

This section is maintained by the drafting team during the development of the standard and will be removed when the standard becomes effective.

Development Steps Completed:

1. SAC approves SAR for posting (March 20, 2002)
2. Drafting Team posts Draft SAR for comment periods (April 2–May 3, 2002) (September 24–October 25, 2002) (December 13–January 31, 2003)
3. SAC approves development of standard (February 27, 2003)
4. JIC assigns development of standard to NERC (March 21, 2003)
5. Drafting Team posts Drafts for comment (July 1–August 29, 2003) (December 1, 2003–January 21, 2004) (February 18–April 3, 2005)
6. Drafting Team posts Implementation Plan for comment June 1–July 15, 2005.
7. Drafting Team ballots standards.

Description of Current Draft:

The Drafting Team is posting Draft 6 with a revised definition of ‘contingency’ for a 45-day comment period.

Future Development Plan:

Anticipated Actions

Anticipated Date

- | | |
|---|--------------------------|
| 1. Post consideration of comments on revised definition of ‘contingency’. | January 25, 2006 |
| 2. Post standards for 30-day preview | February 1–March 2, 2006 |
| 3. First ballot | March 6–15, 2006 |
| 4. Drafting Team considers comments and makes any revisions indicated | March 24, 2006 |
| 5. Recirculation ballot | March 27–April 5, 2006 |
| 6. 30-day posting before board adoption | April 1–30, 2006 |
| 7. Board adopts standard | May 1, 2006 |
| 8. Effective date | November 1, 2006 |

Definitions of Terms Used in Standard

This section includes all newly defined or revised terms used in the proposed standard. Terms already defined in the Reliability Standards Glossary of Terms are not repeated here. New or revised definitions listed below become approved when the proposed standard is approved. When the standard becomes effective, these defined terms will be removed from the individual standard and added to the Glossary.

Cascading Outages: The uncontrolled successive loss of Bulk Electric System Facilities triggered by an incident (or condition) at any location resulting in the interruption of electric service that cannot be restrained from spreading beyond a pre-determined area.

Contingency: The unexpected loss of one or more Bulk Electric System Facilities caused by a single initiating failure or outage.

Delayed Fault Clearing: Fault clearing consistent with correct operation of a breaker failure protection system and its associated breakers, or of a backup protection system with an intentional time delay.

Interconnection Reliability Operating Limit (IROL): A System Operating Limit that, if violated, could lead to instability, uncontrolled separation, or Cascading Outages that adversely impact the reliability of the Bulk Electric System.

Interconnection Reliability Operating Limit T_v (IROL T_v): The maximum time that an Interconnection Reliability Operating Limit can be violated before the risk to the interconnection or other Reliability Coordinator Area(s) becomes greater than acceptable. Each Interconnection Reliability Operating Limit's T_v shall be less than or equal to 30 minutes.

Normal Clearing: A protection system operates as designed and the fault is cleared in the time normally expected with proper functioning of the installed protection systems.

A. Introduction

1. **Title:** System Operating Limits Methodology
2. **Number:** FAC-010-1
3. **Purpose:** To ensure that System Operating Limits (SOLs) used in the reliable planning and operation of the Bulk Electric System (BES) are determined based on an established methodology or methodologies.
4. **Applicability**
 - 4.1. Reliability Coordinator
 - 4.2. Planning Authority
5. **Proposed Effective Date:** November 1, 2006

B. Requirements

- R1. The Reliability Coordinator shall have a documented methodology for use in developing SOLs (SOL Methodology) within its Reliability Coordinator Area. This SOL Methodology shall:
 - R1.1. Be applicable for developing SOLs used in the operations horizon.
 - R1.2. State that SOLs shall not exceed associated Facility Ratings.
 - R1.3. Include a description of how to identify the subset of SOLs that qualify as IROLs.
- R2. The Planning Authority shall have a documented SOL Methodology for use in developing SOLs within its Planning Authority Area. This SOL Methodology shall:
 - R2.1. Be applicable for developing SOLs used in the planning horizon.
 - R2.2. State that SOLs shall not exceed associated Facility Ratings.
 - R2.3. Include a description of how to identify the subset of SOLs that qualify as IROLs.
- R3. The Reliability Coordinator and Planning Authority shall, by mutual agreement¹, identify and document in their respective SOL Methodologies the planning and operating time horizons addressed in one another's SOL Methodologies.
 - R3.1. The combined horizons shall cover real-time through the end of the planning horizon.
- R4. The Reliability Coordinator's SOL Methodology and the Planning Authority's SOL Methodology shall each include a requirement that SOLs provide BES performance consistent with the following:
 - R4.1. In the pre-contingency state, the BES shall demonstrate transient, dynamic and voltage stability; all Facilities shall be within their Facility Ratings and within their thermal, voltage and stability limits. In the determination of SOLs, the BES condition used shall reflect current or expected system conditions and shall reflect changes to system topology such as Facility outages.

