673

(801) 582-3918 – facsimile

Mark@wecc.biz

Constance White*

Vice President of Compliance

Western Electricity Coordinating Council

155 North 400 West, Suite 200

Salt Lake City, UT 84103

(801) 883-6855

(801) 883-6894 – facsimile

CWhite@wecc.biz

Sandy Mooy*

Associate General Counsel

Western Electricity Coordinating Council

155 North 400 West, Suite 200

Salt Lake City, UT 84103

(801) 819-7658

(801) 883-6894 – facsimile

SMooy@wecc.biz

Rebecca J. Michael*

Associate General Counsel for Corporate and

Regulatory Matters

Davis Smith*

Attorney

North American Electric Reliability Corporation

1120 G Street, N.W.

Suite 990

Washington, DC 20005-3801

(202) 393-3998

(202) 393-3955 – facsimile

rebecca.michael@nerc.net

davis.smith@nerc.net

Christopher Luras*

Manager of Compliance Enforcement

Western Electricity Coordinating Council

155 North 400 West, Suite 200

Salt Lake City, UT 84103

(801) 883-6887

(801) 883-6894 – facsimile

CLuras@wecc.biz

*Persons to be included on the Commission's service list are indicated with an asterisk. NERC requests waiver of the Commission's rules and regulations to permit the inclusion of more than two people on the service list.

NERC Notice of Penalty Unidentified Registered Entity July 28, 2011 PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION HAS BEEN REMOVED FROM THIS PUBLIC VERSION

Page 6

Conclusion

Accordingly, NERC respectfully requests that the Commission accept this NOP as compliant with its rules, regulations and orders.

Gerald W. Cauley

President and Chief Executive Officer

David N. Cook

Sr. Vice President and General Counsel

North American Electric Reliability Corporation

116-390 Village Boulevard Princeton, NJ 08540-5721

(609) 452-8060

(609) 452-9550 – facsimile

david.cook@nerc.net

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Rebecca J. Michael

Rebecca J. Michael*

Associate General Counsel for Corporate

and Regulatory Matters

Davis Smith

Attorney

North American Electric Reliability

Corporation

1120 G Street, N.W.

Suite 990

Washington, DC 20005-3801

(202) 393-3998

(202) 393-3955 – facsimile rebecca.michael@nerc.net

davis.smith@nerc.net

cc: Unidentified Registered Entity

Western Electricity Coordinating Council

Attachments

NERC NORTH AMERICAN ELECTRIC RELIABILITY CORPORATION

Attachment a

Disposition of Violation

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION HAS BEEN REMOVED FROM THIS PUBLIC VERSION

Attachment a

<u>DISPOSITION OF VIOLATION</u>¹ **Dated June 10, 2011**

NERC TRACKING REGIONAL ENTITY TRACKING NOC#

NO. NO.

WECC200902055 WECC2010-609971 NOC-694

REGISTERED ENTITY

NERC REGISTRY ID

Unidentified Registered Entity (URE)

NCRXXXXX

REGIONAL ENTITY

Western Electricity Coordinating Council (WECC)

I. VIOLATION INFORMATION

RELIABILITY	REQUIREMENT(S)	SUB-	VRF(S)	VSL(S)
STANDARD		REQUIREMENT(S)		
CIP-007-1	3	3.1	Lower	N/A^2

PURPOSE OF THE RELIABILITY STANDARD AND TEXT OF RELIABILITY STANDARD AND REQUIREMENT(S)/SUB-REQUIREMENT(S)

The purpose statement of CIP-007-1 provides in pertinent part: "Standard CIP-007 requires Responsible Entities^[3] to define methods, processes, and procedures for securing those systems determined to be Critical Cyber Assets, as well as the noncritical Cyber Assets within the Electronic Security Perimeter(s). Standard CIP-007 should be read as part of a group of standards numbered Standards CIP-002 through CIP-009." (Footnote added.)

CIP-007-1 R3 provides in pertinent part:

R3. Security Patch Management — The Responsible Entity, either separately or as a component of the documented configuration management process specified in CIP-003 Requirement R6, shall establish and document a security patch management program for

¹ For purposes of this document and attachments hereto, each violation at issue is described as a "violation," regardless of its procedural posture and whether it was a possible, alleged or confirmed violation.

At the time of the violations, no VSLs were in effect for CIP-007-1. On June 30, 2009, NERC submitted VSLs for the CIP-002-1 through CIP-009-1 Reliability Standards. On March 18, 2010, the Commission approved the VSLs as filed, but directed NERC to submit modifications.

³ Within the text of Standard CIP-007, "Responsible Entity" shall mean Reliability Coordinator, Balancing Authority, Interchange Authority, Transmission Service Provider, Transmission Owner, Transmission Operator, Generator Owner, Generator Operator, Load Serving Entity, NERC, and Regional Reliability Organizations.

