

Meeting Notes Project 2010-05.2 Special Protection Systems Standard Drafting Team

August 21-22, 2014 | 1:00 p.m. - 4:00 p.m. ET each day

Conference Calls with ReadyTalk Web Access

Administrative

Introductions and chair remarks

Gene Henneberg, the chair, brought the meetings to order at 1:00 p.m. ET on Tuesday, August 21 and August 22, 2014 and welcomed everyone. Those in attendance were:

Name	Company	Member or Observer
Gene Henneberg	NV Energy / Mid-American	Member
Bobby Jones	Southern Company	Member
Amos Ang*	Southern California Edison	Member
John Ciufo	Hydro One Inc	Member
Alan Engelmann	ComEd / Exelon	Member
Davis Erwin	Pacific Gas and Electric	Member
Charles-Eric Langlois	Hydro-Quebec TransEnergie	Member
Robert J. O'Keefe	American Electric Power	Member
Al McMeekin	NERC	Member
Jonathan Meyer	Idaho Power	Observer
/		
*Aug. 22 only		

Determination of quorum

The rule for NERC standard drafting team (SDT or team) states that a quorum requires two-thirds of the voting members of the SDT. Quorum was achieved as 7 of the 10 voting members were present the first day and 8 out of 10 were present the second day.

NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and Public Announcement

Mr. McMeekin reviewed the NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and public announcement disclaimer.



Agenda Items

1. Complete FAQ and Responses to Comments

The SDT reviewed and made many changes the FAQ document and to the response to comments report. The team reviewed some feedback from Phil Tatro regarding changes that had been made to the proposed definition at the previous meeting. In particular, he thought exclusion e had been broadened too much by adding the concept of switching in the same substation as the overloaded element. This could conceivably exclude schemes which trip a generator to solve an overload. The team discussed this extensively and decided to go back to the previous posting language for exclusion e. This led to some more changes to the responses to comment report.

2. Develop posting narrative and questions

The posting narrative was developed to include a summary of the changes made to the proposed definition. Only one question will be used for inclusion on the comment form:

a. Do you agree with the revised definition of a Remedial Action Scheme (RAS)? If not, please provide the basis for your disagreement and your proposed revisions

3. Next steps

- a. The target for posting was changed to August 29 for the 45 day comment period and ballot.
- b. NERC staff will perform a quality review of the materials to be posted.

4. Future meeting(s)

a. October 14-17, 2014 | Reno, Nevada

5. Adjourn

The meetings were adjourned at 4:00 p.m. ET each day.