¹ If mutual agreement cannot be reached, the planning horizon shall be one year and beyond and the operating horizon shall be real-time up to one year.

- R4.2.** Following the single Contingencies² identified in Requirement 4.2.1 through Requirement 4.2.3, the system shall demonstrate transient, dynamic and voltage stability; all Facilities shall be operating within their Facility Ratings and within their thermal, voltage and stability limits; and Cascading Outages or uncontrolled separation shall not occur.
 - R4.2.1.** Single line to ground or 3-phase Fault (whichever is more severe), with Normal Clearing, on any Faulted generator, line, transformer, or shunt device.
 - R4.2.2.** Loss of any generator, line, transformer, or shunt device without a Fault.
 - R4.2.3.** Single pole block, with Normal Clearing, in a monopolar or bipolar high voltage direct current system.
- R4.3.** In determining the system's response to a single Contingency, the following shall be acceptable:
 - R4.3.1.** Planned or controlled interruption of electric supply to radial customers or some local network customers connected to or supplied by the Faulted Facility or by the affected area.
 - R4.3.2.** Interruption of other network customers, only if the system has already been adjusted, or is being adjusted, following at least one prior outage³, or, if the real-time operating conditions are more adverse than anticipated in the corresponding studies, e.g., load greater than studied.
 - R4.3.3.** System reconfiguration through manual or automatic control or protection actions.
- R4.4.** To prepare for the next Contingency, system adjustments may be made, including changes to generation, uses of the transmission system, and the transmission system topology.
- R4.5.** Following a Regional Reliability Organization identified credible multiple Contingency, the system shall meet criteria established by the Region for that Contingency.
- R5.** The Reliability Coordinator's methodology and the Planning Authority's methodology for determining SOLs, shall include, as a minimum, a description of the following, along with any reliability margins applied for each:
 - R5.1.** Area of study (must include at least the entire Reliability Coordinator Area as well as the critical modeling details from other Reliability Coordinator Areas that would impact the Facility or Facilities under study.)
 - R5.2.** Selection of applicable Contingencies
 - R5.3.** Level of detail of system models used to determine SOLs

² The Contingencies identified in Requirement 4.2.1 through R4.2.3 are the minimum contingencies that must be studied but are not necessarily the only Contingencies that should be studied.

³ An intact system must be able to supply all network customers other than those identified in Requirement 4.3.1 after any single Contingency identified in R4.2. Thus, interruption of such network customers as a response to any single Contingency is not acceptable for a SOL, as developed by a Reliability Coordinator for a system intact condition in the operating horizon or a SOL, as developed by a Planning Authority, for a system intact condition in the planning horizon.

- R5.4.** Allowed uses of Special Protection Systems or Remedial Action Plans
- R5.5.** Anticipated transmission system configuration, generation dispatch and Load level
- R5.6.** Criteria for determining when violating a SOL qualifies as an Interconnection Reliability Operating Limit (IROL) and criteria for developing any associated IROL T_v .
- R6.** The Reliability Coordinator shall issue its SOL Methodology and any changes to that methodology, to all of the following:
 - R6.1.** Each adjacent Reliability Coordinator and each Reliability Coordinator that indicated it has a reliability-related need for the methodology.
 - R6.2.** Each Planning Authority and Transmission Planner that models any portion of the Reliability Coordinator's Reliability Coordinator Area.
 - R6.3.** Each Transmission Operator that operates in the Reliability Coordinator Area.
- R7.** The Planning Authority shall issue its SOL Methodology, and any change to that methodology, to all of the following:
 - R7.1.** Each adjacent Planning Authority and each Planning Authority that indicated it has a reliability-related need for the methodology.
 - R7.2.** Each Reliability Coordinator and Transmission Operator that operates any portion of the Planning Authority's Planning Authority Area.
 - R7.3.** Each Transmission Planner that works in the Planning Authority's Planning Authority Area.
- R8.** The Reliability Coordinator and Planning Authority shall each issue its SOL Methodology and any changes to that methodology to required entities prior to the effectiveness of the change.
- R9.** If a recipient of the SOL Methodology provides documented technical comments on the methodology, the Reliability Coordinator or Planning Authority shall provide a documented response to that recipient within 45 calendar days of receipt of those comments. The response shall indicate whether a change will be made to the SOL Methodology and, if no change will be made to that SOL Methodology, the reason why.

C. Measures

- M1.** The Reliability Coordinator and the Planning Authority's SOL Methodology shall each include a statement that Facility Ratings shall not be exceeded and shall address all of the items listed in Requirement 3 through Requirement 5.
- M2.** The Reliability Coordinator shall have evidence it issued its SOL Methodology, and any changes to that methodology, including the date they were issued, in accordance with Requirement 6.
- M3.** The Planning Authority shall have evidence it issued its SOL Methodology and any changes to that methodology, including the date they were issued, in accordance with Requirement 7.
- M4.** If the recipient of the SOL Methodology provides documented comments on its technical review of that SOL methodology, the Reliability Coordinator or Planning Authority that distributed that SOL Methodology shall have evidence that it provided a written response to that commenter within 45 calendar days of receipt of those comments. The response shall indicate whether a change will be made to the SOL Methodology and, if no change will be made to that SOL Methodology, the reason why.