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION HAS BEEN REMOVED FROM THIS PUBLIC VERSION

Attachment a

tracking, evaluating, testing, and installing applicable cyber security software patches for all Cyber Assets within the Electronic Security Perimeter(s).

R3.1. The Responsible Entity shall document the assessment of security patches and security upgrades for applicability within thirty calendar days of availability of the patches or upgrades.

VIOLATION DESCRIPTION

URE submitted a Self-Report to WECC stating that it discovered that the latest security patch for six of URE's routers had not been reviewed within the required thirty-day period.4

A WECC Subject Matter Expert (SME) reviewed the Self-Report and determined that URE did not document its assessments of security patches for six routers within thirty calendar days of security patch availability. The SME identified two devices (Device A) and four devices (Device B) that were not updated with the most current versions of firmware patches. URE deployed the two Device A cyber assets on August 15, 2007 when the devices were equipped with an earlier software version. The vendor released a software update version in 2010, but URE did not update its Device A firewalls. URE deployed the four Device B cyber assets on February 17, 2009 equipped with an earlier software version. Software updates were released in the Spring of 2009 and the fall of 2009, but URE did not install or document any assessment of software version updates after the deployment date.

RELIABILITY IMPACT STATEMENT- POTENTIAL AND ACTUAL

WECC determined that the violation posed a minimal risk and did not pose a serious or substantial risk to the reliability of the bulk power system (BPS) because URE had additional security measures in place to protect routers during the period of the violation, and none of the cyber assets were connected to the internet. First, n

URE's Critical Cyber Asset network was (and is) configured to terminate any VPN piggyback attempt through multiple VPN access. Second, URE implemented software to monitor unauthorized access into its network. Finally, URE IT staff ramonthly reports and reviewed all access within the network.		
IS THERE A SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT	YES	NO
⁴ The Self-Report stated that the violation involved two routers	s: however, after further in	vestigation URF

determined the violation actually involved six routers.

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION HAS BEEN REMOVED FROM THIS PUBLIC VERSION

Attachment a

WITH RESPECT TO THE VIOLATION(S), REGISTERED ENTITY				
NEITHER ADMITS NOR DENIES IT (SETTLEMENT ONLY) ADMITS TO IT DOES NOT CONTEST IT (INCLUDING WITHIN 30 DAYS)	YES YES YES			
WITH RESPECT TO THE ASSESSED PENALTY OR SANCTION, REGISTERED ENTITY				
ACCEPTS IT/ DOES NOT CONTEST IT	YES			
III. <u>DISCOVERY INFORMATION</u>				
METHOD OF DISCOVERY SELF-REPORT SELF-CERTIFICATION COMPLIANCE AUDIT COMPLIANCE VIOLATION INVESTIGATION SPOT CHECK COMPLAINT PERIODIC DATA SUBMITTAL EXCEPTION REPORTING				
DURATION DATE(S) 7/1/09 through 11/25/09 (Mitigation Plan comp	letion)			
DATE DISCOVERED BY OR REPORTED TO REGIONAL ENTITY S	Self-Rej	port		
IS THE VIOLATION STILL OCCURRING YES IF YES, EXPLAIN	NO			
REMEDIAL ACTION DIRECTIVE ISSUED YES PRE TO POST JUNE 18, 2007 VIOLATION YES	NO NO	\boxtimes		
IV. <u>MITIGATION INFORMATION</u>				
FOR FINAL ACCEPTED MITIGATION PLAN: MITIGATION PLAN NO. M DATE SUBMITTED TO REGIONAL ENTITY DATE ACCEPTED BY REGIONAL ENTITY DATE APPROVED BY NERC DATE PROVIDED TO FERC	10/	_		

⁵ The Mitigation Plan was signed on December 15, 2009.

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION HAS BEEN REMOVED FROM THIS PUBLIC VERSION

Attachment a

IDENTIFY AND EXPLAIN ALL PRIOR VERSIONS THAT WERE ACCEPTED OR REJECTED, IF APPLICABLE

MITIGATION PLAN COMPLETED	YES		NO	
EXPECTED COMPLETION DATE EXTENSIONS GRANTED	Subr	nitted a	as comj	plete
ACTUAL COMPLETION DATE			11/2	25/09
DATE OF CERTIFICATION LETTER CERTIFIED COMPLETE BY REGISTERED E	NTITY A	S OF)/10 ⁶ 25/09
DATE OF VERIFICATION LETTER VERIFIED COMPLETE BY REGIONAL ENTI	ITY AS O	F		3/10 25/09
ACTIONS TAKEN TO MITIGATE THE ISSUI	E AND PI	REVEN	T	

- 1. URE assessed and documented its assessments of all security patch software updates for the six routers.
- 2. URE upgraded the Vendor router software or documented compensating measures used to mitigate risk exposure.
- 3. URE implemented minimum once per month IT security review meetings to ensure that all patches related to cyber security devices within the Electronic Security Perimeter are identified.
- 4. URE updated its "configuration management process," to included changes in management controls that identify each device, its firmware or software version, patch information and assessment status.
- 5. The IT process was updated to include procedures by which Cyber Security devices are identified by type and flagged for ongoing review of security patch availability and assessment.

