

Standard Development Roadmap

This section is maintained by the drafting team during the development of the standard and will be removed when the standard becomes effective.

Development Steps Completed:

1. SAR version 1 posted on November 6, 2006.
2. SAR version 1 comment period closed on December 5, 2006.
3. SAR version 2 and comment responses for SAR version 1 posted on February 8, 2007.
4. SAR version 2 comment period closed on March 9, 2007.
5. SAR version 3 and comment responses for SAR version 2 accepted by SC and SDT appointed on April 9, 2007.
6. First posting of revised standards on August 15, 2007 with comment period closed on September 28, 2007.
7. Second posting of revised standards on January 7, 2008 with comment period closed on February 5, 2008.
8. Third posting of revised standards on April 15, 2008 with comment period closed on May 29, 2008.

Proposed Action Plan and Description of Current Draft:

The SDT began meeting in mid-April 2007 immediately following the approval of the SAR by the SC with the goal of completing work in approximately one year's time. The current draft is the third posting of the proposed standards. Requirements in EOP-007 and EOP-009 have been incorporated into the revised EOP-005 and EOP-006. Therefore, EOP-007 and EOP-009 will be retired when this project is approved and EOP-005-2 and EOP-006-2 go into effect.

Future Development Plan:

Anticipated Actions	Anticipated Date
1. Fourth posting of draft standards.	October 2008
2. Standards posted for first ballot	December 2008
3. Standards posted for second ballot.	February 2009
4. Standards sent to BOT for approval	March 2009
5. File with regulatory authorities	To be determined

Definitions of Terms Used in Standard

This section includes all newly defined or revised terms used in the proposed standard. Terms already defined in the Reliability Standards Glossary of Terms are not repeated here. New or revised definitions listed below become approved when the proposed standard is approved. When the standard becomes effective, these defined terms will be removed from the individual standard and added to the Glossary.

None.

A. Introduction

1. **Title:** System Restoration Coordination
2. **Number:** EOP-006-2
3. **Purpose:** Ensure plans are established and personnel are prepared to enable effective coordination of the System restoration process to ensure reliability is maintained during restoration and priority is placed on restoring the Interconnection.
4. **Applicability:**
 - 4.1. Reliability Coordinators.
5. **Proposed Effective Date:** ~~TBD~~ In those jurisdictions where regulatory approval is required, all requirements go into effect the first day of the first calendar quarter twenty-four months after applicable regulatory approval. In those jurisdictions where no regulatory approval is required, all requirements go into effect the first day of the first calendar quarter twenty-four months after Board of Trustees adoption.

B. Requirements

- R1. Each Reliability Coordinator shall have a Reliability Coordinator Area restoration plan. The scope of the Reliability Coordinator's restoration plan starts when Blackstart Resources are utilized to re-energize a shut down area of the Bulk Electric System (BES), or separation has occurred between neighboring Reliability Coordinators, or an energized island has been formed on the ~~Bulk Electric System (BES)~~ within the Reliability Coordinator Area. The scope of the Reliability Coordinator's restoration plan ends when all of its Transmission Operators are interconnected and ~~it~~ its Reliability Coordinator Area is connected to all of its neighboring Reliability Coordinators ~~Areas~~. The restoration plan shall include: [*Violation Risk Factor = High*] [*Time Horizon = Operations Planning*]
 - R1.1. A description of the high level strategy to be employed during restoration events for restoring the Interconnection including minimum blackstart capability requirements.
 - R1.2. ~~Procedures~~ Processes for restoring ~~the integrity of the~~ Interconnection.
 - R1.3. Descriptions of the elements of coordination between individual Transmission Operator restoration plans.
 - R1.4. Descriptions of the elements of coordination of restoration plans with neighboring Reliability Coordinators.
 - R1.5. Criteria and conditions for reestablishing interconnections between neighboring Transmission Operators and Reliability Coordinator Areas.
 - R1.6. Identification of acceptable voltage and frequency limits during restoration.
 - R1.7. Reporting requirements for the entities within the Reliability Coordinator Area during a restoration event.
 - R1.8. Criteria for sharing information regarding restoration with neighboring Reliability Coordinators and with Transmission Operators and Balancing Authorities within its Reliability Coordinator ~~a~~ AArea.