D. Compliance

1. Compliance Monitoring Process

1.1. Compliance Monitoring Responsibility

Regional Reliability Organization

1.2. Compliance Monitoring Period and Reset Timeframe

Each Planning Authority and Reliability Coordinator shall self-certify its compliance to the Compliance Monitor at least once every three years. New Planning Authorities and Reliability Authorities shall each demonstrate compliance through an on-site audit conducted by the Compliance Monitor within the first year that it commences operation. The Compliance Monitor shall also conduct an on-site audit once every nine years and an investigation upon complaint to assess performance.

The Performance-Reset Period shall be twelve months from the last non-compliance.

1.3. Data Retention

The Planning Authority and Reliability Coordinator shall each keep all superseded portions to its SOL Methodology for 12 months beyond the date of the change in that methodology and shall keep all documented comments on its SOL Methodology and associated responses for three years. In addition, entities found non-compliant shall keep information related to the non-compliance until found compliant.

The Compliance Monitor shall keep the last audit and all subsequent compliance records.

1.4. Additional Compliance Information

The Planning Authority and Reliability Coordinator shall each make the following available for inspection during an on-site audit by the Compliance Monitor or within 15 business days of a request as part of an investigation upon complaint:

1.4.1 SOL Methodology.

1.4.2 Documented comments provided by a recipient of the SOL Methodology on its technical review of a SOL Methodology, and the associated responses.

1.4.3 Superseded portions of its SOL Methodology that had been made within the past 12 months.

1.4.4 Evidence that the SOL Methodology and any changes to the methodology that occurred within the past 12 months were issued to all required entities.

2. Levels of Non-Compliance (Does not apply to the Western Interconnection)

2.1. Level 1: There shall be a level one non-compliance if either of the following conditions exists:

2.1.1 The SOL Methodology did not include a statement indicating that Facility Ratings shall not be exceeded.

2.1.2 No evidence of responses to a recipient's comments on the SOL Methodology.

2.2. Level 2: The SOL Methodology did not include a requirement to address all of the elements in Requirement 4.

2.3. Level 3: There shall be a level three non-compliance if either of the following conditions exists:

- 1.1.4 The failure of a circuit breaker associated with a Special Protection System to operate when required following: the loss of any element without a Fault; or a permanent phase to ground Fault, with Normal Clearing, on any transmission circuit, transformer or bus section.
- 1.1.5 A non-three phase Fault with Normal Clearing on common mode Contingency of two adjacent circuits on separate towers unless the event frequency is determined to be less than one in thirty years.
- 1.1.6 A common mode outage of two generating units connected to the same switchyard, not otherwise addressed by FAC-008.
- 1.1.7 The loss of multiple bus sections as a result of failure or delayed clearing of a bus tie or bus sectionalizing breaker to clear a permanent Phase to Ground Fault.
- 1.2. SOLs shall be established such that for multiple Facility Contingencies in FAC-010 E1.1.1 through FAC-010 E1.1.5 operation within the SOL shall provide system performance consistent with the following:
 - 1.2.1 All Facilities are operating within their applicable Post-Contingency thermal, frequency and voltage limits.
 - 1.2.2 Cascading Outages do not occur.
 - 1.2.3 Uncontrolled separation of the system does not occur.
 - 1.2.4 The system demonstrates transient, dynamic and voltage stability.
 - 1.2.5 Depending on system design and expected system impacts, the controlled interruption of electric supply to customers (Load shedding), the planned removal from service of certain generators, and/or the curtailment of contracted firm (non-recallable reserved) electric power transfers may be necessary to maintain the overall security of the interconnected transmission systems.
 - 1.2.6 Interruption of firm transfer, Load or system reconfiguration is permitted through manual or automatic control or protection actions.
 - 1.2.7 To prepare for the next Contingency, system adjustments are permitted, including changes to generation, Load and the transmission system topology when determining limits.
- 1.3. SOLs shall be established such that for multiple Facility Contingencies in FAC-010 E1.1.6 through FAC-010 E1.1.7 operation within the SOL shall provide system performance consistent with the following with respect to impacts on other systems:
 - 1.3.1 Cascading Outages do not occur.
- 1.4. The Western Interconnection may make changes (performance category adjustments) to the Contingencies required to be studied and/or the required responses to Contingencies for specific facilities based on actual system performance and robust design. Such changes will apply in determining SOLs.

Version History

Version	Date	Action	Change Tracking

--	--	--	--