Individual Commenter Information			
(Comple	ete thi	s page for comments from one organization or individual.)	
Name: J	ames I	H. Sorrels, Jr.	
Organization: A	\EP		
Telephone: 6	314-716	-2370	
E-mail: j	hsorrel	s@aep.com	
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment	
	\boxtimes	1 — Transmission Owners	
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs or ISOs	
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities	
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities	
oxtimes RFC	\boxtimes	5 — Electric Generators	
SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers	
⊠ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users	
☐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users	
∐ NA – No Applicable	t 🔲	9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities	
		10 – Regional Reliability Organizations or Regional Entities	

Group Name:			
Lead Contact:			
Contact Organization:			
Contact Segment:			
Contact Telephone:			
Contact E-mail:			
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*

^{*}If more than one region or segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

The revised SAR clarifies that this project revises EOP-008-0, Plans for Loss of Control Center Functionality, to emphasize the continuation of functionality needed by Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, and Transmission Operators for reliable system operation regardless of the manner in which it is achieved.

The definition of backup capability that is pertinent to this effort is: the ability to maintain situational awareness and continue to comply with reliability standards when primary control center facilities are not operational, including consideration for communications required to explicitly support backup facilities.

The drafting team made several changes to the SAR based on stakeholder comments, including the following:

- Clarified that the work of the Operating Committee Backup Control Center Task
 Force will be used as one of the inputs to the revision of EOP-008
- Removed COM-001 from the list of standards included in the scope of this project
- Modified the SAR to clarify that there "may" be some requirements for backup capabilities in other reliability standards and added IRO-002 to the list of related standards because it does contain a backup facility requirement
- Clarified that Appendix B is an informative attachment that contains material for consideration in the standards revision process, but should not be considered to contain mandatory changes to the standard
- Clarified that the standard will apply to any entity for which the loss of its
 primary control capability would impose a significant real-time reliability risk to
 the Bulk Power System and includes the Reliability Coordinator, Balancing
 Authority, Transmission Operator, and any entity (including the Transmission
 Owner) performing reliability functions as a result of delegation of tasks from
 those entities

Insert a "check" mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.

1.	The revised SAR shows the Transmission Owner as an applicable entity based on the concept that there are Transmission Owners that operate control centers that could potentially have impact on the reliability of the Bulk Power System. Do you agree that the standard drafting team needs to have the flexibility to address the issue of Transmission Owners as applicable entities in the drafting of the standard? Yes No Comments:
2.	The SAR drafting team has deleted COM-001 from the revised SAR based on the fact that COM-001 deals with generic communication issues and not backup facility issues. Communication support explicitly needed for backup facilities will be considered in the revision of EOP-008. Also, COM-001 is covered in other areas of the Reliability Standards Development Plan 2007–2009. On this basis, do you agree that COM-001 should be deleted from the scope of this SAR? Yes No Comments:
3.	Please highlight any other changes you feel are needed before this SAR is ready to move forward to standard drafting. Comments: There should be a provision for the ability to demonstrate backup functionality if arranged/contracted with another reliability entity, as long as that entity can demonstrate their backup capability to meet the requirements and measures.
	•

Individual Commenter Information		
(Complet	e thi	s page for comments from one organization or individual.)
Name: Ja	son S	haver
Organization: Ar	nerica	n Transmission Co.
Telephone: 26	2 784	8124
E-mail: jsh	naver@	@atcllc.com
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment
☐ ERCOT	\boxtimes	1 — Transmission Owners
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs or ISOs
oxtimes MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities
⊠ RFC		5 — Electric Generators
☐ SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers
∐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users
☐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users
∐ NA – Not Applicable		9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities
		10 – Regional Reliability Organizations or Regional Entities

Group Name:			
Lead Contact:			
Contact Organization:			
Contact Segment:			
Contact Telephone:			
Contact E-mail:			
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*

^{*}If more than one region or segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

The revised SAR clarifies that this project revises EOP-008-0, Plans for Loss of Control Center Functionality, to emphasize the continuation of functionality needed by Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, and Transmission Operators for reliable system operation regardless of the manner in which it is achieved.

The definition of backup capability that is pertinent to this effort is: the ability to maintain situational awareness and continue to comply with reliability standards when primary control center facilities are not operational, including consideration for communications required to explicitly support backup facilities.

The drafting team made several changes to the SAR based on stakeholder comments, including the following:

- Clarified that the work of the Operating Committee Backup Control Center Task
 Force will be used as one of the inputs to the revision of EOP-008
- Removed COM-001 from the list of standards included in the scope of this project
- Modified the SAR to clarify that there "may" be some requirements for backup capabilities in other reliability standards and added IRO-002 to the list of related standards because it does contain a backup facility requirement
- Clarified that Appendix B is an informative attachment that contains material for consideration in the standards revision process, but should not be considered to contain mandatory changes to the standard
- Clarified that the standard will apply to any entity for which the loss of its
 primary control capability would impose a significant real-time reliability risk to
 the Bulk Power System and includes the Reliability Coordinator, Balancing
 Authority, Transmission Operator, and any entity (including the Transmission
 Owner) performing reliability functions as a result of delegation of tasks from
 those entities

Insert a "check" mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.

1.	The revised SAR shows the Transmission Owner as an applicable entity based on the concept that there are Transmission Owners that operate control centers that could potentially have impact on the reliability of the Bulk Power System. Do you agree that the standard drafting team needs to have the flexibility to address the issue of Transmission Owners as applicable entities in the drafting of the standard? Yes No Comments:
2.	The SAR drafting team has deleted COM-001 from the revised SAR based on the fact that COM-001 deals with generic communication issues and not backup facility issues. Communication support explicitly needed for backup facilities will be considered in the revision of EOP-008. Also, COM-001 is covered in other areas of the Reliability Standards Development Plan 2007–2009. On this basis, do you agree that COM-001 should be deleted from the scope of this SAR?
	□ No Comments:
3.	Please highlight any other changes you feel are needed before this SAR is ready to

Please highlight any other changes you feel are needed before this SAR is ready to move forward to standard drafting.

Comments: ATC does not support the proposed exclusion for Transmission Operators. The exclusion allows an exempt Transmission Operator to determine post event how they should continue to monitor their transmission system. The result would be an unmonitored transmission system for possibly days or months.

Individual Commenter Information		
(Complet	e thi	s page for comments from one organization or individual.)
Name: Me	elinda	Montgomery
Organization: En	tergy	Services, Inc.
Telephone: 87	0 541	4578
E-mail: mr	nontg	3@entergy.com
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment
☐ ERCOT	\boxtimes	1 — Transmission Owners
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs or ISOs
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities
☐ RFC		5 — Electric Generators
⊠ SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers
☐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users
☐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users
∐ NA – Not Applicable		9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities
		10 – Regional Reliability Organizations or Regional Entities

Group Name:			
Lead Contact:			
Contact Organization:			
Contact Segment:			
Contact Telephone:			
Contact E-mail:			
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*

^{*}If more than one region or segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

The revised SAR clarifies that this project revises EOP-008-0, Plans for Loss of Control Center Functionality, to emphasize the continuation of functionality needed by Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, and Transmission Operators for reliable system operation regardless of the manner in which it is achieved.

