# NERC

NORTH AMERICAN ELECTRIC RELIABILITY CORPORATION

# Minutes Coordinate Interchange SDT — Project 2008-12

May 25, 2010 | 8 a.m. – 5 p.m. May 26, 2010 | 8 a.m. – 5 p.m.

#### 1. Administration

a. Antitrust Guidelines

Tom Vandervort reviewed the anti-trust guidelines with meeting participants.

b. Introduction of Attendees

The following members and guests were in attendance:

- Joe Gardner
- Clint Aymond
- John Ciza
- Bert Gumm
- Bob Harshbarger
- Shane Jensen
- Fred Kunkel
- Don Lacen
- Dave McCree
- Cheryl Mendrala
- Joel Mickey
- Eric Nehf
- Mike Oatts
- Chris Pacella
- Paul Rice
- Jeremy West
- Leslie Williams
- Tom Vandervort
- Andy Rodriquez (dial-in for part)
- c. The team reviewed and approved the agenda unanimously.
- d. The team deferred approval of the meeting notes from the March 17-19<sup>th</sup>, April 9<sup>th</sup>, and April 23<sup>rd</sup> meetings.

116-390 Village Blvd. Princeton, NJ 08540 609.452.8060 | www.nerc.com

# 2. Review of SARs for Posting

The team reviewed the draft SARs for the INT-006 Directive related to TOPs and RCs. The team developed modifications to the SAR, which will be submitted to Andy.

### 3. Update on Progress

Andy provided an update on the INT standards and the use of the new process. Andy explained that the SC might attempt to use the new process to move the standards forward to ballot. In this process, the 45-day comment period also contains the 30-day pre-ballot review and the 10-day initial ballot period. Some members of the team expressed concern with this approach.

#### 4. NAESB Coordination

The team briefly discussed the current efforts at NAESB related to the IDC and Change Order 283. NAESB is considering 3 options – Tag All Transactions, Per Generator, and Allocation. Their primary goal is to determine transmission priority – so they are looking for ways to quantify what flows are firm and non-firm.

# 5. Discussion of Phase 2 Topics

a. Dynamic Transfers

Some members (Don, Bob) think that all dynamic transfers should be tagged. Overall, the members agreed that if a BA agrees to implement a Pusedo-Tie or Dynamic Schedule, they have to get the agreement of their BA counterpart on the method chosen.

The SDT believes for its next steps, it needs to clarify the following terms/definitions:

Native BA

Attaining BA

Intermediate BA

These may become clear as work develops; however, the SDT needs to be sure that all the terms used in discussions of Dynamic Transfers are unambiguous.

The team began working on an initial draft of a Dynamic Transfer standard.

b. Backup Requirements

The team believes they have addressed Backup Requirements sufficiently at this time, as the draft INT-011 includes the 10 points from the IS letter sent out to BAs last year.



# 6. ACE Diversity Interchange (ADI)

Potential items that were discussed for inclusion in an ADI standard were:

- Must be between adjacent BAs unless transmission arrangements are in place to allow otherwise. (INT/CISDT Issue)
- Should transmission service be required?
- Inadvertent Interchange payback is not expected under ADI because the imbalance occurred as participating in the ADI rather than through the traditional ways of accumulating inadvertent interchange.
- Appropriate involvement of the RCs should be required for reliability purposes? (INT/CISDT Issue)
- Implemented via dynamic transfer is that appropriate? (INT/CISDT Issue)
- Multi-lateral dynamic transfers that add to zero are appropriate? (INT/CISDT Issue)
- Are there conditions / contingencies in which ADI should be suspended?
- Should there be a minimum / maximum amount that can be transferred under ADI? (INT/CISDT Issue)
- How does ADI fit in with Supplemental Regulation?
- Could ADI be defined as Supplemental Regulation in the standards? That could alleviate some of the current issues with it.
- Should we define an ADI Sharing Group similar to Reserve Sharing Groups? (INT/CISDT Issue)

#### 7. Future Meetings (Italics not confirmed)

As a general policy item, the IS and the CISDT have agreed to coordinate meetings.

September 8-9 (Full, Full), 2010 – Holyoke - ISONE

Joint IS and CISDT Meeting

November 9-10 (Full, Full), 2010 – San Diego (Sempra)

Joint IS and CISDT Meeting

#### 8. Adjourn

The drafting team adjourned in the afternoon on May 26, 2010.