

Meeting Notes

Project 2010-14.1 Phase 1 Balancing Authority Reliability based Controls

Standard Drafting Team

August 7 – 9, 2012

Meeting
NERC Office
Atlanta, GA

Administrative

1. Introductions

The meeting was brought to order by Larry Akens, chair, at 8:00 a.m. ET, Tuesday, August 7, 2012 and welcomed everyone to the call. Mr. Akens noted that the goal of the meeting was to review as many of the comments received from the posting of BAL-002 and BAL-012 as possible and to complete the necessary revisions to the standard documents. Those in attendance were:

Name	Company	Member/ Observer
Larry Akens (Chair)	TVA	Member
Glenn Stephens (Phone)	Santee Cooper	Member
Tom Washburn	FMPP	Member
David Lemmons	Xcel Energy	Member
Mike Postishnak	ISO-NE	Member
Ena Agbedia	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	Observer
Steve Myers	ERCOT	Member
Clyde Loutan	CA ISO	Member
Jerry Rust	NWPP	Member
Tom Siegrist	Ener Vision	Member

Name	Company	Member/ Observer
Darrel Richardson	NERC	Member
Guy Zito	NPCC	Observer
Robert Blohm (Phone)	Keen Resources	Observer
Howard Illian (Phone)	EnergyMark	Member

2. Determination of Quorum

The rule for NERC Standard Drafting Team (SDT or team) states that a quorum requires two-thirds of the voting members of the SDT. A quorum was not achieved.

3. NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and Public Announcement

NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and public disclaimer were reviewed by Darrel Richardson. There were no questions.

4. Review Roster

Darrel Richardson noted there have been no changes to the roster.

Agenda

1. Review comments from the posting and revise associated documents as necessary

a. BAL-002-2 – The following modifications were discussed and made:

i. There were discussions regarding MSS:

1. What is a single contingency?
2. Is it the most probable?
3. The SDT needs to define “most probable.” There was discussion of looking at planning requirements. It was pointed out that MSCC drives a BA or RSG reserve requirements.

ii. Need to explain basis for the 500 MW reporting threshold. Need to get with Bob Cummings for justification of the reporting threshold which may vary by interconnection. Reporting threshold is based on its frequency impact to the interconnection.

iii. There was much discussion regarding contingency recovery period in regard to generator run back.

1. When would the DCS event start? That is, when the run back starts or if and when a generator trips suddenly.
- iv. David Lemmons suggested we move on with the comments and then consider moving the requirements in BAL-002 possibly into BAL-001, Requirement 2, for the BAAL requirement.
- v. Balancing Contingency Events - language under C needs to be better explained in the background document.
- vi. Item C for balancing contingency event was modified.
- vii. There were discussions of incorporating BAL-002 into the BAL-001, Requirement 2 for the BAAL. Some commenter's have said that there should not be two requirements that is one for BAL-002, DCS, and the other for BAAL.
 1. In general discussion was that until an ACE Transmission Limit is developed, it may be difficult to move exclusively to the BAAL to cover contingencies. Other discussions were along the lines introducing too much change may impede stakeholder acceptance. Consensus position was the BARC SDT understands their comment, but feels that there is a timing issue and the apparent redundancy of having both BAAL and DCS. There was continued discussion of a future SAR to develop transmission limits. Recommendation was for the BARC SDT to submit the SAR for a Dynamic ACE Transmission Limit.
- viii. There was discussion of what is Reserve Sharing Group's group ACE? Jerry Rust volunteered to develop a definition. Howard I. felt it is the sum of the individual ACE as long as they are taken at the same time. Jerry R. will provide Darrel R. a definition. This definition will be for the standard only since it will only be used for BAL-002.
- ix. The BAL-002 background document needs to be revised to reflect changes made in BAL-002-2.
- b. BAL-012-1 – The following modifications were discussed and made:
 - i. The SDT totally revamped this standard. The SDT moved many of the previously proposed requirements into subparts for the requirement to create a procedure.
 - ii. The SDT revised the standard to agree with the changes made to Requirements R1 and R2.
 - iii. Jerry R. and Mike P. will provide language for Regulating Reserve Sharing Group definition.
- c. BAL-013-1:
 - i. There was considerable discussion regarding retracting BAL-013 (Large Loss of Load). The SDT decided to table any further discussion until the next meeting.

2. Next steps

- a. The SDT is to review the modifications made to the documents and be ready to discuss at the next meeting.

3. Future meeting(s)

- a. Meeting
 - i. NERC Office
Atlanta GA
October 3 – 5, 12, 2012 3:00

4. Adjourn

The meeting adjourned at 12:00 p.m. ET on August 9, 2012.