The definition of backup capability that is pertinent to this effort is: the ability to maintain situational awareness and continue to comply with reliability standards when primary control center facilities are not operational, including consideration for communications required to explicitly support backup facilities.

The drafting team made several changes to the SAR based on stakeholder comments, including the following:

- Clarified that the work of the Operating Committee Backup Control Center Task
 Force will be used as one of the inputs to the revision of EOP-008
- Removed COM-001 from the list of standards included in the scope of this project
- Modified the SAR to clarify that there "may" be some requirements for backup capabilities in other reliability standards and added IRO-002 to the list of related standards because it does contain a backup facility requirement
- Clarified that Appendix B is an informative attachment that contains material for consideration in the standards revision process, but should not be considered to contain mandatory changes to the standard
- Clarified that the standard will apply to any entity for which the loss of its
 primary control capability would impose a significant real-time reliability risk to
 the Bulk Power System and includes the Reliability Coordinator, Balancing
 Authority, Transmission Operator, and any entity (including the Transmission
 Owner) performing reliability functions as a result of delegation of tasks from
 those entities

Insert a "check" mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.

1.	The revised SAR shows the Transmission Owner as an applicable entity based on the concept that there are Transmission Owners that operate control centers that could potentially have impact on the reliability of the Bulk Power System. Do you agree that the standard drafting team needs to have the flexibility to address the issue of Transmission Owners as applicable entities in the drafting of the standard? Yes
	⊠ No
	Comments: It is clear that the standard would apply to the Tranmission Operator. It is considerably less clear when it would apply to a transmission owner that is not also a transmission operator. I am not aware of a case where the Transmission Owner is operating a control center and performing functions that have impact on the reliability of the Bulk Power System, but such a situation could exist. In that situation, the transmission owner might be considered to be delegated such tasks by the transmission operator or some other functional entity. My concern is that there may be some shades of grey, where it is not clear whether or not a transmission owner is required to comply with the standard.
2.	The SAR drafting team has deleted COM-001 from the revised SAR based on the fact that COM-001 deals with generic communication issues and not backup facility issues. Communication support explicitly needed for backup facilities will be considered in the revision of EOP-008. Also, COM-001 is covered in other areas of the Reliability Standards Development Plan 2007–2009. On this basis, do you agree that COM-001 should be deleted from the scope of this SAR? Yes No Comments:
3.	Please highlight any other changes you feel are needed before this SAR is ready to move forward to standard drafting.
	Comments:

Individual Commenter Information			
(Comple	ete thi	s page for comments from one organization or individual.)	
Name:	Steve M	yers	
Organization: I	RCOT		
Telephone:	512-248	-3077	
E-mail:	smyers@	@ercot.com	
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment	
		1 — Transmission Owners	
☐ FRCC	\boxtimes	2 — RTOs or ISOs	
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities	
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities	
☐ RFC		5 — Electric Generators	
SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers	
∐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users	
∐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users	
∐ NA – No Applicable	t 🗀	9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities	
		10 – Regional Reliability Organizations or Regional Entities	

Group Name:			
Lead Contact:			
Contact Organization:			
Contact Segment:			
Contact Telephone:			
Contact E-mail:			
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*

^{*}If more than one region or segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

The revised SAR clarifies that this project revises EOP-008-0, Plans for Loss of Control Center Functionality, to emphasize the continuation of functionality needed by Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, and Transmission Operators for reliable system operation regardless of the manner in which it is achieved.

The definition of backup capability that is pertinent to this effort is: the ability to maintain situational awareness and continue to comply with reliability standards when primary control center facilities are not operational, including consideration for communications required to explicitly support backup facilities.

The drafting team made several changes to the SAR based on stakeholder comments, including the following:

- Clarified that the work of the Operating Committee Backup Control Center Task
 Force will be used as one of the inputs to the revision of EOP-008
- Removed COM-001 from the list of standards included in the scope of this project
- Modified the SAR to clarify that there "may" be some requirements for backup capabilities in other reliability standards and added IRO-002 to the list of related standards because it does contain a backup facility requirement
- Clarified that Appendix B is an informative attachment that contains material for consideration in the standards revision process, but should not be considered to contain mandatory changes to the standard
- Clarified that the standard will apply to any entity for which the loss of its
 primary control capability would impose a significant real-time reliability risk to
 the Bulk Power System and includes the Reliability Coordinator, Balancing
 Authority, Transmission Operator, and any entity (including the Transmission
 Owner) performing reliability functions as a result of delegation of tasks from
 those entities

under need.

Insert a "check" mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.

1.	The revised SAR shows the Transmission Owner as an applicable entity based on the concept that there are Transmission Owners that operate control centers that could potentially have impact on the reliability of the Bulk Power System. Do you agree that the standard drafting team needs to have the flexibility to address the issue of Transmission Owners as applicable entities in the drafting of the standard? Yes
	⊠ No
	Comments: If the Transmission Owner is performing tasks in accordance with a delegation agreement between the Transmission Owner and the Transmission Operator, the Transmission Operator is still responsible for meeting the requirements of the function. The delegation agreement should cover and include the relevant requirements for backup functionality of the Transmission Owner. I believe the NERC standard should show applicability to the Transmission Operator.
2.	The SAR drafting team has deleted COM-001 from the revised SAR based on the fact that COM-001 deals with generic communication issues and not backup facility issues. Communication support explicitly needed for backup facilities will be considered in the revision of EOP-008. Also, COM-001 is covered in other areas of the Reliability Standards Development Plan 2007−2009. On this basis, do you agree that COM-001 should be deleted from the scope of this SAR? ☐ No Comments:
3.	Please highlight any other changes you feel are needed before this SAR is ready to move forward to standard drafting.
	Comments: The SAR should clearly show that the backup requirements apply to the functionality rather than specifying how to do it. In other words, say they must be able to do "what" and not that they must have a backup facility (which is a "how"). This is not to say that I do not believe that backup facilities are important. They are important, and I believe it is prudent for the responsible entities to have them.

However, the reliability requirement is that the responsible entity be able to perform

Individual Commenter Information					
(Complet	(Complete this page for comments from one organization or individual.)				
Name: Gr	eg La	nge			
Organization: Gr	ant Co	ounty PUD (GCPD)			
Telephone: 50	9 754	-5061			
E-mail: gla	ange@	gcpud.org			
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment			
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners			
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs or ISOs			
☐ MRO	\boxtimes	3 — Load-serving Entities			
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities			
RFC		5 — Electric Generators			
☐ SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers			
☐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users			
⊠ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users			
∐ NA – Not Applicable		9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities			
		10 – Regional Reliability Organizations or Regional Entities			

Group Name:			
Lead Contact:			
Contact Organization:			
Contact Segment:			
Contact Telephone:			
Contact E-mail:			
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*

^{*}If more than one region or segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

The revised SAR clarifies that this project revises EOP-008-0, Plans for Loss of Control Center Functionality, to emphasize the continuation of functionality needed by Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, and Transmission Operators for reliable system operation regardless of the manner in which it is achieved.

The definition of backup capability that is pertinent to this effort is: the ability to maintain situational awareness and continue to comply with reliability standards when primary control center facilities are not operational, including consideration for communications required to explicitly support backup facilities.

