

Meeting Notes ATC/TTC/CBM/TRM Standards Drafting Team

Project 006-0

April 29, 2008 | 8 a.m.–5 p.m. EDT TVA Offices Chattanooga, TN

1. Administration

a. NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines

Andy Rodriquez reviewed the NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines with the meeting participants.

b. Introduction of Attendees

The following members and guests were in attendance:

- Larry Middleton, Chair
- Laura Lee, Vice Chair
- Daryn Barker
- Rebecca Berdahl
- Mike Colby
- Chuck Falls
- Ian Grant
- John Harmon
- Ross Kovacs
- Mike Riley
- Nate Schewighart
- Andy Rodriquez
- c. Approval of Agenda

The drafting team reviewed and approved the agenda.

d. Approval of Meeting Minutes

Andy Rodriquez indicated he was still assembling minutes, and was not ready to present them for approval.

2. MOD-004 Standard Review



The drafting team reviewed the most recent drafts of the standard, comment form, implementation plan, and comment responses. Minor changes were reviewed and made as appropriate. The drafting team agreed to submit the files to the SC for posting.

Andy Rodriquez was asked to query David Cook regarding the "takings clause" concerns of JEA, MEAG, and Santee Cooper.

Andy Rodriquez was asked to ensure the TPL group was aware that the requirement to consider CBM during planning was not contained within the CBM standard.

Two items were identified to be added to the NAEBS conference call:

- What action, if any, NAESB will be taking regarding competing uses
 of CBM (e.g., 50MW CBM, two entities want to use it; scenarios
 where one requested it and one didn't, neither requested it, and both
 requested it). NERC is not planning to take action on this, as we
 believe it is about equity and comparability.
- What action, if any, NAESB will be taking regarding scenarios where more CBM is asked for than can be provided (e.g., 50MW ATC, two request, each for 50MW). NERC is not planning to take action on this, as we believe it is about equity and comparability.

3. NAESB Conference Call

See minutes at NAESB Web site.

4. Assignments and Action Items

- a. Andy will clean up the data retention section in the standard, and then will send the four documents (standard, implementation plan, comment form, and comment responses) to the drafting team exploder.
- b. Members will be asked to respond with any comments by COB Friday, May 2.
- c. A conference call will be held on Monday, May 5, to review.
- d. Andy will pursue holding the October meeting in Montreal.

5. Future Meetings

- May 5 1–4 p.m. EDT. The purpose of this meeting is for the review of CBM.
- May 6–7 1–4 p.m. EDT CBM contingency calls (optional; set up, but used only if needed)



- May 28–29 8 a.m.–5 p.m. both days Phoenix, AZ (Salt River Project offices). The purpose of this meeting is to respond to comments on MOD standards 1, 8, 28, 29, 30.
- June 3–5 8 a.m.–5 p.m. all 3 days Atlanta, GA (Southern Company offices). The purpose of this meeting is to respond to comments on MOD standards 1, 8, 28, 29, 30

Pencil in June meeting:

July 15–17 — 8 a.m.–5 p.m. all 3 days — Carmel, IN (Midwest ISO offices) Larry has confirmed this meeting. The topic for this meeting is to respond to comments on CBM

Meetings Not Yet Confirmed:

- August 5–7 8 a.m.–5 p.m. all 3 days Portland, OR (Bonneville Power Administration Offices). The purpose of this meeting is to respond to ballots on 1, 8, 28, 29, 30.
- August 12–14 8 a.m.–5 p.m. all 3 days Tentative for MISO Minneapolis. Larry says this location is looking good. The purpose of this meeting is to respond to comments on CBM.
- October 14–16 8 a.m.–5 p.m. all 3 days Atlanta at the SOCO Offices or possibly Montreal. The purpose of this meeting is to respond to ballots on CBM.

6. Adjourn

The drafting team adjourned on Tuesday, April 29th. The meetings scheduled for April 30th and May 1st were cancelled.