

## Notes

### Assess Transmission Future Needs SDT — Project 2006-02

November 23, 2009 | 1:00 p.m. – 4:00 p.m. EST  
Dial-in: 866-740-1260 | Access Code: 9473673

#### 1. Administrative Items

##### a. Introductions and Quorum

The call was brought to order by the Chair at 1300 EST on Monday, November 23, 2009. Call participants were:

Bill Harm	Doug Hohlbaugh, Vice Chair	Julius Horvath
Bob Jones	Ron Mazur	Tom Mielnik
John Odom, Chair	Bernie Pasternack	Bob Pierce
Chifong Thomas	Dana Walters	Eugene Blick, FERC Observer
Ibrahim Oweis, FERC Observer	Ray Kershaw, Observer	Chuck Lawrence, Observer
Curt Stepanek, Observer	Ruth Kloecker, ATC, Guest	Ed Dobrowolski, NERC

##### b. NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines — Ed Dobrowolski

No questions were raised on the NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines.

##### c. Conference Call Agenda and Objectives — John Odom

The goal of the call was to finalize the draft responses to industry comments from the fourth posting and any roadmap changes necessitated by those comments and to confirm the decision to go to ballot.

#### 2. Finalize Responses to Fourth Posting Comments

##### a. Q1 — Darrin Church

Darrin was unable to participate in the call but he did send in his assignment. Several items were marked for SDT review and these items were reconciled and changed as needed during the call. There are no open items remaining for question 1.

b. Q2 — Chifong Thomas

Chifong led the SDT through her draft responses and several changes were recorded. There was a considerable discussion on the clarity of when a planner could use past studies based on the language of Requirement R2 and the SDT members' understanding of the language. John will create a matrix showing what he believes the standard language allows for the use of past studies for the SDT to review. This may cause a change in some of the comment responses.

**AI** — John to create matrix on the use of past studies and distribute to the SDT via the mail server.

The term 'qualified as per part 2.6' needs to be added to parts 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 for consistency.

The term 'Transmission' needs to be added to the bullet list in part 2.4.3 for consistency.

Changes to parts 2.1.3, 2.1.5, and 2.6.2 were identified and agreed upon in the San Francisco meeting but failed to show up in the meeting minutes. Chifong had pointed this out in e-mail threads and the SDT confirmed that these changes need to be made.

The revised response for part 2.1.5 for Manitoba Hydro needs to be entered into the comment form.

c. Q3 — Ron Mazur

Ron pointed out a few areas where he wanted SDT corroboration and the SDT reviewed those areas and made any necessary changes on the spot.

There had been an e-mail thread concerning part 3.3.2 between Ron and Dana but both parties agreed that there was no need for any changes to the requirement text.

d. Q4 — Bob Jones

Bob pointed out a few areas where he wanted SDT corroboration and the SDT reviewed those areas and made any necessary changes on the spot.

There had been several e-mails on including 'BES' in part 4.1.1 but the SDT agreed that this was redundant and not needed.

e. Q5 — John Odom

John pointed out a few areas where he wanted SDT corroboration and the SDT reviewed those areas and made any necessary changes on the spot.

f. Q6 — Brian Keel

There were no comments on question 6.

g. Q7 — Bill Harm

There were no comments on question 7.

h. Q8 — Bob Pierce

The only comments on question 8 involved the VSLs. The lower VSL should say 'or' and the high VSL should say 'and'.

i. Q9 — Dana Walters

There were no comments on question 9.

j. Q10 — Doug Hohlbaugh

Doug led the SDT through a partial submittal of comments and proposed responses for question 10. The main points of discussion were on revised wording for footnote #7 and the P5 text. Those changes to the roadmap were made during the call along with the draft responses to comments.

Doug sent out his proposed roadmap changes in a separate e-mail during the call.

k. Q11 — Bernie Pasternack

There were no comments on question 11.

l. Q12 — John Odom

John pointed out a few areas where he wanted SDT corroboration and the SDT reviewed those areas and made any necessary changes during the call.

3. **Finalize TPL-001-1 Roadmap**

There were no changes to the roadmap that were not discussed and finalized during the comment response review.

4. **Finalize TPL-001-1 Implementation Plan**

There were no comments on the last revision to the Implementation Plan.

5. **Next Steps — John Odom**

The SDT confirmed their decision not to re-post and to request that the Standards Committee approve the start of the ballot process. However, there is one last meeting scheduled with FERC staff before this step can be taken. That meeting will be on Wednesday, December 9, 2009 from 1430 to 1630 EST at the FERC offices in Washington, DC. SDT member participation is welcome and conference call facilities will be made available for remote participation. Details will be sent later.

A question was raised on producing a technical report document for this project. It was pointed out that this type of effort is allowed as part of the standards process but that it would have to be complete and ready to go when the standard was posted for pre-ballot review. Ray indicated that he would send out some material suitable for such a document as a starting point but no other interest was expressed by the SDT members.

6. **Action Items and Schedule – Ed Dobrowolski**

The following action items were identified during this call:

- John to create matrix on the use of past studies and distribute to the SDT via the mail server.
- SDT members are to complete their comment responses, i.e., no yellow highlights or open items, and distribute them to the mail server no later than close of business on Friday, December 4<sup>th</sup>.
- Ed will coordinate the effort to finalize the master comment response form as he receives the final comments.

7. **Adjourn**

The call was scheduled to end at 1600 EST. However, on-going discussion caused the call to be extended to 1645 EST. The Vice Chair thanked all those participating for extending the time in order to complete the business at hand.