The drafting team made several changes to the SAR based on stakeholder comments, including the following:

- Clarified that the work of the Operating Committee Backup Control Center Task
 Force will be used as one of the inputs to the revision of EOP-008
- Removed COM-001 from the list of standards included in the scope of this project
- Modified the SAR to clarify that there "may" be some requirements for backup capabilities in other reliability standards and added IRO-002 to the list of related standards because it does contain a backup facility requirement
- Clarified that Appendix B is an informative attachment that contains material for consideration in the standards revision process, but should not be considered to contain mandatory changes to the standard
- Clarified that the standard will apply to any entity for which the loss of its
 primary control capability would impose a significant real-time reliability risk to
 the Bulk Power System and includes the Reliability Coordinator, Balancing
 Authority, Transmission Operator, and any entity (including the Transmission
 Owner) performing reliability functions as a result of delegation of tasks from
 those entities

as a revision of an existing standard.

Insert a "check" mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.

1. The revised SAR shows the Transmission Owner as an applicable entity based on the

	concept that there are Transmission Owners that operate control centers that could potentially have impact on the reliability of the Bulk Power System. Do you agree that the standard drafting team needs to have the flexibility to address the issue of Transmission Owners as applicable entities in the drafting of the standard? Yes
	⊠ No
	Comments: If a transmission owner operates a control center, they are a transmission operator. Therefore, the SAR doesn't need to address transmission owners. They just need to properly register their entity.
2.	The SAR drafting team has deleted COM-001 from the revised SAR based on the fact that COM-001 deals with generic communication issues and not backup facility issues. Communication support explicitly needed for backup facilities will be considered in the revision of EOP-008. Also, COM-001 is covered in other areas of the Reliability Standards Development Plan 2007–2009. On this basis, do you agree that COM-001 should be deleted from the scope of this SAR?
	□ No
	Comments: EOP-008 only discusses loss of primary control facilities. No need to look at standards dealing with normal operations.
3.	Please highlight any other changes you feel are needed before this SAR is ready to move forward to standard drafting.
	Comments: Please change the name of the SAR from Backup Facilities to Loss of Primary Control Facilities. Revision of EOP-008 should not specify requirement for a backup control center. There are several other viable ways to maintain or resume control with a loss of primary facilities. Even if the drafters intent is correct, the title is confusing. If it is the intent of the drafters/sponsor to create a requirement for the

existance of backup control centers, then a new SAR should be written that is not listed

Individual Commenter Information					
(Complet	(Complete this page for comments from one organization or individual.)				
Name: Ro	ger C	hampagne			
Organization: Hy	/dro-Q	uébec TransÉnergie (HQT)			
Telephone: 51	4 289	-2211, X 2766			
E-mail: ch	ampa	gne.roger.2@hydro.qc.ca			
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment			
☐ ERCOT	\boxtimes	1 — Transmission Owners			
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs or ISOs			
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities			
⊠ NPCC		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities			
☐ RFC		5 — Electric Generators			
☐ SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers			
☐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users			
☐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users			
☐ NA – Not Applicable		9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities			
		10 – Regional Reliability Organizations or Regional Entities			

Group Name:			
Lead Contact:			
Contact Organization:			
Contact Segment:			
Contact Telephone:			
Contact E-mail:			
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*

^{*}If more than one region or segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

The revised SAR clarifies that this project revises EOP-008-0, Plans for Loss of Control Center Functionality, to emphasize the continuation of functionality needed by Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, and Transmission Operators for reliable system operation regardless of the manner in which it is achieved.

The definition of backup capability that is pertinent to this effort is: the ability to maintain situational awareness and continue to comply with reliability standards when primary control center facilities are not operational, including consideration for communications required to explicitly support backup facilities.

The drafting team made several changes to the SAR based on stakeholder comments, including the following:

- Clarified that the work of the Operating Committee Backup Control Center Task
 Force will be used as one of the inputs to the revision of EOP-008
- Removed COM-001 from the list of standards included in the scope of this project
- Modified the SAR to clarify that there "may" be some requirements for backup capabilities in other reliability standards and added IRO-002 to the list of related standards because it does contain a backup facility requirement
- Clarified that Appendix B is an informative attachment that contains material for consideration in the standards revision process, but should not be considered to contain mandatory changes to the standard
- Clarified that the standard will apply to any entity for which the loss of its
 primary control capability would impose a significant real-time reliability risk to
 the Bulk Power System and includes the Reliability Coordinator, Balancing
 Authority, Transmission Operator, and any entity (including the Transmission
 Owner) performing reliability functions as a result of delegation of tasks from
 those entities

Insert a "check" mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.

1.	The revised SAR shows the Transmission Owner as an applicable entity based on the concept that there are Transmission Owners that operate control centers that could potentially have impact on the reliability of the Bulk Power System. Do you agree that the standard drafting team needs to have the flexibility to address the issue of Transmission Owners as applicable entities in the drafting of the standard? Yes
	⊠ No
	Comments: Although HQT see value, from a reliability perspective, to have "large" TOs with control centers, to have back-up facilities, it seems more appropriate to leave the details of what TO backup facilities are necessary in the individual TOP/TO operating agreements when a task is delegated. If a TO perform tasks that might impact the BPS, maybe they should register as a TOP.
2.	The SAR drafting team has deleted COM-001 from the revised SAR based on the fact that COM-001 deals with generic communication issues and not backup facility issues. Communication support explicitly needed for backup facilities will be considered in the revision of EOP-008. Also, COM-001 is covered in other areas of the Reliability Standards Development Plan 2007–2009. On this basis, do you agree that COM-001 should be deleted from the scope of this SAR?
	□ No
	Comments:
3.	Please highlight any other changes you feel are needed before this SAR is ready to move forward to standard drafting.
	Comments:

Individual Commenter Information					
(Comple	(Complete this page for comments from one organization or individual.)				
Name: R	on Fals	setti			
Organization: IE	SO				
Telephone: 90	05-855	-6187			
E-mail: ro	n.false	etti@ieso.ca			
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment			
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners			
☐ FRCC	\boxtimes	2 — RTOs or ISOs			
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities			
$oxed{oxed}$ NPCC		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities			
☐ RFC		5 — Electric Generators			
☐ SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers			
☐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users			
☐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users			
∐ NA – Not Applicable		9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities			
		10 – Regional Reliability Organizations or Regional Entities			

Group Name:			
Lead Contact:			
Contact Organization:			
Contact Segment:			
Contact Telephone:			
Contact E-mail:			
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*

^{*}If more than one region or segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

The revised SAR clarifies that this project revises EOP-008-0, Plans for Loss of Control Center Functionality, to emphasize the continuation of functionality needed by Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, and Transmission Operators for reliable system operation regardless of the manner in which it is achieved.

The definition of backup capability that is pertinent to this effort is: the ability to maintain situational awareness and continue to comply with reliability standards when primary control center facilities are not operational, including consideration for communications required to explicitly support backup facilities.

