

## Notes

### Backup Facilities SDT — Project 2006-04

December 14, 2009 | 1:00 p.m.

#### 1. Administrative Items

##### a. Introductions and Quorum

The Chair brought the call to order at 1:00p.m. EST on Monday, December 14, 2009. Call participants were:

Tom Bowe	Sam Brattini, Chair	Blaine Dinwiddie
Glenn Kaht	Barry Lawson	Sara McCoy
Keith Porterfield	Mike Schiavone, Vice Chair	James Vermillion
Nick Henery, FERC Observer	Ed Dobrowolski, NERC	

##### b. NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines – Ed Dobrowolski

There were no questions raised on the NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines.

##### c. Conference Call Agenda and Objectives — Sam Brattini

The objective of the call was to resolve the open issues with the responses to the initial ballot comments as per the Standards Committee's direction.

#### 2. Review SC Discussion and Motion — Sam Brattini & Ed Dobrowolski

Ed provided a brief update of the Standard Committee's decision. The bottom line is that the standard was remanded back to the SDT for additional clarification and this will require a 30-day posting followed by a new initial ballot.

#### 3. Review Straw Man Responses

The SDT reviewed the set of straw man responses and accompanying requirements changes. The SDT made changes to Requirement R6, Requirement R1 - part 1.2.1, Requirement R1 - part 1.1, and Requirement R4.

The response on Requirement R5 will be split up to address the two different comments.

Although no comment was made about the use of the term ‘annual’ in Requirement R5, the SDT changed the wording in the requirement to be consistent with a change made to Requirement R7.

4. **Review Revised VSLs**

The SDT needs to update the VSLs in the standard to bring them up to date with the latest guidelines for writing VSLs now that the project has been delayed.

The SDT reviewed the straw man VSLs and made several changes based on the new guidelines and to provide greater clarity.

There was a question raised as to the content of the Requirement R1 VSL: Requirement R1 is basically just to create a plan but doesn’t explicitly include any implementation requirements. How does the SDT presume to include implementation in its work? Requirement R1, part 1.1 calls out implementation and Requirements R3 & R4 implicitly require implementation.

The SDT wants to explore the possibility of grading the VSL for Requirement R6. Keith will provide a draft.

*AI* – Keith will draft a revised VSL for Requirement R6 that shows a graded approach to penalties.

5. **Next Steps — Sam Brattini**

Ed will incorporate all of the changes (including the VSLs) into a revised roadmap and distribute it to the SDT for review. The SDT will circulate comments via the list server. There will be a conference call/web ex on Monday, January 11, 2010 from 1300 to 1600 EST to finalize the document prior to submitting it to NERC staff for posting. Details will be supplied.

*AI* – Ed will incorporate all of the changes in a revised roadmap and distribute it to the SDT for review.

6. **Action Items & Schedule — Ed Dobrowolski**

The following action items were developed during this call:

- Keith will draft a revised VSL for Requirement R6 that shows a graded approach to penalties.
- Ed will incorporate all of the changes in a revised roadmap and distribute it to the SDT for review.

The project has obviously missed the scheduled completion date and will now extend into 2010.

**7. Adjourn**

The Chair adjourned the call at 4:00 p.m. EST.