LIST OF EVIDENCE REVIEWED BY REGIONAL ENTITY TO EVALUATE COMPLETION OF MITIGATION PLAN (FOR CASES IN WHICH MITIGATION IS NOT YET COMPLETED, LIST EVIDENCE REVIEWED FOR COMPLETED MILESTONES)

- Cyber change control and configuration management procedure
- Documentation of security patch assessments

-

RECURRENCE

⁶ The Certification of Mitigation Plan Completion was dated December 16, 2009.

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION HAS BEEN REMOVED FROM THIS PUBLIC VERSION

Attachment a

• Documentation of changes in URE's configuration management process

V. PENALTY INFORMATION

TOTAL ASSESSED PENALTY OR SANCTION OF \$5,000 FOR ONE VIOLATION OF RELIABILITY STANDARDS.	
(1) REGISTERED ENTITY'S COMPLIANCE HISTORY	
PREVIOUSLY FILED VIOLATIONS OF ANY OF THE INSTANT RELIABILITY STANDARD(S) OR REQUIREMENT(S) THEREUNDER YES NO	
LIST VIOLATIONS AND STATUS	
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	
PREVIOUSLY FILED VIOLATIONS OF OTHER RELIABILITY STANDARD(S) OR REQUIREMENTS THEREUNDER YES NO	
LIST VIOLATIONS AND STATUS	
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	
(2) THE DEGREE AND QUALITY OF COOPERATION BY THE REGISTERED ENTITY (IF THE RESPONSE TO FULL COOPERATION IS "NO," THE ABBREVIATED NOP FORM MAY NOT BE USED.)	
FULL COOPERATION YES NO IN IF NO, EXPLAIN	
(3) THE PRESENCE AND QUALITY OF THE REGISTERED ENTITY'S COMPLIANCE PROGRAM	
IS THERE A DOCUMENTED COMPLIANCE PROGRAM YES NO UNDETERMINED EXPLAIN URE has implemented an Internal Compliance Program (ICP) which	1
WECC considered a mitigating factor.	1

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION HAS BEEN REMOVED FROM THIS PUBLIC VERSION

Attachment a

EXPLAIN SENIOR MANAGEMENT'S ROLE AND INVOLVEMENT WITH RESPECT TO THE REGISTERED ENTITY'S COMPLIANCE PROGRAM, INCLUDING WHETHER SENIOR MANAGEMENT TAKES ACTIONS THAT SUPPORT THE COMPLIANCE PROGRAM, SUCH AS TRAINING, COMPLIANCE AS A FACTOR IN EMPLOYEE EVALUATIONS, OR OTHERWISE.

VIOLATION		EGISTERED ENTITY TO CONCEAL THE FION NEEDED TO REVIEW, EVALUATE OR ON.
	YES NO IF YES, EXPLAIN	
		ATION(S) WERE INTENTIONAL (IF THE BREVIATED NOP FORM MAY NOT BE USED.)
	YES NO IF YES, EXPLAIN	
(6) ANY OTH	HER MITIGATING	FACTORS FOR CONSIDERATION
	YES NO IF YES, EXPLAIN	
(7) ANY OTH	IER AGGRAVATI	NG FACTORS FOR CONSIDERATION
	YES NO IF YES, EXPLAIN	
(8) ANY OTH	IER EXTENUATIN	IG CIRCUMSTANCES
	YES NO IF YES, EXPLAIN	

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION HAS BEEN REMOVED FROM THIS PUBLIC VERSION

Attachment a

SOURCE DOCUMENT URE's Self-Report

MITIGATION PLAN URE's Mitigation Plan MIT-09-2823

CERTIFICATION BY REGISTERED ENTITY URE's Certification of Mitigation Plan Completion

VERIFICATION BY REGIONAL ENTITY WECC's Verification of Mitigation Plan Completion

OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION:

APPEAL REQUESTED

NOTICE OF ALLEGED VIOLATION AND PROPOSED PENALTY OR SANCTION ISSUED DATE: 9/16/10 OR N/A
SETTLEMENT REQUEST DATE DATE: OR N/A 🖂
NOTICE OF CONFIRMED VIOLATION ISSUED DATE: 10/5/10 OR N/A
SUPPLEMENTAL RECORD INFORMATION DATE(S) OR N/A \square
REGISTERED ENTITY RESPONSE CONTESTED FINDINGS PENALTY BOTH DID NOT CONTEST
HEARING REQUESTED YES NO DATE OUTCOME