The drafting team made several changes to the SAR based on stakeholder comments, including the following:

- Clarified that the work of the Operating Committee Backup Control Center Task
 Force will be used as one of the inputs to the revision of EOP-008
- Removed COM-001 from the list of standards included in the scope of this project
- Modified the SAR to clarify that there "may" be some requirements for backup capabilities in other reliability standards and added IRO-002 to the list of related standards because it does contain a backup facility requirement
- Clarified that Appendix B is an informative attachment that contains material for consideration in the standards revision process, but should not be considered to contain mandatory changes to the standard
- Clarified that the standard will apply to any entity for which the loss of its
 primary control capability would impose a significant real-time reliability risk to
 the Bulk Power System and includes the Reliability Coordinator, Balancing
 Authority, Transmission Operator, and any entity (including the Transmission
 Owner) performing reliability functions as a result of delegation of tasks from
 those entities

Insert a "check" mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.

1.	The revised SAR shows the Transmission Owner as an applicable entity based on the concept that there are Transmission Owners that operate control centers that could potentially have impact on the reliability of the Bulk Power System. Do you agree that the standard drafting team needs to have the flexibility to address the issue of Transmission Owners as applicable entities in the drafting of the standard? Yes
	⊠ No
	Comments: Even though we see value, from a reliability perspective, to have "large" TOs with control centers, to have back-up facilities, we are not comfortable with the idea of mandating this through a NERC standard. We strongly feel that the details of what TO backup facilities are necessary, should be dealt between the TOP and TO in their respective operating agreements.
2.	The SAR drafting team has deleted COM-001 from the revised SAR based on the fact that COM-001 deals with generic communication issues and not backup facility issues. Communication support explicitly needed for backup facilities will be considered in the revision of EOP-008. Also, COM-001 is covered in other areas of the Reliability Standards Development Plan 2007–2009. On this basis, do you agree that COM-001 should be deleted from the scope of this SAR?
	□ No
	Comments:
3.	move forward to standard drafting.
	Comments:

Individual Commenter Information			
(Comple	ete thi	s page for comments from one organization or individual.)	
Name:	Kathleer	n Goodman	
Organization:	ISO Nev	v England	
Telephone:	(413) 53	5-4111	
E-mail:	kgoodma	an@iso-ne.com	
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment	
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners	
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs or ISOs	
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities	
\boxtimes NPCC		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities	
☐ RFC		5 — Electric Generators	
☐ SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers	
☐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users	
		8 — Small Electricity End Users	
∐ NA – No Applicable	ot 🔲	9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities	
		10 – Regional Reliability Organizations or Regional Entities	

Group Name:			
Lead Contact:			
Contact Organization:			
Contact Segment:			
Contact Telephone:			
Contact E-mail:			
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*

^{*}If more than one region or segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

The revised SAR clarifies that this project revises EOP-008-0, Plans for Loss of Control Center Functionality, to emphasize the continuation of functionality needed by Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, and Transmission Operators for reliable system operation regardless of the manner in which it is achieved.

The definition of backup capability that is pertinent to this effort is: the ability to maintain situational awareness and continue to comply with reliability standards when primary control center facilities are not operational, including consideration for communications required to explicitly support backup facilities.

The drafting team made several changes to the SAR based on stakeholder comments, including the following:

- Clarified that the work of the Operating Committee Backup Control Center Task
 Force will be used as one of the inputs to the revision of EOP-008
- Removed COM-001 from the list of standards included in the scope of this project
- Modified the SAR to clarify that there "may" be some requirements for backup capabilities in other reliability standards and added IRO-002 to the list of related standards because it does contain a backup facility requirement
- Clarified that Appendix B is an informative attachment that contains material for consideration in the standards revision process, but should not be considered to contain mandatory changes to the standard
- Clarified that the standard will apply to any entity for which the loss of its
 primary control capability would impose a significant real-time reliability risk to
 the Bulk Power System and includes the Reliability Coordinator, Balancing
 Authority, Transmission Operator, and any entity (including the Transmission
 Owner) performing reliability functions as a result of delegation of tasks from
 those entities

Insert a "check" mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.

1.	The revised SAR shows the Transmission Owner as an applicable entity based on the concept that there are Transmission Owners that operate control centers that could potentially have impact on the reliability of the Bulk Power System. Do you agree that the standard drafting team needs to have the flexibility to address the issue of Transmission Owners as applicable entities in the drafting of the standard? Yes
	⊠ No
	Comments: Per the NERC Functional Model, the Transmission Operator operates the control centers.
2.	The SAR drafting team has deleted COM-001 from the revised SAR based on the fact that COM-001 deals with generic communication issues and not backup facility issues. Communication support explicitly needed for backup facilities will be considered in the revision of EOP-008. Also, COM-001 is covered in other areas of the Reliability Standards Development Plan 2007−2009. On this basis, do you agree that COM-001 should be deleted from the scope of this SAR? ☑ Yes ☐ No Comments:
3.	Please highlight any other changes you feel are needed before this SAR is ready to move forward to standard drafting.
	Comments: There are other SARs that have been posted recently that includes reviews and potential changes to standards this SAR will impact. ISO New England believes

that the Standards Committee should work to resolve multiple SARs covering the same standards to prevent confusion and potential loss of changes. It is important that these

SARs are sequenced properly to ensure that there are not any lost changes.

Individual Commenter Information						
(Complete this page for comments from one organization or individual.)						
Name: E	Brian Th	rian Thumm				
Organization: ITC Transmission						
Telephone: 248.374.7846						
E-mail: bthumm@itctransco.com						
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment				
☐ ERCOT	\boxtimes	1 — Transmission Owners				
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs or ISOs				
		3 — Load-serving Entities				
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities				
$oxed{oxed}$ RFC		5 — Electric Generators				
☐ SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers				
		7 — Large Electricity End Users				
		8 — Small Electricity End Users				
∐ NA – No Applicable	t 🔲	9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities				
		10 – Regional Reliability Organizations or Regional Entities				

Group Name:			
Lead Contact:			
Contact Organization:			
Contact Segment:			
Contact Telephone:			
Contact E-mail:			
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*

^{*}If more than one region or segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

The revised SAR clarifies that this project revises EOP-008-0, Plans for Loss of Control Center Functionality, to emphasize the continuation of functionality needed by Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, and Transmission Operators for reliable system operation regardless of the manner in which it is achieved.

The definition of backup capability that is pertinent to this effort is: the ability to maintain situational awareness and continue to comply with reliability standards when primary control center facilities are not operational, including consideration for communications required to explicitly support backup facilities.

The drafting team made several changes to the SAR based on stakeholder comments, including the following:

- Clarified that the work of the Operating Committee Backup Control Center Task
 Force will be used as one of the inputs to the revision of EOP-008
- Removed COM-001 from the list of standards included in the scope of this project
- Modified the SAR to clarify that there "may" be some requirements for backup capabilities in other reliability standards and added IRO-002 to the list of related standards because it does contain a backup facility requirement
- Clarified that Appendix B is an informative attachment that contains material for consideration in the standards revision process, but should not be considered to contain mandatory changes to the standard
- Clarified that the standard will apply to any entity for which the loss of its
 primary control capability would impose a significant real-time reliability risk to
 the Bulk Power System and includes the Reliability Coordinator, Balancing
 Authority, Transmission Operator, and any entity (including the Transmission
 Owner) performing reliability functions as a result of delegation of tasks from
 those entities

Insert a "check" mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.

