

# Meeting Notes

## Project 2010-13.2 Phase 2 of Relay Loadability: Generation Standard Drafting Team

May 6, 2013

Conference Call with ReadyTalk Web Access

### Administrative

#### 1. Introductions

The meeting was brought to order by Mr. Charles Rogers, chair at 11:04 p.m. ET, Monday May 6, 2013. He welcomed everyone to the call and thanked them for participating to address the review of meeting notes and development of the webinar. Those in attendance were:

Name	Company	Member/ Observer
Charles Rogers (Chair)	Consumers Energy	Member
Jeff Billo	ERCOT	Member
S. Bryan Burch, P.E.	Southern Company	Member
Steven Hataway	Florida Power and Light Company	Member
Jonathan Hayes	Southwest Power Pool	Member
Mike Jensen	Pacific Gas and Electric Company	Member
Benson Vuong	Salt River Project	Member
David Youngblood	Luminant Energy	Member
Syed Ahmad	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	Observer
Scott Barfield-McGinnis (Standard Developer)	North American Electric Reliability Corporation	Observer

## 2. Determination of Quorum

The rule for NERC Standard Drafting Team (SDT or team) states that a quorum requires two-thirds of the voting members of the SDT. Quorum was not achieved even though eight of the eleven members were present at some point during the meeting. Quorum was not achieved consistently to allow for a review of the meeting notes; however, the team did develop the slides for the upcoming webinar.

## 3. NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and Public Announcement

NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and public disclaimer were reviewed by Mr. Barfield. There were no questions.

## 4. Review Roster

Mr. Barfield noted there have been no changes to the roster. Also, Xiaodong Sun submitted his resignation from the team last week due to organizational changes at Ontario Power Generation.

## Agenda

### 1. Open business from last meeting (Reviewed)

Review meeting notes from the Fresno, California meeting held March 25-28, 2013 and the conference calls held April 3, 5, 12, and 23. (In progress – Meeting notes were not reviewed due to lack of quorum)

### 2. Develop Webinar

The drafting team refined slides preliminarily assembled by Mr. Barfield. The slides focused on the bright-line changes to the proposed PRC-023-3 standard, incremental changes to the proposed PRC-025-1 standard and other minor document revisions. Overall the development session went well and was productive. This webinar will be structured more on the changes and work of the team rather than educating industry on the project need and performance of the requirements.

Mr. Ahmad raised a question about the use of RRO (Regional Reliability Organization) in the slides that it may be an outdated term. Mr. Barfield provided an example of the use in the proposed PRC-025-1 standard's Attachment 1 text. The use of RRO in the standard, and bullet point in the webinar slide, provide a bridge between the MOD-024-1 and MOD-025-1 standards moving into the single MOD-25-2 generator verification standard. Mr. Barfield pointed out that the MOD version one standards required entities to report its capability to "other entity as specified by the RRO." The Planning Coordinator was previously removed from the proposed PRC-025-1 standard because there were no requirements to report to this function. Having the RRO in the standard bridges the gap between version one which identifies the RRO and version two which specifies the Transmission Planner that is also included in the proposed PRC-025-1 standard.

Mr. Ahmad also asked about the ability to meet the September 30, 2013 deadline for filing the proposed PRC-025-1 standard and why must it wait for the proposed PRC-023-3 approvals as well.

Mr. Barfield responded that ideally both standards should move forward together to prevent a potential compliance overlap. For example, both standards cover generator interconnection Facilities and it is unclear which applies. Furthermore, there is perceived gap in reliability because Facilities in PRC-023-3 concerning generators may not be covered between the voltages of 100 and 200 kV unless the Planning Coordinator has previously identified the Facilities as applicable to PRC-023 loadability.

Through discussion, Mr. Ahmad suggested a face-to-face meeting with FERC technical staff in Washington, D.C. to discuss the strategy for filing the standard(s). Mr. Barfield suggested that the meeting be scheduled after the June 3, 2013 drafting team meeting to ascertain whether the proposed PRC-025-1 would go to a recirculation ballot or require a second successive ballot. Mr. Ahmad agreed to the approach and Mr. Rogers concurred since he may be attending the FERC meeting as well.

### 3. Review of the schedule

Mr. Barfield noted that the schedule is still achievable with this posting and the June meeting to respond to comments.

### 4. Action items or assignments

#### a. Mr. Barfield

- i. Send draft slides to Charlie, Mike, and Phil
- ii. Issue poll to hold another interim meeting to review meeting notes.
- iii. Check on webinar announcement
- iv. Set tentative date for FERC staff meeting

#### b. Mr. Jensen

- i. Determine additional information for webinar slides concerning the calculations and send to Mr. Barfield by the end of the week.

### 5. Next steps

- a. Review meeting notes from the Fresno, California meeting held March 25-28, 2013, the conference calls held April 3, 5, 12, and 23 and the May 6 webinar development meeting.
- b. Respond to comments from the third posting of PRC-025-1 and the first formal posting of PRC-023-3.

### 6. Future meeting(s)

In-person meeting Denver, Colorado (Monday-Thursday)

- June 3, 1:00 p.m. to 5:00 MT
- June 4, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 MT

- June 5, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 MT
- June 6, 8:00 a.m. to noon MT

**7. Adjourn**

The meeting adjourned at 1:17 p.m. ET on May 6, 2013.