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• Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program (CMEP) Technology Project
• Entity Registration – Coordinated Functional Registrations (CFRs)
• ERO Portal – Misoperations Data Management
• Public Facing Website
• Electricity Information Sharing and Analysis Center (E-ISAC) Portal Update
• Priorities Looking Ahead
• Supports ERO Enterprise Goals
  ▪ Risk-Informed CMEP (Goal 2)
  ▪ Reduction of Known Risks to Reliability (Goal 3)
  ▪ Efficiency and Effectiveness (Goal 6)
    o Consistency
    o Productivity
    o Security
CMEP Technology Project
Preliminary Benefits

- Single, common portal for registered entities
- Real-time access to information
- Improved analytics; visibility into compliance and reliability risks
- Increased productivity (estimated 15% gain for ERO Enterprise CMEP staff)
- Reduced application costs across the ERO Enterprise ($420k annual savings)
- Investment break-even within five years
• Financial Constraint – Flat, inflationary budgets in the future
• Tactical Constraint – Workload becoming more complex
CMEP Technology Project
Options Considered

• Implement common ERO Enterprise solution – supports ERO strategic goals (recommended option)
• Maintain status quo – will not meet future business requirements
• Regions implement individual, new systems of their choosing
  ▪ More costly than a common system
  ▪ Inconsistent with ERO Enterprise strategic direction
• Unanimous support from all Regional CEOs
• Regions deferred investments
• Enterprise option meets strategic goals within constraints
CMEP Project Requirements
Stakeholder Engagement

- Groups include American Public Power Association and the Compliance and Certification Committee (CCC) Program Alignment Working Group
- Registered entities include:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kansas City Power and Light</th>
<th>Midcontinent ISO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northern California Power Agency</td>
<td>New York ISO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent Electricity System Operator</td>
<td>Southern Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO-New England</td>
<td>California ISO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NextEra Energy</td>
<td>Sacramento Municipal Utility District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York Power Authority</td>
<td>Duke Energy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Estimated Capital Investment: $5.0 to $5.5M
• Estimated Annual Operating Costs: $800k per year
• Includes license fees for system use by registered entities, NERC and Regional Entities
• Estimated average productivity gains for approximately 200 ERO Enterprise staff: ~15 percent in 2022 and beyond
• Requirements gathering completed
• Request for Proposal issued and returned
• Business case completed
• Technology Leadership Team and ERO EMG briefings completed
• Software selection - February 2018
• Selected consultant experienced with the ERO Enterprise and CMEP-type software solutions
• Will negotiate fixed-cost contracts
• Monthly project financial reviews
• Tollgates at each phase to review status and issues
• Periodic updates to other NERC Board of Trustees Committees
• Overview
  ▪ System to create, review, and store information related to CFRs

• Benefits
  ▪ Workflow automation for common CFR tasks (creation, approval, etc.)
  ▪ Provides common source of record for CFR information to Regions, registered entities, and NERC
  ▪ Enhance Region and NERC CFR oversight through new reporting and analysis functions

• Status
  ▪ Production Date: November 13, 2017
• Overview
  ▪ Provides registered entities the ability to submit, view, and manage misoperations and protection system data directly

• Benefits
  ▪ Increase efficiency and improve data quality
  ▪ Reduce corporate risk
  ▪ Increase data visibility and security

• Status
  ▪ Production Date: October 18, 2017
• Overview
  ▪ First phase of on-going effort to enhance NERC.com (focused on Search)

• Benefits
  ▪ Search optimization - More relevant results
  ▪ Platform upgrade for stability, redundancy, and support from Microsoft
  ▪ More efficient and reliable content publishing capability

• Status
  ▪ Production Date: December 4, 2017
E-ISAC Portal Upgrade

• Overview
  ▪ Replacement of existing portal with enhanced capabilities for collaboration and threat data exchange

• Benefits
  ▪ Commercial off-the-shelf content management system
  ▪ Significantly improved user interface and experience
  ▪ Future support for STIX/TAXII data and third party application integrations

• Status
  ▪ Production Date: November 30, 2017
Priorities Looking Ahead

- CMEP Technology Project
- Entity Registration – Joint Registration Organizations and Multi-Region Registered Entity Coordinated Oversight participation
- Additional improvements to public facing website
- E-ISAC Portal Enhancements
Questions and Answers
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• Purpose and intent
• Threshold and relationship to IT project business cases
• Value areas and numerical scores
• Next steps
Purpose and Intent

• Ensure accountability for investment decisions
• Provide transparency
• Ensure alignment of IT investments and the core mission
• Provide for quantitative and qualitative analysis
• Determine if expected benefits were realized
• Break ties when resources are constrained
• Integrate with other business process
  ▪ Business planning and budgeting
  ▪ Technology Leadership Team
  ▪ Vendor selection and contract authorization
  ▪ Internal auditing
Threshold, IT Business Cases, and Methodology

- $100K IT Projects
- Benefits and measurements included in the business case (e.g., Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program Technology Project, pages 7-9)
- Application of the methodology will result in a numerical score (1-5) for each project
Value Areas

- Reduce reliability risk
- Reduce costs to the ERO Enterprise
- Reduce risks to the corporation
- Provide new capabilities to the registered entities and the ERO Enterprise
- Increase the productivity of the registered entities and the ERO Enterprise
- Increase the quality of our work products (e.g., data, conclusions, reports, etc.)
Next Steps