1.	The revised SAR shows the Transmission Owner as an applicable entity based on the concept that there are Transmission Owners that operate control centers that could potentially have impact on the reliability of the Bulk Power System. Do you agree that the standard drafting team needs to have the flexibility to address the issue of Transmission Owners as applicable entities in the drafting of the standard? Yes
	⊠ No
	Comments: If a Transmission Owner operates a control center, then they are a Transmission Operator. They should register as such.
2.	The SAR drafting team has deleted COM-001 from the revised SAR based on the fact that COM-001 deals with generic communication issues and not backup facility issues. Communication support explicitly needed for backup facilities will be considered in the revision of EOP-008. Also, COM-001 is covered in other areas of the Reliability Standards Development Plan 2007–2009. On this basis, do you agree that COM-001 should be deleted from the scope of this SAR? Yes No Comments:
3.	Please highlight any other changes you feel are needed before this SAR is ready to move forward to standard drafting. Comments:

Individual Commenter Information			
(Complet	e thi	s page for comments from one organization or individual.)	
Name: Mi	chael	Gammon	
Organization: Ka	nsas	City Power & Light	
Telephone: 81	6-654	-1242	
E-mail: mi	ke.gaı	mmon@kcpl.com	
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment	
☐ ERCOT	\boxtimes	1 — Transmission Owners	
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs or ISOs	
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities	
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities	
RFC		5 — Electric Generators	
☐ SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers	
⊠ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users	
☐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users	
∐ NA – Not Applicable		9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities	
		10 – Regional Reliability Organizations or Regional Entities	

Group Name:			
Lead Contact:			
Contact Organization:			
Contact Segment:			
Contact Telephone:			
Contact E-mail:			
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*

^{*}If more than one region or segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

The revised SAR clarifies that this project revises EOP-008-0, Plans for Loss of Control Center Functionality, to emphasize the continuation of functionality needed by Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, and Transmission Operators for reliable system operation regardless of the manner in which it is achieved.

The definition of backup capability that is pertinent to this effort is: the ability to maintain situational awareness and continue to comply with reliability standards when primary control center facilities are not operational, including consideration for communications required to explicitly support backup facilities.

The drafting team made several changes to the SAR based on stakeholder comments, including the following:

- Clarified that the work of the Operating Committee Backup Control Center Task
 Force will be used as one of the inputs to the revision of EOP-008
- Removed COM-001 from the list of standards included in the scope of this project
- Modified the SAR to clarify that there "may" be some requirements for backup capabilities in other reliability standards and added IRO-002 to the list of related standards because it does contain a backup facility requirement
- Clarified that Appendix B is an informative attachment that contains material for consideration in the standards revision process, but should not be considered to contain mandatory changes to the standard
- Clarified that the standard will apply to any entity for which the loss of its
 primary control capability would impose a significant real-time reliability risk to
 the Bulk Power System and includes the Reliability Coordinator, Balancing
 Authority, Transmission Operator, and any entity (including the Transmission
 Owner) performing reliability functions as a result of delegation of tasks from
 those entities

1.	The revised SAR shows the Transmission Owner as an applicable entity based on the concept that there are Transmission Owners that operate control centers that could potentially have impact on the reliability of the Bulk Power System. Do you agree that the standard drafting team needs to have the flexibility to address the issue of Transmission Owners as applicable entities in the drafting of the standard? Yes No Comments:
2.	The SAR drafting team has deleted COM-001 from the revised SAR based on the fact that COM-001 deals with generic communication issues and not backup facility issues. Communication support explicitly needed for backup facilities will be considered in the revision of EOP-008. Also, COM-001 is covered in other areas of the Reliability Standards Development Plan 2007–2009. On this basis, do you agree that COM-001 should be deleted from the scope of this SAR? Yes No Comments:
3.	Please highlight any other changes you feel are needed before this SAR is ready to move forward to standard drafting.
	Comments: No other comments.

Individual Commenter Information					
(Complete	(Complete this page for comments from one organization or individual.)				
Name: Ro	bert	Coish			
Organization: Ma	nitok	pa Hydro			
Telephone: 204	1-487	-5479			
E-mail: rgc	oish@	⊉hydro.mb.ca			
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment			
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners			
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs or ISOs			
⊠ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities			
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities			
RFC	\boxtimes	5 — Electric Generators			
SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers			
SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users			
☐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users			
∐ NA – Not Applicable		9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities			
		10 – Regional Reliability Organizations or Regional Entities			

Group Name:			
Lead Contact:			
Contact Organization:			
Contact Segment:			
Contact Telephone:			
Contact E-mail:			
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*

^{*}If more than one region or segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

The revised SAR clarifies that this project revises EOP-008-0, Plans for Loss of Control Center Functionality, to emphasize the continuation of functionality needed by Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, and Transmission Operators for reliable system operation regardless of the manner in which it is achieved.

The definition of backup capability that is pertinent to this effort is: the ability to maintain situational awareness and continue to comply with reliability standards when primary control center facilities are not operational, including consideration for communications required to explicitly support backup facilities.

The drafting team made several changes to the SAR based on stakeholder comments, including the following:

- Clarified that the work of the Operating Committee Backup Control Center Task
 Force will be used as one of the inputs to the revision of EOP-008
- Removed COM-001 from the list of standards included in the scope of this project
- Modified the SAR to clarify that there "may" be some requirements for backup capabilities in other reliability standards and added IRO-002 to the list of related standards because it does contain a backup facility requirement
- Clarified that Appendix B is an informative attachment that contains material for consideration in the standards revision process, but should not be considered to contain mandatory changes to the standard
- Clarified that the standard will apply to any entity for which the loss of its
 primary control capability would impose a significant real-time reliability risk to
 the Bulk Power System and includes the Reliability Coordinator, Balancing
 Authority, Transmission Operator, and any entity (including the Transmission
 Owner) performing reliability functions as a result of delegation of tasks from
 those entities

1.	The revised SAR shows the Transmission Owner as an applicable entity based on the concept that there are Transmission Owners that operate control centers that could potentially have impact on the reliability of the Bulk Power System. Do you agree that the standard drafting team needs to have the flexibility to address the issue of Transmission Owners as applicable entities in the drafting of the standard? Yes No Comments: If the Transmission Owner operates a control centre then it should be registered as a Transmission Operator and meet the back up facility requirements.
2.	The SAR drafting team has deleted COM-001 from the revised SAR based on the fact that COM-001 deals with generic communication issues and not backup facility issues. Communication support explicitly needed for backup facilities will be considered in the revision of EOP-008. Also, COM-001 is covered in other areas of the Reliability Standards Development Plan 2007–2009. On this basis, do you agree that COM-001 should be deleted from the scope of this SAR? Yes No Comments:
3.	Please highlight any other changes you feel are needed before this SAR is ready to move forward to standard drafting. Comments:

Comment Form — Second Draft of SAR for Backup Facilities

Individual Commenter Information			
(Complete	e this	s page for comments from one organization or individual.)	
Name:			
Organization:			
Telephone:			
E-mail:			
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment	
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners	
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs or ISOs	
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities	
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities	
☐ RFC		5 — Electric Generators	
☐ SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers	
∐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users	
∐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users	
∐ NA – Not Applicable		9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities	
		10 – Regional Reliability Organizations or Regional Entities	

Group Name: Midwest ISO Stakeholders' Standards Collaboration Participants

Lead Contact: Jason Marshall
Contact Organization: Midwest ISO

Contact Segment: 2

Contact Telephone: 317-249-5494

Contact E-mail: jmarshall@midwestiso.org

Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*
Jim Cyrulewski	JDRJC Associates	RFC	8
		+	

^{*}If more than one region or segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

The revised SAR clarifies that this project revises EOP-008-0, Plans for Loss of Control Center Functionality, to emphasize the continuation of functionality needed by Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, and Transmission Operators for reliable system operation regardless of the manner in which it is achieved.