- R1.9.** Identification of the Reliability Coordinator as the primary contact for disseminating information regarding restoration to neighboring Reliability Coordinators, and to Transmission Operators, and Balancing Authorities within its Reliability Coordinator Area.
- R1.10.** Criteria for transferring operations and authority back to the Balancing Authority.
- R2.** The Reliability Coordinator shall distribute its most recent Reliability Coordinator Area restoration plan to each of its Transmission Operators, ~~Balancing Authorities,~~ and neighboring Reliability Coordinators within thirty calendar days of creation or revision. [Violation Risk Factor = Lower] [Time Horizon = Operations Planning]
- R3.** Each Reliability Coordinator shall review its restoration plan ~~every twelve~~ within thirteen months of the last review. [Violation Risk Factor = Medium] [Time Horizon = Operations Planning]
- R4.** Each Reliability Coordinator shall ~~update its restoration plan within ninety calendar days after identifying changes to one of its Transmission Operator's restoration plans or upon reviewing a~~ their neighboring Reliability Coordinator's restoration plans ~~that would necessitate a change in their coordination tasks or responsibilities.~~ [Violation Risk Factor = Medium] [Time Horizon = Operations Planning]
- R4.1.** If the Reliability Coordinator finds conflicts between its restoration plans and any of its neighbors, the conflicts shall be resolved in thirty days.
- R5.** Each Reliability Coordinator shall review the ~~Transmission Operator~~ restoration plans ~~as defined in~~ required by EOP-005 of the Transmission Operators within its Reliability Coordinator Area and neighboring Reliability Coordinators, when received. [Violation Risk Factor = Medium] [Time Horizon = Operations Planning]
- R5.1.** The Reliability Coordinator shall determine whether the Transmission Operator's restoration plan is coordinated and compatible with the Reliability Coordinator's restoration plan ~~as well as being compatible with~~ and other Transmission Operators' restoration plans within its Reliability Coordinator Area. The Reliability Coordinator shall approve or disapprove, with stated reasons, the Transmission Operator's submitted restoration plan within thirty calendar days following the receipt of the restoration plan from the Transmission Operator.
- ~~**R5.2.** The Reliability Coordinator shall approve or disapprove the Transmission Operator's submitted restoration plan within thirty calendar days following the receipt of the restoration plan from the Transmission Operator.~~
- ~~**R5.3.** The Reliability Coordinator shall provide written notification to the Transmission Operator of its decision and provide reasons if disapproving a Transmission Operator's restoration plan.~~
- R6.** Each Reliability Coordinator shall have a copy of its latest restoration plan and copies of the latest approved restoration plan of each Transmission Operator in its Reliability Coordinator Area within ~~each of its~~ primary and backup control ~~centers~~ rooms and available to all of its ~~control room personnel~~ System Operators prior to the

implementation date. [*Violation Risk Factor = Lower*] [*Time Horizon = Operations Planning*]

- R7.** Each Reliability Coordinator shall work with its affected ~~Balancing Authorities,~~ Generator Operators, and Transmission Operators as well as neighboring Reliability Coordinators to monitor restoration progress, coordinate restoration, and take actions to restore the BES frequency within acceptable operating limits. If the restoration plan cannot be completed as expected because actual conditions do not match the studied conditions, the Reliability Coordinator shall utilize its restoration plan strategies to facilitate System restoration. Such actions may include but not be limited to adjusting generation, placing additional generators on line, or shedding Load. [*Violation Risk Factor = High*] [*Time Horizon = Real-time Operations*]

~~**R7.1.** If the restoration plan cannot be completed as expected because actual conditions do not match the studied conditions, the Reliability Coordinator shall utilize its restoration plan philosophies to implement alternative measures for achieving System restoration.~~

- R8.** The Reliability Coordinator shall coordinate or authorize ~~and coordinate~~ resynchronizing islanded areas that bridge boundaries between Transmission Operators or Reliability Coordinators. If the resynchronization cannot be completed as expected because actual conditions do not match the studied conditions, the Reliability Coordinator shall utilize its restoration plan strategies to facilitate resynchronization. [*Violation Risk Factor = High*] [*Time Horizon = Real-time Operations*]

~~**R8.1.** If the restoration plan cannot be completed as expected because actual conditions do not match the studied conditions, the Reliability Coordinator shall utilize its restoration plan philosophies to implement alternative measures for achieving System restoration.~~

- R9.** Each Reliability Coordinator shall include within its operations training program, annual System restoration training for its System Operators to ~~ensure~~ assure the proper execution of its restoration plan. This training program shall ~~include~~ address the following: [*Violation Risk Factor = Medium*] [*Time Horizon = Operations Planning*]

~~**R9.1.** System restoration philosophy including t~~ The coordination role of the Reliability Coordinator.

R9.2. Reestablishing the Interconnection.