- December 2017 stakeholder webinar
- Implement in 2018 in support of ERO Enterprise Metric 7.b.1
- Monitor and improve over time
Questions and Answers
Reliability Standards Development Plan
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• Continuing Efforts from 2017:
  ▪ Enhanced cost effectiveness considerations
  ▪ Standards grading and Periodic Reviews (PRs)
  ▪ Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) directives
  ▪ Reliability Issues Steering Committee (RISC) rankings

• Additional elements for prioritization in 2018:
  ▪ Standards Efficiency Review
  ▪ Continuation of grading and PRs
  ▪ FERC directives
• Modeled after Independent Expert Review Panel template
  ▪ Content
  ▪ Quality
• Standing Team
  ▪ Chairs of Operating Committee (OC), Planning Committee (PC), and representatives from NERC and Regions
  ▪ Meetings facilitated by Chair of the Standards Committee (SC)
• Informed by stakeholder input
• Grades are input into PRs and attached to RSDP
Standards graded from the 2017-2019 RSDP

- BAL-003
- COM-001
- COM-002
- FAC-001
- FAC-002
- IRO-009
- MOD-032
- TPL-001
Standards Eligible in 2018

- BAL-005
- BAL-006
- CIP-014
- FAC-003
- FAC-010
- IRO-001
- IRO-006
- IRO-008
- IRO-010
- IRO-014
- IRO-017
- MOD-020
- MOD-025
- MOD-026
- MOD-027
- MOD-031
- PER-001
- PRC-002
- PRC-015
- PRC-016
- TOP-002
- TOP-003
Questions and Answers
Advisory Group

• Gordon van Welie – ISO-NE
• Greg Ford – Georgia Systems Operations Corporation
• Carol Chinn – Florida Municipal Power Agency
• John Pespisa – Southern California Edison Company
• Amy Casuscelli - Xcel Energy, Inc.
• Randy Crissman – New York Power Authority
• Ken McIntyre – NERC Executive Sponsor
• Define project scope and develop review criteria
• Solicit input for requirement candidate list
• Solicit candidates for review teams
• Evaluate review team product to ensure scope conformation
• Consider modifications to scope based on feedback
• Control parking lot list of items out of scope for this project
Considerations

- 2016 and 2017 Standards Grading
- Incorporate experience from “Paragraph 81” effort and Independent Expert Review Panel
- Evaluate compliance history
- Formulate and vet candidate list
### Progress on Paragraph 81 and Independent Experts’ Review Panel (IERP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Current Status</th>
<th>P81 and IERP Recommendations for Retirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Addressed</strong></td>
<td>274</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>In current projects</strong></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Not assigned</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Progress on Paragraph 81 and IERP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent of P81 and IERP Recommendations for Retirement</th>
<th>Percent of Enforceable Requirements as of 1/1/2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enforceable Requirements as of 1/1/2013</td>
<td>515</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>274</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Schedule

• Create project page on NERC website
• Advisory Team finalize scope, criteria, and approach (November)
• Solicit industry experts for review teams (November)
• Present scope and approach to Standards Committee (December)
• Assemble review teams (2017 Q4 – 2018 Q1)
• Review teams submit draft SARs to industry for comment (2018 Q1)
• Industry ballots on proposed retirements/modifications to standards (2018 Q2 – 2018 Q3)
• Propose balloted standards to NERC Board of Trustees – 2018 Q3 – Q4 (changes to standards to include consolidation, modification, and retirement)
Questions and Answers
• 12 standards-related directives in progress

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2013-03 Geomagnetic Disturbance Mitigation</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6/4/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2015-09 Establish and Communicate System Operating Limits</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2015-10 Single Points of Failure</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2016-02 Modifications to CIP Standards (Revisions unrelated to Definition of “Low Impact External Routable Connectivity”)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2017-06 Modifications to BAL-002</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• November 2017 (1 planned)
• February 2018 (2 planned)
• May 2018 (3 planned)
• August 2018 (2 planned)
Standards Committee Report

- Standards Committee (SC) Chair and Vice Chair election results for 2018-2019 term
  - Chair: Andrew Gallo, City of Austin dba Austin Energy
  - Vice Chair: Amy Casuscelli, Xcel Energy

- Reliability Standards Development Plan
  - Endorsement by SC: October 2017
  - Presented to Board of Trustees: November 2017

- Notification of SC Special Election Results
  - As a result of an SC special election ending October 16, 2017 to fill a vacancy in Segment 3 and Segment 5 for the 2018 one-year terms, the following new representatives have been elected. The terms expire on December 31, 2018.
    - Linn Oelker, LG&E and KU Services Company, Segment 3
    - William Winters, Con Edison Company of New York, Inc., Segment 5
Continent-wide Trend

Trend for Number of Requirements

- Continent Wide Requirement Counts

Year: 2007 to 2027

Number of Requirements: 504
Regional Trend

Trend for Number of Requirements

Year: 2007 to 2027

- Non-Continent Wide Requirements Count

Graph shows the trend over the years with a significant increase from 2014 onwards, peaking around 2016-2017, and stabilizing around the 74 mark from 2018 onwards.
Questions and Answers