The definition of backup capability that is pertinent to this effort is: the ability to maintain situational awareness and continue to comply with reliability standards when primary control center facilities are not operational, including consideration for communications required to explicitly support backup facilities.

The drafting team made several changes to the SAR based on stakeholder comments, including the following:

- Clarified that the work of the Operating Committee Backup Control Center Task
 Force will be used as one of the inputs to the revision of EOP-008
- Removed COM-001 from the list of standards included in the scope of this project
- Modified the SAR to clarify that there "may" be some requirements for backup capabilities in other reliability standards and added IRO-002 to the list of related standards because it does contain a backup facility requirement
- Clarified that Appendix B is an informative attachment that contains material for consideration in the standards revision process, but should not be considered to contain mandatory changes to the standard
- Clarified that the standard will apply to any entity for which the loss of its
 primary control capability would impose a significant real-time reliability risk to
 the Bulk Power System and includes the Reliability Coordinator, Balancing
 Authority, Transmission Operator, and any entity (including the Transmission
 Owner) performing reliability functions as a result of delegation of tasks from
 those entities

NERC Reliability Standards Work Plan.

1.	concept that there are Transmission Owners that operate control centers that could potentially have impact on the reliability of the Bulk Power System. Do you agree that the standard drafting team needs to have the flexibility to address the issue of Transmission Owners as applicable entities in the drafting of the standard? Yes
	⊠ No
	Comments: If Transmission Owner is operating a control center, this would make them a transmission operator and they should register as one.
2.	The SAR drafting team has deleted COM-001 from the revised SAR based on the fact that COM-001 deals with generic communication issues and not backup facility issues. Communication support explicitly needed for backup facilities will be considered in the revision of EOP-008. Also, COM-001 is covered in other areas of the Reliability Standards Development Plan 2007–2009. On this basis, do you agree that COM-001 should be deleted from the scope of this SAR?
	□ No
	Comments: Since this SAR is dealing directly with backup capabilities, removing consideration of COM-001 makes sense. However, this causes a fundamental question. Should the standards defining primary control center capabilities include the back up capabilities as well? If so, a supplemental SAR will be required and then COM-001 would need to be considered.
3.	Please highlight any other changes you feel are needed before this SAR is ready to move forward to standard drafting.
	Comments: There are other SARs that have been posted recently that includes reviews and potential changes to standards this SAR will impact. For example, the Reliability Coordination (Project 2006-06) SAR will include modifications to IRO-002. This SAR should address how these changes will be coordinated with the Reliability Coordination SAR, other existing SARs and any other SAR that is expected to be proposed from the

Comment Form — Second Draft of SAR for Backup Facilities

Individual Commenter Information			
(Complete	e this	s page for comments from one organization or individual.)	
Name:			
Organization:			
Telephone:			
E-mail:			
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment	
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners	
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs or ISOs	
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities	
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities	
RFC		5 — Electric Generators	
☐ SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers	
∐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users	
∐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users	
∐ NA – Not Applicable		9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities	
		10 – Regional Reliability Organizations or Regional Entities	

Group Name: NSRS

Lead Contact: Carol Gerou

Contact Organization: MRO Contact Segment: 10

Contact Telephone: 218-722-1972 ext. 2058

Contact E-mail: cgerou@mnpower.com

Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*
Neal Balu	WPSR	MRO	10
Terry Bilke	MISO	MRO	10
Al Boesch	NPPD	MRO	10
Larry Brusseau	MRO	MRO	10
Robert Coish, Chair	MHEB	MRO	10
Carol Gerou	MP	MRO	10
Ken Goldsmith	ALT	MRO	10
Todd Gosnell	OPPD	MRO	10
Jim Haigh	WAPA	MRO	10
Pam Oreschnik	XCEL	MRO	10
Dick Pursley	GRE	MRO	10
Dave Rudolph	BEPC	MRO	10
Rick Liljegren	MP	MRO	10
Michael Brytowsk, Secretary	MRO	MRO	10
27 Additional MRO Members	Not Named Above	MRO	10

^{*}If more than one region or segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

The revised SAR clarifies that this project revises EOP-008-0, Plans for Loss of Control Center Functionality, to emphasize the continuation of functionality needed by Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, and Transmission Operators for reliable system operation regardless of the manner in which it is achieved.

The definition of backup capability that is pertinent to this effort is: the ability to maintain situational awareness and continue to comply with reliability standards when primary control center facilities are not operational, including consideration for communications required to explicitly support backup facilities.

The drafting team made several changes to the SAR based on stakeholder comments, including the following:

- Clarified that the work of the Operating Committee Backup Control Center Task
 Force will be used as one of the inputs to the revision of EOP-008
- Removed COM-001 from the list of standards included in the scope of this project
- Modified the SAR to clarify that there "may" be some requirements for backup capabilities in other reliability standards and added IRO-002 to the list of related standards because it does contain a backup facility requirement
- Clarified that Appendix B is an informative attachment that contains material for consideration in the standards revision process, but should not be considered to contain mandatory changes to the standard
- Clarified that the standard will apply to any entity for which the loss of its
 primary control capability would impose a significant real-time reliability risk to
 the Bulk Power System and includes the Reliability Coordinator, Balancing
 Authority, Transmission Operator, and any entity (including the Transmission
 Owner) performing reliability functions as a result of delegation of tasks from
 those entities

1.	The revised SAR shows the Transmission Owner as an applicable entity based on the concept that there are Transmission Owners that operate control centers that could potentially have impact on the reliability of the Bulk Power System. Do you agree that the standard drafting team needs to have the flexibility to address the issue of Transmission Owners as applicable entities in the drafting of the standard? Yes No Comments: These facilities are critical to the reliable operation of the Bulk Power
	system therefore flexibility to include a transmission owner as an applicable entity is reasonable.
2.	The SAR drafting team has deleted COM-001 from the revised SAR based on the fact that COM-001 deals with generic communication issues and not backup facility issues. Communication support explicitly needed for backup facilities will be considered in the revision of EOP-008. Also, COM-001 is covered in other areas of the Reliability Standards Development Plan 2007–2009. On this basis, do you agree that COM-001 should be deleted from the scope of this SAR?
	□ No
	Comments:
3.	Please highlight any other changes you feel are needed before this SAR is ready to move forward to standard drafting.
	Comments: 1. Remove migitation time horizons form the SAR because they are not defined and they are not part of the Standards Development Procedure. 2. Need to specific which standards are included in this SAR to be modified other than standard IRO-002.

