

Conference Call Notes Backup Facilities SDT — Project 2006-04

August 4, 2008

1. Administrative Items

a. Introductions and Quorum

The Chair brought the call to order at 1 p.m. EDT on Monday, August 4, 2008.
Call participants were:

Tom Bowe	Sam Brattini, Chair	Charles Jenkins
Barry Lawson	Sara McCoy	Melinda Montgomery
Keith Porterfield	Mike Schiavone, Vice Chair	Monroe Landrum, Southern, Guest
Ed Dobrowolski, NERC		

b. NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines — Ed Dobrowolski

There were no questions raised on the NERC Antitrust Guidelines.

c. Conference Call Agenda and Objectives — Sam Brattini

The call was convened to discuss the proposed changes suggested by NERC staff.

2. Discuss Changes Requested by NERC Staff

a. Section 4.2 — Compliance objections

The NERC Compliance Group raised objections to Section 4.2 applicability statements. Sam suggested some alternative wording to try to get around the dilemma but the SDT discussed the problem in depth and decided that they were comfortable with the statements as written. The typo in the header referring to Requirement R12 was corrected to read Requirement R8.

b. R6 — need to specify that approval is within own organization

Wording was moved in Requirement R6 to make it clear who was reviewing and approving the document.

c. R8 — what happens when or if the plan fails?

A new requirement, R8.3, was added to the roadmap to capture lessons learned and incorporate them into the plan.

3. Next Steps — Sam Brattini

The appropriate changes, as approved by the SDT in today's call, will be formatted and sent to NERC staff. The SDT feels that the documents are ready to be posted as per the approved and published guidelines. The contested applicability statements are prescriptive, provide auditors with specific applicability directions, and remove any interpretative vagueness. The SDT feels that the statements reflect real-world conditions that need to be covered in the standard. The general consensus is that the industry should get their opportunity to see the statements and comment on them. The SDT is willing to meet or conference with NERC staff including Compliance if there are still questions on the applicability statements.

4. Action Items and Schedule — Ed Dobrowolski

There were no action items developed during this call. The schedule is in limbo due to the delay in posting.

5. Next Meeting — Sam Brattini

- a. Discuss scheduling of Dallas meeting.

The Dallas meeting has been cancelled due to the uncertainty in the next posting date.

6. Adjourn

The Chair adjourned the call at 2:30 p.m. EDT.