- R10.** Each Reliability Coordinator shall conduct two System restoration drills, exercises, or simulations per calendar year, which shall include the Transmission Operators and Generator Operators as dictated by the particular scope of the drill, exercise, or simulation that is being conducted. [*Violation Risk Factor = Medium*] [*Time Horizon = Operations Planning*]

R10.1. Each Reliability Coordinator shall request each Transmission Operator and Generator Operator identified in its restoration plan to participate in a drill, exercise, or simulation at least every two calendar years.

C. Measures

- M1. Each Reliability Coordinator shall have available a dated copy of its restoration plan in accordance with Requirement R1.
- M2. Each Reliability Coordinator shall provide evidence such as e-mails with receipts or registered mail receipts, that its ~~approved~~ most recent restoration plan has been distributed in accordance with Requirement R2.
- M3. Each Reliability Coordinator shall provide evidence such as a review signature sheet, or revision histories, that it has ~~annually~~ reviewed its restoration plan within thirteen months of the last review in accordance with Requirement R3.
- M4. Each Reliability Coordinator shall provide evidence such as dated review signature sheets, ~~or revision histories~~, that it has ~~updated~~ reviewed its neighboring Reliability Coordinator's restoration plans and resolved any conflicts within thirty days in accordance with Requirement R4.
- M5. Each Reliability Coordinator shall provide evidence, such as a review signature sheet or emails, that it has reviewed, approved or disapproved, and notified its Transmission Operator's, and reviewed its neighboring Reliability Coordinator's, submitted restoration plan(s) and updated its restoration plan, if necessary, in accordance with Requirement R5.
- M6. Each Reliability Coordinator shall have documentation such as e-mail receipts that it has made the latest ~~approved~~ copy of its restoration plan and copies of the latest approved restoration plan of each Transmission Operator in its Reliability Coordinator Area available in ~~each of its~~ primary and backup control rooms and to each of its ~~control room personnel~~ System Operators prior to the implementation date in accordance with Requirement R6.
- M7. Each Reliability Coordinator involved shall have evidence such as voice recordings, e-mail, dated computer printouts, or operator logs, that it monitored and coordinated restoration progress in accordance with Requirement R7.
- M8. If there has been a resynchronizing of an islanded area, each Reliability Coordinator involved shall have evidence such as voice recordings, e-mail, or operator logs, that it coordinated and authorized ~~and coordinated~~ resynchronizing in accordance with Requirement R8.
- M9. Each Reliability Coordinator shall have an electronic or hard copy of its training records available showing that it has provided training in accordance with Requirement R9.
- M10. Each Reliability Coordinator shall have evidence that it conducted two System restoration drills, exercises, or simulations per year and that Transmission Operators and Generator Operators included in the Reliability Coordinator's restoration plan were invited in accordance with Requirement R10.

D. Compliance

1. Compliance Monitoring Process

1.1. Compliance Enforcement Authority

Regional Entity.

1.2. Compliance Monitoring Period and Reset Time Frame

Not applicable.

1.3. Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Processes:

Compliance Audits

Self-Certifications

Spot Checking

Compliance Violation Investigations

Self-Reporting

Complaints

1.4. Data Retention

The Reliability Coordinator shall keep data or evidence to show compliance as identified below unless directed by its Compliance Enforcement Authority to retain specific evidence for a longer period of time as part of an investigation:

- ~~Approved~~ The current restoration plan and any restoration plans in force since the last compliance audit for Requirement R1, Measure M1.
- Distribution of its ~~approved~~ most recent restoration plan and any restoration plans in force for the current year and three prior calendar years for Requirement R2, Measure M2.
- It's ~~annually~~ reviewed restoration plan for the current ~~year~~ review period and the last three prior ~~calendar years~~ review periods for Requirement R3, Measure M3.
- ~~Updated restoration plans for all versions from~~ Reviewed copies of neighboring Reliability Coordinator restoration plans for the current year and the three prior calendar years for Requirement R4, Measure M4.
- The reviewed restoration plans for the current year and the last three prior calendar years for Requirement R5, Measure M5.
- The current, approved restoration plan and any restoration plans in force for the last three calendar years was made available in its control rooms for Requirement R6, Measure M6.
- If there has been a restoration event, ~~I~~ implementation of its restoration plan on any occasion over a rolling twelve month period for Requirement R7, Measure M7.
- If there has been a resynchronization of an islanded area, ~~I~~ implementation of its restoration plan on any occasion over a rolling twelve month period for Requirement R8, Measure M8.
- Actual training program materials or descriptions for three calendar years for Requirements R9, Measure M9.

- Records of all Reliability Coordinator restoration drills, exercises, or simulations since its last compliance audit as well as one previous compliance audit period for Requirement R10, Measure M10.

If a Reliability Coordinator is found non-compliant, it shall keep information related to the non-compliance until found compliant.