Comment Form — Second Draft of SAR for Backup Facilities

		Individual Commenter Information
(Complete	e this	s page for comments from one organization or individual.)
Name:		
Organization:		
Telephone:		
E-mail:		
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs or ISOs
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities
$oxed{oxed}$ NPCC		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities
☐ RFC		5 — Electric Generators
☐ SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers
☐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users
∐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users
∐ NA – Not Applicable		9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities
	\boxtimes	10 – Regional Reliability Organizations or Regional Entities

Group Name: NPCC CP9, Reliability Standards Working Group

Lead Contact: Guy V. Zito

Contact Organization: Northeast Power Coordinating Council

Contact Segment: 10

Contact Telephone: 212-840-1070

Contact E-mail: gzito@npcc.org

Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*
Ralph Rufrano	New York Power Authority	NPCC	1
Herb Schrayshuen	National Grid US	NPCC	1
Murale Gopinathan	Northeast Utilities	NPCC	1
Jerad Barnhart	NStar	NPCC	1
Roger Champagne	TransEnergie HydroQuebec	NPCC	1
Kathleen Goodman	ISO-New England	NPCC	2
Bill Sehemley	ISO-New England	NPCC	2
Ron Falsetti	The IESO	NPCC	2
Randy McDonald	New Brunswick System Operator	NPCC	2
Al Adamson	New York St. Reliability Council	NPCC	10
Greg Campoli	New York ISO	NPCC	2
Guy Zito	NPCC	NPCC	10
Don Nelson	MA Dept. of Tele. and Energy	NPCC	9
*IC	and and the last the last the first the	1	

^{*}If more than one region or segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

The revised SAR clarifies that this project revises EOP-008-0, Plans for Loss of Control Center Functionality, to emphasize the continuation of functionality needed by Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, and Transmission Operators for reliable system operation regardless of the manner in which it is achieved.

The definition of backup capability that is pertinent to this effort is: the ability to maintain situational awareness and continue to comply with reliability standards when primary control center facilities are not operational, including consideration for communications required to explicitly support backup facilities.

The drafting team made several changes to the SAR based on stakeholder comments, including the following:

- Clarified that the work of the Operating Committee Backup Control Center Task
 Force will be used as one of the inputs to the revision of EOP-008
- Removed COM-001 from the list of standards included in the scope of this project
- Modified the SAR to clarify that there "may" be some requirements for backup capabilities in other reliability standards and added IRO-002 to the list of related standards because it does contain a backup facility requirement
- Clarified that Appendix B is an informative attachment that contains material for consideration in the standards revision process, but should not be considered to contain mandatory changes to the standard
- Clarified that the standard will apply to any entity for which the loss of its
 primary control capability would impose a significant real-time reliability risk to
 the Bulk Power System and includes the Reliability Coordinator, Balancing
 Authority, Transmission Operator, and any entity (including the Transmission
 Owner) performing reliability functions as a result of delegation of tasks from
 those entities

1.	The revised SAR shows the Transmission Owner as an applicable entity based on the concept that there are Transmission Owners that operate control centers that could potentially have impact on the reliability of the Bulk Power System. Do you agree that the standard drafting team needs to have the flexibility to address the issue of Transmission Owners as applicable entities in the drafting of the standard? Yes
	⊠ No
	Comments: Although NPCC participating members see value, from a reliability perspective, to have "large" TOs with control centers, to have back-up facilities, there is trepidation with the idea of mandating this through a NERC standard. It is more appropriate to leave the details of what TO backup facilities are necessary in the individual TOP/TO operating agreements.
2.	The SAR drafting team has deleted COM-001 from the revised SAR based on the fact that COM-001 deals with generic communication issues and not backup facility issues. Communication support explicitly needed for backup facilities will be considered in the revision of EOP-008. Also, COM-001 is covered in other areas of the Reliability Standards Development Plan 2007–2009. On this basis, do you agree that COM-001 should be deleted from the scope of this SAR?
	□ No
	Comments:
3.	move forward to standard drafting.
	Comments:

Individual Commenter Information				
(Comple	ete thi	s page for comments from one organization or individual.)		
Name: N	Michael	Calimano		
Organization: N	New Yor	k Independent System Operator		
Telephone: 5	18-356	-6129		
E-mail: g	gcampol	i@nyiso.com		
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment		
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners		
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs or ISOs		
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities		
\boxtimes NPCC		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities		
☐ RFC		5 — Electric Generators		
☐ SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers		
☐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users		
		8 — Small Electricity End Users		
∐ NA – No Applicable	t 🗆	9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities		
		10 – Regional Reliability Organizations or Regional Entities		

Group Name:			
Lead Contact:			
Contact Organization:			
Contact Segment:			
Contact Telephone:			
Contact E-mail:			
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*

^{*}If more than one region or segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

The revised SAR clarifies that this project revises EOP-008-0, Plans for Loss of Control Center Functionality, to emphasize the continuation of functionality needed by Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, and Transmission Operators for reliable system operation regardless of the manner in which it is achieved.

The definition of backup capability that is pertinent to this effort is: the ability to maintain situational awareness and continue to comply with reliability standards when primary control center facilities are not operational, including consideration for communications required to explicitly support backup facilities.

The drafting team made several changes to the SAR based on stakeholder comments, including the following:

- Clarified that the work of the Operating Committee Backup Control Center Task
 Force will be used as one of the inputs to the revision of EOP-008
- Removed COM-001 from the list of standards included in the scope of this project
- Modified the SAR to clarify that there "may" be some requirements for backup capabilities in other reliability standards and added IRO-002 to the list of related standards because it does contain a backup facility requirement
- Clarified that Appendix B is an informative attachment that contains material for consideration in the standards revision process, but should not be considered to contain mandatory changes to the standard
- Clarified that the standard will apply to any entity for which the loss of its
 primary control capability would impose a significant real-time reliability risk to
 the Bulk Power System and includes the Reliability Coordinator, Balancing
 Authority, Transmission Operator, and any entity (including the Transmission
 Owner) performing reliability functions as a result of delegation of tasks from
 those entities

1.	The revised SAR shows the Transmission Owner as an applicable entity based on the concept that there are Transmission Owners that operate control centers that could potentially have impact on the reliability of the Bulk Power System. Do you agree that the standard drafting team needs to have the flexibility to address the issue of Transmission Owners as applicable entities in the drafting of the standard? Yes
	⊠ No
	Comments: Per the NERC Functional Model, the Transmission Operator operates the control centers and should have sole responsibility for BPS Operation. The TOP has responsibility to ensure others who are supporting their control center, such as a TO, can do so as defined in agreements or reliability plans. A transmission owner with a conrol center that takes independent action on the BPS should be register as a TOP.
2.	The SAR drafting team has deleted COM-001 from the revised SAR based on the fact that COM-001 deals with generic communication issues and not backup facility issues. Communication support explicitly needed for backup facilities will be considered in the revision of EOP-008. Also, COM-001 is covered in other areas of the Reliability Standards Development Plan 2007–2009. On this basis, do you agree that COM-001 should be deleted from the scope of this SAR? Yes No Comments:
3.	Please highlight any other changes you feel are needed before this SAR is ready to move forward to standard drafting.
	Comments: There are other SARs that have been posted recently that includes reviews and potential changes to standards this SAR will impact. The IRC believes that the Standards Committee should work to resolve multiple SARs covering the same standards to prevent confusion and potential loss of changes. It is important that these SARs are sequenced properly to ensure that there are not any lost changes.

