

# Meeting Notes

## Project 2007-03 Real-time Operations

January 31-February 1, 2012 | 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. CT

Austin, TX

### Administration

#### 1. Introductions

The Chair called the meeting to order at 8:00 a.m. on Tuesday, January 31, 2012 at the ERCOT offices in Austin, TX. Meeting participants were:

Drafting Team Members		
Jim Case, Entergy, Chair	Al DiCaprio, PJM	Jason Marshall, ACES
Steve Myers, ERCOT	Ed Dobrowolski, NERC Coordinator	

#### 2. Determination of Quorum

The rule for NERC Standard Drafting Team (SDT) states that a quorum requires two-thirds of the voting members of the SDT. Quorum was achieved for this meeting.

#### 3. NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and Public Announcement

Ed Dobrowolski delivered the NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and public announcement.

#### 4. Roster Updates

There were no updates to the roster.

#### 5. Review Meeting Agenda and Objectives

No changes were made to the agenda. The objectives of the meeting were to review the draft responses to comments and to resolve the disposition of the rapid revision requests from the NERC Standards Committee.

## Agenda

### 1. Review Draft Responses to Posting Comments

#### a. Q1 – Jim Case

The SDT reviewed Mr. Case's proposed responses. The major change identified was to replace the term "internal are reliability" with "reliability internal to the Transmission Operator Area". This will create consistency in terminology throughout the requirements and clarify the intent of the SDT.

#### b. Q2 – Steve Myers

Mr. Myers led the SDT through his proposed responses. The major issue concerned the use of Facility Ratings and Stability Limits in Requirement R1 versus IROL and SOL in Requirement R2. Mr. Myers has an explanation that will take the commenters through the issue on a step-by-step basis and will show that there really isn't a conflict in terminology.

#### c. Q3 – Al DiCaprio

The SDT reviewed Mr. DiCaprio's draft responses. The key issue is that reliability trumps all – data is restricted to that required to support reliability. Data quality is not a TOP standards issue – that is handled elsewhere in standards.

Requirement R5 will be set to come into service two months behind the other requirements to allow for the possible late delivery of data specifications.

The Distribution Provider will be added to Requirement R5.

Requirement R2 will have words added to allow for analysis capabilities for the Balancing Authority.

Requirements R3 and R4 will be altered to tie back to Requirement R1 rather than copying the words from that requirement.

The word "those" will be deleted in Requirement R3.

#### d. Q4 – Jason Marshall

Mr. Marshall provided a set of draft responses for the SDT to review. The major change suggested was to use the word "part" instead of "element" in the VSLs.

#### e. Q5 – Ed Dobrowolski

Mr. Dobrowolski reviewed his proposals for responses to question 5 comments. No changes were made to any requirements due to the comments on this question.

### 2. Draft Changes to Standards and Associated Documents (as required)

Changes to the respective standards as the comments were reviewed. Significant changes were made to TOP-001-2 and TOP-003-2 while minor changes were made to TOP-002-3 as described in

item #1. This will result in TOP-001-2 and TOP-003-2 being submitted for successive ballots while TOP-002-3 will undergo a recirculation ballot.

### 3. **Review FMPP Interpretations Request as per Standards Committee Instructions**

The SDT reviewed the FMPP request for interpretation for TOP-002-2a and decided that the request was capable of being handled under the rapid revision process. The mapping document resolutions from Project 2007-03 can be used with slight changes to explain what will be done for the interpretation. For Requirement R2, the explanation will need to be extended to cover the Balancing Authority. For Requirement R8, the resolution wording will be re-written to avoid the use of proposed solutions. The explanation for Requirement R19 is sufficient as is.

The SDT also reviewed the FMPP request for interpretation for TOP-006-2 and also decided that this request can be handled under the rapid revision process as well. Draft documents were reviewed and except for a slight grammatical change to one requirement, the documents were accepted as is.

The NERC Standards Committee was advised of the SDT decisions.

Mr. Dobrowolski will revise the necessary documents and submit both rapid revision projects to NERC staff for posting as quickly as possible.

### 4. **Next Steps**

- a. Mr. Dobrowolski will work on the rapid revision documents and submit them to NERC staff for posting as quickly as possible.
- b. SDT members will finalize their comment responses by Friday, February 10, 2012.
- c. TOP-001-2 and TOP-003-2 will need to be submitted for a successive ballot.
- d. TOP-002-3 will be submitted for a recirculation ballot.
- e. PRC-001 will be submitted for a 45 day combined comment and ballot posting.
- f. Mr. Case will attempt to call in to the next NERC Standards Committee meeting to explain the schedule for this project.

### 5. **Review Schedule**

The schedule is in disarray now that the ballot results are in and TOP-001-2 didn't pass. That standard will need to go to another successive ballot. In addition, the SDT has to post the changes to PRC-001 for a full review cycle. A new schedule will need to be developed to show all of the adjustments.

### 6. **Future Meeting(s)**

No future meetings were scheduled at this time.

## 7. Action Item Review

The following action items were developed during this meeting:

- Mr. Dobrowolski to submit the documents for the rapid revision of TOP-002 for FMPP as quickly as possible.
- Mr. Dobrowolski to submit the documents for the rapid revision of TOP-006 for FMPP as quickly as possible.
- SDT members to finalize their comment responses by February 10, 2012.
- Submittal of documents for the next posting will be made prior to the end of February 2012.
- Mr. Case to call in to the next NERC Standards Committee meeting (if possible) to discuss the project schedule.

## 8. Adjourn

The Chair thanked ERCOT for their hospitality and adjourned the meeting at 3:00 p.m. CT on Wednesday, February 1, 2012.