The Compliance Enforcement Authority shall keep the last audit records and all requested and submitted subsequent audit records.

1.5. Additional Compliance Information

None.

2. Violation Severity Levels

R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
R1.	The Reliability Coordinator failed to comply with less than 25% of the number of <u>include one</u> sub-components <u>requirement of Requirement R1</u> within this requirement <u>its restoration plan</u> .	The Reliability Coordinator failed to comply with 25% or more and less than 50% of the number of <u>include two</u> sub-components <u>requirements of requirement R1</u> within this requirement <u>its restoration plan</u> .	The Reliability Coordinator has failed to <u>include</u> comply with 50% or more and less than 75% of the number of <u>three of the</u> sub-components <u>requirements of Requirement R1</u> within this requirement <u>its restoration plan</u> .	The Reliability Coordinator has failed to comply with 75% or more of the number <u>include four or more of the</u> sub-components <u>requirements</u> within this requirement <u>its restoration plan</u> .
R2.	The Reliability Coordinator did not distributed the required information <u>most recent Reliability Coordinator Area restoration plan</u> to one entity <u>the entities</u> identified in the Requirement R2 within the required timeframe. Or, the Reliability Coordinator distributed the required information to all entities but was <u>more than thirty</u> calendar days late.	The Reliability Coordinator did not distributed the required information <u>most recent Reliability Coordinator Area restoration plan</u> to two entities <u>the entities</u> identified in the Requirement R2 within the prescribed timeframe. Or, the Reliability Coordinator distributed the required information to all entities but was <u>more than sixty</u> calendar days late.	The Reliability Coordinator did not distributed the required information <u>most recent Reliability Coordinator Area restoration plan</u> to three <u>the</u> entities identified in the Requirement R2 within the prescribed timeframe. Or, the Reliability Coordinator distributed the required information to all entities but was <u>more than ninety</u> calendar days late.	The Reliability Coordinator did not distributed the required information <u>most recent Reliability Coordinator Area restoration plan</u> to four or more entities identified in the Requirement R2 within the prescribed timeframe. Or, the Reliability Coordinator distributed the required information to all entities but was <u>more than 120</u> calendar days late.
R3.	The Reliability Coordinator did not review its restoration plan within twelve months. <u>N/A</u>	The Reliability Coordinator did not review its restoration plan within thirteen months. <u>N/A</u>	The Reliability Coordinator did not review its restoration plan within fourteen months. <u>N/A</u>	The Reliability Coordinator did not review its restoration plan within fifteen <u>thirteen</u> months <u>of the last review</u> .
R4.	The Reliability Coordinator failed to comply within ninety calendar days of the change. <u>The Reliability Coordinator did not review and resolve conflicts with the submitted restoration</u>	The Reliability Coordinator failed to comply within 120 calendar days of the change. <u>The Reliability Coordinator did not review and resolve conflicts with the submitted restoration</u>	The Reliability Coordinator has failed to comply within 150 calendar days of the change. <u>The Reliability Coordinator did not review and resolve conflicts with the submitted restoration</u>	The Reliability Coordinator has failed to comply within 180 calendar days of the change. <u>The Reliability Coordinator did not review and resolve conflicts with the submitted restoration</u>