Individual Commenter Information					
(Complete	(Complete this page for comments from one organization or individual.)				
Name: Wa	yne	Lewis			
Organization: Pro	gress	s Energy			
Telephone: 919	9-546	-7936			
E-mail: way	yne.le	ewis@pgnmail.com			
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment			
☐ ERCOT	\boxtimes	1 — Transmission Owners			
⊠ FRCC		2 — RTOs or ISOs			
☐ MRO	\boxtimes	3 — Load-serving Entities			
☐ NPCC		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities			
RFC	\boxtimes	5 — Electric Generators			
⊠ SERC	\boxtimes	6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers			
		7 — Large Electricity End Users			
☐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users			
☐ NA – Not Applicable		9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities			
		10 – Regional Reliability Organizations or Regional Entities			

Group Name:			
Lead Contact:			
Contact Organization:			
Contact Segment:			
Contact Telephone:			
Contact E-mail:			
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*

^{*}If more than one region or segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

The revised SAR clarifies that this project revises EOP-008-0, Plans for Loss of Control Center Functionality, to emphasize the continuation of functionality needed by Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, and Transmission Operators for reliable system operation regardless of the manner in which it is achieved.

The definition of backup capability that is pertinent to this effort is: the ability to maintain situational awareness and continue to comply with reliability standards when primary control center facilities are not operational, including consideration for communications required to explicitly support backup facilities.

The drafting team made several changes to the SAR based on stakeholder comments, including the following:

- Clarified that the work of the Operating Committee Backup Control Center Task
 Force will be used as one of the inputs to the revision of EOP-008
- Removed COM-001 from the list of standards included in the scope of this project
- Modified the SAR to clarify that there "may" be some requirements for backup capabilities in other reliability standards and added IRO-002 to the list of related standards because it does contain a backup facility requirement
- Clarified that Appendix B is an informative attachment that contains material for consideration in the standards revision process, but should not be considered to contain mandatory changes to the standard
- Clarified that the standard will apply to any entity for which the loss of its
 primary control capability would impose a significant real-time reliability risk to
 the Bulk Power System and includes the Reliability Coordinator, Balancing
 Authority, Transmission Operator, and any entity (including the Transmission
 Owner) performing reliability functions as a result of delegation of tasks from
 those entities

1.	The revised SAR shows the Transmission Owner as an applicable entity based on the concept that there are Transmission Owners that operate control centers that could potentially have impact on the reliability of the Bulk Power System. Do you agree that the standard drafting team needs to have the flexibility to address the issue of Transmission Owners as applicable entities in the drafting of the standard? Yes No
	Comments:
2.	The SAR drafting team has deleted COM-001 from the revised SAR based on the fact that COM-001 deals with generic communication issues and not backup facility issues. Communication support explicitly needed for backup facilities will be considered in the revision of EOP-008. Also, COM-001 is covered in other areas of the Reliability Standards Development Plan 2007−2009. On this basis, do you agree that COM-001 should be deleted from the scope of this SAR? ☐ No Comments:
3.	Please highlight any other changes you feel are needed before this SAR is ready to move forward to standard drafting.
	Comments:

Comment Form — Second Draft of SAR for Backup Facilities

		Individual Commenter Information
(Complete	e this	s page for comments from one organization or individual.)
Name:		
Organization:		
Telephone:		
E-mail:		
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs or ISOs
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities
☐ RFC		5 — Electric Generators
☐ SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers
∐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users
∐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users
∐ NA – Not Applicable		9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities
		10 – Regional Reliability Organizations or Regional Entities

Group Name: Standards Review Comittee

Lead Contact: Charles Yeung

Contact Organization: SPP

Contact Segment: 2

Contact Telephone: 832-724-6142

Contact E-mail: cyeung@spp.org

Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*
Alicia Daugherty	РЈМ	RFC	2
Mike Calimano	NYISO	NPCC	2
Ron Falsetti	IESO	NPCC	2
Matt Goldberg	ISO-NE	NPCC	2
Brent Kingsford	CAISO	WECC	2
Anita Lee	AESO	WECC	2
Steve Myers	ERCOT	ERCOT	2
Bill Phillips	MISO	RFC+	2
		+MRO	
		+SERC	
		Į.	ļ

^{*}If more than one region or segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

The revised SAR clarifies that this project revises EOP-008-0, Plans for Loss of Control Center Functionality, to emphasize the continuation of functionality needed by Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, and Transmission Operators for reliable system operation regardless of the manner in which it is achieved.

The definition of backup capability that is pertinent to this effort is: the ability to maintain situational awareness and continue to comply with reliability standards when primary control center facilities are not operational, including consideration for communications required to explicitly support backup facilities.

The drafting team made several changes to the SAR based on stakeholder comments, including the following:

- Clarified that the work of the Operating Committee Backup Control Center Task
 Force will be used as one of the inputs to the revision of EOP-008
- Removed COM-001 from the list of standards included in the scope of this project
- Modified the SAR to clarify that there "may" be some requirements for backup capabilities in other reliability standards and added IRO-002 to the list of related standards because it does contain a backup facility requirement
- Clarified that Appendix B is an informative attachment that contains material for consideration in the standards revision process, but should not be considered to contain mandatory changes to the standard
- Clarified that the standard will apply to any entity for which the loss of its
 primary control capability would impose a significant real-time reliability risk to
 the Bulk Power System and includes the Reliability Coordinator, Balancing
 Authority, Transmission Operator, and any entity (including the Transmission
 Owner) performing reliability functions as a result of delegation of tasks from
 those entities

Insert a "check" mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.

1.	The revised SAR shows the Transmission Owner as an applicable entity based on the concept that there are Transmission Owners that operate control centers that could potentially have impact on the reliability of the Bulk Power System. Do you agree that the standard drafting team needs to have the flexibility to address the issue of Transmission Owners as applicable entities in the drafting of the standard? Yes
	⊠ No
	Comments: Per the NERC Functional Model, the Transmission Operator operates the control centers.
2.	The SAR drafting team has deleted COM-001 from the revised SAR based on the fact that COM-001 deals with generic communication issues and not backup facility issues. Communication support explicitly needed for backup facilities will be considered in the revision of EOP-008. Also, COM-001 is covered in other areas of the Reliability Standards Development Plan 2007−2009. On this basis, do you agree that COM-001 should be deleted from the scope of this SAR? ☐ Yes ☐ No Comments:
3.	Please highlight any other changes you feel are needed before this SAR is ready to move forward to standard drafting.
	Comments: There are other SARs that have been posted recently that includes reviews and potential changes to standards this SAR will impact. The IRC believes that the Standards Committee should work to resolve multiple SARs covering the same

standards to prevent confusion and potential loss of changes. It is important that these

SARs are sequenced properly to ensure that there are not any lost changes.