Administrative

1. Introductions

The chair called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. EDT. Participants were:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frank Koza, Chair</td>
<td>PJM Interconnection</td>
<td>Randy Horton, Vice Chair</td>
<td>Southern Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donald Atkinson</td>
<td>Georgia Transmission Corporation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth Fleischer</td>
<td>NextEra Energy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qun Qiu</td>
<td>American Electric Power</td>
<td>Antti Pulkkinen</td>
<td>NASA GSFC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Olson</td>
<td>Standards Developer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Determination of Quorum

The rule for NERC Standard Drafting Team (SDT or team) states that a quorum requires two-thirds of the voting members of the SDT. Quorum was achieved as 6 of 8 total members were present.
3. **NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and Public Announcement**

NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and public announcement were reviewed by Mark Olson. There were no questions raised. Participant conduct policy was reviewed.

**Agenda**

1. **Reviewed EOP-010-1.** The clean and redline versions were reviewed by the SDT.
   a. Brian Murphy asked how part 1.2 of the requirement was reflected in the measure. Frank Koza responded that the first part of the measure requires the RC to have a plan that meets all of the requirements in R1 which must include part 1.2 (a process for reviewing TOP procedures). Mark Olson presented had no issues with the SAR submitted by the GMD Task Force.
   b. Randy Horton asked if the Measure M1 language about implementing the plan could cause a compliance issue if the storm conditions never reached a point that the plan would be required to be implemented (how do you show compliance in this case?). To clarify the drafting team's intent the measure was modified to state "evidence to shows that the plan was implemented as called for in its GMD Operating Plan"
   c. The draft was approved by the SDT and will be provided to standards staff for Quality Review.

2. **Conducted Final Review of Response to Comments.**
   a. The drafting team approved the response to comments. They were forwarded to standards staff for Quality Review.

3. **Reviewed 200 kV threshold white paper.**
   a. The revised draft was reviewed. Progress was acceptable. Luis Marti was providing a final review. The drafting team agreed to review by email before forwarding to standards staff for Quality Review.

4. **Reviewed functional entity white paper.**
   a. GOP and BA applicability briefing sheets were combined into a single white paper that would provide justification for included and omitted functional entities. A draft was reviewed. Mark Olson was assigned to complete edits and circulate with the drafting team for approval by email.

5. **Discussed items for the Comment Form and Questions for Second Posting.**
   a. The drafting team determined these questions should be asked of stakeholders:
      1) Do you agree with the changes to the revised standard or have specific recommendations?
      2) Do you agree that the VRFs and VSLs meet guidelines?
      3) Do you support the Implementation Plan?
      4) Do you have any other comments for the drafting team?
b. Mark Olson was assigned to circulate a draft of the unofficial comment form to the drafting team by email for approval.

6. **Reviewed the next steps.** The revisions were scheduled to post on September 4. The drafting team was informed about dial-in requirements for the September 5 industry webinar.

7. **Future meeting(s)**
   a. October 23-24, Atlanta

8. **Adjourn**
   The meeting adjourned at 9:58 a.m. EDT on August 30, 2013