2019 Second Quarter YTD Results

- Total funding – $200k (0.6%) over budget
  - Higher investment income
- Total expenditures – $2.2M (5.3%) under budget
  - Primarily due to the expected timing of expenditures versus budget
- Net impact was $2.4M increase in reserves
• Funding – $300k (0.3%) over budget
  ▪ Higher investment income and offset by lower third-party revenues needed to fund CRISP

• Operating expenditures – $700k (0.8%) over budget
  ▪ Personnel expenses expected to be over budget due to transition costs and search fees
  ▪ Contracts and consultants projected to be over budget due to FRCC transition work, SOCCED system enhancements, and E-ISAC support costs
  ▪ Meeting expenses expected to be higher than budget, but partially offset by workshop fee funding
  ▪ Fixed assets projected to be under budget
• Projected net results from operating activities – $400k unfavorable to budget
• Debt service will be $700k favorable to budget
• 2019 loan borrowing for ERO software projects expected to be lower than budget, due to favorable debt service
2019 Projected Year-End Reserves

- Total projected reserve balances – $9.8M
  - Operating Contingency Reserve – $5.1M
  - Assessment Stabilization Reserve – $1.5M
  - Future Obligation Reserve – $2.1M
  - System Operator Reserve – $470k
  - CRISP Reserve – $500k
- Total year-end reserves projected in 2019 budget – $8.6M
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Total expenditures for the ERO Enterprise are under budget $3.1M (3.1%)

- Personnel expenses over budget $64k (0.1%) – FRCC is $1.5M over budget due to transition costs, which is offset by lower personnel spending in the remainder of the ERO Enterprise
- Other categories under budget mainly due to timing of expenditures and lower spending:
  - Operating expenses – $2.7M (-10.0%)
  - Fixed asset additions – $701k (-25.1%)
• NERC and five Regional Entities under budget
  - NERC $2.2M (-5.3%) – operating costs and fixed asset additions
  - MRO $1.0M (-13.0%) – personnel, travel, and operating costs
  - NPCC $352k (-4.6%) – personnel, meeting, and operating costs
  - RF $475k (-4.0%) – personnel and operating costs and fixed asset additions
  - Texas RE $524k (-8.0%) – personnel and operating costs
  - WECC $428k (-3.2%) – personnel, travel, and operating costs, and fixed asset additions

• Two Regional Entities over budget
  - FRCC $1.4M (41.6%) – personnel costs
  - SERC $418k (4.7%) – personnel, meeting, travel, and operating costs, and fixed asset additions
• The ERO Enterprise expects to be over budget $2.0M (1.0%) at year-end
  - NERC expects to be slightly over budget due to personnel costs, FRCC transition costs, and SOCCED system enhancements
  - FRCC projects to be under budget $1.6M due to transition
  - SERC projects to be over budget $5.1M due to FRCC transition
  - MRO projects to be under budget $1.2M due to staff vacancies
  - RF, Texas RE, and WECC expect to be close to budget
• $37.6M – Total reserves as of January 1, 2019
  ▪ Started $7.7M over combined budgets
• $53.1M – Total reserves as of June 30, 2019
  ▪ $12.9M more than expected through Q2
• $34.0M – Year-end projection for 2019
  ▪ Ending $8.4M more than budgeted
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• Expand risk-based focus in all Standards, Compliance Monitoring, and Enforcement programs
• Assess and catalyze steps to mitigate known and emerging risks to reliability
• Build a strong, E-ISAC-based security capability
• Strengthen engagement and collaboration across North America and the reliability ecosystem
• Capture effectiveness, efficiency, and continuous improvement opportunities

* Proposed revised focus areas, which will be discussed during the August 14 MRC meeting
• **2018** – Added eight FTEs and increased investments in technology and portal enhancements

• **2019** – Adding nine FTEs for analytics and watch operations and two for corporate support; enhanced technology; GridEx “on-year”

• **2020** – Additional seven FTEs for analytics, watch operations, and engagement and three for corporate support; continued investments in technology; GridEx “off-year”

• **2021 and 2022** – Planning to add a total of 14 FTEs in E-ISAC and two for corporate support; continued investments in technology; continued GridEx support
• **Third-year of E-ISAC Long-Term Strategic Plan**
  - Addition of seven FTEs in E-ISAC and three corporate support FTEs
  - New and enhanced technology for security data management and stakeholder engagement
  - Proposed budget on track with prior projections

• **Personnel**
  - Market adjustments for salary and benefit costs
  - Addition of two corporate FTEs (contractor conversions for critical roles)
  - Reduction of three open positions in other program areas

• **Technology and tools**
  - Final year of CMEP Technology Project (Align) development
  - Enhancements and maintenance of data management tools
• Posted for stakeholder comment May 17–June 28
  ▪ Budget increase of 3.8% over 2019
  ▪ Assessment increase of 4.5%