Standard EOP-006-2 — System Restoration Coordination

R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
	<u>plans from its neighboring Reliability Coordinators within thirty days.</u>	<u>plans from its neighboring Reliability Coordinators within sixty days.</u>	<u>plans from its neighboring Reliability Coordinators within ninety days.</u>	<u>plans from its neighboring Reliability Coordinators within 120 days.</u>
R5.	<p>The Reliability Coordinator did not review and approve/disapprove the <u>submitted</u> restoration plans <u>from its Transmission Operators and neighboring Reliability Coordinators</u> within the pre-determined schedule <u>within thirty calendar days of receipt.</u></p> <p>Or</p> <p>¶The Reliability Coordinator failed to notify the Transmission Operator in writing of its <u>approval or disapproval with stated reasons</u> for disapproval <u>within thirty calendar days of receipt.</u></p>	<p>The Reliability Coordinator did not review and approve/disapprove the <u>submitted</u> restoration plans <u>from its Transmission Operators and neighboring Reliability Coordinators</u> within forty-five calendar days of the pre-determined schedule <u>receipt.</u></p> <p><u>Or,</u></p> <p><u>The Reliability Coordinator failed to notify the Transmission Operator of its approval or disapproval with stated reasons for disapproval within forty-five calendar days of receipt.</u></p>	<p>The Reliability Coordinator did not review and approve/disapprove the <u>submitted</u> restoration plans <u>from its Transmission Operators and neighboring Reliability Coordinators</u> within sixty calendar days of the pre-determined schedule <u>receipt.</u></p> <p><u>Or</u></p> <p><u>The Reliability Coordinator failed to notify the Transmission Operator of its approval or disapproval with stated reasons for disapproval within sixty days of receipt.</u></p> <p><u>Or</u></p> <p>¶<u>The Reliability Coordinator failed to revise its restoration plan after identifying changes required by new or revised restoration plans received from its Transmission Operators and neighboring Reliability Coordinators within ninety calendar days of receipt.</u></p>	<p>The Reliability Coordinator did not review and approve/disapprove the <u>submitted</u> restoration plans <u>from its Transmission Operators and neighboring Reliability Coordinators</u> within ninety calendar days of the pre-determined schedule <u>receipt.</u></p> <p><u>Or</u></p> <p><u>The Reliability Coordinator failed to notify the Transmission Operator of its approval or disapproval with stated reasons for disapproval within ninety days of receipt.</u></p> <p><u>Or</u></p> <p><u>The Reliability Coordinator failed to revise its restoration plan after identifying changes required by new or revised restoration plans received from its Transmission Operators and neighboring Reliability Coordinators within 150 calendar days of receipt.</u></p>
R6.	The Reliability Coordinator did not make <u>its latest restoration plan and</u> the latest approved	The Reliability Coordinator did not make <u>its latest restoration plan and</u> the latest approved	The Reliability Coordinator did not make <u>its latest restoration plan and</u> the latest approved	The Reliability Coordinator did not make <u>its latest restoration plan and</u> the latest approved

Standard EOP-006-2 — System Restoration Coordination

R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
	restoration plan <u>of each Transmission Operator in its Reliability Coordinator Area</u> available <u>to all of its System Operators</u> in its <u>primary and backup</u> control rooms within fifteen calendar days of its approval <u>prior to the implementation date.</u>	restoration plan <u>of each Transmission Operator in its Reliability Coordinator Area</u> available <u>to all of its System Operators</u> in its <u>primary and backup</u> control rooms within twenty <u>fifteen</u> calendar days of its approval <u>implementation date.</u>	restoration plan <u>of each Transmission Operator in its Reliability Coordinator Area</u> available <u>to all of its System Operators</u> in its <u>primary and backup</u> control rooms within twenty-five calendar days of its approval <u>implementation date.</u>	restoration plan <u>of each Transmission Operator in its Reliability Coordinator Area</u> available <u>to all of its System Operators</u> in its <u>primary and backup</u> control rooms within thirty <u>twenty-five</u> calendar days of its approval <u>implementation date.</u>
R7.	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Reliability Coordinator did not work with its affected Balancing Authorities, Generator Operators, and Transmission Operators as well as neighboring Reliability Coordinators to monitor restoration progress, coordinate restoration, and take actions to restore the BES frequency within acceptable operating limits.
R8.	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Reliability Coordinator did not <u>coordinate or</u> authorize and coordinate resynchronizing islanded areas that bridge boundaries between Transmission Operators or Reliability Coordinators.
R9.	The Reliability Coordinator supplied the necessary training but not within the required timeframe. — <u>N/A</u>	The Reliability Coordinator supplied training but did not address both sub requirements. <u>N/A</u>	N/A	The Reliability Coordinator has not included System restoration training in its operations training program. — <u>The Reliability Coordinator</u>

Standard EOP-006-2 — System Restoration Coordination

R#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
				<p><u>supplied annual System restoration training but did not address both of the sub-requirements.</u></p> <p><u>Or</u></p> <p><u>The Reliability Coordinator supplied the required System restoration training but it was over two calendar years from the last training offered.</u></p>
<p>R10.</p>	<p>The Reliability Coordinator only held one restoration drill, exercise, or simulation during the calendar year.</p>	<p>The Reliability Coordinator held the correct number of restoration drills, exercises, or simulations but did not invite each <u>a</u> Transmission Operator and or <u>or</u> Generator Operator identified in its restoration plan to participate in a drill, exercise, or simulation at least every <u>within</u> two calendar years.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>The Reliability Coordinator did not hold a restoration drill, exercise, or simulation during the calendar year.</p>

E. Regional Variances

None.

Version History

Version	Date	Action	Change Tracking
0	April 1, 2005	Effective Date	New
0	August 8, 2005	Removed “Proposed” from Effective Date	Errata
1	November 1, 2006	Adopted by Board of Trustees	Revised
2	TBD	Revisions pursuant to Project 2006-03	Updated Measures and Compliance to match new Requirements