



NORTH AMERICAN ELECTRIC
RELIABILITY CORPORATION

Standards Announcement

Ballot Pool Formation September 20-October 20, 2010

Formal Comment Period September 20-November 3, 2010

Project 2008-06 — Cyber Security 706

Now available at: http://www.nerc.com/filez/standards/Project_2008-06_Cyber_Security.html

Project 2008-06 — Cyber Security 706

A set of proposed changes to CIP-002-3 - Cyber Security — Critical Cyber Asset Identification, associated implementation plans, and conforming changes to several other CIP standards have been posted for stakeholder review. These are considered, “Version 4 CIP Standards.” The drafting team also developed and posted a mapping document to show the translation of requirements from CIP-002-3 to CIP-002-4, and a guidance document to assist in applying the proposed CIP-002-4 standard.

The proposed CIP-002-4 provides a significant improvement to CIP-002-3 by including a specific list of criteria for entities to use in identifying their critical assets.

The previously approved versions of CIP-002 relied on entities to develop their own critical asset identification methodology, and have led to unequal assessments of critical assets between entities in a region, and between regions. This subjectivity has led some external observers to question how assessments were produced, and has contributed to distrust of the entire critical asset identification process. The revised standard provides uniformity to the critical asset identification process for all entities as well as uniformity and predictability to the audit process. As envisioned, each entity will apply the criteria against its assets to determine exactly which side of the “bright-line” they fall. The bright-line thresholds are justified based on overall impact to Bulk Electric System reliability, adding further clarity to the critical asset identification process. The bright-line criteria were developed based on stakeholder comments on CIP-010.

Recognizing that protecting the cyber assets critical to the electric utility’s infrastructure is also critical to national and international security, the revisions to CIP-002 are being advanced ahead of other improvements to the remaining set of CIP standards. The remaining CIP standards all rely on a complete and accurate identification of those assets that are critical to reliability. Because entities are so tightly interconnected, a vulnerability that seems insignificant to a single entity can place the entire grid in a state of vulnerability.

Each of the CIP standards (CIP-003-3 through CIP-009-3) contains at least one reference to CIP-002-3. To maintain clarity, CIP-003-3 through CIP-009-3, have had conforming changes made so that all cross references within the set of standards are to “CIP Version 4” standards. *(CIP-005-4 - Cyber Security — Electronic Security Perimeter is posted separately, with a set of proposed revisions for Urgent Action under [Project 2010-15](#). If CIP-005-4 is not approved as an Urgent Action, it will be returned to this set of CIP standards.)*

Ballot Pool Open through Morning of October 20, 2010

Registered Ballot Body members may join the ballot pool **until 8 a.m. Eastern on October 20, 2010** to be eligible to vote in the upcoming ballot for the CIP Version 4 standards at the following page:

<https://standards.nerc.net/BallotPool.aspx>

Until the ballot begins, members of the ballot pool may communicate with one another by using their “ballot pool list server.” (Once the balloting begins, ballot pool members are prohibited from using the ballot pool list server.) The list server for this ballot pool is: bp-2008-06_CIPv4_in@nerc.com

Formal 45-day Comment Period Open through November 3, 2010

Please use this [electronic form](#) to submit comments. If you experience any difficulties in using the electronic form, please contact Monica Benson at monica.benson@nerc.net. An off-line, unofficial copy of the comment form is posted on the project page:

http://www.nerc.com/filez/standards/Project_2008-06_Cyber_Security_PhaseII_Standards.html

Transition from Reliability Standards Development Procedure Version 7 to Standard Processes Manual

Under the Reliability Standards Development Procedure Version 7, consensus was built with successive formal comment periods, followed by a 30-day pre-ballot review, followed by an initial ballot, and then a recirculation ballot. The intent was to use stakeholder views submitted through the formal comment periods to achieve consensus, and then to confirm that consensus during the balloting. This process did not allow a drafting team to make any changes to a standard between ballots, which incited teams to avoid making improvements once a standard had gone through an initial ballot. If a team made a change between ballots, then the standard was required to be posted for a new comment period and then another pre-ballot review and another initial ballot. Finally if there were no more changes made to the standard, a recirculation ballot was conducted to confirm consensus.

Under the new Standard Processes Manual, consensus is achieved through parallel comment and ballot periods. Successive comment and ballot periods are conducted until there is consensus – and then a recirculation ballot is conducted to confirm that consensus. There is no 30-day pre-ballot review period, and drafting teams are encouraged to make revisions to the standard between successive ballots to improve the quality of the standard.

Next Steps

During the last 10 days of the 45-day formal comment period, an initial ballot will be conducted. (The drafting team is not proposing any modifications to existing Violation Risk Factors (VRFs) or Violation Severity Levels (VSLs); thus, there will not be a poll to assess stakeholder views of the VRFs and VSLs.) The drafting team will consider all stakeholder comments (those submitted with a comment form, and those submitted with a ballot) and will determine whether to make additional changes to the standards and implementation plans. The team will post its response to comments and, if the team has made only minor changes, the team will post the standards and implementation plan and conduct a 10-day recirculation ballot.

Project Background

FERC Order 706 directed NERC to develop modifications to the CIP Reliability Standards. Due to the variety of changes directed in Order 706 and the complexity of the project, the drafting team adopted a multi-phase revision strategy. The initial phase involved modifying standards CIP-002-1 through CIP-009-1 to comply with the near-term directives included in Order 706. The resulting version 2 CIP standards were approved by the NERC Board of Trustees, and as part of its approval Order, FERC directed NERC to make changes to two standards and the associated implementation plan within 90 days. Those changes, along with necessary conforming cross-reference changes for the remaining six CIP standards, resulted in the version 3 CIP standards. The current phase (Phase II) involves the more complex FERC directives.



Applicability of Standards in Project

Reliability Coordinator

Balancing Authority

Interchange Authority

Transmission Service Provider

Transmission Owner

Transmission Operator

Generator Owner

Generator Operator

Load-Serving Entity

NERC

Regional Entity

*For more information or assistance, please contact Monica Benson,
Standards Process Administrator, at monica.benson@nerc.net or at 609.452.8060*

North American Electric Reliability Corporation

116-390 Village Blvd.

Princeton, NJ 08540

609.452.8060 | www.nerc.com