• Comments received from six entities:
  ▪ Support for continued investment in E-ISAC and budget stabilization efforts in the rest of NERC operations
  ▪ Concern with E-ISAC increase and duplication of effort in Canada
  ▪ Clarity requested on salary and medical benefits increases
  ▪ Expectation to see savings from effectiveness and efficiency efforts

• Management response letter to Draft 1 comments posted on NERC website on July 11
• Posted for stakeholder comment July 15–31
  ▪ Budget increase of 4.5% (added $500k for leasehold improvements)
  ▪ Assessment increase remained at 4.5% (additional $500k funded by reserves)
  ▪ Added two FTEs (contractor conversions for critical roles)

• Comments received from two entities:
  ▪ Support for overall budget, with understanding that E-ISAC increases will continue through 2022
  ▪ Support from IESO regarding NERC and IESO pilot collaboration agreement for cyber security information sharing

• Management response letter to Draft 2 comments posted on NERC website on August 7
Proposed Final 2020 Budget

• Budget $83.4M, 4.5% increase over 2019
• Assessment $72.0M, 4.5% increase
  ▪ Impacted by capital financing program, debt service and other funding (e.g. CRISP revenues)
  ▪ Release of $650k of working capital
  ▪ No use of Assessment Stabilization Reserve

• Final draft posted on August 7
  ▪ No change to budget or assessments
  ▪ Minor wording updates and clarifications
• United States – $65.1M
  ▪ Increase of $2.7M (4.3%) over 2019
  ▪ Cost per NEL: $0.016 per MWh

• Canada – $6.7M
  ▪ Increase of $450k (7.3%)
  ▪ Includes compliance credits and true-ups
  ▪ Cost per NEL: $0.013 per MWh

• Mexico – $225k
  ▪ Increase of $13k (6.0%)
  ▪ Cost per NEL: $0.016 per MWh
2021 and 2022 Projections

• **2021**
  - Budget – 2.8% increase over 2020
  - Assessment – 5.6% increase over 2020

• **2022**
  - Budget – 4.0% increase over 2021
  - Assessment – 5.9% increase over 2021

• E-ISAC budget increases represent the vast majority of projected increases in the total NERC budget

• Assessment increase does not yet include any proposed release from Assessment Stabilization Reserve
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## Budgets Change

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>% Change 15 vs 16</th>
<th>% Change 16 vs 17</th>
<th>% Change 17 vs 18</th>
<th>% Change 18 vs 19</th>
<th>$ (millions) Change 19 vs 20</th>
<th>% Change 19 vs 20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NERC</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>$ 3.6</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRCC</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>-1.2%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>-10.9%</td>
<td>$ (6.7)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRO</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
<td>-1.1%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>36.3%</td>
<td>$ 1.6</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPCC</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>-0.3%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>$ 0.4</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>$ 1.0</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERC</td>
<td>-0.8%</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>-1.7%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>$ 6.4</td>
<td>35.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPP RE</td>
<td>-14.5%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas RE</td>
<td>-1.7%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>$ 0.8</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WECC</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>-2.1%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>-0.5%</td>
<td>$ 0.8</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Weighted Average Increase

- Excluding E-ISAC: -1.3% 2.5% 1.7% -1.6% 3.9%

### 5-year Annual Average Growth Rate:

- Including E-ISAC: 2.4%
- Excluding E-ISAC: 0.7%
## Assessments Change

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Change 15 vs 16</th>
<th>Change 16 vs 17</th>
<th>Change 17 vs 18</th>
<th>Change 18 vs 19</th>
<th>$ (millions) Change 19 vs 20</th>
<th>% Change 19 vs 20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NERC</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>$ 3.1</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRCC</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>-7.0%</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>-12.5%</td>
<td>$ (5.8)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRO</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>-3.6%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>44.2%</td>
<td>$ 1.5</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPCC</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>$ 0.3</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>$ 1.1</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERC</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>$ 5.1</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPP RE</td>
<td>-10.9%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas RE</td>
<td>-8.5%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>$ 0.1</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WECC</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Weighted Average Increase: 1.7% 2.8% 4.9% 2.3% 2.9%
## Total ERO Assessments by Region Change

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>% Change 17 vs 18</th>
<th>% Change 18 vs 19</th>
<th>$ (millions) Change 19 vs 20*</th>
<th>% Change 19 vs 20*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FRCC</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>-4.9%</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRO</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>55.8%</td>
<td>$ 1.7</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPCC</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>$ 0.9</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>$ 1.9</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERC</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>$ 9.8</td>
<td>29.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPP RE</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas RE</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
<td>$ 0.5</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WECC</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>$ 0.1</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Weighted Average Increase**

4.9% 2.3% 2.9%

*NERC assessments are allocated to each Regional Entity using NEL data. For purposes of this table, NERC 2020 assessments are allocated using 2018 data.*
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